

Lessons touched by this meeting according to schedule:

- 12. 25/11/2024
 - Exercises
- 13. 26/11/2024
 - Recursive sets. Reduction. [§7.1, see also §6.1 and §9.1]

DEFINITION 13.1. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *recursive* if its characteristic function

$$\chi_A : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$
$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in A \\ 0 & x \notin A \end{cases}$$

is computable.

In other words, a set is recursive if there exists an algorithm (computable function) that can decide membership in the set - given any $x \in \mathbb{N}$, it can determine in a finite number of steps whether x belongs to A or not.

The notion of recursiveness has several important implications:

1. Decidability: The membership problem " $x \in A$?" for a recursive set A is decidable. An algorithm exists that always terminates and correctly answers yes or no.
2. Closure properties: The class of recursive sets is closed under complement, union and intersection. If A and B are recursive, then so are \bar{A} , $A \cup B$ and $A \cap B$.
3. Simple sets: All finite sets and some easily describable infinite sets like \mathbb{N} itself are recursive. The set of prime numbers is also recursive.

On the other hand, the following sets are not recursive:

(a) $K = \{x \mid x \in W_x\}$, since

$$\chi_K(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in W_x \\ 0 & x \notin W_x \end{cases}$$

is not computable;

(b) $\{x \mid \varphi_x \text{ total}\}$

Another important implication:

Reductions: If $A \leq_m B$ (A many-one reduces to B) and B is recursive, then A is also recursive. Conversely, if A is not recursive and $A \leq_m B$, then B is not recursive either. This allows proving non-recursiveness of sets.

DEFINITION 13.5. Let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. We say that the problem $x \in A$ *reduces* to the problem $x \in B$ (or simply that A reduces to B), written $A \leq_m B$ if there exists a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ computable and total such that, for every $x \in \mathbb{N}$

$$x \in A \iff f(x) \in B$$

In this case, we say that f is the *reduction function*.

Consider an example from the lesson:

EXAMPLE 13.7. $K \leq_m T = \{x \mid \varphi_x \text{ total}\}$

PROOF. We prove that there exists $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ computable and total such that $x \in K \Leftrightarrow s(x) \in T$. In other words

$$x \in W_x \Leftrightarrow \varphi_{f(x)} \text{ is total}$$

To do so, we can define

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in W_x \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which is computable, since

$$g(x, y) = \mathbf{1}(\varphi_x(x)) = \mathbf{1}(\Psi_U(x, x))$$

Then, by the *smn*-theorem we have that there exists $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ computable and total such that

$$\varphi_{s(x)}(y) = g(x, y)$$

and

$$x \in K \Rightarrow x \in W_x \Rightarrow \forall y \varphi_{s(x)}(y) = g(x, y) = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi_{s(x)} \text{ total} \Rightarrow s(x) \in T$$

$$x \notin K \Rightarrow x \notin W_x \Rightarrow \forall y \varphi_{s(x)}(y) = g(x, y) \uparrow \Rightarrow \varphi_{s(x)} \text{ not total} \Rightarrow s(x) \notin T$$

□

Let's jump immediately to related exercises:

Exercise 7.12. Prove that a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is recursive if and only if $A \leq_m \{0\}$.

To prove that a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is recursive if and only if $A \leq_m \{0\}$, we will show both implications.

(\Rightarrow) Assume A is recursive. Then its characteristic function χ_A is computable. Define the reduction function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as $f(x) = 1 - \chi_A(x)$. Clearly, f is computable (composition of computable functions). Now, $x \in A \Leftrightarrow \chi_A(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in \{0\}$. Thus, $A \leq_m \{0\}$ via f .

(\Leftarrow) Assume $A \leq_m \{0\}$ via a computable function f . Then $x \in A \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in \{0\} \Leftrightarrow f(x) = 0$. So we can write $\chi_A(x) = \text{sg}(f(x))$, which is computable. Hence, A is recursive.

Therefore, $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is recursive if and only if $A \leq_m \{0\}$.

The key relationship between decidability/semi-decidability and recursive/recursively enumerable sets can be expressed through characteristic functions and predicates (important for later reasoning)

A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is recursive (decidable) if and only if its characteristic function χ_A is computable:

A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is recursively enumerable (r.e.) or semi-decidable if and only if its semi-characteristic function sc_A is computable:

$$\text{sc}_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

The Structure Theorem for semi-decidable predicates states that $P(\vec{x})$ is semi-decidable if and only if there exists a decidable predicate $Q(t, \vec{x})$ such that:

$$P(\vec{x}) \equiv \exists t. Q(t, \vec{x})$$

This is crucial because it:

1. Characterizes semi-decidable predicates in terms of decidable ones via existential quantification
2. Shows that semi-decidable predicates can be expressed as projections of decidable predicates
3. Leads to the Projection Theorem which states that if $P(x, \vec{y})$ is semi-decidable, then $\exists x. P(x, \vec{y})$ is also semi-decidable

These theorems provide powerful tools for:

- Proving predicates are semi-decidable by expressing them in terms of decidable predicates
- Showing closure properties of semi-decidable predicates under existential quantification
- Understanding the relationship between decidability and semi-decidability
- Constructing new semi-decidable predicates from existing ones

The theorems also help explain why semi-decidable predicates are not closed under complementation and universal quantification, which is key for understanding undecidability results.

Example of usage of such notions:

Exercise (30-06-2020)

Given two functions $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with f total, define predicate $Q_{f,g}(x) = "f(x) = g(x)"$. Show that if f and g are computable, then $Q_{f,g}$ is semidecidable. Does the converse hold, so if $Q_{f,g}$ is semidecidable, can we deduce f and g are computable?

Solution

Let f, g be computable functions. Let $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $f = \phi_{e_1}$ and $g = \phi_{e_2}$.

Then $sc_{Q_{f,g}} = \mathbf{1}(\mu w. |f(x) - g(x)|)$ is computable, hence $Q_{f,g}$ is semidecidable.

If $Q_{f,g}$ is semidecidable and let e be an index of semicharacteristic function of Q , namely $\phi_e = sc_{Q_{f,g}}$

We have $f(x) = (\mu w. H(e, x, (w)_1, (w)_2) \vee H(e, y, (w)_1, (w)_3))$ which shows f and g are computable.

Coming back to other stuff:

Exercise 6.29. Is there a total non-computable function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that the function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined, for each $x \in \mathbb{N}$, by $g(x) = f(x) \div x$ is computable? Provide an example or prove that such a function does not exist.

Solution: Consider $f(x) = \chi_K(x)$. Then $f(x) \div x$ is the constant 0 for each $x \geq 1$, therefore computable. \square

Exercise 6.31. Is there a computable function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{dom}(f) = K$ and $\text{cod}(f) = \mathbb{N}$? Justify your answer.

Solution: Yes, it exists. For example, consider $f(x) = \varphi_x(x)$. Clearly $\text{dom}(f) = K$. Furthermore, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if we consider an index of the constant function k we have that $f(e) = \varphi_e(e) = k$. Thus $\text{cod}(f) = \mathbb{N}$.

Alternatively one can define

$$f(x) = (\mu t. H(x, x, t)) - 1$$

Clearly $\text{dom}(f) = K$ since $f(x) \downarrow$ if there exists some t such that $H(x, x, t)$, i.e., if $x \in K$. Furthermore, for each $x \in \mathbb{N}$ just take the program Z_k which consists of $Z(1)$ repeated x times. For the corresponding index $y = \gamma(Z_k)$ we will have $f(y) = k - 1$, which shows that $\text{cod}(f) = \mathbb{N}$. \square

Exercise 3.2(p). State the theorem s-m-n and use it to prove that it exists a total computable function $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $|W_{s(x)}| = 2x$ and $|E_{s(x)}| = x$.

This one is also present inside 2019-09-17 exam.

Given $m, n \geq 1$ there is a total computable function $s_{m,n} : \mathbb{N}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^m, \forall \vec{y} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \forall e \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\phi_e^{(m+n)}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \phi_{s_{m,n}(e, \vec{x})}^{(n)}(\vec{y})$$

Given the domain should be $2x$, we find a function in which we can parametrize a value $< 2x$; given the range is x , it's simply a function which allows us to be defined computably over x . Let's give

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} qt(x, y), & y < 2x \\ \uparrow, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$g(x, y)$ is computable and $sg(y) * qt(x, y) + \mu z. (y + 1 - \cdot 2x)$ is computable itself, hence giving as range x .

By the smn-theorem, there is a computable function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ s. t. $\phi_{s(x)}(y) = g(x, y) \forall x, y \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, for each function:

- $W_x = \{y \mid (g(x, y) \downarrow) = \{y \mid y < 2x\}$
- $E_{k(n)} = \{g(x, y) \mid x \in W_{s(x)}\} = \{qt(2, y) \mid y < 2x\} = \{y + 1 - 2x \mid y + 1 < 2x\} = [0, 2x)$

as desired.

