# **Computability Exam Solutions**

## **April 3, 2012**

### **Exercise 1**

### Definition of $A \leq_m B$ (many-one reducibility)

Given sets A, B  $\subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , we say that A  $\leq_m$  B (A is many-one reducible to B) if there exists a total computable function f :  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$x \in A \iff f(x) \in B$$

### a. Proof of transitivity: If $A \leq_m B$ and $B \leq_m C$ then $A \leq_m C$

Since  $A \leq_m B$ ,  $\exists$  total computable  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall x : x \in A \iff f(x) \in B$ .

Since  $B \leq_m C$ ,  $\exists$  total computable  $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall y : y \in B \iff g(y) \in C$ .

Define  $h = g \circ f$ . Since f and g are total and computable, h is total and computable.

For any  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$x \in A \iff f(x) \in B \iff g(f(x)) \in C \iff h(x) \in C$$

Therefore  $A \leq_m C$  via reduction function h.

### b. Proof: If $A \neq \mathbb{N}$ then $\emptyset \leq_m A$

Since  $A \neq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists a \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a \notin A$ .

Define  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  by f(x) = a for all x.

Since f is the constant function with value a, f is total and computable.

For any  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$x \in \emptyset \iff False \iff a \notin A \iff f(x) \notin A$$

Therefore  $\emptyset \leq_m \bar{A}$  via f. But we want  $\emptyset \leq_m A$ .

Let me reconsider. We want f such that  $x \in \emptyset \iff f(x) \in A$ . Since  $\emptyset$  is empty, we need  $f(x) \notin A$  for all x.

Since  $A \neq \mathbb{N}$ , pick any  $a \notin A$ . Define f(x) = a. Then:

$$x \in \emptyset \iff False \iff a \notin A \iff f(x) \notin A$$

This gives  $\emptyset \leq_m \overline{A}$ , not A.

Actually, for  $\emptyset \le_m A$  to hold, we need:  $x \in \emptyset \iff f(x) \in A$ . Since no x is in  $\emptyset$ , we need  $f(x) \notin A$  for all x. This is possible when  $A \ne \mathbb{N}$ .

Wait, let me think again. We have  $\emptyset$  = the empty set. For  $\emptyset \le_m A$ , we need a function f such that:  $x \in \emptyset \iff f(x) \in A$ 

Since  $x \in \emptyset$  is always false, we need  $f(x) \in A$  to be always false, i.e.,  $f(x) \notin A$  for all x.

Since  $A \neq \mathbb{N}$ , there exists some element  $a \notin A$ . Define f(x) = a for all x. Then  $f(x) \notin A$  for all x, so the equivalence holds.

Therefore  $\emptyset \leq_m A$ .

#### **Exercise 2**

Question: Does there exist a quasi-total computable function  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f \subseteq \chi_k$ ?

A function f is quasi-total if it is undefined on a finite set of points.

Answer: No, such a function cannot exist.

**Proof:** Suppose f is quasi-total, computable, and  $f \subseteq \chi_k$ . Since f is quasi-total,  $\exists$  finite set F such that f is defined on  $\mathbb{N} \setminus F$ .

Since  $f \subseteq \chi_k$ , whenever f(x) is defined,  $f(x) = \chi_k(x)$ .

This means that for all  $x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F$ , we can compute  $\chi_k(x) = f(x)$ .

Now consider the algorithm:

```
For input x:
   if x ∈ F:
     // F is finite, so membership is decidable
     compute χ<sub>κ</sub>(x) by brute force (check if φ<sub>x</sub>(x) ↓)
   else:
    return f(x) = χ<sub>κ</sub>(x)
```

This would give us a total algorithm for computing  $\chi_{k}$ , contradicting the fact that K is not recursive.

Therefore, no such quasi-total computable function f exists.

### **Exercise 3**

Classification of B =  $\{\pi(x,y) : P_x(x) \downarrow \text{ in less than y steps}\}$ 

B is r.e.:

```
scB(z) = 1(\mu t. let (x,y) = \pi^{-1}(z) in H(x,x,t) \wedge t < y)
```

This searches for evidence that  $P_x(x)$  terminates in fewer than y steps.

#### B is recursive:

```
\chi B(z) = let (x,y) = \pi^{-1}(z) in:

if y = 0 then 0 // no computation terminates in < 0 steps

else \chi H(x,x,y-1) // check if terminates in exactly y-1 steps or fewer
```

Since H is decidable and  $\pi^{-1}$  is computable,  $\chi B$  is computable.

**B** is recursive: Since B is recursive, B is also recursive.

**Final classification:** B and B are both recursive.

#### **Exercise 4**

Classification of A =  $\{x \in \mathbb{N} : \exists k > 0. \ \phi_x \text{ symmetric in } [0,2k]\}$ 

A function f is symmetric in [0,2k] if dom(f)  $\supseteq$  [0,2k] and  $\forall y \in$  [0,k]: f(y) = f(2k-y).

#### A is r.e.:

```
scA(x) = 1(\mu(k,t), k > 0 \land \forall y \le k \forall s \le t [S(x,y,f(y),s) \land S(x,2k-y,f(2k-y),s) \rightarrow f(y) = f(2k-y)])
```

This can be made more precise using the step predicate S to verify that  $\phi_x$  is defined on [0,2k] and satisfies the symmetry condition.

**A is not recursive:** The set A is saturated since it expresses a property of functions. By Rice's theorem, since A is non-trivial (neither empty nor the whole set), A is not recursive.

To see A  $\neq \emptyset$ : The constant function  $\phi_e(x) = 0$  is symmetric in any interval [0,2k]. To see A  $\neq \mathbb{N}$ : The identity function is not symmetric in [0,2] since id(0) = 0  $\neq$  2 = id(2).

Ā is not r.e.: Since A is r.e. but not recursive, Ā is not r.e.

**Final classification:** A is r.e. but not recursive; Ā is not r.e.

#### **Exercise 5**

#### **Second Recursion Theorem**

For every total computable function  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $e_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

```
\phi_{e0} = \phi f(e_0)
```

### Proof that $g(x) = e_0$ if $\phi_x$ total, $e_1$ otherwise is not computable

where  $e_0$  is an index for  $\emptyset$  and  $e_1$  is an index for the constant 1 function.

**Proof:** Suppose g were computable. Define  $h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  by h(x) = g(x).

By the Second Recursion Theorem,  $\exists e$  such that  $\varphi_e = \varphi g(e)$ .

Case 1:  $\phi_e$  is total.

Then g(e) =  $e_{\text{o}}$ , so  $\phi_{\text{e}}$  =  $\phi_{\text{e}\text{0}}$  =  $\varnothing$  (everywhere undefined).

But this contradicts  $\phi_e$  being total.

Case 2:  $\varphi_e$  is not total.

Then  $g(e) = e_1$ , so  $\phi_e = \phi_{e1} = 1$  (constant 1 function).

But the constant 1 function is total, contradicting  $\phi_{\mbox{\tiny e}}$  not being total.

Both cases lead to contradictions, so g cannot be computable.