

# Quick Recognition Patterns

**"Existence" patterns → Usually R.E.**

- "∃y such that..."
- "some element has property P"
- "at least one thing happens"
- "the set intersects with..."
- "there is a witness"

**Examples:**

- $\{x \mid x \in W_x \cup E_x\} \rightarrow$  "x exists in at least one set"  $\rightarrow$  **r.e.**
- $\{x \mid W_x \cap E_x \neq \emptyset\} \rightarrow$  "some element exists in both"  $\rightarrow$  **r.e.**
- $\{x \mid \exists y > x. y \in E_x\} \rightarrow$  "some large y exists"  $\rightarrow$  **r.e.**

**"Universal" patterns → Usually NOT R.E.**

- "∀y such that..."
- "every element has property P"
- "all things must satisfy..."
- "nothing bad happens"

**Examples:**

- $\{x \mid \forall y \in W_x. \phi_x(y) > x\} \rightarrow$  "every computation is large"  $\rightarrow$  **not r.e.**
- $\{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\} \rightarrow$  "defined for ALL inputs"  $\rightarrow$  **not r.e.**

## The Intuitive Rule

**Can you "witness" membership by finding something finite?**

- **Yes**  $\rightarrow$  Probably r.e. (search until you find the witness)
- **No**  $\rightarrow$  Probably not r.e. (you'd need to check infinitely many things)

For  $\{x \mid x \in W_x \cup E_x\}$ : You can witness membership by either:

- Finding some  $y, t$  such that  $H(x, y, t)$  ( $x \in W_x$ ), OR
- Finding some  $y, z, t$  such that  $S(x, y, z, t)$  ( $x \in E_x$ )

This is finite searching  $\rightarrow$  **r.e.**

For  $\{x \mid \forall y > x. y \in W_x\}$ : To verify membership, you'd need to check infinitely many y values  $\rightarrow$  **not r.e.**

**90% of the time, this heuristic works immediately.**