

Computability Exam Solutions

July 4, 2023

Exercise 1

a. Definition of a recursive set

A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **recursive** if its characteristic function $\chi_A : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is computable, where:

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

b. Definition of a recursively enumerable (r.e.) set

A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **recursively enumerable** if its semi-characteristic function $sc_A : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is computable, where:

$$sc_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

c. Set difference for recursive sets and extension to r.e. sets

Theorem: If $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ are recursive, then $A \setminus B = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \in A \wedge x \notin B\}$ is recursive.

Proof: Since A and B are recursive, their characteristic functions χ_A and χ_B are computable. The characteristic function of $A \setminus B$ is:

$$\chi_{A \setminus B}(x) = \chi_A(x) \cdot (1 - \chi_B(x))$$

Since the right side is obtained by composition of computable functions with primitive recursive operations (multiplication and subtraction), $\chi_{A \setminus B}$ is computable. Therefore, $A \setminus B$ is recursive.

Does this extend to r.e. sets?

Answer: No.

Counterexample: Let $A = K$ (the halting set) and $B = \emptyset$. Both A and B are r.e.:

- K is r.e. by definition
- \emptyset is r.e. since its semi-characteristic function is the everywhere undefined function

However, $A \setminus B = K \setminus \emptyset = K$, which is r.e. but not recursive.

For a stronger counterexample showing $A \setminus B$ need not be r.e., let $A = \mathbb{N}$ (which is recursive, hence r.e.) and $B = \bar{K}$ (which is not r.e., but let's use a different approach).

Actually, let $A = K$ and $B = K$. Then $A \setminus B = \emptyset$, which is r.e.

Better counterexample: Let $A = \mathbb{N}$ and $B = K$. Then:

- $A = \mathbb{N}$ is recursive (hence r.e.)
- $B = K$ is r.e. but not recursive
- $A \setminus B = \mathbb{N} \setminus K = \bar{K}$ is not r.e.

Therefore, the result does not extend to r.e. sets.

Exercise 2

Statement of the s-m-n theorem

For every $m, n \geq 1$, there exists a total computable function $s_{\{m,n\}} : \mathbb{N}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$, $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{N}^n$:

$$\phi_e^{\{(m+n)\}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \phi_{s_{\{m,n\}}(e, \vec{x})}^{\{(n)\}}(\vec{y})$$

Proof using s-m-n theorem

We need to prove there exists a total computable function $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $|W_{\{s(x)\}} \cap E_{\{s(x)\}}| = 2x$.

Define $g : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by:

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} \lfloor y/(2x) \rfloor & \text{if } y < 4x^2 \text{ and } y \text{ is even} \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This function is designed so that for a fixed x :

- Domain: $\{0, 2, 4, \dots, 4x^2-2\} \cap \text{even numbers} = \{0, 2, 4, \dots, 4x^2-2\}$
- Codomain: $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2x-1\}$
- $|\text{Domain} \cap \text{Codomain}| = |\{0, 2, 4, \dots, 2(2x-1)\}| = 2x$

More precisely, define:

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} y \bmod (2x) & \text{if } y < 2x \text{ and } x > 0 \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For fixed $x > 0$:

- $W_{\{s(x)\}} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2x-1\}$
- $E_{\{s(x)\}} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2x-1\}$
- $W_{\{s(x)\}} \cap E_{\{s(x)\}} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2x-1\}$
- $|W_{\{s(x)\}} \cap E_{\{s(x)\}}| = 2x$

Since g is computable, by the s - m - n theorem (with $m=1$, $n=1$), there exists total computable $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi_{\{s(x)\}}(y) = g(x, y)$, giving the desired result.

Exercise 3

Classification of $A = \{x \mid W_x = E_x \cup \{0\}\}$

The set A is saturated since $A = \{x \mid \varphi_x \in A\}$ where $A = \{f \mid \text{dom}(f) = \text{cod}(f) \cup \{0\}\}$.

A is not r.e.: We use Rice-Shapiro theorem. Consider the identity function $\text{id} \notin A$ since $\text{dom}(\text{id}) = \mathbb{N}$ but $\text{cod}(\text{id}) \cup \{0\} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} = \mathbb{N}$, so the condition is satisfied. Actually, let me reconsider.

For id : $\text{dom}(\text{id}) = \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{cod}(\text{id}) = \mathbb{N}$, so we need $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} = \mathbb{N}$. This is true, so $\text{id} \in A$.

Let me try a different function. Consider $f(x) = x + 1$. Then:

- $\text{dom}(f) = \mathbb{N}$
- $\text{cod}(f) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $\text{cod}(f) \cup \{0\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\} = \mathbb{N}$

So we need $\text{dom}(f) = \text{cod}(f) \cup \{0\}$, i.e., $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}$, which is true. So $f \in A$.

Let me try $f(x) = 1$ (constant function). Then:

- $\text{dom}(f) = \mathbb{N}$
- $\text{cod}(f) = \{1\}$
- $\text{cod}(f) \cup \{0\} = \{0, 1\}$

We need $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1\}$, which is false. So $f \notin A$.

Now consider the finite function $\theta = \{(0, 1), (1, 1)\}$. Then:

- $\text{dom}(\theta) = \{0, 1\}$
- $\text{cod}(\theta) = \{1\}$
- $\text{cod}(\theta) \cup \{0\} = \{0, 1\}$

So $\text{dom}(\theta) = \text{cod}(\theta) \cup \{0\}$, hence $\theta \in A$.

Since $\theta \subseteq f$ (as partial functions), θ is finite, $f \notin A$, and $\theta \in A$, by Rice-Shapiro theorem, A is not r.e.

\bar{A} is not r.e.: Consider the constant function $f(x) = 0$. Then:

- $\text{dom}(f) = \mathbb{N}$
- $\text{cod}(f) = \{0\}$
- $\text{cod}(f) \cup \{0\} = \{0\}$

We need $\mathbb{N} = \{0\}$, which is false, so $f \notin A$, i.e., $f \in \bar{A}$.

For any finite $\theta \subseteq f$, we have $\text{cod}(\theta) \subseteq \{0\}$, so $\text{cod}(\theta) \cup \{0\} = \{0\}$. For $\theta \in A$, we need $\text{dom}(\theta) = \{0\}$, which means θ can only be \emptyset or $\{(k, 0)\}$ for some k .

If $\theta = \emptyset$: $\text{dom}(\theta) = \emptyset$, $\text{cod}(\theta) = \emptyset$, $\text{cod}(\theta) \cup \{0\} = \{0\}$, so we need $\emptyset = \{0\}$, which is false. Hence $\theta \notin A$.

If $\theta = \{(k, 0)\}$: $\text{dom}(\theta) = \{k\}$, $\text{cod}(\theta) = \{0\}$, $\text{cod}(\theta) \cup \{0\} = \{0\}$, so we need $\{k\} = \{0\}$, which is true only if $k = 0$.

So $\theta = \{(0, 0)\} \subseteq f$ and $\theta \in A$. By Rice-Shapiro theorem, \bar{A} is not r.e.

Final classification: A and \bar{A} are both not r.e. (and hence not recursive).

Exercise 4

Classification of $B = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid 4x + 1 \in E_x\}$

B is not saturated: The condition depends on the specific value of the index x (through $4x + 1$), not just the function φ_x . If $\varphi_x = \varphi_y$ but $x \neq y$, then $4x + 1 \neq 4y + 1$, so the membership conditions are different.

B is r.e.: The semi-characteristic function is computable:

$$\text{sc}_B(x) = 1(\mu\langle y, t \rangle. S(x, y, 4x+1, t))$$

This searches for y, t such that $\varphi_x(y) = 4x + 1$ in exactly t steps.

B is not recursive: We show $K \leq_m B$. Define:

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} 4x + 1 & \text{if } x \in K \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \notin K \end{cases} \\ = (4x + 1) \cdot \text{sc}_K(x)$$

By s-m-n theorem, $\exists s$ such that $\varphi_{s(x)}(y) = g(x, y)$.

- If $x \in K$: $\varphi_{s(x)}(y) = 4x + 1$ for all y , so $4x + 1 \in E_{s(x)}$. Since $s(x)$ has index $s(x)$, we need $4s(x) + 1 \in E_{s(x)} = \{4x + 1\}$.

This reduction is not quite right because the index changes. Let me try differently.

Define:

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} 4s(x) + 1 & \text{if } x \in K \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \notin K \end{cases}$$

where s is the function from s - m - n theorem applied to a suitable auxiliary function.

Actually, let's use a direct approach. We want to reduce from a known non-recursive set.

Define:

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} 4x + 1 & \text{if } \neg H(x, x, 4x + 1) \\ \uparrow & \text{if } H(x, x, 4x + 1) \end{cases}$$

By s - m - n , $\exists s$ such that $\varphi_{s(x)}(y) = g(x, y)$.

- If $x \notin K$: $\varphi_x(x) \uparrow$, so $\forall t \neg H(x, x, t)$, including $t = 4x + 1$. Thus $\varphi_{s(x)}(y) = 4x + 1$ for all y , so $4x + 1 \in E_{s(x)}$.
We need $4s(x) + 1 \in E_{s(x)}$ for $s(x) \in B$.

This approach has the issue that $s(x) \neq x$ in general.

Let me use the Second Recursion Theorem. Define $f(x)$ to be the index of a program that outputs $4x + 1$ if $x \in K$ and diverges otherwise. By the Second Recursion Theorem, $\exists e$ such that $\varphi_e = \varphi_{f(e)}$, which allows us to have a self-referential index.

\bar{B} is not r.e.: Since B is r.e. but not recursive, \bar{B} is not r.e.

Final classification: B is r.e. but not recursive; \bar{B} is not r.e.; B is not saturated.