

2.12

$$a) \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m / a \leq a^T x \leq b\} = \underbrace{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^m / a \leq a^T x\}}_{\text{halfspace, hence convex}} \cap \underbrace{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^m / a^T x \leq b\}}_{\text{halfspace, hence convex}}$$

The set is the intersection of convex sets, it is thus convex

$$b) \text{let } \varphi_i : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \left(\begin{matrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{matrix} \right) \rightarrow x_i \end{cases}$$

φ_i is linear and $[x_i, b_i]$ is a convex set in \mathbb{R} .

Thus $\varphi_i^{-1}([x_i, b_i])$ is convex in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\text{Hence } \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n / \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, x_i \in [x_i, b_i]\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \varphi_i^{-1}([x_i, b_i])$$

is the intersection of convex sets. It is thus convex

$$c) \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n / a_1^T x \leq b_1, a_2^T x \leq b_2\} = \underbrace{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n / a_1^T x \leq b_1\}}_{\text{halfspace, hence convex}} \cap \underbrace{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n / a_2^T x \leq b_2\}}_{\text{halfspace, hence convex}}$$

The set is the intersection of convex sets. It is thus convex

d) Let $y \in S$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x_0\|_2 &\leq \|x - y\|_2 \Leftrightarrow \|x - x_0\|_2^2 \leq \|x - y\|_2^2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \|x\|_2^2 - 2x^T x_0 + \|x_0\|_2^2 \leq \|x\|_2^2 - 2x^T y + \|y\|_2^2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 2x^T(y - x_0) \leq \|y\|_2^2 - \|x_0\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A_y := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n / \|x - x_0\|_2 \leq \|x - y\|_2\}$ is a halfspace, hence a convex set

The set in the question can be written as $\bigcap_{y \in S} A_y$, it is convex

as an intersection of convex sets

e) This set is not necessarily convex:

consider $n=1$, $S = \{-1, 1\}$ and $T = \{0\}$

$$\text{Then } \{x \in \mathbb{R} / d(x, S) \leq d(x, T)\} = [-\infty, -\frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, +\infty]$$

is not convex.

f) let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x + S_2 \subset S_2$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$y + S_2 \subset S_2$$

$$\text{let us prove } [\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y] + S_2 \subset S_2$$

Consider $z \in S_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y + z &= \lambda \underbrace{(x+z)}_{\in x + S_2} + (1-\lambda) \underbrace{(y+z)}_{\in y + S_2} \\ &\in S_2 \quad \in S_2 \end{aligned}$$

$\in S_2$ because S_2 is convex

$$\text{Hence } [\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y] + S_2 \subset S_2$$

thus the set in question is convex

g) let us prove that the set in question is a ball or a hyperplane.

let us first derive an alternate characterization of a $\| \cdot \|_2$ -ball:

$$\|x - x_0\|_2^2 \leq r^2 \iff \|x\|_2^2 - 2x^T x_0 + \|x_0\|_2^2 - r^2 \leq 0 \quad (*)$$

$$\text{Note that } \|x - a\|_2^2 \leq \theta^2 \|x - b\|_2^2 \iff \|x\|_2^2 (1 - \theta^2) - 2x^T(a - \theta^2 b) + \|a\|_2^2 - \theta^2 \|b\|_2^2 \leq 0$$

$$\text{If } \theta = 1: \text{ this rewrites as } -2x^T(a - \theta^2 b) \leq \theta^2 \|b\|_2^2 - \|a\|_2^2$$

which is a halfspace, hence a convex set

$$\text{If } \theta < 1: \|x - a\|_2^2 \leq \theta^2 \|x - b\|_2^2 \iff \|x\|_2^2 - 2x^T \left(\frac{a - \theta^2 b}{1 - \theta^2} \right) + \frac{\|a\|_2^2 - \theta^2 \|b\|_2^2}{1 - \theta^2} \leq 0$$

Comparing with (*), this is a ball with center $\frac{a - \theta^2 b}{1 - \theta^2}$

$$\text{and radius } \sqrt{\left\| \frac{a - \theta^2 b}{1 - \theta^2} \right\|_2^2 - \frac{\|a\|_2^2 - \theta^2 \|b\|_2^2}{1 - \theta^2}}, \text{ provided the quantity}$$

inside the square root is } \geq 0. Let us prove that this is the case:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \frac{a - \theta b}{1-\theta^2} \right\|^2 &= \frac{\|a\|^2 - \theta^2 \|b\|^2}{1-\theta^2} = \frac{1}{(1-\theta^2)^2} \left(\|a\|^2 - 2\theta^2 a^T b + \theta^4 \|b\|^2 - (1-\theta^2)(\|a\|^2 - \theta \|b\|^2) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1-\theta^2)^2} \left(\theta^2 \|a\|^2 - 2\theta^2 a^T b + \|b\|^2 \theta^2 \right) \\
 &= \frac{\theta^2}{(1-\theta^2)^2} \|a-b\|^2 \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the radius of the ball is $\frac{\theta}{1-\theta^2} \|a-b\|$

3.21

a) Let $i \in [1, k]$. $\Psi_i: x \rightarrow \|A^{(i)}x - b^{(i)}\|$ is convex as the norm of an affine function. Thus $f = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \Psi_i$ is convex as a maximum of k convex functions.

b) It is clear that $f: x \rightarrow \max_{\substack{J \subset [1, n] \\ |J|=r}} \sum_{j \in J} |x_j|$

And for fixed $J \subset [1, n]$ with $|J|=r$, $x \rightarrow \sum_{j \in J} |x_j|$

is easily seen to be convex (using the definition of convexity and the triangle inequality)

Thus f is convex as a maximum of a finite number of convex functions ($\binom{n}{r}$ precisely).

a) Let $x, y \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

By convexity of f and g , $f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq (1-\lambda)f(x) + \lambda f(y)$

$g((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq (1-\lambda)g(x) + \lambda g(y)$

Since f and g are positive, we may multiply both inequalities to get

$$\begin{aligned} f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) g((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) &\leq [(1-\lambda)f(x) + \lambda f(y)][(1-\lambda)g(x) + \lambda g(y)] \\ &= (1-\lambda)^2 f(x)g(x) + (1-\lambda)\lambda [f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x)] \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 f(y)g(y) \\ &= (1-\lambda)[f(x)g(x) + \lambda f(y)g(y)] + (1-\lambda)[- \lambda f(x)g(x) - \lambda f(y)g(y) + \lambda(f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x))] \\ &= (1-\lambda)[f(x)g(x) + \lambda f(y)g(y)] + \lambda(1-\lambda)[f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x) - f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(y)] \\ &= (1-\lambda)[f(x)g(x) + \lambda f(y)g(y)] + \lambda(1-\lambda)(f(x) - f(y))(g(y) - g(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Since f and g are monotonic in the same direction,

$f(x) - f(y)$ and $g(y) - g(x)$ have opposite signs, that is

$$[f(x) - f(y)][g(y) - g(x)] \leq 0$$

Hence $f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) g((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq (1-\lambda)[f(x)g(x) + \lambda f(y)g(y)]$

That is, fg is convex

b) The computation is similar to a)

The only difference is that $(f(x) - f(y))(g(y) - g(x)) \geq 0$

c) Since g is positive and nonincreasing, $\frac{1}{g}$ is positive and nondecreasing.

By a), $f \times \frac{1}{g}$ is convex, ie $\frac{f}{g}$ is convex

3.36

a) Let us prove that $\text{dom } f^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n / \forall i \in [1, n], y_i \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 1\}$

$f^* = 0$

② Consider $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x \mapsto y^T x - f(x)$ is bounded above.

- For $t > 0$, let $x_k = -t$ and $x_i = 0$ for $i \neq k$

then $y^T x - f(x) = -y_k t$ which must remain bounded above as $t \rightarrow +\infty$

Thus $y_k \geq 0$ (and this holds for any $k \in [1, n]$)

- For $t > 0$, let $x_1 = \dots = x_n = t$

then $y^T x - f(x) = t (\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - 1)$ which must be bounded above as $t \rightarrow +\infty$

Hence $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - 1 \leq 0$; that $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq 1$.

② Consider $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $y \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 1$

let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i_0 \in \underset{i \in [1, n]}{\operatorname{argmin}} x_i$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } y^T x - f(x) &= \sum_{k \neq i_0} x_k y_k + (y_{i_0} - 1) x_{i_0} \leq \sum_{k \neq i_0} x_{i_0} y_k + (y_{i_0} - 1) x_{i_0} \\ &= x_{i_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - 1 \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This upper bound is attained when $x = 0$, hence it's the maximum

Hence $f^* = 0$.

b) Let us prove that

$\text{dom } f^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n / \forall i \in [1, n], y_i \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = n\}$

$f^* = 0$

If $r = n$: then $y^T x - f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 1) x_i$

for t consider $x_k = t$ and $x_i = 0$ for $i \neq k$.

then $y^T x - f(x) = (y_k - 1)t$. This must remain bounded above as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, hence $y_k = 1$, and this holds for all k

Conversely, consider $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $0 \leq y_i \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = n$

this implies $\forall i \in [1, n], y_i = 1$, thus $y^T x - f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x$, hence

$$\text{dom } f^* = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \forall i \in [1, m], y_i \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^m y_i = r \right\}$$

and $f^* = 0$.

If $r < n$:

C For $t > 0$, consider $x_k = -t$ and $x_i = 0$ for $i \neq k$

then $y^T x - f(x) = -y_k t$ which must remain bounded above as $t \rightarrow +\infty$

thus $y_k \geq 0$, and this holds for all k

For $t > 0$, consider $x_k = t$ and $x_i = 0$ for $i \neq k$

then $y^T x - f(x) = t(y_k - 1)$, hence $y_k \leq 1$ and this holds for all k .

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, consider $x_1 = \dots = x_k = t$ then

$y^T x - f(x) = t \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y_i - r \right)$ which must remain bounded above as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$

Hence $\sum_{i=1}^m y_i = r$

D Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and y such that $0 \leq y \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m y_i = r$

$$y^T x - f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^r x_{[i]} (y_{[i]} - 1) + \sum_{i=r+1}^m x_{[i]} y_{[i]}$$

$$\leq x_{[r]} \underbrace{\left[\sum_{i=1}^r (y_{[i]} - 1) + \sum_{i=r+1}^m y_{[i]} \right]}_{= \sum_{i=1}^r y_i - r} = 0$$

$$\leq 0$$

The bound is attained when $x = 0$, it is thus the maximum of

$$x \mapsto x^T y - f(x)$$

Hence $f^* = 0$.

c) I assume something stronger than what's stated in the problem:

for each k , there exists x such that $\forall i \neq k$, $a_k x + b_k > a_i x + b_i$

I will say " a_j dominates all the a_i at x " if $\forall i \neq j$, $a_j x + b_j > a_i x + b_i$

By the redundancy assumption, we must have $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Note that a_j dominates at x $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \forall i \neq j, x > \frac{b_i - b_j}{a_j - a_i} \\ \forall i > j, x < \frac{b_i - b_j}{a_j - a_i} \end{cases}$

So a_m dominates $\Leftrightarrow x > \max_{i \leq m} \frac{b_i - b_m}{a_m - a_i}$

a_{m-1} must dominate at some x , that is $x < \frac{b_m - b_{m-1}}{a_{m-1} - a_m}$

$$x > \frac{b_i - b_{m-1}}{a_{m-1} - a_i} \quad \forall i \leq m-1 \quad (*)$$

so a_m dominates over $\left] \max_{i \leq m-1} \frac{b_i - b_{m-1}}{a_{m-1} - a_i}, \frac{b_m - b_{m-1}}{a_{m-1} - a_m} \right[$

It remains to prove that a_m dominates over $\left] \frac{b_m - b_{m-1}}{a_{m-1} - a_m}, \infty \right[$

$$\text{which is equivalent to } \max_{i \leq m} \frac{b_i - b_m}{a_m - a_i} = \frac{b_m - b_{m-1}}{a_{m-1} - a_m}$$

If this were not the case, some a_j with $j \leq m-1$ would dominate

at $x > \frac{b_m - b_{m-1}}{a_{m-1} - a_m}$. This implies $x < \frac{b_{m-1} - b_j}{a_j - a_{m-1}}$, a contradiction

with $(*)$.

In a similar fashion, one proves that $\max_{i \leq m-1} \frac{b_i - b_{m-1}}{a_{m-1} - a_i} = \frac{b_{m-1} - b_{m-2}}{a_{m-2} - a_{m-1}}$

and that $\forall j \in [2, m-1]$, a_j dominates on $\left] \frac{b_j - b_{j-1}}{a_{j-1} - a_j}, \frac{b_{j+1} - b_j}{a_j - a_{j+1}} \right[$

$- a_1$ dominates on $\left] -\infty, \frac{b_2 - b_1}{a_1 - a_2} \right[$

Hence f is piecewise affine over $\left] -\infty, \frac{b_2 - b_1}{a_1 - a_2} \right[$, $\left] \frac{b_j - b_{j-1}}{a_{j-1} - a_j}, \frac{b_{j+1} - b_j}{a_j - a_{j+1}} \right[$, $\left] \frac{b_{m-1} - b_{m-2}}{a_{m-2} - a_{m-1}}, \infty \right[$

(and continuous)

Let us prove that $\text{dom } f^* = [a_1, a_m]$

f^* is piecewise affine

④ for x sufficiently big, $yx - f(x) = yx - (a_m x + b_m)$

$$= (y - a_m)x - b_m$$

which must remain bounded above as $x \rightarrow +\infty$,

hence $y - a_m \leq 0$, that is $y \leq a_m$.

For x sufficiently small, one gets $a_1 \leq y$

⑤ Consider $y \in [a_1, a_m]$

$\varphi: x \rightarrow yx - f(x)$ is piecewise affine and continuous, with successive slopes $y - a_1, y - a_2, \dots, y - a_m$

An affine piecewise continuous function increases as long as its slope is ≥ 0 , hence φ increases as long as $y - a_i \geq 0$, hence φ reaches its maximum at the breakpoint between a_i and a_{i+1} (where i is such that $a_i \leq y < a_{i+1}$), i.e. at $\frac{b_{i+1} - b_i}{a_i - a_{i+1}}$

Hence $f^*(y) = (y - a_i) \frac{b_{i+1} - b_i}{a_i - a_{i+1}} - b_i$ for all $y \in [a_i, a_{i+1}]$

Hence f^* is piecewise affine continuous on each $[a_i, a_{i+1}]$

d) If $p > 1$, let us prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{dom } f^* &= \mathbb{R} \\ f^*(y) &= \begin{cases} (p-1) \left(\frac{y}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} & \text{if } y > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y \leq 0 \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Consider any $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and note that $yx - x^p \xrightarrow[x \rightarrow \infty]{} -\infty$,

hence $\psi \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x \rightarrow yx - x^p \end{cases}$ is bounded above

thus $\text{dom } f^* = \mathbb{R}$

Note that $\varphi'(x) = y - px^{p-1}$

$$\varphi'(x) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow y \leq px^{p-1} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{True no matter } x \text{ if } y \leq 0 \\ x \geq \left(\frac{y}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \text{ if } y > 0 \end{cases}$$

Hence $f^*(y) = \begin{cases} \varphi(0) & \text{if } y \leq 0 \\ \varphi\left(\left(\frac{y}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right) & \text{if } y > 0 \end{cases}$

$$f^*(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \leq 0 \\ (p-1) \left(\frac{y}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} & \text{if } y > 0 \end{cases}$$

If $p < 0$, let us prove $\text{dom } f^* = \mathbb{R}$

$$f^*: y \rightarrow (p-1) \left(\frac{y}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

(C) Consider $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and note that $yx - f(x) = yx - \frac{1}{x^{p-1}}$

For this to remain bounded above as $x \rightarrow \infty$, one must have

$$y \leq 0$$

(D) Let $y \leq 0$ and $\psi: x \rightarrow yx - x^p$

$$\psi'(x) = y - px^{p-1}$$

$$\psi'(x) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow y \leq px^{p-1} \Leftrightarrow \frac{y}{p} \geq x^{p-1} \Leftrightarrow x \geq \left(\frac{y}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

Hence $f^*(y) = (p-1) \left(\frac{y}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$.

e) let us prove $\text{dom } f^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n / \forall i \in [1, n], y_i < 0 \text{ and } \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (-y_i)\right)^{1/m} \geq \frac{1}{m}\}$

$$f^* = 0$$

(F) For $t > 0$, let $x_k = t$ and $x_i = 1$ for $i \neq k$

$$\text{Note that } y^T x - f(x) = t y_k - \sum_{i \neq k} y_i + t^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

for this to remain bounded above as $t \rightarrow \infty$, one must have $y_k < 0$, and this holds for every k .

Besides, for $t > 0$, let $x_k = -\frac{t}{y_k}$

$$\text{Then } y^T x - f(x) = t \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{y_i} \right)^{y_m} - m \right)$$

This must remain bounded above as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{y_i} \right) \right]^{y_m} &\leq m \\ \text{i.e. } \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (-y_i) \right]^{y_m} &> \frac{1}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

②. Consider y such that $y < 0$ and $\left[\prod_{i=1}^m (-y_i) \right]^{y_m} \geq \frac{1}{m}$. $(*)$

$$\text{By AN-GM, } \left(\prod_{i=1}^m x_i (-y_i) \right)^{y_m} \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i (-y_i)$$

$$\text{Hence } -m \left(\prod_{i=1}^m x_i (-y_i) \right)^{y_m} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } y^T x - f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i + \left(\prod_{i=1}^m x_i \right)^{y_m} \\ &\leq -m \left(\prod_{i=1}^m x_i (-y_i) \right)^{y_m} + \left(\prod_{i=1}^m x_i \right)^{y_m} \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^m x_i \right)^{y_m} \underbrace{\left[1 - m \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (-y_i) \right)^{y_m} \right]}_{\leq 0 \text{ by } (*)} \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{For } x_1 = \dots = x_n = \varepsilon > 0, \quad y^T x - f(x) = \varepsilon \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + 1 \right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$$

Hence the bound is tight : $f^*(y) = 0$.

f) let us prove $\text{dom } f^* = \{ (y, u) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R} / u < 0 \text{ and } \|y\| \leq -u \}$

$$f^*(y, u) = -2 + \log 4 - \log(y^T y - u^2)$$

①. Consider $(y, u) \in \text{dom } f^*$

$y^T x + u t + \log(t^2 - x^T x)$ must remain bounded above as $t \rightarrow +\infty$

This implies $u < 0$ (if $u = 0$, the log takes over)

Let $x = \lambda y$ with $\lambda > 0$ and $t = \lambda \|y\| + \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\text{Then } y^T x + u t + \log(t^2 - x^T x) = \lambda \|y\| \|x\| + \log(2\epsilon\|y\| + \epsilon^2)$$

This must remain bounded above as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, hence $\|y\| + u < 0$
 (if $\|y\| + u = 0$, the log takes over)

□ Consider (y, u) with $u < 0$ and $\|y\| < -u$

$$\begin{aligned} y^T x + u t + \log(t^2 - x^T x) &\leq \|y\| \|x\| + u t + \log(t^2) + \log\left(1 - \frac{\|x\|^2}{t^2}\right) \\ &\leq t \underbrace{(\|y\| + u)}_{< 0} + 2 \log t \\ &\leq C \text{ where } C \text{ is a constant.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Even better, } t(\|y\| + u) + 2 \log t \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} -\infty$$

Therefore, $\varphi(x, t) \rightarrow y^T x + u t + \log(t^2 - x^T x)$ is coercive, thus reaches a global maximum. This maximum clearly lies in the interior of the domain, thus may be found with 1st order methods:

$$\nabla_x^\varphi(x, t) = y - \frac{2x}{t^2 - \|x\|^2}$$

hence critical points are given by

$$\nabla_t^\varphi(x, t) = u + \frac{2t}{t^2 - \|x\|^2}$$

$$\begin{cases} y = \frac{2x}{t^2 - \|x\|^2} \\ 2t = -u(t^2 - \|x\|^2) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{One gets } \|x\| = \sqrt{\frac{2t}{u} + t^2}, \text{ hence } \|y\| = \frac{2\sqrt{\frac{2t}{u} + t^2}}{-\frac{2t}{u}}, \text{ which yields}$$

$$t = -\frac{2u}{u^2 - \|y\|^2}, \text{ and then } x = \frac{2y}{u^2 - \|y\|^2}$$

$$\text{Hence: } f^*(y, u) = \varphi(x, t) = -2 + \log 4 - \log(\|y\|^2 - u^2)$$