

Computability Exam Solutions

July 13, 2010

Exercise 1

Definition of Unbounded Minimization and Closure Properties

Definition: Given a function $f : \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, the unbounded minimization operation $\mu y.f(\vec{x}, y)$ produces a function $g : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by:

$$g(\vec{x}) = \mu y.f(\vec{x}, y) = \begin{cases} \text{the least } y \text{ such that } f(\vec{x}, y) = 0 & \text{if such } y \text{ exists} \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof that computable functions are closed under unbounded minimization

Let $f : \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be computable, and define $g(\vec{x}) = \mu y.f(\vec{x}, y)$.

Since f is computable, there exists a URM program P_f that computes f .

Algorithm to compute g :

```
On input  $\vec{x}$ :  
1. Initialize  $y = 0$   
2. Loop:  
   a. Compute  $f(\vec{x}, y)$  using program  $P_f$   
   b. If  $f(\vec{x}, y) = 0$ , return  $y$  and halt  
   c. Otherwise, increment  $y$  and continue
```

This algorithm terminates and returns the correct value if $\exists y$ such that $f(\vec{x}, y) = 0$, and diverges otherwise (which is the correct behavior for μ).

Since the algorithm uses only computable operations, g is computable.

Are total computable functions closed under minimization?

Answer: No.

Counterexample: Define $f : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by:

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in K \text{ and } y = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where K is the halting set.

The function f is total and computable since:

- We can check if $y = 0$ (decidable)
- We can semi-decide if $x \in K$, and if it fails, return 1
- If $x \in K$ and $y = 0$, return 0

Now consider $g(x) = \mu y.f(x,y)$:

```
g(x) = {  
  0  if x ∈ K  
  ↑  if x ∉ K  
}
```

The function g is partial (not total) since it diverges for $x \notin K$.

Therefore, applying minimization to a total computable function can yield a partial function, so total computable functions are not closed under unbounded minimization.

Exercise 2

Question: Does there exist a non-computable f such that $D = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid f(x) \neq \varphi_x(x)\}$ is finite?

Answer: No, such a function cannot exist.

Proof:

Suppose f is non-computable and $D = \{x : f(x) \neq \varphi_x(x)\}$ is finite.

Let $D = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k\}$ be the finite set where f differs from the diagonal.

For all $x \notin D$, we have $f(x) = \varphi_x(x)$.

Construction of computable function agreeing with f :

Define $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by:

```
g(x) = {  
  f(di)      if x = di for some i ∈ {1, ..., k}  
  φx(x)      if x ∉ D  
}
```

Since D is finite, we can hardcode the values $f(d_1), \dots, f(d_k)$. For $x \notin D$, we compute $\varphi_x(x)$.

Analysis:

- $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in D$ (by construction)
- $g(x) = \varphi_x(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \notin D$ (since $f(x) = \varphi_x(x)$ when $x \notin D$)

Therefore $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$, so $g = f$.

Computability of g: For any input x :

1. Check if $x \in \{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$ (decidable since D is finite)
2. If yes, return the precomputed value $f(d_i)$
3. If no, compute and return $\varphi_x(x)$

The function g is computable since:

- Membership in finite sets is decidable
- $\varphi_x(x)$ is computable (universal function)
- Finite case analysis is computable

This contradicts the assumption that f is non-computable.

Therefore, no such non-computable function f can exist.

Exercise 3

Classification of $A = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid \varphi_x(y) = x \cdot y \text{ for some } y\}$

A is r.e.:

$$sc_A(x) = 1(\mu(y, t) \cdot S(x, y, x \cdot y, t))$$

This searches for y, t such that $\varphi_x(y) = x \cdot y$ in exactly t steps.

A is not recursive: We can show this using Rice's theorem or by reduction. The set A is saturated since it expresses a property of functions: "the function outputs $x \cdot y$ for some input y ."

By Rice's theorem, since A is saturated and non-trivial ($A \neq \emptyset$ and $A \neq \mathbb{N}$), A is not recursive.

To see $A \neq \emptyset$: The function $\varphi_e(y) = e \cdot y$ (multiplication by constant e) satisfies $\varphi_e(1) = e \cdot 1 = e$, so $e \in A$.

To see $A \neq \mathbb{N}$: The everywhere undefined function \emptyset cannot output anything, so its index is not in A .

\bar{A} is not r.e.: Since A is r.e. but not recursive, \bar{A} is not r.e.

Final classification: A is r.e. but not recursive; \bar{A} is not r.e.

Exercise 4

Classification of $B = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : E_x \not\subseteq W_x\}$

This is equivalent to $B = \{x : \exists y \in E_x \text{ such that } y \notin W_x\}$.

B is r.e.:

$$sc_B(x) = 1(\mu(y, z, t, s) \cdot S(x, z, y, t) \wedge \forall u \leq s \neg H(x, y, u))$$

This searches for evidence that some $y \in E_x$ is not in W_x (by finding y in the codomain but not finding it in the domain within some time bound).

Actually, this is tricky because proving $y \notin W_x$ requires showing φ_x never halts on y , which is undecidable.

B is not r.e.: The condition $E_x \not\subseteq W_x$ requires proving that some element of E_x never appears in W_x , which involves proving non-termination.

We can show $\bar{K} \leq_m \bar{B}$. If $x \notin K$, then we can construct an index where $E_x \subseteq W_x$. If $x \in K$, we can construct an index where $E_x \not\subseteq W_x$.

\bar{B} is r.e.: $\bar{B} = \{x : E_x \subseteq W_x\}$ can be semi-decided by verifying that every element that appears in E_x eventually appears in W_x .

$$sc\bar{B}(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\forall y (\exists z, s \leq t \ S(x, z, y, s) \rightarrow \exists u \leq t \ H(x, y, u))]$$

Final classification: B is not r.e.; \bar{B} is r.e. but not recursive.

Exercise 5

Theorem: $A \neq \emptyset$ is r.e. $\iff \exists f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\text{dom}(f) = \text{Pr}$ and $\text{img}(f) = A$

where Pr is the set of prime numbers.

Proof:

(\Rightarrow) If A is r.e. and $A \neq \emptyset$, then such f exists

Since A is r.e., there exists a computable enumeration $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ (possibly with repetitions).

Since Pr is infinite and $A \neq \emptyset$, we can define $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g(\pi^{-1}(x)) & \text{if } x \in \text{Pr, where } \pi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Pr} \text{ is the enumeration of primes} \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \notin \text{Pr} \end{cases}$$

More precisely:

- Let p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots be the enumeration of primes
- Let $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the function with $h(p_i) = i$ and $h(x)$ undefined for non-primes
- Define $f(x) = g(h(x))$

Then $\text{dom}(f) = \text{Pr}$ and $\text{img}(f) = \text{img}(g) = A$.

Since membership in Pr is decidable and g is computable, f is computable.

(\Leftarrow) If such f exists, then A is r.e.

Given $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\text{dom}(f) = \text{Pr}$ and $\text{img}(f) = A$, we have $A = \text{img}(f)$.

Since f is computable (we can check if $x \in \text{Pr}$ and compute $f(x)$ if so), and A is the image of a computable function, A is r.e.

Conclusion: $A \neq \emptyset$ is r.e. $\iff \exists$ computable f with $\text{dom}(f) = \text{Pr}$ and $\text{img}(f) = A$.