

HW1

Gabriel ROMON

Problem 1.22

- (a) The Bayes risk is defined as $\int \int L(\delta(x), \theta) f(x|\theta) \pi(\theta) dx d\theta$. Letting $m(x) = \int f(x|\theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta$ be the marginal density of X , Bayes risk can be rewritten as $\int \int L(\delta(x), \theta) \pi(\theta|x) m(x) dx d\theta$. Since the integrand is non-negative, Tonelli's theorem allows to switch the order of integration:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int L(\delta(x), \theta) \pi(\theta|x) m(x) dx d\theta &= \int \int L(\delta(x), \theta) \pi(\theta|x) m(x) d\theta dx \\ &= \int m(x) \underbrace{\int L(\delta(x), \theta) \pi(\theta|x) d\theta}_{\text{posterior loss}} dx \end{aligned}$$

Since m is independent of δ , $\int m(x) \int L(\delta(x), \theta) \pi(\theta|x) d\theta dx$ is minimized in δ only if for each x , $\delta(x)$ minimizes $\int L(\delta(x), \theta) \pi(\theta|x) d\theta$.

- (b) I assume that the norm in the statement of the problem refers to the euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n . Let x be fixed and let us look for $\delta^\pi(x) \in \arg \min_{c \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int \|h(\theta) - c\|^2 \pi(\theta|x) d\theta$. Let γ be a random variable with probability density $\pi(\cdot|x)$ (that is to say γ follows the posterior distribution). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|h(\theta) - c\|^2 \pi(\theta|x) d\theta &= E(\|h(\gamma) - c\|^2) \\ &= E(\|h(\gamma) - E(h(\gamma))\|^2) + E(\|c - E(h(\gamma))\|^2) \\ &\quad + 2E[(h(\gamma) - E(h(\gamma)))^T (c - E(h(\gamma)))] \\ &= E(\|h(\gamma) - E(h(\gamma))\|^2) + E(\|c - E(h(\gamma))\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly minimal for $c = E(h(\gamma))$. Hence $\delta^\pi(x) = E(h(\gamma))$, which may be rewritten with other notations as $E^\pi(h(\theta)|x)$.

- (c) Let x be fixed and let us look for $\delta^\pi(x) \in \arg \min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int |h(\theta) - c| \pi(\theta|x) d\theta$. With the same notation as in b), $\int |h(\theta) - c| \pi(\theta|x) d\theta = E(|h(\gamma) - c|)$. We recall that a median of $h(\gamma)$ is any m such that $P(h(\gamma) \leq m) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $P(h(\gamma) \geq m) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By replacing $h(\gamma)$ with $h(\gamma) - m$ we may assume without loss of generality that $m = 0$. We only need to prove that $E(|h(\gamma) - c|) \geq E(|h(\gamma)|)$.

Assume first that $c \geq 0$, and note that $\mathbb{1}_{h(\gamma) \leq 0}(|h(\gamma) - c| - |h(\gamma)|) = \mathbb{1}_{h(\gamma) \leq 0}(-(h(\gamma) - c) + h(\gamma)) = c$, which yields

$$E(\mathbb{1}_{h(\gamma) \leq 0}(|h(\gamma) - c| - |h(\gamma)|)) = cP(h(\gamma) \leq 0)$$

By the reverse triangle inequality, $|h(\gamma) - c| \geq |h(\gamma)| - |c| = |h(\gamma)| - c$, thus

$$|h(\gamma) - c| - |h(\gamma)| \geq -c$$

hence

$$E(\mathbb{1}_{h(\gamma) > 0}(|h(\gamma) - c| - |h(\gamma)|)) \geq -cP(h(\gamma) > 0)$$

Summing both inequalities,

$$E(|h(\gamma) - c| - |h(\gamma)|) \geq c(P(h(\gamma) \leq 0) - P(h(\gamma) > 0)) = c(2P(h(\gamma) \leq 0) - 1) \geq 0$$

since 0 is a median of $h(\gamma)$.

If $c \leq 0$, simply re-use the previous case by noting that

$$E(|h(\gamma) - c|) = E(|-h(\gamma) + c|) = E(|-h(\gamma) - (-c)|) \geq E(|-h(\gamma)|) = E(|h(\gamma)|)$$

Therefore $\delta^\pi(x) = \text{med } h(\gamma)$ where $\text{med } h(\gamma)$ is any median of $h(\gamma)$ and γ follows the posterior distribution.

Problem 2.30

- (a) Using the law of the unconscious statistician and the fact that X and U are independent,

$$\begin{aligned} P(U < \frac{f(X)}{Mg(X)}) &= E(\mathbb{1}_{U < \frac{f(X)}{Mg(X)}}) = \int \int \mathbb{1}_{u < \frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}} \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(u) g(x) du dx \\ &= \int g(x) \int_0^{\min(\frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}, 1)} du dx = \int g(x) \int_0^{\frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}} du dx \quad \text{because } f \leq Mg \\ &= \int g(x) \frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)} dx = \frac{1}{M} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Since f and g are normalized densities, integrating $f \leq Mg$ yields $1 \leq M$.
- (c) The statement of the problem requires clarification. N is meant to be the number of **failed** trials until the k -th success. Let us consider $U_1, X_1, \dots, U_{n+k}, X_{n+k}$ $n+k$ independent random variables. For $n \geq \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(N = n) &= P\left(U_{n+k} \leq \frac{f(X_{n+k})}{Mg(X_{n+k})} \cap \sum_{i=1}^{n+k-1} \mathbb{1}_{U_i < \frac{f(X_i)}{Mg(X_i)}} = k-1\right) \\ &= P\left(U_{n+k} \leq \frac{f(X_{n+k})}{Mg(X_{n+k})}\right) P\left(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n+k-1} \mathbb{1}_{U_i < \frac{f(X_i)}{Mg(X_i)}}}_{\sim \mathcal{B}(n+k-1, \frac{1}{M})} = k-1\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} \frac{1}{M^{k-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{M}\right)^n \\ &= \binom{n+k-1}{n} \frac{1}{M^k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{M}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

Hence $N \sim \text{Neg}(k, \frac{1}{M})$. Thus $E(N) = \frac{k(1 - \frac{1}{M})}{\frac{1}{M}} = k(M-1)$. The average total number of trials needed until k successes have occurred is the sum of the average number of failures and the k successes: $E(N) + k = kM$.

- (d) If A verifies $f \leq Ag$, then $f \leq 2Ag$. To see that the bound is not tight, let $M = 2A$ and $M' = A$.

Consider for the target distribution f a Gamma(a, b) where $a \notin \mathbb{N}$. For the proposal distribution g , consider a Gamma($\lfloor a \rfloor, \beta$) where β must be chosen so that the domination condition holds. Note that

$$\forall x > 0, \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{\Gamma(\lfloor a \rfloor)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{b^a}{\beta^{\lfloor a \rfloor}} x^{a-\lfloor a \rfloor} e^{-(b-\beta)x}$$

the derivative of which is ≥ 0 if and only if $x \leq \frac{a - \lfloor a \rfloor}{b - \beta}$, provided $b - \beta > 0$. Therefore, when $\beta < b$, $\frac{f}{g}$ has a maximum at $x = \frac{a - \lfloor a \rfloor}{b - \beta}$. We may now consider the choice of β that yields the tightest bound. At $\frac{a - \lfloor a \rfloor}{b - \beta}$, the function is proportional to $\frac{1}{\beta^{\lfloor a \rfloor} (b - \beta)^{a - \lfloor a \rfloor}}$, thus we must maximize $\beta^{\lfloor a \rfloor} (b - \beta)^{a - \lfloor a \rfloor}$.

A quick study of the derivative yields the optimal β as $\frac{\lfloor a \rfloor}{a}b$, and plugging this back into the upper bound yields the optimal bound

$$M' := \frac{\Gamma(\lfloor a \rfloor)}{\Gamma(a)} \left(\frac{a}{e}\right)^a \left(\frac{e}{\lfloor a \rfloor}\right)^{\lfloor a \rfloor}$$

However, this bound may be not computationally tractable as it requires computing special functions. For $a > 1.47$, $\frac{\Gamma(\lfloor a \rfloor)}{\Gamma(a)} \leq 1$, which yields the simpler upper bound

$$M := \left(\frac{a}{e}\right)^a \left(\frac{e}{\lfloor a \rfloor}\right)^{\lfloor a \rfloor}$$

Note that the computations above **also solve questions c) and d) in Problem 2.32**.

(e) Let B be a measurable set and note that

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \in B | U \leq \frac{f(X)}{Mg(X)}) &= \frac{P(X \in B \cap U \leq \frac{f(X)}{Mg(X)})}{P(U \leq \frac{f(X)}{Mg(X)})} = \frac{\int \int \mathbb{1}_{x \in B} \mathbb{1}_{u \leq \frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}} \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(u) g(x) du dx}{\int \int \mathbb{1}_{u \leq \frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}} \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(u) g(x) du dx} \\ &= \frac{\int_B g(x) \int_0^{\min(\frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}, 1)} du dx}{\int g(x) \int_0^{\min(\frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}, 1)} du dx} \end{aligned}$$

With $A = \{x, f(x) > Mg(x)\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \in B | U \leq \frac{f(X)}{Mg(X)}) &= \frac{\int_B g(x) \int_0^{\min(\frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}, 1)} du dx}{\int g(x) \int_0^{\min(\frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}, 1)} du dx} \\ &= \frac{\int_B \mathbb{1}_{A^c}(x) g(x) \int_0^{\frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}} du dx + \int_B \mathbb{1}_A(x) g(x) \int_0^1 du dx}{\int \mathbb{1}_{A^c}(x) g(x) \int_0^{\frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}} du dx + \int \mathbb{1}_A(x) g(x) \int_0^1 du dx} \\ &= \frac{\int_B \mathbb{1}_{A^c}(x) \frac{f(x)}{M} dx + \int_B \mathbb{1}_A(x) g(x) dx}{\int \mathbb{1}_{A^c}(x) \frac{f(x)}{M} dx + \int \mathbb{1}_A(x) g(x) dx} \\ &= \int_B \frac{1}{C} (\mathbb{1}_{A^c}(x) f(x) + \mathbb{1}_A(x) Mg(x)) dx \end{aligned}$$

Hence Y has density $\frac{1}{C} (\mathbb{1}_{A^c}(y) f(y) + \mathbb{1}_A(y) Mg(y))$ where $C = \int \mathbb{1}_{A^c}(x) f(x) + \mathbb{1}_A(x) Mg(x) dx$. By the definition of A , this rewrites as $\frac{1}{C} \min(f(y), Mg(y))$ which is different from $f(y)$ in general. Therefore, when the bound is too tight, the algorithm does not sample according to f .

(f) Suppose that $\forall x, f(x) \leq M'g(x) < Mg(x)$. Let us determine the distribution of $X | U > \frac{f(X)}{Mg(X)}$. Let B be a measurable set.

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \in B | U > \frac{f(X)}{Mg(X)}) &= \frac{\int \int \mathbb{1}_{x \in B} \mathbb{1}_{u > \frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}} \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(u) g(x) du dx}{\int \int \mathbb{1}_{u > \frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}} \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(u) g(x) du dx} \\ &= \frac{\int \int \mathbb{1}_{x \in B} g(x) \int_{\frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}}^1 du dx}{\int \int g(x) \int_{\frac{f(x)}{Mg(x)}}^1 du dx} \\ &= \frac{\int_B g(x) - \frac{1}{M} f(x) dx}{1 - \frac{1}{M}} \end{aligned}$$

Let Z be the random variable that samples failed trials. Considering the previous computations, Z has density $\frac{g(z) - \frac{1}{M} f(z)}{1 - \frac{1}{M}}$. This density may be used as a proposal distribution since

$$\frac{f(z)}{g(z) - \frac{1}{M} f(z)} \leq \frac{f(z)}{\frac{1}{M'} f(z) - \frac{1}{M} f(z)} = \frac{M - 1}{\frac{M}{M'} - 1}$$

Problem 3.22

- (a) The expectation of the sum of the weights is $E\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{f(X_j)}{g(X_j)}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n E\left(\frac{f(X_j)}{g(X_j)}\right)$. Since the X_j are sampled according to g , $E\left(\frac{f(X_j)}{g(X_j)}\right) = \int g(x) \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx = 1$. Hence $E\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{f(X_j)}{g(X_j)}\right) = n$.

However, there is no reason for $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{f(X_j)}{g(X_j)} = n$ to hold in general. If the weights are to be used for resampling, one must normalize them properly as $\frac{w_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}$.

- (b) We assume that the weights have been normalized to sum to 1. For each j , \tilde{X}_j is defined by its conditional distribution: $\tilde{X}_j | X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \delta_{X_i}$. Thus

$$E(h(\tilde{X}_j)) = E(E(h(\tilde{X}_j) | X_1, \dots, X_n)) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i h(X_i)\right)$$

Hence

$$E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(\tilde{X}_j)\right) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i h(X_i)\right)$$

- (c) If the formula holds with $w_i = \frac{1}{n} \frac{f(X_i)}{g(X_i)}$, then

$$E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(\tilde{X}_j)\right) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \frac{f(X_i)}{g(X_i)} h(X_i)\right) = n \cdot \frac{1}{n} \int g(x) \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} h(x) dx = \int h(x) f(x) dx$$

With $h : x \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{x \leq t}$, one gets

$$E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{X}_j \leq t}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^t f(x) dx$$

Therefore, the cumulative distribution of the \tilde{X}_j is unbiased.