

# Quadratic Programming

## 1 12<sup>th</sup> Maths - Chapter 6

This is Problem-23 from Exercise 6.6

1. Find the equation of the normal to the curve  $x^2 = 4y$  and passing through the point  $(1, 2)$ .

**Solution:** The given equation of the curve can be written as

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x} + f = 0 \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

$$f = 0 \quad (4)$$

We are given that

$$\mathbf{h} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

This can be formulated as optimization problem as below:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}\|^2 \quad (6)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x} + f = 0 \quad (7)$$

First we show that, whether (7) is convex or not. Assume  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  satisfy  $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ . Then,

$$g(\mathbf{x}_1) = \mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_1 + 2\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}_1 + f = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$g(\mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{x}_2^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_2 + 2\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}_2 + f = 0 \quad (9)$$

Then, for any  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , substituting

$$\mathbf{x}_\lambda \leftarrow \lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2 \quad (10)$$

into (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{x}_\lambda) &= (\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2)^\top \mathbf{V} (\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2) \\ &\quad + 2\mathbf{u}^\top (\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2) + f \\ &\implies (\lambda \mathbf{x}_1^\top + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2^\top) \mathbf{V} (\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2) \\ &\quad + 2\mathbf{u}^\top (\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2) + f \\ &\implies (\lambda \mathbf{x}_1^\top \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V} - \lambda \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V}) (\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2) \\ &\quad + 2\mathbf{u}^\top (\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2) + f \\ &\implies \lambda^2 \mathbf{x}_1^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_1 - \lambda^2 \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda \mathbf{x}_1^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_2 \\ &\quad - \lambda \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_2 - \lambda^2 \mathbf{x}_1^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_2 - \lambda \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_2 + \lambda^2 \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_2 \\ &\quad + 2\lambda \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x}_1 + 2\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x}_2 - 2\lambda \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x}_2 + f \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (8) by  $\lambda$  and (9) by  $(1 - \lambda)$  and adding

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda g(\mathbf{x}_1) + (1 - \lambda) g(\mathbf{x}_2) &= \lambda \mathbf{x}_1^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_1 + 2\lambda \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda f + \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_2 + 2\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x}_2 + f \\ &\quad - \lambda \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_2 + 2\lambda \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x}_2 - \lambda f = 0 \\ &\implies f = -\lambda \mathbf{x}_1^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_1 - 2\lambda \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_2 - 2\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x}_2 \\ &\quad + \lambda \mathbf{x}_2^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}_2 - 2\lambda \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x}_2 \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the value of  $f$  from (12) in (11) and simplifying

$$(11) \implies (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)^\top \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \quad (13)$$

Since  $\mathbf{V}$  is a semi-definite matrix, the value of (13) will be  $\geq 0$  contradicting the equality in (7). Hence, the optimization problem is nonconvex. However, by relaxing the constraint in (7) as

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{x} + f \leq 0 \quad (14)$$

the optimization problem can be made convex. Applying convexity property to (14) and simplifying, (13) yields to

$$(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)^\top \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \geq 0 \quad (15)$$

Hence the revised constraint makes it a convex optimization problem.

Using `cvxpy`, input the objective function, constraints and solve. However, resultant optimal point is the given point itself. This is because, the point is inside the parabola. Looks like, this is a limitation of `cvxpy`.