

Computability Exam Solutions

March 30, 2010

Exercise 1

Definition of saturated set and proof that K is not saturated

Definition: A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is saturated (or extensional) if:

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{N}: (x \in A \wedge \phi_x = \phi_y) \implies y \in A$$

In other words, A is saturated if it expresses a property of functions rather than specific indices.

Proof that K is not saturated:

We'll construct indices e and e' such that $\phi_e = \phi_{e'}$, $e \in K$, but $e' \notin K$.

Step 1: Construct a specific function using Second Recursion Theorem.

Define $g : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by:

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y = x \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This can be written as:

$$g(x, y) = \mu z. |y - x|$$

Since g is computable, by the s-m-n theorem, \exists total computable $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\phi_{s(x)}(y) = g(x, y)$$

By the Second Recursion Theorem, $\exists e$ such that $\phi_e = \phi_{s(e)}$.

Therefore:

$$\phi_e(y) = \phi_{s(e)}(y) = g(e, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y = e \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Step 2: Show $e \in K$. Since $\phi_e(e) = 0 \downarrow$, we have $e \in K$.

Step 3: Find $e' \neq e$ such that $\varphi_e = \varphi_{e'}$. Since there are infinitely many indices for each computable function, $\exists e' \neq e$ such that $\varphi_{e'} = \varphi_e$.

Step 4: Show $e' \notin K$. We have $\varphi_{e'}(e') = \varphi_e(e') \uparrow$ (since $e' \neq e$), so $e' \notin K$.

Conclusion: We have $\varphi_e = \varphi_{e'}$, $e \in K$, but $e' \notin K$. Therefore, K is not saturated.

Exercise 2

Analysis of $f(x) = x+2$ if $\varphi_x(x) \downarrow$, $x \div 1$ otherwise

Answer: The function f is not computable.

Proof:

Suppose f is computable. Then we can decide the halting problem as follows:

Given input x , compute $f(x)$:

- If $f(x) = x + 2$, then $\varphi_x(x) \downarrow$, so $x \in K$
- If $f(x) = x \div 1$, then $\varphi_x(x) \uparrow$, so $x \notin K$

This gives us a decision procedure for $K = \{x : \varphi_x(x) \downarrow\}$.

Verification:

- If $\varphi_x(x) \downarrow$: $f(x) = x + 2 \neq x \div 1$ (since $x + 2 > x \geq x \div 1$)
- If $\varphi_x(x) \uparrow$: $f(x) = x \div 1 \neq x + 2$

So the two cases are distinguishable, making K decidable if f were computable.

Since K is undecidable, f cannot be computable.

Exercise 3

Classification of $A = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : |W_x| > |E_x|\}$

A is not saturated: Consider two functions with the same input-output behavior but different domain/codomain sizes due to internal computation structure. The condition depends on cardinalities which can vary between equivalent functions.

A is r.e.:

$$x \in A \iff |W_x| > |E_x|$$

We can semi-decide this by:

$$scA(x) = 1(\mu t. [\exists \text{ injective } f: E_x \rightarrow W_x \text{ witnessed within } t \text{ steps}])$$

If $|W_x| > |E_x|$, then eventually we'll find enough evidence to establish this inequality.

Actually, let me be more precise. We can enumerate elements of W_x and E_x up to time t , and check if $|W_x \cap [0,t]| > |E_x \cap [0,t]|$. If this becomes true and remains true, then $|W_x| > |E_x|$.

A is not recursive: We can show this is undecidable by reducing from totality or other undecidable problems. The difficulty is that comparing infinite cardinalities requires examining the full extent of both sets.

\bar{A} is not r.e.: Since A is r.e. but not recursive, \bar{A} is not r.e.

Final classification: A is r.e. but not recursive; \bar{A} is not r.e.

Exercise 4

Classification of $B = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : \text{img}(f) \cap E_x \neq \emptyset\}$

where $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a fixed total computable function.

B is r.e.:

$$scB(x) = 1(\mu\langle y, z, t \rangle. y \in \text{img}(f) \wedge S(x, z, y, t))$$

Since f is computable, we can enumerate $\text{img}(f)$ and simultaneously search for elements that appear in both $\text{img}(f)$ and E_x .

More precisely:

$$scB(x) = 1(\mu\langle w, z, t \rangle. S(x, z, f(w), t))$$

This searches for w, z, t such that $\varphi_x(z) = f(w)$, which means $f(w) \in E_x \cap \text{img}(f)$.

B is generally not recursive: The recursiveness depends on the specific function f , but for most f , determining whether E_x intersects with $\text{img}(f)$ is undecidable.

\bar{B} is generally not r.e.: To show $x \in \bar{B}$, we need to prove $\text{img}(f) \cap E_x = \emptyset$, which requires showing that no element of $\text{img}(f)$ ever appears in E_x . This is typically undecidable.

Final classification: B is r.e.; B and \bar{B} are typically not recursive.

Exercise 5

Theorem: $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is recursive $\iff A \leq_m \{0\}$

Proof:

(\Rightarrow) If A is recursive, then $A \leq_m \{0\}$

If A is recursive, then its characteristic function χ_A is computable.

Define the reduction function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 1 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases} \\ = \chi_{\bar{A}}(x)$$

Since A is recursive, \bar{A} is also recursive, so $\chi_{\bar{A}}$ is computable, hence f is computable.

For the reduction property:

$$x \in A \iff f(x) = 0 \iff f(x) \in \{0\}$$

Therefore $A \leq_m \{0\}$ via f .

(\Rightarrow) If $A \leq_m \{0\}$, then A is recursive

Suppose $A \leq_m \{0\}$ via some total computable function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Then:

$$x \in A \iff f(x) \in \{0\} \iff f(x) = 0$$

We can compute the characteristic function of A as:

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(x) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } f(x) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Since f is computable and equality/inequality with 0 is decidable, χ_A is computable.

Therefore A is recursive.

Conclusion: A is recursive $\iff A \leq_m \{0\}$.