Bridging Guidelines and Implementation: A Quantitative Framework for Cross-Platform Mobile Accessibility Evaluation

Ombretta Gaggi gaggi@math.unipd.it University of Padua Department of Mathematics "Tullio Levi-Civita" Padua, Italy

Abstract

Despite widespread adoption of cross-platform mobile development frameworks, a significant gap persists between accessibility guidelines and practical implementation. This study addresses this challenge by introducing a comprehensive quantitative evaluation framework for mobile accessibility implementation across React Native and Flutter platforms. We develop six novel metrics-Component Accessibility Score (CAS), Implementation Overhead (IMO), Screen Reader Support Score (SRSS), WCAG Compliance Ratio (WCR), Development Time Estimate (DTE), and Complexity Impact Factor (CIF)-enabling systematic comparison of accessibility implementation approaches. Through empirical analysis of 30 common UI components and real-world testing with VoiceOver and TalkBack screen readers, we demonstrate that React Native achieves 45% reduction in implementation overhead compared to Flutter while maintaining superior screen reader compatibility (4.2 vs 3.8 average score). Our research contributes AccessibleHub, a React Native educational toolkit that serves as both an empirical research platform and practical learning resource, bridging the gap between theoretical accessibility guidelines and implementable solutions. The findings reveal that while both frameworks achieve equivalent WCAG 2.2 compliance (95.3%), React Native's property-based accessibility model offers significant efficiency advantages for rapid development, whereas Flutter's explicit semantic approach provides benefits for complex components and long-term maintenance in larger development teams.

CCS Concepts

• Human-centered computing \rightarrow Accessibility; Mobile computing; • Software and its engineering \rightarrow Software development techniques.

Keywords

mobile accessibility, cross-platform development, React Native, Flutter, accessibility metrics, WCAG compliance, screen reader support, quantitative evaluation

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, Washington, DC, USA

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-x/YYYY/MM https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnnnn

Gabriel Rovesti
gabriel.rovesti@studenti.unipd.it
University of Padua
Department of Mathematics "Tullio Levi-Civita"
Padua, Italy

ACM Reference Format:

1 Introduction

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

1.1 Mobile accessibility as computing imperative

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Morbi imperdiet, nulla ac condimentum tempor, magna augue cursus ipsum, at dignissim magna magna ut magna.

1.2 Problem statement

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia curae; Sed euismod, nulla vel tincidunt lacinia, nisl nisl aliquam nisl, eget aliquam nisl nisl sit amet nisl.

1.3 Research objectives and contributions

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Nulla facilisi. Sed in felis eu ante lobortis imperdiet. Nulla facilisi. Sed in felis eu ante lobortis imperdiet.

2 Related Work

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua [1, 2].

2.1 Mobile accessibility frameworks and guidelines

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat.

2.2 Cross-platform development accessibility

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Building upon the foundational work of Gaggi and Perinello [2] and extending the research initiated by Budai [1], this study provides a comprehensive quantitative framework for accessibility evaluation.

2.3 Quantitative evaluation methodologies

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur.

3 Methodology

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

3.1 Novel quantitative metrics framework

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Our framework introduces six innovative metrics for comprehensive accessibility evaluation:

- Component Accessibility Score (CAS): Comprehensive scoring system for UI component accessibility
- Implementation Overhead (IMO): Systematic measurement of development time and complexity costs
- Screen Reader Support Score (SRSS): Evaluation framework for assistive technology compatibility
- WCAG Compliance Ratio (WCR): Automated compliance assessment methodology
- Development Time Estimate (DTE): Systematic timing and effort measurement
- Complexity Impact Factor (CIF): Framework for measuring implementation complexity

3.2 Experimental design and validation

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat.

3.3 AccessibleHub implementation architecture

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur.

4 Results and Analysis

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

4.1 Quantitative comparison results

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Our empirical analysis reveals that React Native demonstrates 45% reduction in implementation overhead compared to Flutter while maintaining higher screen reader compatibility (4.2 vs 3.8 average score).

4.2 Framework-specific advantages and trade-offs

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat.

4.3 AccessibleHub validation and impact

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur.

5 Discussion

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

5.1 Implications for developers and organizations

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat.

5.2 Methodology contributions to research community

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur.

5.3 Limitations and future research directions

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

6 Conclusion

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. This research establishes a robust quantitative framework for accessibility evaluation in cross-platform mobile development, providing evidence-based guidance for framework selection and implementation strategies.

Acknowledgments

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. This research establishes a robust quantitative framework for accessibility evaluation in cross-platform mobile development, providing evidence-based guidance for framework selection and implementation strategies.

References

- Matteo Budai. 2024. Mobile content accessibility guidelines on the Flutter framework. Master's thesis. University of Padua, Padua, Italy.
- [2] Lorenzo Perinello and Ombretta Gaggi. 2024. Accessibility of Mobile User Interfaces using Flutter and React Native. In 2024 IEEE Consumer Communications & Networking Conference (CCNC). IEEE, IEEE, New York, NY, USA, 1–8. doi:10.1109/CCNC51664.2024.10454681

Received XX Month 2025; revised XX Month 2025; accepted XX Month 2025