## EE5603:Conceention Inequalities

## Sumohana Chennappayya and G V V Sharma\*

1 Markov Inequality

2 discretc case:

 $x = nn \in IN, \Pr(n)$ 

1.1 Let  $X \ge 0$  be a positive random integer. Show that

$$E[X] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Pr(X \ge m)$$
 (1.1)

**Solution:** By definition,

$$E[X] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \Pr(X = m)$$

$$= \Pr(X = 1) + 2 \Pr(X = 2) + 3 \Pr(X = 3)$$

$$= \{ \Pr(X = 1) + \Pr(X = 2) + \Pr(X = 3) \}$$

$$+\ldots$$
 (1.4)

$$+ \{ \Pr(X = 2) + \Pr(X = 3) + \ldots \}$$
 (1.5)

$$+ \{ \Pr(X = 3) + \dots \} + \dots$$
 (1.6)

= 
$$Pr(X \ge 1) + 2 Pr(X \ge 2) + 3 Pr(X \ge 3)$$

$$+\dots$$
 (1.7)

resulting in (1.2).

1.2 For r.v  $X \ge 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\Pr(X \ge \varepsilon) \le \frac{E[X]}{\varepsilon}$$
 (1.8)

$$Ex = \int_0^\infty \Pr(x \ge t) dt \tag{1.9}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty f_x(x) d_x dt \tag{1.10}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty t. f_x(t) dt \tag{1.11}$$

$$E[x] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n. \Pr(n) = 1. \Pr(1) + 2p(2)....$$
 (2.1)  
n=1  $\Leftrightarrow p(x \ge 1)$ 

$$= 1. \Pr(1) + 1. \Pr(2)....$$
 (2.2)

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Pr(x \geqslant m) \tag{2.4}$$

(1.3) 
$$E[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_x(\lambda) dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} x . f_x(\lambda) dx (\therefore x \ge 0)$$

$$= \int_0^\varepsilon x.f_x(\lambda).dx. + \int_\varepsilon^\infty x.f_x(\lambda)dx$$
 (2.6)

$$\geqslant \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} x. f_x(\lambda) dx \tag{2.7}$$

$$\geqslant \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \varepsilon f_x(\lambda) dx \tag{2.8}$$

(since x is non negetive)

$$=\varepsilon \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} f_{x}(\lambda) dx \tag{2.9}$$

$$= \varepsilon. \Pr(x \ge \varepsilon)$$
 (2.10)

$$i.eE[X] \ge \varepsilon. \Pr(x \ge \varepsilon)$$
 (2.11)

$$Or \Pr(x \ge \varepsilon) \le \frac{EX}{\varepsilon}; \varepsilon > 0$$
 (2.12)

3 CHEBYSHER INEONALITY:

For any random variable x, and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\Pr[1x - E[x]| \ge \varepsilon] \le \frac{Var(x)}{\varepsilon^2}$$
 (3.1)

\*The author is with the Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT, Hyderabad 502285 India e-mail: {jbala,gadepall}@iith.ac.in. All material in the manuscript is released under GNU GPL. Free to use for all.

if  $\phi(\lambda)$  is strictly monitrmic and incaring,

$$\Pr(x \ge \varepsilon) = \Pr(\phi(x) \ge \phi(\varepsilon)).$$
 (3.2)

using this result and lelting

$$Y = |x - E[x]| \tag{3.3}$$

 $\Pr(y \ge \varepsilon) = \Pr(\phi(Y) \ge \phi(c))$  Where  $\phi(\lambda) = x^2$ ,

$$= \Pr[(x - E[x])^2 \geqslant \varepsilon^2] \tag{3.4}$$

Cheorrly  $\phi(y)$  is non-negative and the  $\mu I$  is Valid.

$$\Rightarrow \Pr(y \ge \varepsilon) \le \frac{E(x - Ex)}{\varepsilon^2}$$
 (3.5)