Arrays (dynamic array/list)

Given n = arr.length,

- Add or remove element at the end: O(1) amortized
- Add or remove element from arbitrary index: O(n)
- Access or modify element at arbitrary index: O(1)
- Check if element exists: O(n)
- Two pointers: $O(n \cdot k)$, where k is the work done at each iteration, includes sliding window
- Building a prefix sum: O(n)
- Finding the sum of a subarray given a prefix sum: O(1)

Strings (immutable)

Given n = s.length

- Add or remove character: O(n)
- Access element at arbitrary index: O(1)
- Concatenation between two strings: O(n+m), where m is the length of the other string
- Create substring: O(m), where m is the length of the substring
- Two pointers: $O(n \cdot k)$, where k is the work done at each iteration, includes sliding window
- Building a string from joining an array, string builder, etc.: O(n)

Linked Lists

Given n as the number of nodes in the linked list,

- Add or remove element given pointer before add/removal location: O(1)
- Add or remove element given pointer at add/removal location: $\boxed{\textit{O}(1)}$ if doubly linked
- Add or remove element at arbitrary position without pointer: O(n)
- Access element at arbitrary position without pointer: O(n)
- Check if element exists: O(n)
- Reverse between position [i] and [j]: O(j-i)
- Detect a cycle: O(n) using fast-slow pointers or hash map

Hash table/dictionary

Given n = dic.length

- Add or remove key-value pair: O(1)
- Check if key exists: O(1)
- Check if value exists: O(n)
- Access or modify value associated with key: O(1)
- Iterate over all keys, values, or both: O(n)

Note: the O(1) operations are constant relative to n. In reality, the hashing algorithm might be expensive. For example, if your keys are strings, then it will cost O(m) where m is the length of the string. The operations only take constant time relative to the size of the hash map.

Set

Given n = set.length

- Add or remove element: O(1)
- Check if element exists: O(1)

The above note applies here as well.

Stack

Stack operations are dependent on their implementation. A stack is only required to support pop and push. If implemented with a dynamic array:

Given n = stack.length,

- Push element: O(1)
- Pop element: O(1)
- Peek (see element at top of stack): O(1)
- Access or modify element at arbitrary index: O(1)
- Check if element exists: O(n)

Queue

Queue operations are dependent on their implementation. A queue is only required to support dequeue and enqueue. If implemented with a doubly linked list:

Given n = queue.length,

- Enqueue element: O(1)
- Dequeue element: O(1)
- Peek (see element at front of queue): O(1)
- Access or modify element at arbitrary index: O(n)
- Check if element exists: O(n)

Note: most programming languages implement queues in a more sophisticated manner than a simple doubly linked list. Depending on implementation, accessing elements by index may be faster than O(n), or O(n) but with a significant constant divisor.

Binary tree problems (DFS/BFS)

Given n as the number of nodes in the tree,

Most algorithms will run in $O(n \cdot k)$ time, where k is the work done at each node, usually O(1). This is just a general rule and not always the case. We are assuming here that BFS is implemented with an efficient queue.

Binary search tree

Given n as the number of nodes in the tree,

- Add or remove element: O(n) worst case, $O(\log n)$ average case
- Check if element exists: O(n) worst case, $O(\log n)$ average case

The average case is when the tree is well balanced - each depth is close to full. The worst case is when the tree is just a straight line.

Heap/Priority Queue

Given n = heap.length and talking about min heaps,

- Add an element: $O(\log n)$
- Delete the minimum element: $O(\log n)$
- Find the minimum element: O(1)
- Check if element exists: O(n)

Binary search

Binary search runs in $O(\log n)$ in the worst case, where n is the size of your initial search space.

Miscellaneous

- Sorting: $(n \cdot \log n)$, where n is the size of the data being sorted
- DFS and BFS on a graph: $O(n \cdot k + e)$, where n is the number of nodes, e is the number of edges, if each node is handled in O(1) other than iterating over edges
- DFS and BFS space complexity: typically O(n), but if it's in a graph, might be O(n+e) to store the graph
- Dynamic programming time complexity: $O(n \cdot k)$, where n is the number of states and k is the work done at each state
- Dynamic programming space complexity: O(n), where n is the number of states