



✓ **Congratulations! You passed!**

TO PASS 80% or higher

Keep Learning

GRADE
90%

Week 4 - Problem Set

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE

90%

1. An attacker intercepts the following ciphertext (hex encoded):

1 / 1 point

20814804c1767293b99f1d9cab3bc3e7 ac1e37bfb15599e5f40eef805488281d

He knows that the plaintext is the ASCII encoding of the message "Pay Bob 100\$" (excluding the quotes). He also knows that the cipher used is CBC encryption with a random IV using AES as the underlying block cipher.

Show that the attacker can change the ciphertext so that it will decrypt to "Pay Bob 500\$". What is the resulting ciphertext (hex encoded)?

This shows that CBC provides no integrity.

20814804c1767293bd9f1d9cab3bc3e7 ac1e37bfb15599e5f40eef805488281d

✓ **Correct**
You got it!

2. Let (E, D) be an encryption system with key space K , message space $\{0, 1\}^n$ and ciphertext space $\{0, 1\}^s$. Suppose (E, D) provides authenticated encryption. Which of the following systems provide authenticated encryption: (as usual, we use \parallel to denote string concatenation)

1 / 1 point

☐ $E'(k, m) = (E(k, m), 0)$ and

$$D'(k, (c, b)) = D(k, c)$$

☒ $E'(k, m) = E(k, m) \oplus 1^s$ and

$$D'(k, c) = D(k, c \oplus 1^s)$$

✓ **Correct**
 (E', D') provides authenticated encryption because an attack on (E', D') directly gives an attack on (E, D) .

☐ $E'(k, m) = E(k, m)$ and

$$D'(k, c) = \begin{cases} D(k, c) & \text{if } D(k, c) \neq \perp \\ 0^n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

☒ $E'(k, m) = E(k, m \oplus 1^n)$ and

$$D'(k, c) = \begin{cases} D(k, c) \oplus 1^n & \text{if } D(k, c) \neq \perp \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

✓ **Correct**
 (E', D') provides authenticated encryption because an attack on (E', D') directly gives an attack on (E, D) .

3. If you need to build an application that needs to encrypt multiple

1 / 1 point

messages using a single key, what encryption

method should you use? (for now, we ignore the question of key generation

and management)

- ☒ use a standard implementation of one of the authenticated encryption modes GCM, CCM, EAX or OCB.
- ☐ Implement OCB by yourself
- ☐ use a standard implementation of CBC encryption with a random IV.
- ☐ use a standard implementation of randomized counter mode.

✓ Correct

4. Let (E, D) be a symmetric encryption system with message space M (think

1 / 1 point

of M as only consisting for short messages, say 32 bytes).

Define the following MAC (S, V) for messages in M :

$$S(k, m) := E(k, m) \quad ; \quad V(k, m, t) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D(k, t) = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

What is the property that the encryption system (E, D) needs to satisfy

for this MAC system to be secure?

- ☒ ciphertext integrity
- ☐ perfect secrecy
- ☐ semantic security
- ☐ semantic security under a chosen plaintext attack

✓ Correct

Indeed, ciphertext integrity prevents existential forgery under a chosen message attack.

5. In [Key Derivation](#) we discussed how to derive session keys

0 / 1 point

from a shared secret. The problem is what to do when the shared

secret is non-uniform. In this question we show that using a PRF with

a *non-uniform* key may result in non-uniform values. This shows that

session keys cannot be derived by directly using a *non-uniform*

secret as a key in a PRF. Instead, one has to use a key derivation

function like HKDF.

Suppose k is a *non-uniform* secret key sampled from the key space $\{0, 1\}^{256}$.

In particular, k is sampled uniformly from the set of all keys whose most significant

128 bits are all 0. In other words, k is chosen uniformly from a small subset of the key space. More precisely,

$$\text{for all } c \in \{0, 1\}^{256} : \Pr[k = c] = \begin{cases} 1/2^{128} & \text{if } \text{MSB}_{128}(c) = 0^{128} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $F(k, x)$ be a secure PRF with input space $\{0, 1\}^{256}$. Which

of the following is a secure PRF when the key k is uniform in the

key space $\{0, 1\}^{256}$, but is insecure when the key is sampled from the *non-uniform*

distribution described above?

- ☐ $F'(k, x) = \begin{cases} F(k, x) & \text{if } \text{MSB}_{128}(k) \neq 0^{128} \\ 1^{256} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- ☒ $F'(k, x) = \begin{cases} F(k, x) & \text{if } \text{MSB}_{128}(k) \neq 1^{128} \\ 1^{256} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- ☐ $F'(k, x) = \begin{cases} F(k, x) & \text{if } \text{MSB}_{128}(k) \neq 1^{128} \\ 0^{256} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- ☐ $F'(k, x) = F(k, x)$

Incorrect

$F'(k, x)$ is a secure PRF because for a uniform key k the

probability that $\text{MSB}_{128}(k) = 1^{128}$ is negligible. But

it may also be secure for the *non-uniform* key k described in the problem.

6. In what settings is it acceptable to use *deterministic* authenticated

1 / 1 point

encryption (DAE) like SIV?

- ☐ to individually encrypt many packets in a voice conversation with a single key.
- ☐ to encrypt many records in a database with a single key when the same record may repeat multiple times.
- ☐ when a fixed message is repeatedly encrypted using a single key.
- ☒ when messages have sufficient structure to guarantee that all messages to be encrypted are unique.

Correct

Deterministic encryption is safe to use when the message/key pair

is never used more than once.

7. Let $E(k, x)$ be a secure block cipher. Consider the following

1 / 1 point

tweakable block cipher:

$$E'((k_1, k_2), t, x) = E(k_1, x) \oplus E(k_2, t).$$

Is this tweakable block cipher secure?

- ☒ no because for $t \neq t'$ we have

$$E'((k_1, k_2), t, 0) \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), t, 1) = E'((k_1, k_2), t', 0) \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), t', 1)$$

- ☐ no because for $t \neq t'$ we have

$$E'((k_1, k_2), t, 0) \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), t', 1) = E'((k_1, k_2), t', 1) \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), t', 0)$$

- ☐ no because for $x \neq x'$ and $t \neq t'$ we have

$$E'((k_1, k_2), t, x) \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), t', x) = E'((k_1, k_2), t, x') \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), t', x')$$

- ☐ no because for $x \neq x'$ we have

$$E'((k_1, k_2), 0, x) \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), 0, x) = E'((k_1, k_2), 0, x') \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), 0, x')$$

- ☐ yes, it is secure assuming E is a secure block cipher.

Correct

since this relation holds, an attacker can make 4 queries to E'

and distinguish E' from a random collection of one-to-one functions.

8. In [Format Preserving Encryption](#) we discussed format preserving encryption

1 / 1 point

which is a PRP on a domain $\{0, \dots, s-1\}$ for some pre-specified value of s .

Recall that the construction we presented worked in two steps, where the second step worked by iterating the PRP until the output fell into the set $\{0, \dots, s-1\}$.

Suppose we try to build a format preserving credit card encryption system from AES using *only* the second step. That is, we start with a PRP with domain $\{0, 1\}^{128}$ from which we want to build a PRP with domain 10^{16} . If we only used step (2), how many iterations of AES would be needed in expectation for each evaluation of the PRP with domain 10^{16} ?

- ☐ 2^{128}
- ☒ $2^{128}/10^{16} \approx 3.4 \times 10^{22}$
- ☐ $10^{16}/2^{128}$
- ☐ 2

✓ Correct

On every iteration we have a probability of $10^{16}/2^{128}$ of falling into the set $\{0, \dots, 10^{16}\}$ and therefore in expectation we will need $2^{128}/10^{16}$ iterations. This should explain why step (1) is needed.

9. Let (E, D) be a secure tweakable block cipher.

1 / 1 point

Define the following MAC (S, V) :

$$S(k, m) := E(k, m, 0) \quad ; \quad V(k, m, \text{tag}) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E(k, m, 0) = \text{tag} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In other words, the message m is used as the tweak and the plaintext given to E is always set to 0.

Is this MAC secure?

- ☐ It depends on the tweakable block cipher.
- ☒ yes
- ☐ no

✓ Correct

A tweakable block cipher is indistinguishable from a collection of random permutations. The chosen message attack on the MAC gives the attacker the image of 0 under a number of the permutations in the family. But that tells the attacker nothing about the image of 0 under some other member of the family.

10. In [CBC Padding Attacks](#) we discussed padding oracle attacks. These chosen-ciphertext attacks can break poor implementations of MAC-then-encrypt.

1 / 1 point

Consider a system that implements MAC-then-encrypt where encryption is done using CBC with a random IV using AES as the block cipher. Suppose the system is vulnerable to a padding oracle

random IV using AES as the block cipher. Suppose the system is vulnerable to a padding oracle attack. An attacker intercepts a 64-byte ciphertext c (the first 16 bytes of c are the IV and the remaining 48 bytes are the encrypted payload). How many chosen ciphertext queries would the attacker need *in the worst case* in order to decrypt the entire 48 byte payload? Recall that padding oracle attacks decrypt the payload one byte at a time.

- ☒ 12288
- ☐ 16384
- ☐ 1024
- ☐ 48
- ☐ 256

✓ **Correct**

Correct. Padding oracle attacks decrypt the payload one byte at a time. For each byte the attacker needs no more than 256 guesses in the worst case. Since there are 48 bytes total, the number queries needed is $256 \times 48 = 12288$.