

# HW1

Gabriel ROMON

## Exercise 1

1. Since  $\cos(x-y) = \cos(x)\cos(y) + \sin(x)\sin(y) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \cos(x) \\ \sin(x) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \cos(y) \\ \sin(y) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ , we have  $K(x, y) = \langle \phi(x), \phi(y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$  hence  $K$  is positive definite.
2. On  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $|x^T y| \leq \|x\|_2 \|y\|_2 < 1$  hence  $\frac{1}{1 - x^T y} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x^T y)^k$ .  $(x, y) \mapsto x^T y$  being the linear kernel, each  $(x, y) \mapsto (x^T y)^k$  is positive definite (as a product of p.d kernels) hence the partial sums  $(x, y) \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^n (x^T y)^k$  are positive definite (as sums of p.d kernels).  $K$  is therefore a pointwise limit of positive definite kernels, and is thus positive definite.
3. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the space of measurable functions from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  to  $([-1, 1], \mathcal{B}([-1, 1]))$ . On this space we consider the bilinear form  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f g dP$ . It is non-negative in the sense that  $\langle f, f \rangle = \int f^2 dP \geq 0$ . Note that

$$K(A, B) = P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B) = \int (1_A - P(A))(1_B - P(B)) dP = \langle \phi(A), \phi(B) \rangle$$

Non-negativity of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  shows that  $K$  is positive definite.

4. Let us prove first that  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x, y) \mapsto \min(x, y)$  is a positive definite kernel. Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$  and note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N a_i a_j \min(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N a_i a_j \int_0^{\infty} 1_{t \leq x_i} 1_{t \leq x_j} dt = \int_0^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N a_i 1_{t \leq x_i} \right)^2 dt \geq 0$$

Next, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \min(f(x)g(y), f(y)g(x)) &= 1_{g(x)>0} 1_{g(y)>0} \min\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} g(x)g(y), \frac{f(y)}{g(y)} g(x)g(y)\right) \\ &= 1_{g(x)>0} 1_{g(y)>0} g(x)g(y) \min\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}, \frac{f(y)}{g(y)}\right) \\ &= g(x)g(y) \min(1_{g(x)>0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}, 1_{g(y)>0} \frac{f(y)}{g(y)}) \end{aligned}$$

$(x, y) \mapsto g(x)g(y)$  is a positive definite kernel. The function  $x \mapsto 1_{g(x)>0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  has values in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and by positive definiteness of the min proved before,

$$(x, y) \mapsto \min(1_{g(x)>0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}, 1_{g(y)>0} \frac{f(y)}{g(y)})$$

is positive definite, hence  $(x, y) \mapsto \min(f(x)g(y), f(y)g(x))$  is positive definite as a product.

5. Let us prove the claim for  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{P}(E) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  first. Let  $\mu$  denote the counting measure on  $E$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be the space of measurable functions from  $(E, \mathcal{P}(E))$  to  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]))$ . On this space we consider the bilinear form  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f g d\mu$ . It is non-negative in the sense that  $\langle f, f \rangle = \int f^2 d\mu \geq 0$ . Note that

$$|A \cap B| = \mu(A \cap B) = \int 1_A 1_B d\mu = \langle 1_A, 1_B \rangle$$

hence  $(A, B) \mapsto |A \cap B|$  is a positive definite kernel. Next, note that

$$\frac{1}{|A \cup B|} = \frac{1}{|E| - |A^c \cap B^c|} = \frac{1}{|E|} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{|A^c \cap B^c|}{|E|}}$$

Since  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty,  $\frac{|A^c \cap B^c|}{|E|} < 1$  thus

$$\frac{1}{|A \cup B|} = \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|A^c \cap B^c|}{|E|} \right)^k$$

Since  $|A^c \cap B^c| = \langle 1_{A^c}, 1_{B^c} \rangle$ ,  $(A, B) \mapsto |A^c \cap B^c|$  is a positive definite kernel, and by closedness arguments,  $\frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|A^c \cap B^c|}{|E|} \right)^k$  also is. Finally,  $(A, B) \mapsto \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$  is the product of two positive definite kernels, and is thus positive definite.

In the general case, if  $A$  or  $B$  is empty,  $K(A, B) = 0$ . Returning to the basic definition of a positive definite kernel, removing the empty  $A_i$  and using the previous claim finishes the proof.

## Exercise 2

1. Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$  and note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N a_i a_j (\alpha K_1(x_i, x_j) + \beta K_2(x_i, x_j)) = \underbrace{\alpha \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N a_i a_j K_1(x_i, x_j)}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N a_i a_j K_2(x_i, x_j)}_{\geq 0} \geq 0$$

$\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$  is thus positive definite.

- Suppose first that  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ . Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be the RKHS corresponding respectively to  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Hilbert direct sum of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ :  $\mathcal{F} = H_1 \times H_2$  equipped with the inner product

$$\langle (f_1, f_2), (g_1, g_2) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} := \langle f_1, g_1 \rangle_{H_1} + \langle f_2, g_2 \rangle_{H_2}$$

It is standard that  $(\mathcal{F}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}})$  is a Hilbert space.

Let  $u : \begin{cases} \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow H_1 + H_2 \\ (f_1, f_2) & \longmapsto f_1 + f_2 \end{cases}$ .  $u$  is linear, surjective and  $\ker u$  is closed (easy to prove).

$\ker u^\perp$  is thus in direct sum with  $\ker u$ :  $\mathcal{F} = \ker u \oplus \ker u^\perp$ , and let  $v := u|_{\ker u^\perp}$ . A standard result of linear algebra shows that  $v$  is bijective. We can then define the following inner product on  $H_1 + H_2$ :

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H_1 + H_2} := \langle v^{-1}(f), v^{-1}(g) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

Since  $v^{-1}$  is a linear isomorphism,  $(H_1 + H_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_1 + H_2})$  is a Hilbert space. Let us show that it is the RKHS of  $K_1 + K_2$ . First, since  $K_{1_x} \in H_1$  and  $K_{2_x} \in H_2$ , we have  $(K_1 + K_2)_x = K_{1_x} + K_{2_x} \in H_1 + H_2$ . Next, if  $f \in H_1 + H_2$  we write  $f = g + h$  with  $g \in H_1$  and  $h \in H_2$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, (K_1 + K_2)_x \rangle_{H_1 + H_2} &= \langle g + h, K_{1_x} + K_{2_x} \rangle_{H_1 + H_2} \\ &= \langle v^{-1}(g + h), v^{-1}(K_{1_x} + K_{2_x}) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \\ &= \langle (g, h), (K_{1_x}, K_{2_x}) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \\ &= \langle g, K_{1_x} \rangle_{H_1} + \langle h, K_{2_x} \rangle_{H_2} \\ &= g(x) + h(x) \\ &= f(x) \end{aligned}$$

• Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be arbitrary positive reals. Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be the RKHS corresponding respectively to  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ . It is easy to prove that the RKHS of  $\alpha K_1$  is  $(H_1, \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_1})$  and that of  $\beta K_2$  is  $(H_2, \frac{1}{\beta} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_2})$ . Applying the previous result to the sum, we let  $\mathcal{F} = H_1 \times H_2$  equipped with the inner product

$$\langle (f_1, f_2), (g_1, g_2) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} := \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle f_1, g_1 \rangle_{H_1} + \frac{1}{\beta} \langle f_2, g_2 \rangle_{H_2}$$

We define  $u, v$  and the inner product as before:

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H_1+H_2} := \langle v^{-1}(f), v^{-1}(g) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

$(H_1 + H_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_1+H_2})$  is the RKHS of  $\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$ .

2. Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$  and note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N a_i a_j \langle \Psi(x_i), \Psi(x_j) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \geq 0$$

hence  $K$  is a positive definite kernel.

Let  $E = \{\sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i) | x_i \in \mathcal{X}, a_i \in \mathbb{R}, N \geq 1\}$ .  $E$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

For  $z \in \overline{E}$ , let  $f_z : y \mapsto \langle z, \Psi(y) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $H = \{f_z | z \in \overline{E}\}$ . Note that  $\begin{cases} \overline{E} & \longrightarrow & H \\ z & \longmapsto & f_z \end{cases}$  is a linear isomorphism. It is clearly linear and surjective.

Let us prove injectivity. Consider some  $z \in \overline{E}$  such that  $f_z = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By definition of  $\overline{E}$ , there exists  $r \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\|r\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $N \geq 1$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $z = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i) + r$ . Since  $f_z = 0$ , for all  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\langle \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i) + r, \Psi(y) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$$

Plugging  $y = x_i$  and summing yields  $\langle \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i) + r, \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ , hence

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 = -\langle r, \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \|r\|_{\mathcal{F}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}$$

thus  $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \|r\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \varepsilon$ , hence  $\|z\| \leq 2\varepsilon$ . This holds for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so  $z = 0$ .

Since  $\overline{E}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{F}$ , it is a Hilbert space when equipped with the induced inner product. Consequently,  $H$  equipped with the inner product  $\langle f_z, f_{z'} \rangle_H := \langle z, z' \rangle_{\overline{E}}$  is a Hilbert space. Let us prove that it is the RKHS of  $K$ . First note that  $K_x = f_{\Psi(x)} \in H$ . For the reproducing property, given  $z \in \overline{E}$ ,

$$\langle f_z, K_x \rangle_H = \langle f_z, f_{\Psi(x)} \rangle_H = \langle z, \Psi(x) \rangle_{\overline{E}} = f_z(x)$$

### Exercise 3

1. • Let us show first that  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$  is a Hilbert space.  $\mathcal{H}$  is clearly a vector space and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  is a symmetric bilinear form.

Let us prove that it is positive definite: if  $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\int_0^1 f'^2(u) du = 0$ , hence  $f' = 0$  a.e. in  $[0, 1]$ . Since  $f$  is absolutely continuous,

$$\forall x \in [0, 1], f(x) = f(0) + \int_0^x f'(t) dt = f(0) = 0$$

Hence  $f = 0$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  is an inner product.

It remains to prove that  $\mathcal{H}$  is complete for the norm induced by its inner product. Let  $(f_n)_n \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be

a Cauchy sequence. Note that  $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_0^1 f_n'(t)^2 dt = \|f_n'\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2$ , hence  $(f_n')_n$  is Cauchy in  $L^2([0,1])$ , hence converges to some  $g \in L^2([0,1])$ . Let  $x \in [0,1]$  and note that

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| = \left| \int_0^x f_n'(t) - f_m'(t) dt \right| \leq \int_0^x |f_n'(t) - f_m'(t)| dt \leq \|f_n' - f_m'\|_{L^2([0,1])} \sqrt{x}$$

$(f_n(x))_n$  is thus Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}$  and we may define  $f(x) := \lim_n f_n(x)$ . It remains to prove that  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $f_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}} f$ . Note that  $f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x) = \lim_n \int_0^x f_n'(t) dt$  and

$$\left| \int_0^x f_n'(t) dt - \int_0^x g(t) dt \right| \leq \int_0^x |f_n'(t) - g(t)| dt \leq \|f_n' - g\|_{L^2([0,1])} \sqrt{x} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Hence  $\lim_n \int_0^x f_n'(t) dt = \int_0^x g(t) dt$ , thus  $f(x) = \int_0^x g(t) dt$ . This proves that  $f$  is absolutely continuous with  $f' = g$  a.e. and  $f(0) = 0$ . Hence  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Lastly,  $\|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_0^1 (f_n'(t) - f'(t))^2 dt = \int_0^1 (f_n'(t) - g(t))^2 dt = \|f_n' - g\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

• Let us prove that the reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $K : (x, y) \mapsto \min(x, y)$ .

Let  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Note that  $K_x(0) = 0$  and  $K_x$  is Lipschitz (as a continuous piecewise affine function), hence absolutely continuous. It's easy to check that  $K_x' \in L^2([0, 1])$ , hence  $K_x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Besides,  $\langle f, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int f'(t) K_x'(t) dt = \int_0^x f'(t) dt = f(x)$ , thus  $K : (x, y) \mapsto \min(x, y)$  is the reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

2. • Let us prove that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{G}$ , the RKHS of question 1. Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed, it suffices to prove that if  $(f_n)_n \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{N}}$  converges to some  $f \in \mathcal{G}$  for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}}$ , then  $f(1) = 0$ . Note that

$$|f_n(1) - f(1)| = \left| \int_0^1 f_n'(t) - f'(t) dt \right| \leq \int_0^1 |f_n'(t) - f'(t)| dt \leq \|f_n' - f'\|_{L^2([0,1])} = \|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{G}}$$

Hence  $f(1) = \lim_n f_n(1) = 0$ . As a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space for the induced inner product.

• Let us prove that the reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $K : (x, y) \mapsto \min(x, y) - xy$ . Let  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Note that  $K_x(0) = K_x(1) = 0$  and  $K_x$  is Lipschitz (as a continuous piecewise affine function), hence absolutely continuous. It's easy to check that  $K_x' \in L^2([0, 1])$ , hence  $K_x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Besides,

$$\langle f, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int f'(y) K_x'(y) dy = \int_0^x (1-x) f'(y) dy + \int_x^1 -x f'(y) dy = (1-x)(f(x) - f(0)) + x(f(1) - f(x)) = f(x)$$

thus  $K : (x, y) \mapsto \min(x, y) - xy$  is the reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

3. I assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is the RHKS of **the second question**.

• Let us show first that  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$  is a Hilbert space.  $\mathcal{H}$  is clearly a vector space and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  is a symmetric bilinear form.

Let us prove that it is positive definite: if  $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\int_0^1 f^2(u) + f'^2(u) du = 0$ , hence  $f' = 0$  a.e. in  $[0, 1]$ . Since  $f$  is absolutely continuous,

$$\forall x \in [0, 1], f(x) = f(0) + \int_0^x f'(t) dt = f(0) = 0$$

Hence  $f = 0$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  is an inner product.

It remains to prove that  $\mathcal{H}$  is complete for the norm induced by its inner product. Let  $(f_n)_n \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence. Since  $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 + \|f_n'\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2$ ,  $(f_n)_n$  and  $(f_n')_n$  are Cauchy in  $L^2([0, 1])$ . Similarly to what was done in question 1,  $(f_n')_n$  converges in  $L^2$  to some  $g$  and  $(f_n)_n$  converges pointwise to some  $f$  with  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $f' = g$  a.e. By uniqueness of the limit,  $(f_n)_n$  must also converge in  $L^2([0, 1])$  to  $f$ , hence  $\|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}} 0$ .

- Let us prove that the reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}$  is

$$K : (x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sinh(1)} \sinh(\min(1-x, 1-y)) \sinh(\max(x, y))$$

Let  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Note that  $K_x(0) = K_x(1) = 0$  and  $K_x$  is Lipschitz (as a piecewise Lipschitz function), hence absolutely continuous. Since  $K'_x$  is piecewise continuous on a compact set, we have  $K'_x \in L^2([0, 1])$ , hence  $K_x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Tedious computations show that the reproducing property holds.

## Exercise 4

- a. Let  $\mathcal{L}(f, \lambda) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{y_i}(f(x_i)) + \lambda(\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_K} - B)$  be the Lagrangian of the optimization problem at hand.

Since  $\ell_y$  is convex for any  $y$ , it is easy to prove that  $f \mapsto \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{y_i}(f(x_i))$  is convex. The constraint  $f \mapsto \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_K} - B$  is also clearly convex, and Slater's condition is trivially true on the closed ball. Strong duality thus holds, so the minimum we are looking for is equal to  $\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_K} L(f, \lambda^*)$  for some  $\lambda^* \geq 0$ . This rewrites as

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_K} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{y_i}(f(x_i)) + \lambda^*(\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_K} - B)$$

Removing the constant term and applying the representer's theorem yields

$$\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{y_i}([K\alpha]_i) + \lambda^* \alpha^T K \alpha$$

If we let  $R(u) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{y_i}(u_i)$ , the problem turns into  $\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} R(K\alpha) + \lambda^* \alpha^T K \alpha$ .

- b. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} R^*(\eta) &= \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \eta^T u - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{y_i}(u_i) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n n \eta_i u_i - \ell_{y_i}(u_i) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} [n \eta_i u_i - \ell_{y_i}(u_i)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{y_i}^*(n \eta_i) \end{aligned}$$

Swapping the finite sum and the supremum can be justified by the standard equality  $\sup(A + B) = \sup A + \sup B$ .

- c. Let  $\mathcal{L}(\alpha, u, \eta) = R(u) + \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha + \eta^T (K \alpha - u)$  be the Lagrangian of the problem. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\alpha, u} \mathcal{L}(\alpha, u, \eta) &= \inf_{\alpha, u \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left( (R(u) - \eta^T u) + (\lambda \alpha^T K \alpha + \eta^T K \alpha) \right) \\ &= \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha + \eta^T K \alpha - \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} [\eta^T u - R(u)] \\ &= \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left( \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha + \eta^T K \alpha \right) - R^*(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha \mapsto \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha + \eta^T K \alpha$  is convex (as the sum of two convex functions) and differentiable, so it reaches a global minimum at any of its critical points. Setting the gradient to 0 yields  $2\lambda K \alpha + K \eta = 0$ , hence

$\lambda\alpha^T K\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\eta^T K\alpha$ , and  $-\eta^T K\alpha = \frac{1}{2\lambda}\eta^T K\eta$ .

As a result  $\inf_{\alpha,u} \mathcal{L}(\alpha, u, \eta) = -\frac{1}{4\lambda}\eta^T K\eta - R^*(\eta)$ , so the dual writes as

$$\min_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{4\lambda}\eta^T K\eta + R^*(\eta)$$

From the previous first order conditions we got  $K(2\lambda\alpha + \eta) = 0$ . Once a solution  $\eta^*$  of the dual is computed, we can choose any  $\alpha^* \in \frac{1}{2\lambda}(\ker(K) - \eta^*)$

d. For notational ease let  $H_{y,p}(u) = pu - \ell_y(u)$ , so that  $\ell_y^*(p) = \sup_u H_{y,p}(u)$ .

• For the logistic case  $H_{y,p}(u) = pu - \log(1 + e^{-yu})$ . Since  $y^2 = 1$ ,

$$H_{y,p}(u) = py(yu) - \log(1 + e^{-yu}) = (py + 1)(yu) - \log(1 + e^{yu})$$

Thus  $H_{y,p}(u) \rightarrow \infty$  when  $py > 0$  and  $yu \rightarrow \infty$  or  $py < -1$  and  $yu \rightarrow -\infty$ . We can therefore constrain our attention to the case  $-1 \leq py \leq 0$ , where the concave function  $H_{y,p}$  has an upper bound. Differentiating yields  $H'_{y,p}(u^*) = 0 \iff p + y \frac{e^{-yu^*}}{e^{-yu^*} + 1} \iff u^* = y \log\left(-1 - \frac{1}{py}\right)$  and  $H_{y,p}(u^*) = (py + 1) \log(py + 1) - py \log(-py)$ . Hence

$$\ell_y^*(p) = \begin{cases} (py + 1) \log(py + 1) - py \log(-py) & \text{if } -1 \leq py \leq 0 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The dual writes as:

$$\min_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{4\lambda}\eta^T K\eta + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [(n\eta_i y_i + 1) \log(n\eta_i y_i + 1) - n\eta_i y_i \log(-n\eta_i y_i)]$$

under the constraint  $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, 0 \leq -\eta_i y_i \leq \frac{1}{n}$ .

• For the squared hinge case, we deal with  $H_{y,p}(u) = pu - \max(0, 1 - yu)^2$ . Since  $H_{y,p}(u) = py(yu) - \max(0, 1 - yu)^2$  it boils down to maximizing  $T_v(x) = vx - \max(0, 1 - x)^2$  where  $v = py$  and  $x = yu$ . Since  $T_v(x) \rightarrow \infty$  when  $v > 0$ , we can focus on the case  $v \geq 0$ . In this case,  $T_v(x) = vx$  when  $x > 1$  and the supremum is  $v$ . When  $x \leq 1$ ,  $T_v(x) = vx - (1 - x)^2$ . This quadratic function reaches its maximum when  $x = 1 + \frac{v}{2}$  and the value is  $v + \frac{v^2}{4}$ . Thus  $\sup_x T_v(x) = +\infty$  if  $v > 0$  and  $v + \frac{v^2}{4}$  if  $v \leq 0$ . Hence:

$$\ell_y^*(p) = \begin{cases} py + \frac{p^2}{4} & \text{if } py \leq 0 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The dual writes as:

$$\min_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{4\lambda}\eta^T K\eta + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ n\eta_i y_i + \frac{n^2 \eta_i^2}{4} \right] \quad (1)$$

under the constraint  $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \eta_i y_i \leq 0$ .