

FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING MT2018

Sheet 1

- 1.1 Recall that function application binds more tightly than any other operators. Put in all the parentheses implicit in the expressions

1. a plus f x + x times y * z
2. 3 4 + 5 + 6
3. $2^{2^{2^2}}$

It may help to know that $2^{2^{2^2}}$ evaluates to 65536, as you can check with GHCi.

- 1.2 Prove that function composition is associative. (Remember that functions are equal precisely when they return the same result whenever applied to the same argument.)

- 1.3 Suppose that the ++ operator is defined by

```
as ++ bs = concat [as, bs]
```

(This is not the standard definition, but it defines the same function.)

Is this operator associative? Is it commutative? Does it have a unit (identity element)? (e is a unit of \oplus if $e \oplus x = x = x \oplus e$.) Does it have a zero? (z is a zero of \oplus if $z \oplus x = z = x \oplus z$.)

You might be able to tell these things without yet being able to prove that you are right.

- 1.4 Suppose that

```
double :: Integer -> Integer
double x = 2 * x
```

is the function that doubles an integer. What are the values of

```
map double [3,7,4,2]
map (double.double) [3,7,4,2]
map double []
```

You might check your answers on an interpreter.

Suppose that

```
sum :: [ Integer ] -> Integer
```

is a function that adds up all of the elements of its argument. (There is such a standard function.) Which of the following are true, and why?

```
sum.mapdouble = double.sum
sum.mapsum    = sum.concat
sum.sort      = sum
```

- 2.1 Use the standard function $product :: [Int] \rightarrow Int$ to define *factorial*, a function that calculates the factorial of its argument.

Hence define a function *choose* that makes n ‘choose’ r be the number of ways of choosing r things from n .

Write a function *check* that when applied to n returns a *Bool* indicating whether $\sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} = 2^n$.

- 2.2 The standard function $not :: Bool \rightarrow Bool$ maps each Boolean value to the other one. Without using the *not* function itself, write four definitions of functions equal to *not* using essentially different syntactic forms.

- 2.3 There are two things (the values *True* and *False*) of type *Bool*. How many different things are there with type

1. $Bool \rightarrow Bool$
2. $Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool$
3. $Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool$
4. $(Bool, Bool)$
5. $(Bool, Bool) \rightarrow Bool$
6. $(Bool, Bool, Bool)$
7. $(Bool, Bool, Bool) \rightarrow Bool$
8. $(Bool \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow Bool$
9. $(Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow Bool$
10. $((Bool \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow Bool$

Geraint Jones, 2018