CONTINUOUS MATHEMATICS

HT 2019

PROBLEM SHEET 4

4.1.
$$f: |R \to R|$$
 has three continuous derivatives, and a double noot: $f(x^*) = \frac{df}{dx}(x^*) = 0$ and $\frac{d^2f}{dx^2}(x^*) \neq 0$. We find it using the nelaxed Newton iteration:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \alpha \frac{f(x_n)}{\frac{df}{dx}(x_n)}$$
, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ constant

(a) We have
$$e_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - x^* = x_n - \alpha \frac{f(x_n)}{\frac{df}{dx}(x_n)} - x^* = e_n - \alpha \frac{f(x_n)}{\frac{df}{dx}(x_n)} = \frac{e_n \cdot \frac{df}{dx}(x_n) - \alpha f(x_n)}{\frac{df}{dx}(x_n)}$$

(b) We'll now use:

•
$$\frac{df}{dx}(x_n) = \frac{df}{dx}(x^*) + (x_n - x^*) \frac{d^2f}{dx^2}(x^*) + \frac{(x_n - x^*)^2}{2} \frac{d^3f}{dx^3}(\xi_2)$$
, for $\xi_2 \in (x_n, x^*)$
 $\frac{df}{dx}(x_n) = e_n \frac{d^2f}{dx^2}(x^*) + \frac{e_n^2}{2} \frac{d^3f}{dx^3}(\xi_2)$

•
$$f(x_n) = f(x^*) + (x_n - x^*) \frac{df}{dx} (x^*) + \frac{(x_n - x^*)^2}{2} \frac{d^2f}{dx^2} (x^*) + \frac{(x_n - x^*)^3}{6} \frac{d^3f}{dx^3} (\epsilon_3)$$
, for $\epsilon_3 \in (x_n, x^*)$

$$f(x_n) = \frac{e_n^2}{2} \frac{d^2f}{dx^2} (x^*) + \frac{e_n^3}{6} \frac{d^3f}{dx^3} (\epsilon_3)$$

$$\frac{df}{dx}(x_n) = \frac{df}{dx}(x^*) + (x_n - x^*) \frac{d^2f}{dx^2}(\epsilon_4), \text{ for } \epsilon_4 \in (x_n, x^*)$$

$$\frac{df}{dx}(x_n) = e_n \frac{d^2f}{dx^2}(\epsilon_4)$$

Substituting these 3 into the relation from (a) we get:

$$e_{n+1} = e_n \cdot \left(e_n \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} (x^4) + \frac{e_n^2}{2} \frac{d^3 f}{dx^3} (\epsilon_2) \right) - \alpha \left(\frac{e_n^2}{2} \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} (x^4) + \frac{e_n^3}{6} \frac{d^3 f}{dx^3} (\epsilon_3) \right)$$

$$e_{n+1} = \frac{e_n \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} (\epsilon_4)}{\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} (x^4) + \frac{e_n^2}{2} \frac{d^3 f}{dx^3} (\epsilon_2) - \alpha \frac{e_n^3}{6} \frac{d^3 f}{dx^3} (\epsilon_3)}{\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} (\epsilon_4)}$$

To have $e_{n+1} \leq |e_n|^2$. A, we need to get nid of the term with e_n , so we need $e_n - \alpha \frac{e_n}{2} = 0$. = 2. The equality then becomes:

$$e_{n+4} = \frac{e_n^2}{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{d^3f}{dx^3} (\xi_2) - \frac{e_n^2}{\frac{3}{3}} \frac{d^3f}{dx^3} (\xi_3)$$

$$\frac{d^2f}{dx^2} (\xi_4)$$

Let i = (x*-c, x*+c) where we have E1, E2, E3 E1 (when xn sufficiently close to x*)

$$e_{n+1} = |e_n|^2 \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^3 f}{dx^3} (\epsilon_3) - \frac{1}{3} \frac{d^3 f}{dx^3} (\epsilon_3)}{\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} (\epsilon_4)}$$

Let
$$A(c) = \frac{\frac{4}{2} \max_{\beta \in I} \left| \frac{d^3 f}{dx^3}(\beta) \right| - \frac{1}{3} \min_{\beta \in I} \left| \frac{d^3 f}{dx^3}(\beta^2) \right|}{\min_{\alpha \in I} \left| \frac{d f}{dx}(\alpha) \right|}$$
, which is finite when x_n close to x^*

Then, |en+1 | A(c) |en|2

(c) We'll assume that xo∈(x*-c, x*+c) with sufficiently small to have cA(c) <1

Let p= cA(c) <1. We'll show by induction on n that len | sp" leal:

Base case: leol & leol (YES)

Inductive step: Given |en| &phleol < c, we know that x = 1, so we have

| entil ∈ A (c) | en | < cA(c) | en | = p | en | ≤ p. p" | eo | = p"+1 | eo |

Therefore, we have $|e_{n+1}| < |e_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|e_n| \ge 0$ (Y) $n \in \mathbb{N} = (|e_n|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent. ($|e_n| < p^n |e_0| \Rightarrow |e_n| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$)

We have $\frac{|e_{n+1}|}{|o|^2} \le A(c)$ => this is at least guadratic convergence.

(d) Optional: Let x^* be a noot of order m, where $f(x^*) = \frac{df}{dx}(x^*) = \dots = \frac{d^{m+1}f}{dx^{m-1}}(x^*) = 0$ and $\frac{d^mf}{dx^m}(x^*) \neq 0$

We then have to use:

$$\frac{df}{dx}(x_{m}) = \frac{df}{dx}(x^{2}) + (x_{m} - x^{2}) \frac{d^{2}f}{dx^{2}}(x^{2}) + \dots + \frac{(x_{m} - x^{2})^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} \frac{d^{m-1}f}{dx^{m-1}}(x^{2}) + \frac{(x_{m} - x^{2})^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \cdot \frac{d^{m}f}{dx^{m}}(x^{2}) + \frac{(x_{m} - x^{2})^{m}}{(x_{m} - x^{2})^{m}} \frac{d^{m}f}{dx^{m}}(x^{2})$$

$$\frac{df}{dx}(x_n) = \frac{e_n^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{e_n^m}{m!} \frac{d^{m+1} f}{dx^{m+1}}(\varepsilon_m), \text{ where } \varepsilon_m \varepsilon(x_n, x^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$f(x_n) = f(x^{*}) + (x_n - x^{*}) \frac{df}{dx}(x^{*}) + ... + \frac{(x_n - x^{*})^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \frac{d^{m-1}f}{dx^{m-1}}(x^{*}) + \frac{(x_n - x^{*})^{m}}{m!} \cdot \frac{d^{m}f}{dx^{m}} + \frac{(x_n - x^{*})^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \cdot \frac{d^{m}f}{dx^{m+1}}(\epsilon_{m+1})$$

$$f(x_n) = \frac{e_n^m}{m!} \frac{d^mf}{dx^m}(x^{*}) + \frac{e_n^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \cdot \frac{d^{m+1}f}{dx^{m+1}}(\epsilon_{m+1}), \text{ where } \epsilon_{m+1} \in (x_n, x^{*})$$

$$\frac{df}{dx}(x_{N}) = \frac{df}{dx}(x^{2}) + (x_{N} - x^{2}) \frac{d^{2}f}{dx^{2}}(x^{2}) + ... + \frac{(x_{N} - x^{2})^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} \frac{d^{m-1}f}{dx^{m-1}}(x^{2}) + \frac{(x_{N} - x^{2})^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \frac{d^{m}f}{dx^{m}}(\epsilon_{m-1})$$

 $\frac{df}{dx}(x_n) = \frac{e_n^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \cdot \frac{d^m f}{dx^m} (\varepsilon_{m-1}), \text{ where } \varepsilon_{m-1} \varepsilon (x_n, x_m)$

2

We use the three results in
$$e_{n+a} = \frac{e_n \frac{d}{dx}(x_n) - \alpha f(x_n)}{\frac{df}{dx}(x_n)}$$
 to get:

$$e_{n+a} = \frac{e_n \left(\frac{e_n^{m-1}}{(m-n)!}, \frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(x^n) + \frac{e_n^{m}}{m!}, \frac{d^{m+1} f}{dx^{m}}(E_m)\right) - \alpha \left(\frac{e_n^{m}}{m!}, \frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(x^n) + \frac{e_n^{m+1}}{(m+n)!}, \frac{d^{m+1} f}{dx^{m}}(E_{mn})\right)}{\frac{e_{m+1}}{m!}} = \frac{e_n \frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(x^n) + \frac{e_n^2}{m!}, \frac{d^{m+1} f}{dx^m}(E_m) - \alpha \frac{e_n}{m!}, \frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(x^n) - \alpha \frac{e_n^2}{m!}, \frac{d^{m+1} f}{dx^m}(E_{m+1})}{\frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(E_{m+1})} = \frac{e_n \frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(x^n) + \frac{e_n^2}{m!}, \frac{d^{m+1} f}{dx^m}(E_m) - \frac{\alpha}{m!}, \frac{d^{m+1} f}{dx^m}(E_{m+1})}{\frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(E_{m+1})} = \frac{e_n \frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(x^n) + \frac{e_n^2}{m!}, \frac{d^{m+1} f}{dx^m}(E_{m+1})}{\frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(E_{m+1})} = \frac{e_n \frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(E_{m+1})}{\frac{d^m f}{d$$

As before, if cA(c) < 1 (happens for sufficiently small c), we have at least quadratic convergence

(a)
$$Y_{AA} \sim Geo(\frac{1}{2})$$
, $Y_{AB} \sim Geo(\frac{1}{2})$, $Y_{BA} \sim Geo(\frac{1}{3})$, $Y_{BB} \sim Geo(\frac{2}{3})$

We have to solve $\int_{G_{AB}}^{G_{AB}(x)} G_{AB}(y) = x$, on $\underline{f}(\underline{x}) = \underline{Q}$, where $\underline{f} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $f_1 = G_{AA}(x) - G_{AB}(y)$

and $\underline{f} = G_{AB}(x) - G_{AB}(y) = y$

and fz = GBA (x) GBB (y) -y.

We then have:

$$f_{1}(x,y) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}x} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1 - \frac{1}{3}y} - x = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{(2-x)(2-y)} - x = 0$$

$$f_{2}(x,y) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{1 - \frac{1}{3}x} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{1 - \frac{1}{3}y} - y = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{(3-2x)} \cdot \frac{2}{(3-y)} - y = 0$$
The Jacobian of $\frac{1}{2}$ is:
$$\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3x} & \frac{1}{3y} \\ \frac{1}{3x} & \frac{1}{3y} \\ \frac{1}{3x} & \frac{1}{3y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(2-x)^{3}(2-y)} & \frac{1}{(3-2x)(2-y)^{3}} \\ \frac{1}{(3-2x)^{3}(3-y)} & \frac{1}{(3-2x)(3-y)^{3}} - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(b) Newton, Scala object Roblem
$$\begin{cases} \text{Van } 10 = 100 \\ \text{def [unch]}(x_{n}; \text{bouble}, y_{n}; \text{bouble}) : (\text{Double}, \text{bouble}) = \{ \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}) \\ \text{Van } x = 1.0 \\ \text{(130 } - 2.0^{-x}x_{n})^{x}(2.0-y_{n}) - x_{n} \\ \text{van } x = 2.0 \\ \text{(130 } - 2.0^{-x}x_{n})^{x}(3.0-y_{n}) - y_{n} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{Van } x = 1.0 \\ \text{(120 } - x_{n})^{x}(2.0-x_{n}) + (2.0-y_{n}) - y_{n} \\ \text{van } x = 1.0 \\ \text{(120 } - x_{n})^{x}(2.0-y_{n}) - (2.0-y_{n}) - y_{n} \\ \text{van } x = 1.0 \\ \text{(130 } - 2.0^{-x}x_{n})^{x}(3.0-y_{n}) + (3.0-y_{n}) - y_{n} \\ \text{van } x = 1.0 \\ \text{(130 } - 2.0^{-x}x_{n})^{x}(3.0-y_{n}) + (3.0-y_{n}) - y_{n} \\ \text{van } x = 1.0 \\ \text{(130 } - 2.0^{-x}x_{n})^{x}(3.0-y_{n}) + (3.0-y_{n}) - y_{n} \\ \text{van } x = 2.0 \\ \text{(130 } - 2.0^{-x}x_{n})^{x}(3.0-y_{n}) + (3.0-y_{n}) - y_{n} \\ \text{van } x = 2.0 \\ \text{(130 } - 2.0^{-x}x_{n})^{x}(3.0-y_{n}) + (3.0-y_{n}) - y_{n} \\ \text{van } x = 2.0 \\ \text{(130 } - 2.0^{-x}x_{n})^{x}(3.0-y_{n}) + (3.0-y_{n}) - y_{n} \\ \text{van } x = (x - 1.0^{-x}) \\ \text{van } x = (x - 1.0^$$

4.

```
def Newton (ang 1 : Double, ang 2 : Double) : (Double, Double, Int) =
                         Van Xn = ang
                         Van yn = ang 2
                         while ((n!=N) & R (size (xn, yn) > tol))
                               Van (x,y) = system (xn,yn)
                                 Xn= Xn+X
                                 Y + = y + y
                neturn (xn,yn,m)
             def main (angs: Amay [String]) =
              1 Van nes = Newton (0.0, 0.0) // start with x_0 = (0.0, 0.0)
                     priviten (nes)
                                   (0.36675872529683695, 0.33056621347856646, 4)
                    First, we'll prove the Shuman - Morrison formula:
   Let A be an invertible nxn mutaix, M, Y & IR", and A+MYT is inventible, then
                              \left(\underline{\underline{A}} + \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{V}}\right)^{-1} = \underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} - \underbrace{\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{V}}^{T} \underline{\underline{A}}^{-1}}_{1 + \underline{\underline{V}}^{T} \underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} \underline{\underline{M}}} \cdot (\underline{\underline{A}} + \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{V}}^{T})
= \underbrace{\underline{\underline{M}}^{-1} \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{V}}^{T} - \underbrace{\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{V}}^{T}}_{1 + \underline{\underline{V}}^{T} \underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} \underline{\underline{M}}} \cdot (\underline{\underline{A}} + \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{V}}^{T})
= \underbrace{\underline{\underline{M}}^{-1} \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{V}}^{T} - \underbrace{\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{V}}^{T}}_{1 + \underline{\underline{V}}^{T} \underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{V}}^{T}} - \underbrace{\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{V}}^{T}}_{1 + \underline{\underline{V}}^{T} \underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} \underline{\underline{M}}} \cdot (\underline{\underline{A}} + \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{V}}^{T})
A · A · MYT = A · (MYT + MYTA-1MYT)
                         \Delta V^{T} = \frac{\Delta (1 + V^{T} \Delta^{-1} \Delta) V^{T}}{1 + V^{T} \Delta^{-1} \Delta}
                          MYT = MYT (YES)
```

So, we proved Sherman - Morrison formula.

$$\frac{\hat{J_n}}{\hat{J_n}} = \frac{\hat{J_{n-1}}}{|\Delta x|^2} + \frac{\Delta y - \hat{J_{n-1}}}{|\Delta x|^2} \Delta x^T \text{ and thun to solve the system}$$

 $\overline{dn} \Delta x = -y_0$ for Δx , which would require $O(d^3)$ operations, where d is the dimension of the vector &x. To get rid of this, we can calculate In 1 at each step and the system would become Ax = - In yo, with time complexity o(d2).

To do that, we'll use the Sherman-Monison formula:

$$\frac{J_{n}}{J_{n}} = J_{n-1}^{\Lambda} + \frac{\Delta y - J_{n-1}^{\Lambda} \Delta x}{\|\Delta x\|^{2}} \Delta x^{T} | ()^{-1}$$

$$\frac{J_{n}}{J_{n}} = \left(J_{n-1}^{\Lambda} + \frac{\Delta y - J_{n-1}^{\Lambda} \Delta x}{\|\Delta x\|^{2}} \Delta x^{T}\right)^{-1} = \frac{J_{n-1}^{\Lambda}}{\|\Delta x\|^{2}} \Delta x^{T} \frac{J_{n-1}^{\Lambda}}{\|\Delta x\|^{2}} \Delta x^{T} \frac{J_{n-1}^{\Lambda}}{\|\Delta x\|^{2}}$$

$$\frac{J_{n}}{J_{n}} = J_{n-1}^{\Lambda} - \frac{J_{n-1}^{\Lambda} \Delta y - \Delta x}{\|\Delta x\|^{2}} \Delta x^{T} \frac{J_{n-1}^{\Lambda}}{J_{n-1}^{\Lambda}} = J_{n-1}^{\Lambda} - \frac{A}{B}, \text{ where}$$

$$\frac{d_n}{dn} = \frac{d_{n-1}}{1 + \Delta x^T} \frac{d_{n-1}}{dn} \frac{\Delta y - \Delta x}{1 + \Delta x^T} = \frac{d_{n-1}}{dn} - \frac{A}{B}, \text{ when}$$

$$A = \frac{\int_{n-1}^{-1} \Delta y - \Delta x}{\|\Delta x\|^2} \Delta x^{T} \int_{n-1}^{-1}$$

$$\cdot \left(\frac{\hat{J}_{n-1}^{-1} \Delta y - \Delta x}{\|\Delta x\|^2} \right) \cdot \left(\Delta x^T \hat{J}_{n-1}^{-1} \right) \text{ needs } O(d^2) \text{ operations}$$

$$B = 1 + \Delta \underline{x}^{T} \underbrace{J_{n-1}^{n-1} \Delta \underline{y} - \Delta \underline{x}}_{\parallel \Delta \underline{x} \parallel^{2}}$$

•
$$\Delta X^{T} = \frac{\widehat{J}_{n-1} \Delta y - \Delta X}{\|\Delta X\|^{2}}$$
 needs $o(d^{2})$ operations => scalar | $o(d^{2})$ operations

So, the complexity of each iteration becomes O(d2) from O(d3) as the linear system In Ox=- to becomes Ox=- In to, which is O(d2).

=> A is a (dxd) matrix which needs 0 (d2) operations

(c, f(c)), where f is quadratic by using the Lagrange interpolation formula:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{(x-b)(x-c)}{(a-b)(a-c)} f(a) + \frac{(x-a)(x-c)}{(b-a)(b-c)} f(b) + \frac{(x-a)(x-b)}{(c-a)(c-b)} f(c)$$

Problem 1: This requires dividing by (a-b), (b-c) and (c-a), but when we get to very small numbers,

the catastrophic cancellation phenomenon might occur from roundoff mons.

hoblem 2: If we get to a bracket (a,b,c) where f(a) = f(b) = f(c), then f(x) will be egual to f(a), so we don't know what 2 from the interval to choose.

As a < b < c and $f(a) > f(b) < f(c) \Rightarrow \hat{f}(a) > \hat{f}(b) < \hat{f}(c) \Rightarrow \hat{f}$ is convex and its minimum is the only stationary point.

$$\hat{f}'(x) = \frac{f(a)}{(a-b)(a-c)}(2x-b-c) + \frac{f(b)}{(b-a)(b-c)}(2x-a-c) + \frac{f(c)}{(c-a)(c-b)}(2x-a-b)$$

$$f'(x) = 2\left(\frac{f(a)}{(a-b)(a-c)} + \frac{f(b)}{(b-a)(b-c)} + \frac{f(c)}{(c-a)(c-b)}\right) \times -\left(\frac{b+c}{(a-b)(a-c)}f(a) + \frac{a+c}{(b-a)(b-c)}f(b) + \frac{a+b}{(c-a)(c-b)}f(c)\right)$$

$$f'(z) = 0 \iff \frac{b+c}{(a-b)(a-c)} f(a) + \frac{a+c}{(b-a)(b-c)} f(b) + \frac{a+b}{(c-a)(c-b)} f(c)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{b+c}{(a-b)(a-c)} f(a) + \frac{a+c}{(b-a)(b-c)} f(b) + \frac{a+b}{(c-a)(c-b)} f(c)$$

$$Z = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(b^2 - e^2) f(a) + (c^2 - a^2) f(b) + (a^2 - b^2) f(c)}{(b - c) f(a) + (c - a) f(b) + (a - b) f(c)}$$

We start with an initial bracket (90, 60, co). Then, at each step we calculate & given @ and compare f(z) with f(b): if f(b) < f(z), then the new bracket will be (4, b, z), otherwise, the new bracket will be (b, z, c). Repeat this until (c-a) < tol.

[4.5.] We want to find any min x f(x), where H(f) is positive definite everywhere

· M symmetric positive definite => MT=M

We use Gradient Descent for any miny f (My) to generate (yo, y1, ..., yn), then recover

(a)
$$\frac{q\bar{A}}{qt(M\bar{A})}(\bar{A}^{n}) = \frac{q\bar{A}}{q(t\circ \bar{B})}(\bar{A}^{n}) = \underline{J(\bar{B})}_{\perp}(\frac{q\bar{x}}{qt}\circ \bar{B}(\bar{A}^{n})) = \underline{J(M\bar{A})}_{\perp} \cdot \frac{q\bar{x}}{qt}(\bar{x}^{n}) = \underline{M}\frac{q\bar{x}}{qt}(\bar{x}^{n})$$

$$\bar{M}_{\perp} = M\bar{A}^{n}$$

Pontial Chain Rule

Using Gradient Descent for any ming
$$f(My)$$
, we get:

$$\frac{J_{M+1} = J_{M} - \alpha_{M}}{dy} \frac{df(My)}{dy} (y_{M})$$

M. $M^{-1} \times_{M+1} = M^{-1} \times_{M} - \alpha_{M} M \frac{df}{dx} (x_{M})$

$$\times_{M+1} = X_{M} - \alpha_{M} M^{-2} \frac{df}{dx} (x_{M}) \otimes \otimes \times_{M+1} = X_{M} - \alpha_{M} M^{-2} \frac{df}{dx} (x_{M}) \otimes \otimes \times_{M+1} = X_{M} - \alpha_{M} M^{-2} \frac{df}{dx} (x_{M}) \otimes \otimes \times_{M+1} = J \left(\frac{df}{dx} \circ \frac{g}{2} \right) J_{M} - J \left(\frac{df}{dx} \circ \frac{g}{2} \right) \left(y_{M} \right) = J \left(J \left(\frac{g}{2} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{df}{dx} \circ \frac{g}{2} \right) J_{M} - J \left(\frac{df}{dx} \circ \frac{g}{2} \right) \left(y_{M} \right) \cdot J \left(\frac{g}{2} \right) = M \cdot J \left(\frac{df}{dx} \circ \frac{g}{2} \right) J_{M} - M \cdot J \left(\frac{df}{dx} \circ \frac{g}{2} \right) J_{M} - M \cdot J \left(\frac{df}{dx} \circ \frac{g}{2} \right) J_{M} - M \cdot J \left(\frac{g}{dx} \circ \frac{g}{2} \right) J_{M} - J \left(\frac{g}{dx} \circ \frac{g}{2} \right) J_{M} -$$

observe that they are equivalent!

As H(+)(Ko) is symmetric => Q==QT => MT = (Q-10-Q)T = QT(0-1)T(Q-1)T = Q-10-Q=>

=) M is symmetric

[5.6.] The guadratic function g(a) interpolates the points (o, f(xn)) and (a', f(xn+a'd)) and satisfies $\frac{dg}{d\alpha}(0) = \frac{df(X_0 + \alpha d)}{d\alpha}(0)$ $\frac{df(x_n+\alpha d)}{d\alpha}(0) = \left(\frac{df}{dx} \circ (x_n+\alpha d)\right) \left(\frac{d(x_n+\alpha d)}{\alpha}\right)(0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \cdot d$

$$g(\alpha) = A x^{2} + B \alpha + C$$
We have $g(0) = f(\underline{x}\underline{n}) \Rightarrow C = f(\underline{x}\underline{n})$

$$g(\alpha') = A \alpha'^{2} + B \alpha' + f(\underline{x}\underline{n}) = f(\underline{x}\underline{n} + \alpha \underline{d})$$
and
$$\frac{dg}{d\alpha}(0) = g\underline{n}\underline{d} \Rightarrow 2\alpha A + B\Big|_{\alpha=0} = g\underline{n}\underline{d} \Rightarrow B = g\underline{n}\underline{d}$$
Why is this a good choice?

· Why is this a good choice?

If we want to be able to choose how much we want to go in a direction, we need to approximate the function $f(x_n + rd)$ with a quadratic function g. It's important that g agrees with f(xu+xd) at the two points = 0 (when we make no progress) and x= a) (the pudefined From the previous step (we can't go more than that)).

Also, as we approach the minimum, g will have a smaller codomain and the minimum of g will become closer and closer to o (as we will get f(xs) olsen to the minimum), so we need to have the mon as small as possible (from Taylon's theorem, the mon will be the team with degree=2), so we choose $\frac{dg}{dx}(0) = \frac{df(x_0 + x_0 d)}{dx}(0)$.

. What should be the conditions on d and lor a??

First, we need that [A>0] to be sure that on is a minimum (and not a maximum). So: f(xn + xd) - f(xn) - a'ghd >o. Second, we need to have a discent direction, so We need grdn <0 => Bco

Finally, we have the next step length is an , which is the minimum of g, but if we get an an > ~', we basically "undo" what we did at the previous step, so we want the minimum to fall between o and a', so we need that $\frac{dg}{dx}$ (0) <0 and $\frac{dg}{dx}$ (x')>0, so that we have an an with dy (an)=0: B <0-already established and

· Find a formula for orn:

$$\frac{dg}{d\alpha}(\alpha_n) = 0 \iff 2\alpha_n A + B = 0 \iff \alpha_n = -\frac{B}{2A} = -\frac{\alpha^{12}g_n^Td}{f(x_n + \alpha d) - f(x_n) - \alpha^{1}g_n^Td}$$

4.7. f: Rd - 1Rm, mod => finding well s.t. f(w) is as close as possible to o is an oundatermined system.

We will find any min w l(w), where l(w)= ||f(w)||2= f(w)Tf(w)

(a)
$$\frac{dl}{dw} = \frac{d \|f(\underline{w})\|^2}{dw} = J(\underline{f})^T(z.\underline{f}) = 2J(\underline{f})\underline{f}$$

We approximate H(l) by $2J(f)^TJ(f)$ as we have here we approximate J(f) to be a constant with $H(l) = J(\frac{dl}{dw})^{T} = J(J(f) \cdot 2f) = 2J(J(f)f) = 2J(f)^{T}J(f)$

We fix the step length of the quasi-Newton iterative step to 1=> on=1.

From Newton's method we have

$$\Delta w = - (H(\ell)(\underline{w}_n))^{-1} \frac{d\ell}{dw} (\underline{w}_n)$$

And We can approximate $H(l)(\underline{wn})$ by $2J(\underline{f})^TJ(\underline{f})$:

$$- \neq J(\underline{f})^T J(\underline{f}) \wedge \underline{w} = \neq J(\underline{f}) \underline{f}$$

$$- J(\underline{f})^{\mathsf{T}} J(\underline{f}) \Delta \underline{\mathsf{W}} = J(\underline{f}) \underline{f}$$

Linear regussion: f(w) = Xw-y, x & Rmxd and y & Rm.

(b) To find the minimum of f, we use I and find it's any min:

$$\{(\bar{M}) = \|\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\bar{M})\|_{5} = (\bar{X}\bar{M} - \bar{A})_{\perp}(\bar{X}\bar{M} - \bar{A}) = \bar{M}_{\perp}\bar{X}_{\perp}\bar{X}\bar{M} - \bar{A}_{\perp}\bar{X}\bar{A} + \bar{A}_{\perp}\bar{A}$$

 $\frac{d\ell}{dw} = 2X^{T}X \underline{w} - X^{T}\underline{y} - X^{T}\underline{y} = 2X^{T}(X\underline{w} - \underline{y})$

We need a minimum for this, so

$$X_{\perp} X \overline{M} = X_{\perp} \overline{A} \Rightarrow \overline{M} = (X_{\perp} X)_{\perp} X_{\perp} \overline{A} \otimes \overline{A} = (\overline{X}_{\perp} X)_{\perp} X_{\perp} \overline{A} \otimes \overline{A} \otimes$$

The answer needs (to be well-defined) that XTX is mon-singular (otherwise we have nfinitely many stationary points, but we only want the minimum of l).

In order for us to determine that this solution is a minimum, we need to show not the Hessian of l in wo is positive definite (wo is the solution from @)

$$H(l)(\underline{w_0}) = J(\frac{dl}{d\underline{w}})(\underline{w_0}) = J(\frac{dl}{d\underline{w}})^T(\underline{w_0}) = J(zJ(f)^Tf)(\underline{w_0}) = J(z(x\underline{w} - \underline{y})^T(x\underline{w} - \underline{y}))(\underline{w})$$

$$2J(x^T(x\underline{w} - \underline{y})) = zx^Tx$$

We man have for any vector & EIRd:

because the Hessian does not depend on w, we have the Hessian positive semi-definite and

=) I is a convex function => the stationary point we found is a global minimum.

(c) || w|| < 1 (ridge regursion)

As IIwII>o, we can use IlwII2 < 1 instead.

The constraint is h(W) = 1- ||W||^2>0

We want to find the stationary points of

$$\frac{d\ell}{dw} = \mu \frac{dh}{dw}$$

$$2 \times^{T} (X \underline{w} - \underline{y}) = \mu \frac{d (\underline{w}^{T} \underline{w})}{d \underline{w}} = \mu \cdot 2 \underline{w}$$

$$\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{Y}$$

$$(x^Tx - \mu i) \underline{w} = x^T\underline{y}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{Y}} = (\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y} \mathbf{i})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}$$

The formula is well-defined because for some u as the region is IIxII<1, which means that it is a closed region, where we have a minimum that is finite. On the contain we have the region as a circle, so the minimum is inside it or on the margin.