# Computability February 20, 2023

#### Exercise 1

- a. Provide the definition of a saturated (or extensional) set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ .
- b. State the Second Recursion Theorem.
- c. Show that K is not saturated.

#### **Solution:**

- 1. A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is saturated whenever, if it includes the index (program) for a computable function, it includes also all the other indexes (programs) for the same function. Formally, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $x \in A$  and  $\varphi_x = \varphi_y$  then  $y \in A$ .
- 2. The Second Recursion Theorem says that: for all functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ , if f is total and computable then there is  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi_e = \varphi_{f(e)}$ .
- 3. In order to show that K is not saturated, let us first prove that there is an index e such that

$$\varphi_e(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y = e \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In fact, first define  $g: \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ 

$$g(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y = x \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \mu z.|y - x|$$

The function is computable, hence by smn-theorem, there exists  $s: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\varphi_{s(x)}(y) = g(x,y)$$

By the Second Recursion Theorem there exists e such that  $\varphi_e = \varphi_{s(e)}$  and thus:

$$\varphi_e(y) = \varphi_{s(e)}(y) = g(e, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y = e \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

as desired.

Clearly  $e \in K$  since  $\varphi_e(e) = 0$ . Now, just take  $e' \neq e$  such that  $\varphi_{e'} = \varphi_e$  (which exists since there are infinitely many indices for the same computable function). We have  $\varphi_{e'}(e') = \varphi_e(e') \uparrow$  and thus  $e' \notin K$ .

Summing up,  $e \in K$ ,  $\varphi_{e'} = \varphi_e$  and  $e' \notin K$ . Hence K not saturated.

#### Exercise 2

State the smn-theorem. Show that there exists a total computable function  $s: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , x > 0 we have  $W_{s(x)} = \mathbb{P}$  and  $|E_{s(x)}| = 2x$ .

## **Solution:**

1. The smn-theorem says that: Given  $m, n \ge 1$  there is a computable total function  $s_{m,n}: \mathbb{N}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall e \in \mathbb{N}, \ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^m, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ 

$$\varphi_e^{(m+n)}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \varphi_{s_{m,n}(e,\vec{x})}^{(n)}(\vec{y})$$

2. We define  $g: \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$  by

$$g(x,y) = \begin{cases} (y/2) \mod 2x & \text{if } y \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } x > 0 \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Observe that for a fixed x > 0, seen as a function of y, the function g has domain  $\mathbb{P}$  and codomain  $\{0, 1, \dots, 2x - 1\}$ .

Clearly q is computable since

$$g(x,y) = rm(2x, qt(2,y)) + \mu z. (rm(2,y) + \overline{sg}(x))$$

(observe that the term  $rm(2, y) + \overline{sg}(x) \neq 0$  and thus its minimalisation is undefined, if and only if y is odd or x = 0).

By the smn theorem there is  $s: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\varphi_{s(x)}(y) = g(x,y) = \begin{cases} (y/2) \mod 2x & \text{if } y \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } x > 0 \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence, as observed above:

- $W_{s(x)} = \mathbb{P}$
- $|E_{s(x)}| = |\{y \mid y < 2x\}| = 2x$

as desired.

#### Exercise 3

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a fixed non-empty finite set. Classify from the point of view of recursiveness the set

$$A = \{x \mid E_x \cap X \neq \emptyset\},\$$

i.e., establish whether A and  $\bar{A}$  are recursive/recursively enumerable.

**Solution:** Observe that A is saturated, since it can be expressed as  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid \varphi_x \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = \{f \mid cod(f) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ .

Moreover  $A \neq \emptyset$ , N. In fact

- if  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  is such  $\varphi_e = id$  then  $e \in A$ , since  $X \cap E_e = X \cap \mathbb{N} = X \neq \emptyset$ ;
- if  $e' \in \mathbb{N}$  is such  $\varphi_{e'} = \emptyset$  then  $e' \notin A$ , since  $X \cap E_{e'} = X \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$ .

Hence by Rice's theorem A is not recursive.

The set A is r.e. In fact  $x \in A$  if and only if there is exists an input  $y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi_x(y) \downarrow$  and  $\varphi_x(y) \in X$ . The latter condition can be easily checked since X is finite and thus recursive. Hence we can just search for such an input.

Formally the semi-characteristic function of A can be written as:

$$sc_A(x) = \mathbf{1}(\mu w.(S(x, (w)_1, (w)_2, (w)_3) \land (w)_2 \in Y))$$
  
=  $\mathbf{1}(\mu w.(|\chi_S(x, (w)_1, (w)_2, (w)_3) * \chi_Y((w)_2) - 1|))$ 

and, since S is decidable and X is recursive (since it is finite), this shows that  $sc_A$  is computable.

Therefore,  $\bar{A}$  is not r.e. (hence not recursive).

### Exercise 4

Classify from the point of view of recursiveness the set

$$B = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid W_x \neq \emptyset \land \min(W_x) > 0\},\$$

i.e., establish whether B and  $\bar{B}$  are recursive/recursively enumerable.

**Solution:** Observe that B is saturated, since it can be expressed as  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid \varphi_x \in \mathcal{B}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{B} = \{f \mid dom(f) \neq \emptyset \land \min(dom(f)) > 0\}$ .

Hence, by Rice-Shapiro's theorem, we conclude that B and  $\bar{B}$  are not r.e., and thus they are not recursive. More in detail:

 $\bullet$  B is not r.e.

Consider the identity function id(x) = x. Then  $id \notin \mathcal{B}$  since  $dom(id) = \mathbb{N}$ , hence  $\min(dom(id)) = 0$ . Moreover, consider the finite function  $\theta : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  defined by

$$\theta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\theta \subseteq id$  and  $\min(dom(\theta)) = \min(\{1\}) = 1 > 0$  hence  $\theta \in \mathcal{B}$ . Therefore, by Rice-Shapiro, B is not r.e.

•  $\overline{B}$  is not r.e. In fact, if  $\theta$  is the function defined above,  $\theta \notin \overline{\mathcal{B}}$ . Moreover  $\theta' = \emptyset \subseteq \theta$ ,  $\theta' \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$ . Hence by Rice-Shapiro's theorem we conclude that B is not r.e.

Note: Each exercise contributes with the same number of points (8) to the final grade.