IP Lecture 3: More on Invariants

Joe Pitt-Francis

—with thanks to Mike Spivey & Gavin Lowe—

A utility function for adding up milk

```
/** Calculate sum of a
  * Post: returns sum(a) */
def findSum(a : Array[Int]) : Int = {
  val n = a.size
  var total = 0; var i = 0
  // Invariant I: total = sum(a[0..i)) && 0<=i<=n
 while(i < n){</pre>
   // I && i<n
    total += a(i)
   // total = sum(a[0..i+1)) && i<n
    i += 1
    // I
  // I && i=n
  // total = sum(a[0..n))
  total
```

The main program

We want to be able to provide the arguments on the command line, e.g.

> scala Milk 3 1 4 0 3

When extra arguments are provided on the command line, they are copied into the parameter args of the main function

```
def main(args : Array[String])
```

Note that these are Strings; we will need to convert them into Ints in order to use findSum. If s is a String then s.toInt gives the Int that it represents (if it does represent an Int).

The main function

Here's some code that (1) calculates the size of args; (2) initialises a new array a of Ints of the same size; (3) converts each element of args into an Int and copies it into a; (4) calls findSum on a.

```
def main(args: Array[String]) = {
  val n = args.size
  val a = new Array[Int](n)
  for(i <- 0 until n) a(i) = args(i).toInt
  println(findSum(a))
}</pre>
```

for loops

The for-loop for(x <- xs) body executes body, where x takes each value in the sequence xs in turn.

0 until n creates the sequence of numbers from 0 to n-1, inclusive, which is sometimes written as [0..n).

Similarly, 0 to n creates the sequence of numbers from 0 to n, inclusive.

We could have written the main loop of the findSum function as

```
for(i <- 0 until n) total += a(i)</pre>
```

and the main loop of the fact function as

```
for(i <- 1 to n) f *= i</pre>
```

for loops versus while loops

The for loop

```
for(i <- a until b) Body</pre>
```

is equivalent to

```
var i = a
while(i<b){ Body; i = i+1 }</pre>
```

assuming Body doesn't change i.

Invariants for for loops

Going the other way, using invariant $I \wedge a \leq i \leq b$:

(where I[x/i] means I with x substituted for i) is equivalent to

Invariants are easier to reason about using while loops than for loops, so we will tend to favour while loops from now on (except for trivial loops). Also, while loops are normally more efficient than for loops.

Using map and anonymous functions

Recall the code

```
val n = args.size
val a = new Array[Int](n)
for(i <- 0 until n) a(i) = args(i).toInt</pre>
```

This should remind you of map from functional programming; Scala has a map operation over arrays, so we could have written this as

```
val a = args.map(_.toInt)
```

Here, "_.toInt" is the function that applies toInt to its argument; the underscore represents a "hole" where the argument is inserted. We could also have written this as x => x.toInt.

When using either of these notations for anonymous functions, it is sometimes necessary to provide the type of the argument, e.g. ((_:String).toInt) or ((x:String) => x.toInt).

Using map

If xs is an array, then xs.map(f) gives back a new array resulting from applying f to each element of xs.

Here we want to apply map with the function that, given string s, returns s.toInt. We can write this function anonymously as either (s => s.toInt) or as (_.toInt).

Here's a slicker version of main:

```
def main(args : Array[String]) = {
  val a = args.map(_.toInt)
  println(findSum(a))
}
```

or even (perhaps less clearly):

```
def main(args : Array[String]) = println(findSum(args.map(_.toInt)))
```

Exponentiation

We will develop three programs to calculate $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}}$ (i.e. $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}}$), where \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{n} are supplied by the user.

- We'll take x to be a Double, i.e. a 64-bit floating point number;
- We'll take n to be a non-negative Long, i.e. a 64-bit integer (so we can experiment with large values of n).

A recursive definition

Here's a straightforward recursive definition.

```
/** Calculate x^n
  * Pre: n >= 0
  * Post: returns x^n */
def exp(x: Double, n: Long) : Double =
  if(n==0) 1 else x*exp(x,n-1)
```

How much time does exp take?

How much space does exp take?

Getting the arguments

We will arrange for the user to supply the arguments on the command line, typing, for example scala RecExp 1.34 45

We expect there to be precisely two arguments, i.e. args.length==2.

We can convert the arguments to the correct type as:

```
val x = args(0).toDouble
val n = args(1).toLong
```

We expect **n** to be non-negative.

The complete program

```
object RecExp{
  /** Calculate x^n
    * Pre: n >= 0
    * Post: returns x^n */
 def exp(x: Double, n: Long) : Double =
    if (n==0) 1 else x*exp(x,n-1)
  def main(args: Array[String]) = {
    if(args.length != 2) println("Usage: scala RecExp x n")
    else{
      val x = args(0).toDouble
      val n = args(1).toLong
      if(n \ge 0) println(x + \|^n + n + \| = \| + \exp(x, n))
      else println("Error: second argument should be non-negative")
```

An iterative definition

```
/** Calculate x^n
  * Pre: n >= 0
  * Post: returns x^n */
def exp(x: Double, n: Long) : Double = {
  require(n>=0)
  // Invariant I: y = x^i && i <= n
 var y = 1.0; var i = 0 // I established
  while(i<n){
    y = y*x; i = i+1
 // I && i=n, so y=x^n
```

Correctness

Remember the rules for proving correctness using an invariant I.

```
// pre
Init
// I
while(test){
    // I && test
    Body
    // I
}
// I && not test
// post
```

We need to check:

- Init establishes I, assuming pre;
- Body maintains I;
- I && not test implies post;

And to identify a variant v such that

- v is integer valued, assuming the invariant;
- v is at least 0, assuming the invariant;
- v is decreased at each iteration.

Why do these hold here?

Patterns of invariants

Here are the postconditions and invariants we've seen so far

Program	Postcondition	Invariant
fact	f = n!	f = i! && i <= n
findSum	total = $\sum a[0n)$	total = $\sum a[0i) \&\& 0 \le i \le n$
exp	y = x^n	y = x^i && i <= n

Spot the pattern?

Complexity

How much time does exp take?

How much space does exp take?

Towards a faster version

We will develop a faster version based on the invariant

$$I = y * z^k = x^n \land 0 \le k \le n$$

with variant k.

We can establish the invariant using

```
var y = 1.0; var z = x; var k = n
```

We want a loop of the form

```
while(k>0){
    // I && k>0
    ...
    // I
}
// I && k=0, so y=x^n
y
```

The main loop

Our code will test if k is even, using the test if (k\%2 == 0).

If k is even, we can calculate as follows:

```
y * z^k = y * z^2 (2 * k/2)
= y * (z^2)^2 (k/2)
= y * (z*z)^2 (k/2)
```

which shows that the code

```
z = z*z; k = k/2
```

maintains the invariant.

The main loop

If k is odd, we can calculate as follows:

```
y * z^k = y * z^(1 + 2 * k div 2)
= y * (z * (z^2)^ (k div 2))
= (y * z) * (z*z)^ (k div 2)
```

which shows that the code

```
y = y*z; z = z*z; k = (k-1)/2
```

maintains the invariant.

(The "/" here is integer division, i.e. div, so we could have set k = k/2.)

Fast exponentiation

```
/** Calculate x^n.
  * Pre: n >= 0
  * Post: returns x^n */
def exp(x:Double, n:Long) : Double = {
  require(n>=0)
  // Invariant I: y * z^k = x^n & 0 \le k \le n. Variant: k
  var y = 1.0; var z = x; var k = n
  while(k>0){
    if(k%2==0){
      z = z*z; k = k/2
    else{
      y = y*z; z = z*z; k = k/2
  // I and k==0 \Rightarrow y = x^n
```

Complexity

How much time does exp take?

How much space does exp take?

Summary

- Command line arguments;
- for loops;
- map and anonymous functions;
- A pattern for invariants: replace a constant with a variable;
- Comparing three different programs for exponentiation.
- Next time: Testing.