

# MODULAR PRINCIPAL SERIES REPRESENTATION OF $GL_2$ OVER FINITE RINGS

GAHL SHEMY

ABSTRACT. Given any prime  $p \geq 3$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , and character  $\chi$  on the Borel subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))$ , we construct a Jordan-Hölder series for the modulo  $p$  reduction of the principal series representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))$ . As a corollary we provide the semisimplifications of all characteristic  $p$  principal series representations of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))$ , and explain a process to compute such semisimplifications in small cases by the means of Brauer characters, apart from utilizing the known Jordan-Hölder series.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A common quest in representation theory involves determining how the irreducible representations of a group “fit together” to make up some other representation of concern. For instance, given a complex representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  of a finite group  $G$ , Maschke’s theorem guarantees that the representation is *completely reducible*, which means it can be uniquely expressed as a direct sum of irreducible representations of the group  $G$ , up to isomorphism. Maschke’s theorem also holds when the representation  $V$  is over any field of characteristic 0 or over a field of characteristic  $p$ , so long as  $p$  does not divide the order of the group. In the case where  $p$  *does* divide the order of the group, Maschke’s theorem no longer holds, forcing us to consider a different method of determining exactly how the irreducible modular representations of a finite group  $G$  “fit together” to make up the representation with which we are concerned. This may be done through investigating Jordan-Hölder series of the representation, which are filtrations

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_d = V$$

of subrepresentations with inclusions being proper and maximal, in the sense that each composition factor  $V_{i+1}/V_i$  is isomorphic to an irreducible representation of  $G$ . The Jordan-Hölder Theorem states that such composition series need not be unique, but that the *set* of composition factors (known as the irreducible constituents) of a representation is unique. We can then define

$$(1) \quad V^{ss} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d-1} V_{i+1}/V_i$$

to be the *semisimplification* of  $V$ , so that while  $V$  is not semisimple, the semisimplification of  $V$  does indeed have a direct sum decomposition of irreducible representations by construction. Since each quotient  $V_{i+1}/V_i$  is isomorphic to an irreducible representation of  $G$ , we have

$$(2) \quad V^{ss} = \bigoplus_j \rho_j^{d_j}$$

where  $\rho_j$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$  and  $d_j$  is its multiplicity in the semisimplification of  $V$ . A consequence of the Jordan-Hölder theorem is that  $V^{ss}$  is unique up to rearrangement of factors in the direct sum, so  $V^{ss}$  is unique up to isomorphism.

In this paper we fix a prime  $p$  and consider the non-archimedean local field  $L = \mathbb{F}_p((t))$ , the field of formal Laurent series in  $t$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . The ring of integers of  $L$ , denoted  $\mathcal{O}_L$ , is given by  $\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$  and consists of all formal power series in  $t$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . This ring has a unique maximal ideal generated by an element  $\varpi_L$  called a *uniformizer*, in this case taken to be  $t$ . For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , we may consider the general linear group of the finite ring  $(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))^2$ , that is, the group consisting of invertible  $2 \times 2$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r)$ . We denote  $G_r := GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))$ .

---

*Date:* August 11, 2022.

*Key words and phrases.* rep theory.

The choice of  $L = \mathbb{F}_p((t))$  puts us in the equal characteristic setting, where the field  $L$  has the same characteristic as its residue field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . For work done in the mixed characteristic setting, see the appendix in [2].

Given the finite group  $G_r$ , we let  $B_r \leq G_r$  denote the *Borel subgroup* of  $G_r$  consisting of  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular invertible matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r)$ . Fixing a field  $E$  of characteristic 0 whose residue field  $k_E = \mathcal{O}_E/(\varpi_E)$  is of characteristic  $p$ , we let  $\chi_1, \chi_2 : (\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))^\times \rightarrow E^\times$  be group homomorphisms, and define

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : B_r &\rightarrow E^\times \\ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto \chi_1(a)\chi_2(d). \end{aligned}$$

The *principal series representation* of  $G_r$  associated to  $\chi$  is the induced representation  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$ , which is a vector space

$$(3) \quad \text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi) := \{f : G_r \rightarrow E \mid f(bg) = \chi(b)f(g) \quad \forall g \in G_r, b \in B_r\}$$

with a  $G_r$ -action given by

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \vartheta_\chi : G_r &\rightarrow GL(\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)) \\ \vartheta_\chi(x)(f(g)) &= f(gx) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, g \in G_r, f \in \text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$ . In this paper we explore the modulo  $p$  reduction of the principal series representation, where now  $\chi$  maps to  $k_E = \mathcal{O}_E/(\varpi_E) \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  and all maps  $f \in \text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  have codomain  $k_E$ . From hereon we abuse notation and write  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  to mean the principal series representation after reducing modulo  $p$ . Hence  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  is a characteristic  $p$  vector space of dimension  $[G_r : B_r] \cdot \dim(\chi) = (p+1)p^{r-1}$ , with a  $G_r$ -action still given by (4).

As the  $r = 1$  case is well-studied [CITE!!!!](#), the main result of the paper is an inductive construction of a Jordan-Hölder series for  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  which terminates in  $\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi)$ . To develop this result we first prove a proposition:

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $p \geq 3$  be a prime, let  $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ , and let  $\chi : B_r \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  be a character. There exists a filtration for  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  given by*

$$(5) \quad 0 \subset \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(1)}) \subset \cdots \subset \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(p-1)}) \subset \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma) = \text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi),$$

where  $I_r^{r-1} := \{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ct^{r-1} & d \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r)) : c \in \mathbb{F}_p \}$ ,  $\sigma := \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\chi)$ , and  $\sigma^{(k)}$  is an  $I_r^{r-1}$ -invariant  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $\sigma$ .

In §3 we give a precise description of the  $k$ -dimensional subspaces  $\sigma^{(k)}$  and use their construction to prove the main result, shown in §4:

**Theorem 1.1.** *For the  $I_r^{r-1}$ -invariant  $k$ -dimensional subspaces  $\sigma^{(k)}$  satisfying Prop 1.1, we have*

$$(6) \quad \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)}) / \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k)}) \cong \text{Inf}_{G_{r-1}}^{G_r} \text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k)$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq p-1$ , where  $\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k$  is the character  $\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k : B_r \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  mapping  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \chi(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}) \cdot (ad^{-1})^k$ .

Theorem 1.1 implies that the filtration in Prop 1.1 may be refined inductively to a filtration in terms of  $\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\psi)$  for varying characters  $\psi$ , which may be then further refined to a Jordan-Hölder series of  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  using the known Jordan-Hölder series of  $\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\psi)$ .

We prove Theorem 1.1 in §3 after providing some preliminaries in §2. In §4 we give a corollary of the main theorem regarding semisimplification numbers. Finally, since determining the semisimplification of a given representation can be done without a Jordan-Hölder series via a computational process using Brauer characters, we compute a small example using this method in §5, and show that the semisimplification matches with what is deduced from our main theorem.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Basic Representation Theory.** We begin by providing key definitions from representation theory.

**Definition 2.1.** (Modular representation of a finite group) A *characteristic  $p$  representation* of a finite group  $G$  is a group homomorphism

$$\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$$

where  $V$  is a finite-dimensional vector space over a field of characteristic  $p$  and  $GL(V)$  is the general linear group of  $V$ . Equivalently we may define a representation of a finite group as a group action of  $G$  on a vector space  $V$ , such that  $g \cdot v = \rho(g)(v)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Although a representation of a group  $G$  is specified by both a vector space  $V$  and a group homomorphism  $\rho$ , we will often refer to the vector space  $V$  as the representation of  $G$ , keeping in mind that  $V$  is equipped with a  $G$ -action.

**Definition 2.3.** (Subrepresentations) Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation, and consider a subspace  $W \leq V$ . We say  $W$  is a *subrepresentation* of  $V$  if

$$\rho(g)(w) \in W$$

for all  $g \in G, w \in W$ .

**Definition 2.4.** (Irreducible representation) A representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  is *irreducible* if its only subrepresentations are the zero subspace and the whole vector space  $V$ . Otherwise we say  $V$  is *reducible*.

## 2.2. Maschke's Theorem and its Converse.

**Proposition 2.5.** (*Maschke's Theorem*) Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field whose characteristic does not divide  $|G|$ . If  $V$  is a finite-dimensional representation of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $U$  is any subrepresentation of  $V$ , then  $V$  has a subrepresentation  $W$  such that  $V = U \oplus W$ .

Maschke's theorem implies that every finite-dimensional representation  $V$  of a finite group  $G$  over a field whose characteristic does not divide the order of the group can be expressed uniquely as a direct sum of irreducible representations. A partial converse of Maschke's theorem holds as well: if  $G$  is a finite group and  $V$  is a representation over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  whose order *does* divide  $|G|$ , then  $V$  may not be completely reducible, that is, it is possible for there to exist some subrepresentation  $U$  of  $V$  which has no complement subrepresentation  $W$  in  $V$ .

For a common example of Maschke's Theorem failing when the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}$  divides  $|G|$ , we consider the following:

**Example 2.6.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \langle g \rangle$  and let  $V = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^2$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . Define an action of  $G$  on  $V$  via  $g \cdot e_1 = e_1$  and  $g \cdot e_2 = e_1 + e_2$ , giving

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : \langle g \rangle &\rightarrow GL(V) \\ \rho(g) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that this is indeed a representation, as  $\rho(0) = \rho(p \cdot g) = \rho(g)^p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  since the characteristic of the underlying field is  $p$ . Notice that  $\langle e_1 \rangle$  is stable under the action of  $G$  and that  $\langle e_1 \rangle$  is isomorphic to the trivial representation. We claim that there does not exist  $V'$  a subrepresentation of  $V$  such that  $V = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus V'$ . For, if there was, then  $V/\langle e_1 \rangle \cong V'$ . But  $V/\langle e_1 \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $\langle \overline{e_2} \rangle$ , which, according to the action of  $G$  on  $V$ , is isomorphic to the trivial representation, as

$$g \cdot \overline{e_2} = \overline{e_1 + e_2} = \overline{e_2}.$$

This implies that  $V$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of two copies of the trivial representation, and hence that the fixed subspace of  $V$ , denoted  $V^G$ , is two-dimensional. But  $V^G$  is one-dimensional: if  $\alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2 \in V^G$ , then  $g \cdot (\alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2) = \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 (e_1 + e_2) = \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2$  implies that  $\alpha_2 = 0$  and hence that  $V^G = \langle e_1 \rangle$ .

3. CONSTRUCTING  $I_r^{r-1}$ -INVARIANT SUBSPACES.

**3.1. Characters of  $B_r$ .** It is known (see [1]) that every character  $\chi : B_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto a^\ell (ad)^s$$

for some  $0 \leq \ell, s \leq p-2$ . We claim that an analogue holds in the general  $B_r$  case, in the sense that every character  $\chi : B_r \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1} & b_0 + \cdots + b_{r-1}t^{r-1} \\ 0 & d_0 + \cdots + d_{r-1}t^{r-1} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto a_0^\ell (a_0 d_0)^s$$

for some  $0 \leq \ell, s \leq p-2$ , and hence only depends on the constant terms  $a_0, d_0$  belonging to  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Every character  $\chi_i : (\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  is completely determined by where it maps the constant terms belonging to  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ . That is,  $\chi_i(a_0 + a_1t + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1}) = \chi_i(a_0)$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that  $\chi_i : (\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  must always map an element of the form  $1 + a_1t + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1}$  to 1. By applying the monomial identity  $(x+y)^p = x^p + y^p$  in the field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  inductively, we obtain  $(1 + a_1t + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1})^p = 1 + a_1t^p + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{p(r-1)}$ . Choosing the minimal  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p^k \geq r$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + a_1t + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1})^{p^k} &= 1 + a_1t^{p^k} + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{p^k(r-1)} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\chi_i(1 + a_1t + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1})$  must have order dividing  $p^k$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$ . But no elements in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  have order  $p^\ell$  for any  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ , since  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^\times$ . Hence  $\chi_i(1 + a_1t + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1})$  has order 1, and is therefore the identity element of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$ .

Now  $\chi_i(a_0 + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1}) = \chi_i(a_0 \cdot (1 + \frac{a_1}{a_0}t + \cdots + \frac{a_{r-1}}{a_0}t^{r-1})) = \chi_i(a_0)\chi_i(1 + \frac{a_1}{a_0}t + \cdots + \frac{a_{r-1}}{a_0}t^{r-1}) = \chi_i(a_0)$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Every multiplicative map  $\chi : B_r \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  is of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi : B_r \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))^\times \\ &\begin{bmatrix} a_0 + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1} & b \\ 0 & d_0 + \cdots + d_{r-1}t^{r-1} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto a_0^\ell (a_0 d_0)^s \end{aligned}$$

for some  $0 \leq \ell, s \leq p-2$ .

*Proof.* We first show that any matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1} & b \\ 0 & 1 + \cdots + d_{r-1}t^{r-1} \end{bmatrix}$  must get mapped to 1 in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  under any multiplicative map  $\chi$ . Notice that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1} & b \\ 0 & 1 + \cdots + d_{r-1}t^{r-1} \end{bmatrix}^p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \cdots & pb(1 + \cdots) \\ 0 & 1 + \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

and since  $pb \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we must have that

$$\chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \cdots & b \\ 0 & 1 + \cdots \end{bmatrix}\right)^p = \chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \cdots & b \\ 0 & 1 + \cdots \end{bmatrix}\right)^p = \chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \cdots \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Because any multiplicative map on a diagonal matrix in  $G_r$  must be the product of two multiplicative maps on each entry in the diagonal, and since such diagonal elements belong to  $(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))^\times$ , each of the two multiplicative maps must be of the form in Lemma 3.1. In particular this shows that  $\chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \cdots & b \\ 0 & 1 + \cdots \end{bmatrix}\right) = 1$ .

Now any matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in B_r$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so  $\chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}\right) = \chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}\right)$ . But a multiplicative map on a diagonal matrix is again just the product of multiplicative maps on its diagonal entries, implying that  $\chi = \chi_1 \times \chi_2$  where each  $\chi_i$  is a map as in Lemma 3.1. In particular, since Lemma 3.1 shows that  $\chi_i(a_0 + a_1t + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1}) = \chi_i(a_0)$  for an element  $a_0 + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1} \in (\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))^\times$ , then we conclude

$$\chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_0 + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1} & b \\ 0 & d_0 + \cdots + d_{r-1}t^{r-1} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \chi_1(a_0) \cdot \chi_2(d_0).$$

But both  $a_0$  and  $d_0$  belong to  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ , a cyclic group of order  $p-1$ , and hence  $\chi_1(a_0)$  and  $\chi_2(d_0)$  must be  $(p-1)^{st}$  roots of unity in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$ . Since all  $p-1$  such roots of unity lie in  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$ , then both  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  map into  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ , which is cyclic of order  $p-1$ . This implies that  $\chi_1(a_0) = a_0^m$  for some  $0 \leq m \leq p-2$  and  $\chi_2(d_0) = d_0^s$  for some  $0 \leq s \leq p-2$ . Alternatively, we can express  $a_0^m \cdot d_0^s$  as  $a_0^\ell (a_0 d_0)^s$  where  $\ell = m - s \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** In this paper we abuse notation and write, for instance,  $\frac{a}{d} : B_r \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  to mean the map sending  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto a_0 d_0^{-1} = a_0 d_0^{p-2}$ , since the lemmas above guarantee that any character  $\chi : \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  is of the form  $a_0^\ell (a_0 d_0)^s$ .

**3.2. Induction from Borel subgroup.** Let  $\chi : B_r \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  be a character. For  $r \geq 2$ , we define the Iwahori subgroup

$$(7) \quad I_r^{r-1} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ct^{r-1} & d \end{bmatrix} \in G_r \right\}$$

to be the invertible matrices in  $G_r$  whose  $(2,1)$ -entry have no terms of the form  $c_k t^k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq r-2$ . Equivalently, we may define  $I_r^{r-1}$  to be the preimage of  $B_{r-1}$  under the surjective homomorphism

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi : G_r &\twoheadrightarrow G_{r-1} \\ t^{r-1} &\mapsto 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\sigma := \text{Ind}_{B_r}^{I_r^{r-1}}(\chi)$ . Because  $\dim(\sigma) = [I_r^{r-1} : B_r] = p$ , we fix a basis  $\{\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{p-1}\}$  of  $\sigma$  by setting

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_j &: I_r^{r-1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times \\ \delta_j(i) &= \mathbb{1}_{B_r x_j} \cdot \chi(i x_j^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_r x_j := B_r \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbb{1}$  refers to the indicator function. It is clear that these  $p$  functions are linearly independent as they each have support on a distinct right coset of  $B_r$  in  $I_r^{r-1}$ , and that these functions truly belong to  $\sigma$ , as if  $bi \in B_r x_j$ , we have

$$\delta_j(bi) = \chi(bi x_j^{-1}) = \chi(b) \delta_j(i)$$

and if  $bi \notin B_r x_j$ , then  $i \notin B_r x_j$ , and

$$\delta_j(bi) = 0 = \chi(b) \delta_j(i).$$

We note that by composition of induction, constructing a Jordan-Hölder series for  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  is equivalent to constructing a Jordan-Hölder series for  $\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma)$ . Therefore one may initially construct a Jordan-Hölder series for  $\sigma$  and then “induce up” to get a filtration for  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$ , which can then be further refined to a full composition series for  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$ . Since this is the approach we take in Theorem 1.1, we must first construct a Jordan-Hölder series for  $\sigma$ :

**Proposition 3.4.** *For every  $0 \leq k \leq p$  there exists a  $k$ -dimensional  $I_r^{r-1}$ -invariant subspace  $\sigma^{(k)}$  of  $\sigma$ , such that*

$$0 \subset \sigma^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \sigma^{(p-1)} \subset \sigma$$

*is a Jordan-Hölder series for  $\sigma$ .*

The cases of  $k = 0$  and  $k = p$  are trivial. For each  $1 \leq k \leq p-1$ , we construct a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $\sigma$  denoted  $\sigma^{(k)}$ :

$$(10) \quad \sigma^{(k)} := \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{j}{j} \delta_j, \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \binom{j+1}{j} \delta_j, \dots, \sum_{j=0}^{p-k} \binom{j+k-1}{j} \delta_j \right\rangle$$

Letting  $S_\ell := \sum_{j=0}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell-1}{j} \delta_j$  allows us to express  $\sigma^{(k)} = \langle S_1, \dots, S_k \rangle$ . From the construction of  $\sigma^{(k)}$  it is clear that we get a filtration of subspaces. To see that the vectors  $\{S_\ell : 1 \leq \ell \leq k\}$  are linearly independent (and hence form a basis for  $\sigma^{(k)}$ ), we notice that if we express each sum as a tuple in the basis  $\{\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{p-1}\}$ , then putting the  $k$   $p$ -tuples into a  $p \times k$  matrix gives

$$(11) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} \binom{0}{0} & \binom{1}{0} & \binom{2}{0} & \cdots & \binom{k-1}{0} \\ \binom{1}{1} & \binom{2}{1} & \binom{3}{1} & \cdots & \binom{k}{1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{p-2}{p-2} & \binom{p-1}{p-2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \binom{p-1}{p-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{p \times k}.$$

We verify that the columns  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$  are linearly independent by noting that if

$$a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \cdots + a_k \vec{v}_k = 0$$

then in particular  $a_1 \binom{p-1}{p-1} = 0$ , implying that  $a_1 = 0$ . Then since  $a_1 \binom{p-2}{p-2} + a_2 \binom{p-1}{p-2} = 0$ , we deduce that  $a_2 = 0$ . The fact that  $A_{ij} = 0$  for  $j \geq p-i+2$  allows us to inductively deduce that  $a_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

To see that  $\sigma^{(k)}$  is  $I_r^{r-1}$ -invariant and therefore a subrepresentation of  $\sigma$ , we check that it is invariant under every generator of  $I_r^{r-1}$ . By the Iwahori factorization of  $I_r^{r-1}$ , we have that any matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ct^{r-1} & d \end{bmatrix} \in I_r^{r-1}$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ct^{r-1} & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ca^{-1}t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -ca^{-1}bt^{r-1} + d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & ba^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which allows us to conclude that

$$(12) \quad I_r^{r-1} = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

for  $k$  ranging from 0 to  $r-1$  and  $a, d$  belonging to  $(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))^\times$ . In order to determine how  $I_r^{r-1}$  acts on each subspace  $\sigma^{(k)}$ , we first determine how each generator of  $I_r^{r-1}$  given in (12) acts on each basis vector  $\delta_j$  of  $\sigma$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\chi : B_r \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  be a character of  $B_r$  and let  $\sigma = \text{Ind}_{B_r}^{I_r^{r-1}}(\chi)$ . Let  $\{\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{p-1}\}$  be the ordered basis of  $\sigma$  given in (9). Then the generators of  $I_r^{r-1}$  act on each  $\delta_j$  via*

$$(13) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j = \delta_j$$

$$(14) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j = \delta_{j-1}$$

$$(15) \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j = \chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \delta_{\frac{d}{a}j}$$

where all indices  $j$  are taken modulo  $p$ .

*Proof.* We have that

$$\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j \right)(i) \neq 0 \iff \delta_j \left( i \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \neq 0$$

by definition of the  $G_r$  action on  $\sigma$ . But

$$\delta_j \left( i \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \neq 0 \iff i \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in B_r \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \iff i \in B_r \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \iff i \in B_r \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and thus  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j$  only has support on  $B_r x_j$ . Now suppose  $i \in B_r x_j$ , so  $i = b \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $b \in B_r$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j \right)(i) &= \delta_j \left( b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \delta_j \left( b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ jt^{r-1} & jt^{r-1+k} + 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \chi \left( b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ jt^{r-1} & jt^{r-1+k} + 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \chi \left( b \begin{bmatrix} 1 - jt^{r+k-1} & t^k \\ -j^2 t^{2r-2+k} & jt^{r-1+k} + 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \chi(b) \chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 - jt^{r+k-1} & t^k \\ 0 & 1 + jt^{r-1+k} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \delta_j(i) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1+\dots & b \\ 0 & 1+\dots \end{bmatrix} \right) = 1$  by the proof of Lemma 3.2. Hence  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j = \delta_j$ . A similar argument shows that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j$  only has support on  $B_r x_{j-1}$ , and if  $i = bx_{j-1}$  for some  $b \in B_r x_{j-1}$ , then

$$\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j \right)(i) = \delta_j \left( b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (j-1)t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \delta_j \left( b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (j-1)t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \delta_j \left( b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \chi(b) = \delta_{j-1}(i),$$

allowing us to conclude  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j = \delta_{j-1}$ . Finally, an analogous computation shows that  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j$  only has support on  $B_r x_{\frac{a}{d}j}$ , so we suppose  $i = b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{a}{d}jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $b \in B_r$ , and find that

$$\left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j \right)(i) = \delta_j \left( b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{a}{d}jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \right) = \delta_j \left( b \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ djt^{r-1} & d \end{bmatrix} \right) = \chi \left( b \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ djt^{r-1} & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \chi(b) \chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

whereas

$$\delta_{\frac{a}{d}j} \left( b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{a}{d}jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \chi(b)$$

by definition, which shows that  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j = \chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \right) \delta_{\frac{a}{d}j}$  as desired.  $\square$

Recall that we wish to show  $\sigma^{(k)}$  is  $I_r^{r-1}$ -invariant. Since  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  acts trivially on each  $\delta_j$ , then certainly  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot S_\ell = S_\ell$  for each  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ . The actions by the other generators are more involved, so we provide them as lemmas.

**Lemma 3.6.**

$$(16) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot S_\ell = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} S_m$$

so that if the basis vectors of  $\sigma^{(k)}$  are ordered, then acting on each basis vector by  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  yields a sum of the vector being acted on and the preceding basis vectors, thus remaining in  $\sigma^{(k)}$ .

*Proof.* We prove (16) by induction on  $\ell$ : when  $\ell = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{j}{j} \delta_j &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{j}{j} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{j}{j} \delta_{j-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{j}{j} \delta_j \end{aligned}$$

so that the base case holds. Now suppose (16) holds for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ell < k$ . We wish to show the claim holds for  $\ell + 1$ . By the binomial coefficient recurrence relation  $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}$  (where  $\binom{n-1}{k-1} = 0$  whenever

$k < 1$ ), and by the fact that we can express  $\sum_{j=0}^{p-(\ell+1)} \binom{j+\ell}{j} \delta_j = \sum_{j=0}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell}{j} \delta_j$  since the coefficient  $\binom{p}{p-\ell}$  of  $\delta_{p-\ell}$  is zero mod  $p$ , we get

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p-(\ell+1)} \binom{j+\ell}{j} \delta_j &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell}{j} \delta_j \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=0}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell-1}{j} \delta_j + \sum_{j=0}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell-1}{j-1} \delta_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Our inductive hypothesis guarantees that

$$(18) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell-1}{j} \delta_j = \sum_{m=0}^{\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{p-m} \binom{j+m-1}{j} \delta_j,$$

while

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell-1}{j-1} \delta_j &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell-1}{j-1} \delta_{j-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell-1}{j-1} \delta_{j-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-(\ell+1)} \binom{j+\ell}{j} \delta_j \end{aligned}$$

since the coefficient  $\binom{j+\ell-1}{j-1} = 0$  for  $j = 0$ , by convention. Hence from (17), (18) and (19), we conclude that

$$(20) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p-(\ell+1)} \binom{j+\ell}{j} \delta_j = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell+1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-m} \binom{j+m-1}{j} \delta_j$$

$$(21) \quad \implies \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot S_{\ell+1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell+1} S_m$$

confirming  $\sigma^{(k)}$  is indeed invariant under  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\square$

It now suffices to show that  $\sigma^{(k)}$  is invariant under  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$ . As in the  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  case, we show that acting on  $S_\ell \in \sigma^{(k)}$  by  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$  yields an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear combination of  $S_m \in \sigma^{(k)}$  for  $m \leq \ell$ , and hence belongs to  $\sigma^{(k)}$ . Explicitly, we claim:

**Lemma 3.7.** *Given  $a, d \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , let  $\alpha_i := \binom{(p-i)ad^{-1}+\ell-1}{(p-i)ad^{-1}}$ , where  $ad^{-1}$  is a representative in  $\mathbb{N}$  of the equivalence class  $ad^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$(22) \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot S_\ell = \chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \right) \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} c_m S_m$$

where each  $c_m$  is given by  $\sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i+1} \binom{m-1}{i-1} \alpha_i$ .

*Proof.* Before proving the lemma we must ensure that the  $\alpha_i$  are well-defined up to mod  $p$ , such that they give the same binomial coefficient mod  $p$  regardless of the choice of  $ad^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . It suffices to show that, given a representative of  $ad^{-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(23) \quad \binom{(p-i)ad^{-1}+\ell-1}{(p-i)ad^{-1}} \equiv \binom{(p-i)(ad^{-1}+pk)+\ell-1}{(p-i)(ad^{-1}+pk)} \pmod{p}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (or  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so long as we keep the numerator of the binomial coefficient positive). We utilize Lucas' theorem for binomial coefficients modulo  $p$ : Let the base  $p$  expansion of  $(p-i)ad^{-1}+\ell-1$  be given by



$a_r p^r + \cdots + a_1 p + a_0$ . Since  $\ell - 1 \leq p - 2$ , the base  $p$  expansion of  $\ell - 1$  is given by  $0p^r + \cdots + 0p + \ell - 1$ , and hence by Lucas' theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{(p-i)ad^{-1} + \ell - 1}{(p-i)ad^{-1}} &= \binom{(p-i)ad^{-1} + \ell - 1}{\ell - 1} \equiv \binom{a_r}{0} \cdots \binom{a_0}{\ell - 1} \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv \binom{a_0}{\ell - 1} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show that  $(p-i)ad^{-1} + \ell - 1$  and  $(p-i)(ad^{-1} + pk) + \ell - 1$  have the same constant term in their base  $p$  expansions. But this follows quickly from the fact that their difference is given by  $pk(p-i)$ , which is a multiple of  $p$  and thus has no constant term in its base  $p$  expansion. We conclude that  $\alpha_i$  is independent of the choice of  $ad^{-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence is well-defined. In particular we may always take the canonical representative.

By the action of  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$  on each  $\delta_j$ , we have

$$(24) \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot S_\ell = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell-1}{j} \delta_j = \chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell-1}{j} \delta_{\frac{a}{d}j}.$$

For  $0 \leq n \leq p-1$ , we see that  $\delta_n$  appears in the right hand sum of (24) with a coefficient of  $\chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \right) \binom{n\frac{a}{d} + \ell - 1}{n\frac{a}{d}}$  (where  $\frac{a}{d}$  is shorthand for the representative in  $\mathbb{N}$  of  $ad^{-1}$ ), and since  $\delta_n$  appears in each vector  $S_m = \sum_{j=0}^{p-m} \binom{j+m-1}{j} \delta_j$  with a coefficient of  $\binom{n+m-1}{n}$  for the respective  $1 \leq m \leq \ell$ , it suffices to verify

$$c_1 \binom{n}{n} + c_2 \binom{n+1}{n} + \cdots + c_\ell \binom{n+\ell-1}{n} \equiv \binom{n\frac{a}{d} + \ell - 1}{n\frac{a}{d}}$$

for the proposed coefficients  $c_1, \dots, c_\ell$ . That is, we wish to show

$$(25) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \binom{n+r-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i+1} \binom{r-1}{i-1} \alpha_i = \alpha_{p-n}.$$

Noticing how often each  $\alpha_r$  appears in the left hand side of (25) allows us to express

$$(26) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \binom{n+r-1}{n} c_r = \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} \left( \sum_{j=r-1}^{\ell-1} \binom{j+n}{n} \binom{j}{r-1} \right) \alpha_r$$

such that the new goal is to show

$$(27) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} \left( \sum_{j=r-1}^{\ell-1} \binom{j+n}{n} \binom{j}{r-1} \right) \alpha_r = \alpha_{p-n}.$$

When  $n = 0$ , we need to show that  $\sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \binom{r-1}{0} c_r = \alpha_p = \binom{\ell-1}{0} = 1$ . To see this, notice that by (26) we know that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\ell} c_r = \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{j=r-1}^{\ell-1} \binom{j}{0} \binom{j}{r-1} \alpha_r = \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{\ell}{r} \alpha_r.$$

Writing

$$\alpha_1 = \binom{(p-1)\frac{a}{d} + \ell - 1}{(p-1)\frac{a}{d}} = \frac{1}{(\ell-1)!} (\ell-1 - \frac{a}{d}) \cdots (1 - \frac{a}{d})$$

and letting the variable  $x$  stand in for  $\frac{a}{d}$ , we have that

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{(\ell-1)!} (a_{\ell-1} x^{\ell-1} + a_{\ell-2} x^{\ell-2} + \cdots + a_1 x + (\ell-1)!)$$

for some coefficients  $a_{\ell-1}, \dots, a_1$ . Notice then that  $\alpha_r = \frac{1}{(\ell-1)!}((-1)^{\ell-1}r^{\ell-1}x^{\ell-1} + \dots + a_1rx + (\ell-1)!)$ , so that the constant term of  $\sum_{r=1}^{\ell} c_r$ , when viewed as a polynomial in  $x = \frac{a}{d}$ , is given by

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{\ell}{r} \frac{(\ell-1)!}{(\ell-1)!} = (-1) \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^r \binom{\ell}{r} = (-1) \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} (-1)^r \binom{\ell}{r} - (-1) = 1$$

since  $\sum_{r=0}^{\ell} (-1)^r \binom{\ell}{r} = 0$ . On the other hand, the coefficient of  $x^m$  in the polynomial  $\sum_{r=1}^{\ell} c_r$  for  $1 \leq m \leq \ell-1$  is given by

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} r^m \binom{\ell}{r} \frac{a_m}{(\ell-1)!} = \frac{-a_m}{(\ell-1)!} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} (-1)^r r^m \binom{\ell}{r} = 0$$

due to the combinatorial sum identity  $\sum_{r=0}^{\ell} (-1)^r r^m \binom{\ell}{r} = 0$  given in [4]. We conclude that  $\sum_{r=1}^{\ell} c_r = 1 = \alpha_p$  as desired.

To prove  $\sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \binom{n+r-1}{n} c_r = \alpha_{p-n}$  for  $1 \leq n \leq p-1$ , we compare the coefficient of  $x^m$  in both expressions. Since the coefficient of  $x^m$  in  $\alpha_r$  is given by  $\frac{a_m}{(\ell-1)!} r^m$ , then from (26) we deduce that the coefficient of  $x^m$  in  $\sum_{r=1}^{\ell} \binom{n+r-1}{n} c_r$  must be

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} \frac{a_m}{(\ell-1)!} r^m \sum_{j=r-1}^{\ell-1} \binom{j+n}{n} \binom{j}{r-1}.$$

On the other hand, the coefficient of  $x^m$  in  $\alpha_{p-n}$  is given by  $(-n)^m \frac{a_m}{(\ell-1)!}$ , so it suffices to prove

$$(28) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} r^m \sum_{j=r-1}^{\ell-1} \binom{j+n}{n} \binom{j}{r-1} = (-n)^m.$$

Because  $\binom{j}{r-1} = 0$  whenever  $j < r-1$ , we can express the left hand side of (28) as

$$(29) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} r^m \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{j+n}{n} \binom{j}{r-1}.$$

Identity 3.155 in [3] tells us that  $\sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \binom{k}{n} \binom{k+m}{m} = \binom{s}{n} \binom{s+m}{m} \frac{s-n}{m+n+1}$ , which allows us to express (29) as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} r^m \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{j+n}{n} \binom{j}{r-1} &= \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} r^m \binom{\ell}{r-1} \binom{\ell+n}{n} \frac{\ell-r+1}{r+n} \\ &= \binom{\ell+n}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} r^m \binom{\ell}{r-1} \frac{\ell-r+1}{r+n} \\ &= \binom{\ell+n}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} r^m \cdot r \binom{\ell}{r} \frac{1}{r+n} \\ (30) \quad &= \binom{\ell+n}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{\ell}{r} \frac{r^{m+1}}{r+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, identity 1.47 in [3] shows that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^k \binom{\ell}{k} \frac{k^j}{x+k} = (-1)^j \frac{x^{j-1}}{\binom{x+\ell}{\ell}}$ , and therefore (30) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{\ell+n}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{\ell}{r} \frac{r^{m+1}}{r+n} &= \binom{\ell+n}{n} (-1) \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} (-1)^r \binom{\ell}{r} \frac{r^{m+1}}{r+n} \\ &= \binom{\ell+n}{n} (-1) (-1)^{m+1} \frac{n^m}{\binom{n+\ell}{\ell}} \\ (31) \quad &= (-1)^m n^m \\ &= (-n)^m \end{aligned}$$

as desired. This proves that there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$(32) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{p-\ell} \binom{j+\ell-1}{j} \delta_{\frac{a}{d}j} = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} c_m \sum_{j=0}^{p-m} \binom{j-m+1}{j} \delta_j$$

which means that there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$(33) \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot S_\ell = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \right) c_m S_m.$$

Since the left hand side is given mod  $p$ , we may reduce the right hand side mod  $p$  to conclude that there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_\ell \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  such that (33) holds. Because this holds for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ , we have that  $\sigma^{(k)}$  is invariant under action by  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$ . This lemma also concludes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM.

**4.1. Inducing up to a filtration for  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$ .** Proposition 3.4 gives us a length  $p$  Jordan-Hölder series

$$0 \subset \sigma^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset \sigma^{(p-1)} \subset \sigma.$$

Since each  $\sigma^{(k)}$  is a subrepresentation of  $\sigma$  which is itself a representation of  $I_r^{r-1}$ , then inducing each  $\sigma^{(k)}$  to  $G_r$  gives a filtration

$$0 \subset \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(1)}) \subset \dots \subset \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(p-1)}) \subset \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma).$$

In order to refine this filtration to a composition series for  $\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma) = \text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$ , we note that it suffices to find a composition series for  $\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)})$  which begins with  $\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k)})$  for each  $0 \leq k \leq p-1$ . But this is equivalent to finding a composition series for  $\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)}) / \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k)})$  and then lifting the subrepresentations under the projection map  $q : \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)}) / \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k)})$ . Furthermore, since

$$\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)}) / \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k)}) \cong \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)} / \sigma^{(k)})$$

then we only need consider composition series of  $\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)} / \sigma^{(k)})$  in order to answer our original question.

We claim that  $\sigma^{(k+1)} / \sigma^{(k)}$  is equivalent to  $\text{Inf}_{B_{r-1}}^{I_r^{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k)$  as one-dimensional  $I_r^{r-1}$  representations, where  $\text{Inf}_{B_{r-1}}^{I_r^{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k)$  refers to the inflation to  $I_r^{r-1}$  of the character sending  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \chi(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}) \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$ . To prove this equivalence it suffices to show that  $I_r^{r-1}$  acts on  $\sigma^{(k+1)} / \sigma^{(k)}$  via multiplication by  $\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k$ . Again we show this claim only for the three types of generators of  $I_r^{r-1}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *The generators  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^\ell \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  act trivially on  $\sigma^{(k+1)} / \sigma^{(k)}$  for  $0 \leq \ell \leq r-1$  and  $0 \leq k \leq p-1$ .*

*Proof.* Notice  $\sigma^{(k+1)} / \sigma^{(k)} = \langle \overline{S_{k+1}} \rangle$ . Since  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^\ell \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  acts trivially on each  $\delta_j$ , then clearly  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^\ell \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  acts trivially on  $\overline{S_{k+1}}$ . On the other hand, by the proof of Lemma 3.6, we know that

$$(34) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \overline{S_{k+1}} = \overline{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot S_{k+1}}$$

$$(35) \quad = \overline{\sum_{m=1}^{k+1} S_m} = \overline{S_{k+1}}$$

where (35) follows from the fact that  $\overline{S_i} = 0 \in \sigma^{(k+1)} / \sigma^{(k)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . This proves that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  acts trivially on  $\sigma^{(k+1)} / \sigma^{(k)}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *The generator  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$  acts on  $\sigma^{(k+1)} / \sigma^{(k)}$  via scaling by  $\chi(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}) \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.7 we have that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \overline{S_{k+1}} = \overline{\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot S_{k+1}} = \chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{k+1} c_m \overline{S_m}$$

and since  $\overline{S_m} = 0 \in \sigma^{(k+1)}/\sigma^{(k)}$  for  $1 \leq m \leq k$ , then in  $\sigma^{(k+1)}/\sigma^{(k)}$  we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \overline{S_{k+1}} = \chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}\right) c_{k+1} \overline{S_{k+1}}.$$

Thus to prove our claim it suffices to show that  $c_{k+1} = (\frac{a}{d})^k$ . Recall that by Lemma 3.7, we have

$$c_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{k}{i-1} \alpha_i$$

where here  $\alpha_i = \binom{(p-i)\frac{a}{d}+k}{(p-i)\frac{a}{d}} = \frac{(k-i\frac{a}{d}) \cdots (1-i\frac{a}{d})}{k!}$ . In particular, since we may write out  $\alpha_1 = \frac{(k-x) \cdots (1-x)}{k!} = \frac{1}{k!}((-1)^k x^k + a_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + k!)$  where  $x = \frac{a}{d}$ , then we have that  $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{k!}((-1)^k i^k x^k + a_{k-1} i^{k-1} x^{k-1} + \cdots + a_1 i x + k!)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ . Since the coefficient of  $x^m$  in  $\alpha_i$  is given by  $\frac{a_m}{k!} \cdot i^m$ , then the coefficient of  $x^m$  in the expression of  $c_{k+1}$  is given by

$$(36) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{k}{i-1} \frac{a_m}{k!} i^m = \frac{a_m}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{k}{i-1} i^m.$$

Since we wish to show that  $c_{k+1} = x^k = (\frac{a}{d})^k$ , it suffices to show that (36) is zero whenever  $0 \leq m \leq k-1$  and is 1 whenever  $m = k$ . When  $m = 0$ , we have that  $a_0 = k!$ , so  $\frac{a_0}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{k}{i-1} i^0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{k}{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} = 0$ , as desired. On the other hand, the identity

$$(37) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \binom{k+1}{i} i^m = 0$$

holds for  $1 \leq m \leq k$  (see [4], #3 in 0.154), and since  $\binom{k+1}{i} = \binom{k}{i} + \binom{k}{i-1}$ , we deduce from (37) that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} i^m + \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i-1} i^m = 0$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{k}{i-1} i^m = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} i^m = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} i^m$$

since  $\binom{k}{k+1} = 0$  by convention. Now  $\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} i^m = 0$  for  $0 \leq m \leq k-1$  by the identity in (37), so  $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{k}{i-1} i^m = 0$  for  $0 \leq m \leq k-1$ . When  $m > 0$  we have that  $0^m = 0$ , so we conclude  $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{k}{i-1} i^m = 0$  for  $0 \leq m \leq k-1$  as desired. On the other hand, identity #4 in §0.154 of [4] gives

$$(38) \quad \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} j^k = (-1)^k k!,$$

which in combination with (37) and the fact that  $\binom{k+1}{j} = \binom{k}{j} + \binom{k}{j-1}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^j \binom{k+1}{j} j^k &= \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} j^k + \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j-1} j^k \\ \implies \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{k}{j-1} j^k &= (-1)^k k! \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely what we wished to show. Hence the coefficient of  $x^m$  in  $c_{k+1}$  is  $\frac{a_m}{k!} \cdot 0 = 0$  for  $0 \leq m \leq k-1$  while the coefficient of  $x^k$  is  $\frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \cdot (-1)^k k! = (-1)^{2k} = 1$ , completing the proof that  $c_{k+1} = (\frac{a}{d})^k$ , and therefore that  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \overline{S_{k+1}} = \chi(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}) \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k \overline{S_{k+1}}$ .  $\square$

Recall we wish to show that  $\sigma^{(k+1)}/\sigma^{(k)}$  is equivalent to  $\text{Inf}_{B_{r-1}}^{I_r^{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k)$  as  $I_r^{r-1}$  representations. Let  $T : \langle \overline{S_{k+1}} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$  be the isomorphism sending  $\overline{S_{k+1}} \mapsto 1$ . For all  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ct^{r-1} & d \end{bmatrix} \in I_r^{r-1}$ , we have

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} T\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ct^{r-1} & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \overline{S_{k+1}}\right) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ca^{-1}t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -ca^{-1}bt^{r-1} + d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & ba^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \overline{S_{k+1}}\right) \\ &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ca^{-1}t^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -ca^{-1}bt^{r-1} + d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \overline{S_{k+1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -ca^{-1}bt^{r-1} + d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j)(i) \neq 0$  if and only if  $i \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -ca^{-1}bt^{r-1} + d \end{bmatrix} \in B_r \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , which holds if and only if  $i \in B_r \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -ca^{-1}bt^{r-1} + d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = B_r \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ \frac{d}{a}jt^{r-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . A similar argument as the one for  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j = \chi(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}) \delta_{\frac{d}{a}j}$  reveals that  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -ca^{-1}bt^{r-1} + d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \delta_j = \chi(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}) \delta_{\frac{d}{a}j}$ , and therefore Lemma 4.2 applies to (39) to give  $\chi(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}) (\frac{a}{d})^k \cdot T(\sum_{j=0}^{p-k} \binom{j+k-1}{j} \overline{\delta_j}) = \chi(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}) (\frac{a}{d})^k$ . On the other hand, we have that

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Inf}_{B_{r-1}}^{I_r^{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k) \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ct^{r-1} & d \end{bmatrix} (T(S_k)) \right) &= (\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k) \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} (T(S_k)) \right) \\ &= \chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \right) (\frac{a}{d})^k \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $T \circ \sigma^{(k+1)}/\sigma^{(k)}(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ct^{r-1} & d \end{bmatrix}) = \text{Inf}_{B_{r-1}}^{I_r^{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k)(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ ct^{r-1} & d \end{bmatrix}) \circ T$ , and hence that  $\sigma^{(k+1)}/\sigma^{(k)}$  and  $\text{Inf}_{B_{r-1}}^{I_r^{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k)$  are isomorphic as  $I_r^{r-1}$ -representations.

Now because the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_r^{r-1} & \xrightarrow{t^{r-1} \mapsto 0} & B_{r-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_r & \xrightarrow{t^{r-1} \mapsto 0} & G_{r-1} \end{array}$$

commutes, we have by commutativity of inflation and induction that  $\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r} \text{Inf}_{B_{r-1}}^{I_r^{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k) \cong \text{Inf}_{G_{r-1}}^{G_r} \text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k)$ . But this implies  $\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)}/\sigma^{(k)}) \cong \text{Inf}_{G_{r-1}}^{G_r} \text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k)$ , completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**4.2. A remark on the inductive construction.** Theorem 1.1 tells us what the successive quotients in the filtration given in (5) look like, but it doesn't explicitly tell us what the Jordan-Hölder series of  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  looks like. Fortunately, we just proceed inductively: once we know that

$$\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)})/\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k)}) \cong \text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)}/\sigma^{(k)}) \cong \text{Inf}_{G_{r-1}}^{G_r} \text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k)$$

then we can set out to find a Jordan-Hölder series of  $\text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k)$  (using the same process as for our original problem) and then "piece it in" between  $\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k)})$  and  $\text{Ind}_{I_r^{r-1}}^{G_r}(\sigma^{(k+1)})$  in the filtration for  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$ . Eventually the task will be to find Jordan-Hölder series of  $\text{Ind}_{B_2}^{G_2}(\psi)$  for various characters  $\psi$ , which we know how to express as a filtration with quotients given by  $\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}$  via Theorem 1.1. Since the literature already contains the Jordan-Hölder series for the mod  $p$  principal series representations of  $\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi)$ , we have all the parts necessary to complete the original filtration to a full Jordan-Hölder series.

## 5. SEMISIMPLIFICATIONS

From Theorem 1.1 we deduce that

$$(41) \quad (\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi))^{ss} = (\text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi))^{ss} \oplus \cdots \oplus (\text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k))^{ss} \oplus \cdots \oplus (\text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^{p-1}))^{ss} \\ = (\text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi))^{ss} \oplus \cdots \oplus (\text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k))^{ss} \oplus \cdots \oplus (\text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi))^{ss}$$

In particular, we see that  $(\text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi))^{ss}$  appears twice in the direct sum of (41), while  $(\text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k))^{ss}$  appears once in the direct sum for every  $1 \leq k \leq p-2$ . Hence we may express

$$(42) \quad (\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi))^{ss} = ((\text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi))^{ss})^2 \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{p-2} (\text{Ind}_{B_{r-1}}^{G_{r-1}}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k))^{ss}.$$

Since the semisimplifications of  $\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi)$  are well known for all characters  $\chi : B(GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$ , it is desirable to express (42) explicitly in terms of  $(\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss}$  for various  $\chi$ . We claim that we may continue simplifying (42) inductively to obtain:

**Corollary 5.1.** *For a prime  $p$ ,  $(\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi))^{ss} = ((\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss})^{\frac{p^{r-1}+p-2}{p-1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{p-2} ((\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k))^{ss})^{\frac{p^{r-1}-1}{p-1}}$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the corollary by induction on  $r$ . When  $r = 1$ , the claim is that

$$(\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss} = ((\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss})^{\frac{p^0+p-2}{p-1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{p-2} ((\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k))^{ss})^{\frac{p^0-1}{p-1}}$$

which is easily seen to be true when one simplifies the exponents on the right hand side of the equality. Suppose the claim in the proposition holds for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . We wish to show it holds for  $r+1$ . As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we have that

$$(\text{Ind}_{B_{r+1}}^{G_{r+1}}(\chi))^{ss} = ((\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi))^{ss})^2 \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{p-2} (\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k))^{ss}.$$

Utilizing the inductive hypothesis on  $(\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi))^{ss}$  and on each  $(\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k))^{ss}$  gives

$$(43) \quad (\text{Ind}_{B_{r+1}}^{G_{r+1}}(\chi))^{ss} = \left( ((\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss})^{\frac{p^{r-1}+p-2}{p-1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{p-2} ((\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k))^{ss})^{\frac{p^{r-1}-1}{p-1}} \right)^2 \\ \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{p-2} \left[ ((\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k))^{ss})^{\frac{p^{r-1}+p-2}{p-1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \neq k} ((\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^m))^{ss})^{\frac{p^{r-1}-1}{p-1}} \right] \right).$$

Counting how many times  $(\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss}$  appears in the direct sum of (43) yields that  $(\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss}$  appears

$$2\left(\frac{p^{r-1}+p-2}{p-1}\right) + (p-2)\frac{p^{r-1}-1}{p-1} = \frac{p^r+p-2}{p-1}$$

times, whereas counting how many times  $(\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^n))^{ss}$  appears in (43) for a given  $1 \leq n \leq p-2$  yields that  $(\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^n))^{ss}$  appears

$$2\left(\frac{p^{r-1}-1}{p-1}\right) + \frac{p^{r-1}+p-2}{p-1} + (p-3)\frac{p^{r-1}-1}{p-1} = \frac{p^r-1}{p-1}$$

times. Therefore

$$(44) \quad (\text{Ind}_{B_{r+1}}^{G_{r+1}}(\chi))^{ss} = ((\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss})^{\frac{p^r+p-2}{p-1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{p-2} ((\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi \cdot (\frac{a}{d})^k))^{ss})^{\frac{p^r-1}{p-1}}.$$

proving the inductive claim.  $\square$

A complete semisimplification expresses the given representation as a direct sum of its unique set of composition factors, which are each irreducible representations. Hence giving the semisimplification  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  requires knowing the irreducible characteristic  $p$  representations of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))$ .

**5.1. Classifying Modular Irreps of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))$ .** We give a complete characterization of the irreducible characteristic  $p$  representations of  $G_r$  for  $r \geq 2$ . We claim that every irreducible characteristic  $p$  representation of  $G_r$  is of the form  $\rho \circ \pi$ , where  $\pi$  is the surjective homomorphism

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi : GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r)) &\rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1} & b_0 + \cdots + b_{r-1}t^{r-1} \\ c_0 + \cdots + c_{r-1}t^{r-1} & d_0 + \cdots + d_{r-1}t^{r-1} \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & b_0 \\ c_0 & d_0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\rho$  is an irreducible characteristic  $p$  representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . To prove this fact we need the following two known lemmas, which then establish the result as a quick corollary.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $H \trianglelefteq G$  be a  $p$ -group. If  $V$  is an irreducible characteristic  $p$  representation of  $G$ , then  $V^H = V$ , that is,  $H$  acts trivially on all elements of  $V$ .*

In particular Lemma 5.2 tells us that if  $G$  is a finite group,  $H \trianglelefteq G$  is a  $p$ -group, and  $V$  is an irreducible characteristic  $p$  representation of  $G$ , then  $V$  must be the direct sum of trivial representations on  $H$ . We claim that this implies  $V$  factors through  $G/H$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *A representation of a finite group  $G$  is trivial on a normal subgroup  $H$  if and only if it factors through  $G/H$ .*

The preceding lemmas allow us to prove the claim established at the beginning of this section:

**Proposition 5.4.** *Any irreducible modular representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))$  is the inflation of an irreducible modular representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .*

*Proof.* The surjective homomorphism  $\pi$  in (45) gives us  $H = \ker \pi \trianglelefteq G_r$ . We claim that  $H$  is a  $p$ -group: Notice that  $G_1 = GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  may be viewed as a subgroup of  $G_r$ , as it respects multiplication in  $G_r$ . By the first isomorphism theorem for groups we know that  $\ker \pi \trianglelefteq G_r$ , and since the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 + \cdots + a_{r-1}t^{r-1} & b_0 + \cdots + b_{r-1}t^{r-1} \\ c_0 + \cdots + c_{r-1}t^{r-1} & d_0 + \cdots + d_{r-1}t^{r-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

belongs to  $\ker \pi$  if and only if  $a_0 = d_0 = 1, b_0 = c_0 = 0$ , and  $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ , then  $|\ker \pi| = |\mathbb{F}_p|^{4(r-1)} = p^{4(r-1)}$ . Hence by Lemma 5.2 any irreducible modular representation of  $G_r$  must be trivial on  $H$ . But by Lemma 5.3, we know that a representation of  $G_r$  is trivial on a normal subgroup  $H$  if and only if it factors through  $G_r/H$ . Since  $G_r/H \cong GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , then every irreducible characteristic  $p$  representation  $\tilde{\rho}$  of  $G_r$  must be of the form  $\rho \circ \pi$  where  $\pi$  is the map given in (45) and  $\rho$  is an irreducible characteristic  $p$  representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .  $\square$

Fortunately the irreducible characteristic  $p$  representations  $\rho$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  are fully classified (see [1] or [5] for the proofs). Given  $0 \leq n \leq p-1$  and  $0 \leq \ell \leq p-2$ , let  $P_n$  be the  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  span of the basis  $\{x^n, x^{n-1}y, \dots, xy^{n-1}, y^n\}$ . Define

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{n,\ell} : GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p) &\rightarrow GL(P_n) \\ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot P(x, y) &= P(ax+cy, bx+dy) \cdot \left( \det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right)^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\{\rho_{n,\ell}\}$  give a complete set of irreducible characteristic  $p$  representations of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  up to equivalence. Hence every irreducible characteristic  $p$  representation of  $G_r$  is given by  $\widetilde{\rho_{n,\ell}} = \rho_{n,\ell} \circ \pi$ , where  $\pi$  is the map in (45).

**5.2. Semisimplification of  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$ .** Recall that any multiplicative map  $\chi : B_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$  is of the form  $\chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}\right) = a^r(ad)^s$ , where  $0 \leq r, s \leq p-2$ . From [cite](#), we know that if  $r = 0$ , then  $(\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss} = \rho_{0,s} \oplus \rho_{p-1,s}$ , where  $\rho_{p-1,s}$  may be recognized as the twisted Steinberg representation. On the other hand, if  $r \neq 0$ , then  $(\text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss} = \rho_{p-1-r,r+s} \oplus \rho_{r,s}$ . In particular this tells us that  $(\text{Inf}_{G_1}^{G_r} \text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss} = \widetilde{\rho_{0,s}} \oplus \widetilde{\rho_{p-1,s}}$  or  $(\text{Inf}_{G_1}^{G_r} \text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1}(\chi))^{ss} = \widetilde{\rho_{p-1-r,r+s}} \oplus \widetilde{\rho_{r,s}}$  depending on the  $\chi$ . In combination with Corollary 5.1 this fact allows us to explicitly give the semisimplification of  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  for any character  $\chi$ .

## 6. COMPUTING SEMISIMPLIFICATIONS VIA BRAUER CHARACTERS

Richard Brauer pioneered modular representation theory largely to better understand the relationships between characteristic  $p$  representation theory and ordinary character theory. A key development in this theory is the invention of *Brauer characters*, which directly assign to particular elements of a group  $G$  a value in a field of characteristic 0, dependent on a characteristic  $p$  representation. The utility of such characters in our problem comes from the fact that they can be used to solve for the semisimplification numbers given in Corollary 5.1 without requiring any knowledge about the Jordan-Hölder series itself.

To compute the Brauer character of a representation, we outline a process described in greater generality in [?] and [?]. Let  $m$  be the least common multiple of the orders of  $p$ -regular elements of  $G$ , which are those elements of  $G$  that have order coprime to  $p$ . Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible characteristic  $p$  representation of  $G$ . For any  $g \in G$  a  $p$ -regular element,  $\rho(g)$  must have order dividing  $|g|$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ , and hence has order dividing  $m$ . In particular this tells us that the eigenvalues of  $\rho(g)$  are all powers of  $m^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ , so writing  $\zeta_m$  for a primitive  $m^{\text{th}}$  root of unity in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ , we express the eigenvalues of  $\rho(g)$  as  $\zeta_m^{m_1}, \dots, \zeta_m^{m_k}$ , where  $k$  is the dimension of the representation  $\rho$ . We fix a bijection between the  $m^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  and the  $m^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity in  $\mathbb{C}$  by mapping  $\zeta_m \mapsto \omega_m = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$ . Then the Brauer character of  $\rho$  evaluated at  $g$  is given by  $\theta_\rho(g) = \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_m^{m_i}$ . Notice that since elements of the same  $p$ -regular conjugacy class have the same eigenvalues, then Brauer characters must be constant on  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes.

It is a big theorem of Brauer and Nesbitt [?] that given an ordinary character  $\psi : G \rightarrow E^\times$  of  $G$  (where  $E$  is a field of characteristic 0 whose residue field is of characteristic  $p$ ), we have that the *mod  $p$  reduction*  $\tilde{\psi} : G \rightarrow k_E^\times$  of  $\psi$  may be expressed as a non-negative integer linear combination of the irreducible Brauer characters of  $G$ . This means that for all  $p$ -regular  $g \in G$ ,

$$(47) \quad \tilde{\psi}(g) = \sum_{\rho \text{ modular irrep of } G} d_\rho \theta_\rho(g)$$

where each  $d_\rho$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . These  $d_\rho$  are called the *decomposition numbers* of  $\tilde{\psi}$  and give the multiplicity of the irreducible representation  $\rho$  in the semisimplification of  $\tilde{\psi}$ .

We wish to compute the semisimplification of  $\vartheta_\chi = \text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  via Brauer characters. Since Brauer characters are only defined on  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes, and are constant on such classes, our first step is to determine these conjugacy classes for  $G_r$ . Fortunately the conjugacy classes of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  are well-known [?], and the  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))$  for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  have representatives in  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Hence for general primes  $p$ , we have the following  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes (should cite if I use this in the final writeup):

- (1)  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \right\}$ . We have  $|\mathbb{F}_p^\times| = p - 1$  such conjugacy classes.
- (2)  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \right\}$ . Swapping the position of  $a$  and  $b$  yields conjugate matrices, but a different pair of  $(a, b)$  yields a non-conjugate matrix. Hence we have  $\binom{p-1}{2}$  such conjugacy classes.
- (3)  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & D\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  where  $D$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and  $\alpha + \beta\sqrt{D}$  is a characteristic root of a matrix in  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  with  $\beta \neq 0$ . The matrices  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & D\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  and  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -D\beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  are conjugate, so we only need consider  $\beta \in \{1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$ .

None of the matrices of type (3) above are conjugate to an upper triangular matrix in  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  (else their eigenvalues would lie in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ). We see that this must hold in the larger group  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))$  as well: if any of the matrices of type (3) were conjugate in  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))$  to an upper triangular matrix, then their eigenvalues would have to lie in  $(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))^\times$ . But their eigenvalues also lie in  $(\mathbb{F}_p[\sqrt{D}])^\times$ , and  $(\mathbb{F}_p[\sqrt{D}])^\times \cap (\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))^\times = \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ . Hence if the matrices of type (3) were conjugate to an upper triangular matrix in  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p[t]/(t^r))$ , then they must have eigenvalues in  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ , and thus in particular must be of type



(1) or (2). This contradicts the fact that (1), (2), and (3) give distinct conjugacy class types.

To compute the character of this representation on an element  $x \in G_r$ , we consider the trace  $\text{Tr}(\vartheta_\chi(x))$ , noting that  $\text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r}(\chi)$  has dimension  $[G_r : B_r] = p^{r-1}(p+1)$  (<https://math.mit.edu/~etingof/replect.pdf>). The character of this representation, which we denote  $\theta_\chi$ , has a nice formula due to Mackey:

$$(48) \quad \theta_\chi(g) = \sum_{\substack{x_i \in B_r \setminus G_r \\ x_i g x_i^{-1} \in B}} \chi(\chi_i g \chi_i^{-1})$$

We use this formula to compute the character on our  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes. For each conjugacy class of type  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$ , we have that  $x_i \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} x_i^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \in B$  since scalar matrices belong to the center of  $G_r$ , and thus using (48) we get

$$(49) \quad \theta_\chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}\right) = |B_r \setminus G_r| \cdot \chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$(50) \quad = p^{r-1}(p+1)\chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

We now suppose  $g = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  and  $a \neq b$ . If  $x_i = I$ , the identity matrix in  $G_r$ , then  $x_i$  is the coset representative of  $B_r$ , the upper triangular matrices, and trivially we have that  $\chi(I \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} I^{-1}) = \chi(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix})$ . Now suppose  $x_j \notin B_r$ , such that  $B_r x_j$  is a different right coset than  $B_r$ . We wish to determine when  $x_j \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} x_j^{-1} \in B$ , so that its value under  $\chi$  gets counted in the sum of (48). Let  $x_j = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix}$  where  $\gamma \neq 0$ . Then

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} x_j \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} x_j^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & -\beta \\ -\gamma & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a\delta & -a\beta \\ -b\gamma & b\alpha \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} a\alpha\delta - b\beta\gamma & -a\alpha\beta + b\beta\alpha \\ a\gamma\delta - b\delta\gamma & -a\beta\gamma + b\delta\alpha \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

so that  $x_j \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} x_j^{-1} \in B$  if and only if  $a\delta\gamma - b\delta\gamma = 0$ , that is, if and only if  $(a-b)\delta\gamma = 0$ . Since  $a \neq b$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ , then  $a-b \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ , and thus we must have  $\delta\gamma = 0$ . But we assumed in the beginning that  $\gamma \neq 0$ , so we must have  $\delta = 0$ . From (51) we see then that if  $x_j \notin B$  and  $x_j \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} x_j^{-1} \in B$ , then

$$(52) \quad x_j \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} x_j^{-1} = \frac{-1}{\beta\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} -b\beta\gamma & (b-a)\alpha\beta \\ 0 & -a\beta\gamma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b & \frac{(a-b)\alpha}{\gamma} \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$$

so that  $\chi(x_j \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} x_j^{-1}) = \chi(\begin{bmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix})$ . To see that no other coset representative  $x_\ell$  (other than the two previously mentioned) gives  $x_\ell \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} x_\ell^{-1} \in B$ , suppose such an  $x_\ell$  did exist with  $Bx_j \neq Bx_\ell$ . Let  $x_j =$

$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\gamma \neq 0$  so that  $x_j \notin B$ . Let  $x_\ell = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
Bx_j \neq Bx_\ell &\iff x_\ell x_j^{-1} \notin B \\
&\iff \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \notin B \\
&\iff \frac{-1}{\beta\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\beta \\ -\gamma & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \notin B \\
&\iff \frac{-1}{\beta\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} -y\gamma & -x\beta + y\alpha \\ -w\gamma & -z\beta + w\alpha \end{bmatrix} \notin B \\
&\iff w \neq 0
\end{aligned}$$

But recall from our computation above that  $x_\ell \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} x_\ell^{-1} \in B$  if and only if  $(x_\ell)_{22} = 0$ , that is, if and only if  $w = 0$  in this case. This gives a contradiction, allowing us to conclude that

$$(53) \quad \theta_\chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \right) = \chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \right) + \chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Finally, if  $g = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & D\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix}$  is a matrix as in type (3), then we already know from an earlier discussion that  $g$  has no upper triangular conjugates. Thus

$$(54) \quad \theta_\chi \left( \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & D\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0$$

which completes our computation for the character of the principal series representation on the  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes of  $G_r$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank professors Charlotte Chan and Karol Koziol for both posing the problem and providing tremendous guidance throughout the research. The author would also like to acknowledge Andy Gordon for answering questions, the University of Michigan for running the REU, and the NSF for providing funding.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Laurent Berger and Sandra Rozensztajn, *Modular representations of  $gl_2(fp)$* .
- [2] Christophe Breuil and Fred Diamond, *Formes modulaires de hilbert modulo et valeurs d'extensions entre caracteres galoisens*.
- [3] Henry W. Gould, *Combinatorial identities: A standardized et of tables, listing 500 binomial coefficient summations*, West Virginia University, 1972.
- [4] Gradshteyn Izrail, Ryzik Iosif M., and Daniel Zwillinger, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, 2015.
- [5] Niels Ketelaars, *The irreducible mod  $p$  representations of  $gl_2(fp)$* , Jun 2021.

UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA- SANTA BARBARA  
Email address: gahlshemy@ucsb.edu