Alternative of existing solutions	Main features	Advantages	Disadvantages
Visual Studio Code	 Syntax highlighting Extensive plugin ecosystem Cross-platform compatibility Integrated terminal and Git support Customizable interface 	 Highly extensible through extensions Active open-source community Frequent updates and feature improvements Integrated debugging and development tools 	Built on Electron, leading to higher memory usage Slower performance compared to lightweight editors
Sublime Text	 Syntax highlighting Cross-platform support Extensive plugin system via Package Control Fast startup and performance 	 Extremely fast and responsive Rich ecosystem of plugins Highly customizable 	 Closed-source, limiting community involvement Paid license after trial period
Notepad++	 Syntax highlighting Open-source Plugin support Lightweight and fast Windows-focused 	 Lightweight and efficient Active open-source community Supports many programming languages 	 Limited native support on non-Windows platforms Outdated UI compared to modern editors
Atom	 Syntax highlighting Cross-platform Plugin and theme support GitHub integration 	 Open-source and hackable Good for customization and prototyping Rich plugin ecosystem 	 Discontinued as of 2022 Slower performance (Electron-based) No longer actively maintained
Windows Notepad	 Basic text editing Included with Windows Fast startup 	 Very lightweight and simple to use Instant startup time 	 Closed-source, limiting community engagement No syntax highlighting or advanced features indows-only
Gedit	 Syntax highlighting Plugin support Open-source Cross-platform (Linux, Windows, macOS) 	 Simple and user-friendly Open-source and community-driven Integrates well with GNOME desktop 	 Lacks advanced developer tools Not as customizable as other editors

Kate	 Syntax highlighting Multiple document interface Plugin support Open-source Cross-platform 	 Feature-rich for an open- source editor Strong community support Good performance 	 Interface may be overwhelming for beginners Less popular on Windows and macOS
Obsidian	 Markdown-based text editing Cross-platform (Windows, macOS, Linux, mobile) Plugin and theme support Graph view for linking notes Offline support 	 Powerful organization and linking features Large and active user community Plugin system allows customization Excellent for note-taking and knowledge management 	 Closed-source (limits community engagement with the core) Heavier and slower startup than lightweight editors Focused more on note management than pure text editing
Notion	 Online collaborative editor Cross-platform (web, desktop, mobile) Rich-text editing with databases, tables, and media embedding Real-time synchronization and collaboration 	Excellent for structured content and team collaboration Clean and modern interface Integrates with many external services	 Closed-source Requires an internet connection for most use cases Slower and heavier than traditional text editors Not optimized for code or plain-text editing
VIM	 Modal text editing (normal, insert, visual, command modes) Syntax highlighting Cross-platform (Linux, macOS, Windows) Highly configurable via scripts and plugins Open-source and terminal-based 	 Extremely lightweight and fast Fully open-source with a large, active community Runs efficiently even on low-end systems Highly customizable and extensible 	 Steep learning curve for new users Minimal GUI (primarily terminal-based) Configuration and plugin management can be complex

Conclusions

The analysis of existing text editors highlights a diverse ecosystem from minimalistic ones like Notepad and Vim to feature-rich, extensible platforms such as Visual Studio Code, Obsidian, and Notion.

Most mainstream editors prioritize flexibility and integration over performance, often relying on heavier frameworks (like Electron) that increase memory usage.

In contrast, our project's focus on being fast, lightweight, and written in C. Positioning it uniquely as an efficient alternative for users who value speed and simplicity over extensive add-ons.

Open-source projects (like Vim, Notepad++, Gedit, and Kate) demonstrate the benefits of strong community engagement and long-term sustainability. This reinforces the advantage of keeping your editor open-source to encourage contributions, transparency, and user-driven improvements.

Closed-source editors (like Sublime Text, Notion, Obsidian, and Windows Notepad) often deliver polished experiences but restrict community innovation and adaptability — a significant opportunity for your project to differentiate itself.