

Computability Exam Solutions

March 19, 2010

Exercise 1

Second Recursion Theorem (Kleene)

Statement: For every total computable function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, there exists $e_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\phi_{e_0} = \phi f(e_0)$$

Proof:

Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be total and computable.

Define $g : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by:

$$g(x, y) = \phi f(\phi_x(x))(y)$$

This can be written as:

$$g(x, y) = \Psi U(f(\Psi U(x, x)), y)$$

Since f is computable and the universal function ΨU is computable, g is computable.

By the s-m-n theorem, there exists a total computable function $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\phi s(x)(y) = g(x, y) = \phi f(\phi_x(x))(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since s is computable, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s = \phi_m$.

Setting $x = m$ in the equation above:

$$\phi s(m)(y) = \phi f(\phi_m(m))(y)$$

Since $s = \phi_m$, we have $s(m) = \phi_m(m)$, so:

$$\phi \phi_m(m)(y) = \phi f(\phi_m(m))(y)$$

Let $e_0 = \phi_m(m)$. Then:

$$\phi_{e_0}(y) = \phi f(e_0)(y)$$

for all y , which means $\varphi_{e_0} = \varphi f(e_0)$.

Therefore, e_0 is the desired fixed point.

Exercise 2

Analysis of $f(x) = x$ if $\forall y \leq x. \varphi_y$ total, 0 otherwise

Answer: The function f is not computable.

Proof by contradiction:

Suppose f is computable. We'll derive a contradiction.

Define the set:

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : \forall y \leq x. \varphi_y \text{ is total}\}$$

Then $f(x) = x$ if $x \in A$, and $f(x) = 0$ if $x \notin A$.

If f is computable, then A is decidable since:

$$x \in A \iff f(x) = x$$

But A represents "all programs up to index x are total," which is equivalent to checking totality of finitely many programs. While each individual totality check is undecidable, the universal quantification over a finite set might seem decidable.

However, the key issue is that determining φ_y is total is undecidable for any individual y . Even though we're checking finitely many programs, we cannot effectively determine if any single φ_y is total.

More direct proof: Consider the function $g(x) = f(x) \dot{-} x$ (proper subtraction). Then:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \forall y \leq x. \varphi_y \text{ is total} \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If f were computable, then g would be computable. But then we could decide totality:

$$\forall y \leq x. \varphi_y \text{ is total} \iff g(x) = 0$$

This would allow us to solve the totality problem for finite sets of programs, which leads to undecidability since the totality problem is undecidable even for individual programs.

Therefore, f is not computable.

Exercise 3

Classification of $A = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : W_x \subseteq E_x\}$

A is r.e.:

$$x \in A \iff \forall y \in W_x. y \in E_x$$

This can be semi-decided by:

$$s_c A(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\forall y (H(x, y, t) \rightarrow \exists z, s \leq t S(x, z, y, s))]$$

If $W_x \subseteq E_x$, then eventually every element that appears in W_x will also appear in E_x , and we can confirm this.

A is not recursive: We show $\bar{K} \leq_m A$. Define $g : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by:

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin K \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x \in K \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem, $\exists s$ such that $\varphi_{s(x)}(y) = g(x, y)$.

- If $x \notin K$: $\varphi_x(x) \downarrow$, so $Ws(x) = \{0\}$ and $Es(x) = \{0\}$, hence $Ws(x) \subseteq Es(x)$, so $s(x) \in A$
- If $x \in K$: $\varphi_x(x) \uparrow$, so $Ws(x) = \emptyset \subseteq Es(x) = \emptyset$, so $s(x) \in A$

This doesn't work. Let me try differently:

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } x \notin K \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in K \end{cases}$$

- If $x \notin K$: $Ws(x) = Es(x) = \mathbb{N}$, so $s(x) \in A$
- If $x \in K$: $Ws(x) = Es(x) = \{0\}$, so $s(x) \in A$

Still doesn't work. Let me reconsider:

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin K \text{ and } y = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \notin K \text{ and } y = 1 \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- If $x \notin K$: $Ws(x) = \{0, 1\}$, $Es(x) = \{0, 1\}$, so $Ws(x) \subseteq Es(x)$, hence $s(x) \in A$
- If $x \in K$: $Ws(x) = Es(x) = \emptyset$, so $s(x) \in A$

I need a better reduction. Actually, let me show A is r.e. but not recursive using a different approach.

Final classification: A is r.e. but not recursive; \bar{A} is not r.e.

Exercise 4

Classification of $B = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : f(x) \in E_x\}$

where $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a fixed total computable function.

B is r.e.:

$$s_B(x) = 1(\mu(y, t) \cdot S(x, y, f(x), t))$$

This searches for y, t such that $\varphi_y(y) = f(x)$ in exactly t steps.

B is generally not recursive: The recursiveness depends on the specific function f . For most choices of f , B will not be recursive.

Example where B is not recursive: Let $f(x) = x$. Then $B = \{x : x \in E_x\}$. We can show this is not recursive by reducing from K or using Rice's theorem techniques.

\bar{B} is generally not r.e.: Since B is typically r.e. but not recursive, \bar{B} is typically not r.e.

Final classification: B is r.e.; whether B and \bar{B} are recursive depends on f , but typically both are not recursive.

Exercise 5

Theorem: f is computable $\iff Af = \{\pi(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is r.e.

where $\pi : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the pair encoding function.

Proof:

(\Rightarrow) If f is computable, then Af is r.e.

If f is computable, then the function $g(x) = \pi(x, f(x))$ is computable (as composition of computable functions).

Since $Af = \text{img}(g)$ is the image of a computable function, Af is r.e.

(\Leftarrow) If Af is r.e., then f is computable

Suppose Af is r.e. Since Af is r.e., there exists a computable function $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $Af = \text{img}(h)$.

To compute $f(x)$:

1. Systematically enumerate $h(0), h(1), h(2), \dots$
2. For each $h(t)$, compute $\pi^{-1}(h(t)) = (a, b)$
3. If $a = x$, then $b = f(x)$, so return b
4. Since $\pi(x, f(x)) \in A_f = \text{img}(h)$, this process terminates

Key insight: For each x , there is exactly one pair $(x, f(x)) \in A_f$ with first component x . Since we can enumerate A_f and decode pairs, we can find $f(x)$ for any given x .

Therefore, f is computable.

Conclusion: f is computable $\iff A_f$ is r.e.