

Matrix Theory (EE5609) Challenging Problem 3

Arkadipta De
MTech Artificial Intelligence
AI20MTECH14002

Abstract—This document proves the Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

Download latex codes from

https://github.com/Arko98/EE5609/tree/master/Challenge_2

1 PROBLEM

Prove Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.

2 THEOREM STATEMENT

Every Square matrix satisfies its own characteristic equation.

Let, \mathbf{A} be a square matrix of order n and $p(\lambda) = \det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})$ be the characteristic equation of \mathbf{A} in λ and \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix of order n which is the same order of the matrix \mathbf{A} then the characteristic equation of \mathbf{A} is given by,

$$\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I}) = 0$$

(2.0.1)

$$\Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} - \lambda & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & a_{n3} & \cdots & a_{nn} - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

(2.0.2)

$$\Rightarrow a_0 + a_1\lambda + a_2\lambda^2 + \cdots + a_n\lambda^n = 0$$

(2.0.3)

From Cayley-Hamilton theorem, the matrix \mathbf{A} will satisfy (2.0.3),

$$a_0 + a_1\mathbf{A} + a_2\mathbf{A}^2 + \cdots + a_n\mathbf{A}^n = 0 \quad (2.0.4)$$

3 PROOF

If $\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})$ is the adjoint matrix of the matrix \mathbf{A} of order n which is the transpose of the cofactors of the matrix \mathbf{A} then,

$$\mathbf{A}(\text{adj}(\mathbf{A})) = \det(\mathbf{A}) \quad (3.0.1)$$

Replacing \mathbf{A} with $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})$ in (3.0.1) we obtain,

$$(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\text{adj}(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I}) = \det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{I} \quad (3.0.2)$$

As \mathbf{A} has a polynomial of degree n for variable λ , then $\text{adj}(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})$ has a polynomial of degree $n-1$ for variable λ . Expanding $\text{adj}(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})$ with coefficients b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} we get,

$$\text{adj}(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I}) = b_0 + b_1\lambda + b_2\lambda^2 + \cdots + b_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} \quad (3.0.3)$$

Hence, from (3.0.2), putting the value of $\text{adj}(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})$ we get,

$$(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\text{adj}(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I}) \quad (3.0.4)$$

$$= (\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})(b_0 + b_1\lambda + b_2\lambda^2 + \cdots + b_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1}) \quad (3.0.5)$$

$$= \mathbf{A}b_0 + \mathbf{A}b_1\lambda + \cdots + \mathbf{A}b_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} - b_0\lambda \quad (3.0.6)$$

$$- b_1\lambda^2 - \cdots - b_{n-1}\lambda^n \quad (3.0.7)$$

$$= \mathbf{A}b_0 + \lambda(\mathbf{A}b_1 - b_0) + \lambda^2(\mathbf{A}b_2 - b_1) + \cdots - b_{n-1}\lambda^n \quad (3.0.8)$$

Putting values from (2.0.3) and (3.0.8) in (3.0.2),

$$\mathbf{A}b_0 + \lambda(\mathbf{A}b_1 - b_0) + \lambda^2(\mathbf{A}b_2 - b_1) + \cdots - b_{n-1}\lambda^n \quad (3.0.9)$$

$$= a_0 + a_1\lambda + a_2\lambda^2 + \cdots + a_n\lambda^n \quad (3.0.10)$$

Comparing coefficients of equal powers of λ in both sides of (3.0.10),

$$\mathbf{A}b_0 = a_0 \quad (3.0.11)$$

$$\mathbf{A}b_1 - b_0 = a_1 \quad (3.0.12)$$

\vdots

$$\mathbf{A}b_{n-1} - b_{n-2} = a_{n-1} \quad (3.0.13)$$

$$-b_{n-1} = a_n \quad (3.0.14)$$

Now, multiplying both sides of (3.0.11) by \mathbf{I} , both sides of (3.0.12) by \mathbf{A} and so on upto both sides of

(3.0.13) by \mathbf{A}^{n-1} and both sides of (3.0.14) by \mathbf{A}^n we obtain the following,

$$\mathbf{A}b_0 = a_0\mathbf{I} \quad (3.0.15)$$

$$\mathbf{A}^2b_1 - \mathbf{A}b_0 = \mathbf{A}a_1 \quad (3.0.16)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{A}^nb_n - 1 - \mathbf{A}^{n-1}b_n - 2 = a_n - 1\mathbf{A}^{n-1} \quad (3.0.17)$$

$$-\mathbf{A}^nb_n - 1 = a_n\mathbf{A}^n \quad (3.0.18)$$

Adding the equations,

$$\mathbf{A}b_0 + \cdots - \mathbf{A}^nb_n - 1 = a_0 + a_1\mathbf{A} + \cdots + a_n\mathbf{A}^n \quad (3.0.19)$$

$$\implies a_0 + a_1\mathbf{A} + a_2\mathbf{A}^2 + \cdots + a_n\mathbf{A}^n = 0 \quad (3.0.20)$$

(3.0.20) together with (2.0.3) proves the statement of Cayley-Hamilton theorem.