


Caesar cipher



In cryptography, a **Caesar cipher**, also known as **Caesar's cipher**, the **shift cipher**, **Caesar's code**, or **Caesar shift**, is one of the simplest and most widely known encryption techniques. It is a type of substitution cipher in which each letter in the plaintext is replaced by a letter some fixed number of positions down the alphabet. For example, with a left shift of 3, D would be replaced by A, E would become B, and so on. The method is named after Julius Caesar, who used it in his private correspondence. [1]

The encryption step performed by a Caesar cipher is often incorporated as part of more complex schemes, such as the <u>Vigenère cipher</u>, and still has modern application in the <u>ROT13</u> system. As with all single-alphabet substitution ciphers, the Caesar cipher is easily broken and in modern practice offers essentially no <u>communications</u> security.



The action of a Caesar cipher is to replace each plaintext letter with a different one a fixed number of places down the alphabet. The cipher illustrated here uses a left shift of three, so that (for example) each occurrence of E in the plaintext becomes B in the ciphertext.

Example

The transformation can be represented by aligning two alphabets; the cipher alphabet is the plain alphabet rotated left or right by some number of positions. For instance, here is a Caesar cipher using a left rotation of three places, equivalent to a right shift of 23 (the shift parameter is used as the key):

| Plain | Α | В | С | D | Е | F | G | Н | I | J | K | L | М | N | 0 | Р | Q | R | S | Т | U | V | W | Х | Υ | Z |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cipher | Х | Y | Z | Α | В | С | D | Е | F | G | Н | ı | J | K | L | М | N | 0 | Р | Q | R | S | Т | U | V | W |

When encrypting, a person looks up each letter of the message in the "plain" line and writes down the corresponding letter in the "cipher" line.

Plaintext: THE QUICK BROWN FOX JUMPS OVER THE LAZY DOG Ciphertext: QEB NRFZH YOLTK CLU GRJMP LSBO QEB IXWV ALD

Deciphering is done in reverse, with a right shift of 3.

The encryption can also be represented using modular arithmetic by first transforming the letters into numbers, according to the scheme, $A \to 0$, $B \to 1$, ..., $Z \to 25$. Encryption of a letter x by a shift n can be described mathematically as, [3]

$$E_n(x) = (x+n) \mod 26.$$

Decryption is performed similarly,

$$D_n(x) = (x - n) \mod 26.$$

(Here, "mod" refers to the modulo operation. The value x is in the range 0 to 25, but if x + n or x - n are not in this range then 26 should be added or subtracted.)

The replacement remains the same throughout the message, so the cipher is classed as a type of *monoalphabetic substitution*, as opposed to *polyalphabetic substitution*.

History and usage


The Caesar cipher is named after <u>Julius Caesar</u>, who, according to <u>Suetonius</u>, used it with a shift of three (A becoming D when encrypting, and D becoming A when decrypting) to protect messages of military significance. While Caesar's was the first recorded use of this scheme, other substitution ciphers are known to have been used earlier. [4][5]

"If he had anything confidential to say, he wrote it in cipher, that is, by so changing the order of the letters of the alphabet, that not a word could be made out. If anyone wishes to decipher these, and get at their meaning, he must substitute the fourth letter of the alphabet, namely D, for A, and so with the others."

-Suetonius, Life of Julius Caesar 56

His nephew, <u>Augustus</u>, also used the cipher, but with a right shift of one, and it did not wrap around to the beginning of the alphabet:

"Whenever he wrote in cipher, he wrote B for A, C for B, and the rest of the letters on the same principle, using AA for Z."



The Caesar cipher is named for Julius Caesar, who used an alphabet where decrypting would shift three letters to the left.

-Suetonius, Life of Augustus 88

Evidence exists that Julius Caesar also used more complicated systems, [6] and one writer, <u>Aulus Gellius</u>, refers to a (now lost) treatise on his ciphers:

"There is even a rather ingeniously written treatise by the grammarian Probus concerning the secret meaning of letters in the composition of Caesar's epistles."

—Aulus Gellius, *Attic Nights* 17.9.1–5

It is unknown how effective the Caesar cipher was at the time; there is no record at that time of any techniques for the solution of simple substitution ciphers. The earliest surviving records date to the 9th-century works of Al-Kindi in the Arab world with the discovery of frequency analysis. [7]

A piece of text encrypted in a <u>Hebrew</u> version of the Caesar cipher is sometimes found on the back of Jewish <u>mezuzah</u> scrolls. When <u>each letter</u> is replaced with the letter before it in the <u>Hebrew alphabet</u> the text translates as "YHWH, our God, YHWH", a quotation from the main part of the scroll. [8][9]

In the 19th century, the personal advertisements section in newspapers would sometimes be used to exchange messages encrypted using simple cipher schemes. Kahn (1967) describes instances of lovers engaging in secret communications enciphered using the Caesar cipher in *The Times*. Even as late as 1915, the Caesar cipher was in use: the Russian army employed it as a replacement for more complicated ciphers which had proved to be too difficult for their troops to master; German and Austrian cryptanalysts had little difficulty in decrypting their messages.

Caesar ciphers can be found today in children's toys such as secret decoder rings. A Caesar shift of thirteen is also performed in the ROT13 algorithm, a simple method of obfuscating text widely found on Usenet and used to obscure text (such as joke punchlines and story spoilers), but not seriously used as a method of encryption. [12]

The Vigenère cipher uses a Caesar cipher with a different shift at each position in the text; the value of the shift is defined using a repeating keyword. [13] If the keyword is as long as the message, is chosen at random, never becomes known to anyone else, and is never reused, this is the one-time pad cipher, proven unbreakable. However the problems involved in using a random key as long as the message make the one-time pad difficult to use in practice. Keywords shorter than the message (e.g., "Complete Victory" used by the Confederacy during the American Civil War), introduce a cyclic pattern that might be detected with a statistically advanced version of frequency analysis. [14]



A construction of two rotating disks with a Caesar cipher can be used to encrypt or decrypt the code.


In April 2006, fugitive <u>Mafia</u> boss <u>Bernardo Provenzano</u> was captured in <u>Sicily</u> partly because some of his messages, clumsily written in a variation of the Caesar cipher, were broken. Provenzano's cipher used numbers, so that "A" would be written as "4", "B" as "5", and so on. [15]

In 2011, Rajib Karim was convicted in the United Kingdom of "terrorism offences" after using the Caesar cipher to communicate with Bangladeshi Islamic activists discussing plots to blow up <u>British Airways</u> planes or disrupt their IT networks. Although the parties had access to far better encryption techniques (Karim himself used <u>PGP</u> for data storage on computer disks), they chose to use their own scheme (implemented in <u>Microsoft Excel</u>), rejecting a more sophisticated code program called <u>Mujahedeen Secrets</u> "because 'kaffirs', or non-believers, know about it, so it must be less secure". [16]

Breaking the cipher

The Caesar cipher can be easily broken even in a ciphertext-only scenario. Since there are only a limited number of possible shifts (25 in English), an attacker can mount a brute force attack by deciphering the message, or part of it, using each possible shift. The correct description will be the one which makes sense as English text. An example is shown on the right for the ciphertext "exxegoexsrgi"; the candidate plaintext for shift four "attackatonce" is the only one which makes sense as English text. Another type of brute force attack is to write out the alphabet beneath each letter of the ciphertext, starting at that letter. Again the correct decryption is the one which makes sense as English text. This technique is sometimes known as "completing the plain component". [18][19]

Another approach is to match up the frequency distribution of the letters. By graphing the frequencies of letters in the ciphertext, and by knowing the expected distribution of those letters in the original language of the plaintext, a human can easily spot the value of the shift by looking at the displacement of particular features of the graph. This is known as <u>frequency analysis</u>. For example, in the English language the plaintext frequencies of the letters E, T, (usually most frequent), and Q, Z (typically least frequent) are



The distribution of letters in a typical sample of English language text has a distinctive and predictable shape. A Caesar shift "rotates" this distribution, and it is possible to determine the shift by examining the resultant frequency graph.

particularly distinctive. [20] Computers can also do this by measuring how well the actual frequency distribution matches up with the expected distribution; for example, the chi-squared statistic can be used. [21]

The <u>unicity distance</u> for the Caesar cipher is about 2, meaning that on average at least two characters of ciphertext are required to determine the key. [22] In rare cases more text may be needed. For example the words "river" and "arena" can be

converted to each other with a Caesar shift, which means they can produce the same ciphertext with different shifts. However in practice the key can almost certainly be found with at least 6 characters of ciphertext. [23]

With the Caesar cipher, encrypting a text multiple times provides no additional security. This is because two encryptions of, say, shift A and shift B, will be equivalent to a single encryption with shift A+B. In mathematical terms, the set of encryption operations under each possible key forms a group under composition. [24]

See also

Scytale

Notes

- 1. Suetonius, *Vita Divi Julii (http://thelatinlibrary.com/suetonius/suet.ca esar.html#56)* 56.6
- 2. Luciano, Dennis; Gordon Prichett (January 1987). "Cryptology: From Caesar Ciphers to Public-Key Cryptosystems". *The College Mathematics Journal.* **18** (1): 2–17. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.110.6123 (https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.110.6123). doi:10.2307/2686311 (https://doi.org/10.2307%2F2686311). JSTOR 2686311 (https://www.jstor.org/stable/2686311).
- 3. Wobst, Reinhard (2001). <u>Cryptology Unlocked</u> (https://archive.org/details/Cryptology_Unlocked). Wiley. p. 19. <u>ISBN</u> 978-0-470-06064-3.
- 4. "Cracking the Code" (https://web.archive.org/web/2020122606553 8/https://www.cia.gov/news-information/featured-story-archive/2007 -featured-story-archive/cracking-the-code.html). Central Intelligence Agency. Archived from the original (https://www.cia.gov/news-inform ation/featured-story-archive/2007-featured-story-archive/cracking-the-code.html) on 26 December 2020. Retrieved 21 February 2017.

| Decryption shift | Candidate plaintext | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | dwwdfndwrqfh | | | | | | |
| 2 | cvvcemcvqpeg | | | | | | |
| 3 | buubdlbupodf | | | | | | |
| 4 | attackatonce | | | | | | |
| 5 | zsszbjzsnmbd | | | | | | |
| 6 | yrryaiyrmlac | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 23 | haahjrhavujl | | | | | | |

5. <u>Singh, Simon</u> (2000). <u>The Code Book</u>. Anchor. pp. <u>289-290 (https://archive.org/details/codebook00simo/page/289)</u>. <u>ISBN</u> <u>0-385-49532-3</u>.

| 24 | gzzgiqgzutik |
|----|--------------|
| 25 | fyyfhpfytshj |

- 6. Reinke, Edgar C. (December 1962). "Classical Cryptography". *The Classical Journal.* **58** (3): 114.
- 7. <u>Singh, Simon</u> (2000). <u>The Code Book</u>. Anchor. pp. <u>14–20 (https://archive.org/details/codebook00simo/page/14)</u>. ISBN 0-385-49532-3.
- Eisenberg, Ronald L. (2004). *Jewish Traditions* (1st ed.). Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society. p. 582. ISBN 9780827610392.
- 9. Sameth, Mark (2020). The Name: a history of the dual-gendered Hebrew name for God. Eugene, Oregon: Wipf & Stock. pp. 5–6. ISBN 9781532693830.
- 10. Kahn, David (1967). *The Codebreakers*. pp. 775–6. ISBN 978-0-684-83130-5.
- 11. <u>Kahn, David</u> (1967). *The Codebreakers*. pp. 631–2. <u>ISBN</u> <u>978-0-684-83130-5</u>.
- 12. Wobst, Reinhard (2001). <u>Cryptology Unlocked</u> (https://archive.org/details/Cryptology_Unlocked). Wiley. p. 20. <u>ISBN</u> 978-0-470-06064-3.
- 13. <u>Kahn, David</u> (1967). *The Codebreakers*. p. 148-149. <u>ISBN</u> <u>978-0-</u>684-83130-5.
- 14. <u>Kahn, David</u> (1967). *The Codebreakers*. pp. 398–400. <u>ISBN</u> <u>978-0-684-83130-5</u>.
- 15. Leyden, John (2006-04-19). "Mafia boss undone by clumsy crypto" (https://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/04/19/mafia_don_clueless_crypto/). *The Register*. Retrieved 2008-06-13.
- 16. "BA jihadist relied on Jesus-era encryption" (https://www.theregister.co.uk/2011/03/22/ba_jihadist_trial_sentencing/). *The Register*. 2011-03-22. Retrieved 2011-04-01.
- 17. Beutelspacher, Albrecht (1994). *Cryptology*. Mathematical Association of America. pp. 8–9. ISBN 0-88385-504-6.
- 18. Leighton, Albert C. (April 1969). "Secret Communication among the Greeks and Romans". *Technology and Culture*. **10** (2): 139–154. doi:10.2307/3101474 (https://doi.org/10.2307%2F3101474). JSTOR 3101474 (https://www.jstor.org/stable/3101474).
- Sinkov, Abraham; Paul L. Irwin (1966). Elementary Cryptanalysis: A Mathematical Approach. Mathematical Association of America. pp. 13–15. ISBN 0-88385-622-0.
- 20. Singh, Simon (2000). *The Code Book* (https://archive.org/details/codebook00simo/page/72). Anchor. pp. 72–77 (https://archive.org/details/codebook00simo/page/72). ISBN 0-385-49532-3.
- 21. Savarese, Chris; Brian Hart (2002-07-15). <u>"The Caesar Cipher" (htt p://www.cs.trincoll.edu/~crypto/historical/caesar.html)</u>. <u>Trinity</u> College. Retrieved 2008-07-16.
- 22. Lubbe, Jan C. A. (12 March 1998). *Basic Methods of Cryptography*. Cambridge University Press. pp. 47–8. **ISBN** 9780521555593.
- 23. Pardo, José Luis Gómez (19 December 2012). *Introduction to Cryptography with Maple*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. p. 5. ISBN 9783642321665.

24. Wobst, Reinhard (2001). *Cryptology Unlocked* (https://archive.org/details/Cryptology_Unlocked). Wiley. p. 31. ISBN 978-0-470-06064-3.

Bibliography

- Kahn, David (1996). <u>The Codebreakers: The Story of Secret Writing</u> (https://archive.org/details/codebreakersstor0000kahn_k4s3) (Revised ed.). New York. <u>ISBN</u> 0-684-83130-9. <u>OCLC</u> 35159231 (https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/35159231).
- Chris Savarese and Brian Hart, <u>The Caesar Cipher (http://www.cs.trincoll.edu/~crypto/historical/caesar.html</u>), Trinity College, 1999

Further reading

Bauer, Friedrich Ludwig (2000). <u>Decrypted Secrets: Methods and Maxims of Cryptology</u> (https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/43063275) (2nd and extended ed.). Berlin: Springer. <u>ISBN</u> 3-540-66871-3.
 OCLC 43063275 (https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/43063275).

External links

- Weisstein, Eric W. "Caesar's Method" (https://mathworld.wolfram.com/CaesarsMethod.html).
 MathWorld.
- Simple Bash implementation (http://dragoman.org/baca)

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Caesar cipher&oldid=1160597133"