Methodology and Experiment

Effect of dietary patterns on the cause (ACR) as well as the mortality of Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) patients

 Data Analytics: Major Research Project

  Sayed Ahmed

MSc in Data Science and Analytics

Ryerson University

500869723

Supervisor

Dr. Youcef Derbal

Information Technology Department

Ted Rogers School of ITM

Ryerson University

Table of Contents

[Methodology 3](#_Toc14277624)

[Study Selection 3](#_Toc14277625)

[Data Synthesis 5](#_Toc14277626)

[Experiment and outcome 5](#_Toc14277627)

[Experiment Overview 5](#_Toc14277628)

[Experiment Details and Outcome: 6](#_Toc14277629)

[Set 1: Mortality and CKD: Food Groups Only 6](#_Toc14277630)

[Set 2: Mortality and CKD: Food Sub Groups 9](#_Toc14277631)

[Set 3 and Set 4: ACR Values with Food Groups and Nutrients Intake separately or in combination 11](#_Toc14277632)

[Experiment with PCA to identify contributing factors: 11](#_Toc14277633)

[Experiment using Regression to find correlation between ACR and Food Groups/Nutrients 14](#_Toc14277634)

[Set 5 Food Subgroups and ACR Values 16](#_Toc14277635)

[Set 6 Experiment using Machine Learning Approaches: ACR Values and Food Subgroups 18](#_Toc14277636)

[Outcome 18](#_Toc14277637)

[Outcome when ACR category is used: 19](#_Toc14277638)

[Appendix 19](#_Toc14277639)

[Line chart plots for experiment 4: Correlation with Mortality for food subgroups 19](#_Toc14277640)

[On Github: 19](#_Toc14277641)

[Repository: public-data-code-the-effect-of-dietary-patterns-on-the-mortality-and-survival-of-ckd-patients 19](#_Toc14277642)

[Analysis using Excel and XLStat: 20](#_Toc14277643)

[References 20](#_Toc14277644)

# Methodology

In this section, the methodology utilized for the research will be provided. A diagram showing all the steps in the methodology is provided in the next page.

The primary purpose of this research is to assess the effect of dietary patterns on the cause (ACR - Albumin Creatinine Ratio) as well as the mortality and survival in relation to chronic kidney disease (CKD)/End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD). A dataset released by CDC/Health.gov with the dietary habits and a CKD measure named Albumin Creatinine Ratio (ACR) of around 10,000 individuals are studied. Also, age group based mortality and survival of CKD/ESRD patients provided by USRDS are studied. Afterwards, utilized Principal Component Analysis to identify the most important food groups and subgroups affecting the ACR value and the Mortality/Survival then utilized Statistical Regression and Factor analysis to understand the correlation of ACR, and Mortality/Survival to dietary patterns. Machine learning approaches such as Regression, Polynomial Regression, and Bayesian with or without 10 fold cross validations are applied on the datasets to understand if dietary patterns can be used to predict ACR values and mortality. The experiments showed 57 to 95% accuracy in the test data depending on the methodology applied.

Additionally, dietary recommendations as provided by health.gov are utilized for food groups/subgroups. Association of CKD mortality and ACR values with deviation from the recommended amount is studied. *Another study [11] on shifting from current recommendations conducted by health.gov is explored. Will the recommended shift [11] from current diet style [15] can have an improved outcome or not is also explored (optional goal).*

## Study Selection

For dietary patterns, CKD measures (such as Albumin Creatinine Ratio - ACR) , and Kidney condition measures, a dataset from the **National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey** on dietary habits conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [10] was used. The survey has data from 1996 to 2016 [10]. This study primarily utilized data for 2015-2016. The survey recorded 24 hours individual food item intake amount. Two surveys were taken within 3 to 10 days apart. Each survey provided food item intake amount in a day, also mentioned the diet style, and diet-restrictions. Individual food items are represented using USDA food code. The survey also provided total nutrients data. CDC also released examination, laboratory, demographics, and other related data for those participants.

For mortality and survival information, dataset from the United States Renal Data System (USRDS) on CKD and ESRD [16, 17] was utilized. “USRDS investigates the transition of care from CKD to ESRD and end-of-life care for those with advanced kidney disease” [19]. USRDS also releases data on the Incidence, Prevalence, Patient Characteristics, and Treatment Modalities on CKD, and ESRD patients. USRDS reports the survival and mortality using metrics such as Mortality rates: ESRD patients,  Mortality rates: Dialysis patients, Total Mortality Count, 90 day survival for dialysis and/or transplantation patients, 10 year survival for dialysis and/or transplantation patients, Avg. Expected remaining lifetime with or without pre-condition and treatment options used. The data are either aggregated or patient specific detail data. However, only aggregated data are public where patient specific data access requires special request and permission. This research utilized only the public dataset.

The dietary survey data (NHANES) represented the food items taken by the participants using USDA food codes [14, 12, 13]. Hence, USDA food codes [14, 12, 13] are used to assign food groups and subgroups to the NHANES [10] survey data to properly group/subgroup the dietary intake of the participants with some customizations as provided in the appendix.

**Figure: Methodology in a Diagram**

**USRDS Data on CKD and ESRD Patients**

Age Group Based Mortality

Age Group and Treatment based Remaining Life

Age group based mortality

ACR Predictability in the test dataset

Regression to check correlation with ACR

PCA identify important groups in the dataset

Recommended Intake Amounts By Health.gov

Food Items

Food Group Intake

-----------------------------------

Food Subgroups Intake

-----------------------------------

Nutrients Intake

Nutrients Intake

**Source**: Food group intake and recommended amount

**Target**: ACR

-------------------------------------------

**Source**: Food subgroups Intake

**Target**: ACR

-------------------------------------------

**Source**: Nutrients Intake

Target: ACR

USDA data to map Food Items to Food Groups and Subgroups

**NHANES Survey by CDC/Health.gov**

Individual Food Item Intake

Total Nutrients Intake

ACR measures for participants

Kidney Conditions

Albumin Creatinin Ratio (ACR) Data

Derive USRDS age group based intake

Source 1: Age Group Based Intake – Food Groups

Source 2: Age Group Based Intake - Food Sub Groups

Target: Age Group based Mortality

Shift from Recommendation by Health.gov

[Optional]

Mortality Rates for each Age

Age

Regression to check correlation with Mortality

PCA identify important groups in the dataset

Apply ML Approaches

Regression, Bayesian

Apply ML Approaches

Regression, Bayesian, Random Forest Regression

Mortality Predictability

Regression

PCA

[**Optional**] Insert mortality rates as the target variable

## Data Synthesis

NHANES survey data as provided for two days are averaged to get the intake amount for one day. Both individual food item data and nutrients intake data are averaged. USDA food codes are used to map food items to food groups and subgroups.

ACR and Kidney condition data for each individual are merged with the averaged food group/subgroup and nutrients data. ACR values are used as the target variable for couple of experiments and study. With this data food group recommendations from health.gov were also merged. This dataset was used for ACR association study.

For one mortality/survival study mortality rates from USRDS for each age were merged with the above data. Mortality/survival is used as the target variable.

For both of the above cases, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was applied to find out important food groups and subgroups. Afterwards, Regression was applied to find association with ACR and Mortality. Afterwards, Machine Learning approaches such as Linear Regression, Polynomial Regression, Random Forest Regression, Bayesian prediction with or without 10 fold cross validations were applied to study the predictability of ACR Values and Mortality in the test dataset. Test dataset was also part of the above datasets.

For another mortality/survival study, the above synthesized datasets were aggregated for USRDS age groups to calculate average food group/subgroup intake by age groups. With that aggregation mortality/survival data were merged. Mortality/survival is used as the target variable. For this, PCA and Regression were used to find association between food groups and CKD/ESRD mortality

# Experiment and outcome

## Experiment Overview

Experiments as provided below are planned to achieve the goal of the research. Only first six sets of experiments will be conducted where the 7th set will be an option. Others (set 8 and 9) are not conducted; however, can provide valuable insight to justify the goal.

|  |
| --- |
| **Set 1:** Mortality and CKD: Food Groups  **Primary Input Dataset**: NHANES survey aggregated to calculate average food item intake by USRDS like age groups  **Target Variable: ESRD:** Avg. Annual Mortality rates |
| **Experiment 1.1:** Identify contributing and important food groups in the dataset using PCA a) Using Actual Intake Amount b) Using ratios of intake and recommended high |
| **Experiment 1.2:** Find out correlation (using Pearson’s correlation and regression) between CKD mortality and important food groups as found using PCA in experiment 1. a) Using Actual Intake Amount b) Using ratios of intake amount and recommended high |
| **Set 2:** Mortality and CKD: Food Sub Groups  **Primary Input Dataset**: NHANES survey aggregated to calculate average food item intake by USRDS like age groups  **Target Variable: ESRD:** Avg. Annual Mortality rates |
| **Experiment 2.1:** Identify important food sub groups in the dataset using PCA a) Actual Intake Amount |
| **Experiment 2.2:** Similar to experiment 1.2 (Regression); however, used food subgroups and actual intake only |
| **Set 3:** ACR and Food Groups  **Primary Input Dataset**: NHANES survey data for each participant averaged for two surveys  **Target Variable:** Albumin Creatinine Ratio (ACR) |
| **Experiment 3.1:** Identify contributing food groups in the input dataset using PCA. This is different than Experiment 1 because entire survey is being used here; not the aggregated data by age groups |
| **Experiment 3.2:** Find out correlation (using Pearson’s correlation, and regression) between ACR Values and important food groups as found using PCA in experiment 3.1. |
|  |
| **Set 4: ACR Values and Nutrients**  Utilize the same experiments as done for ACR and Food Groups. However, nutrients intake with or without combining with food groups  4.1 PCA to identify contributing factors  4.2 Regression to find correlations among factors found in experiment 4.1 |
| **Set 5:** ACR Values and Food Subgroups  **Primary Input Dataset**: NHANES survey data for each participant averaged for two surveys  **Target Variable:** Albumin Creatinine Ratio (ACR)  Similar experiments like set 3 and set 4. However, use food subgroups as the input/source variables |
|  |
| **Set 6: Experiments using Regression: ACR Values and Food Subgroups** |
| |  | | --- | | And then utilize Machine Learning Approaches for Mortality Prediction on Test Dataset. Input dataset from Set 6 can be used here as the Input dataset | | **Experiment 6.1:** ACR value prediction using linear regression. (ACR class can also be an option).  **Experiment 6.2** Use 10 folds cross validations where possible.  **Goal:** Check the % of predictability in the test dataset. Precision, recall, or similar might be calculated | | **Experiment 6.3:** Conduct experiment 6.1; however use Polynomial Regression  **Experiment 6.4:** With 10 Folds Cross Validations | | **Experiment 6.5:** Conduct experiment 6.1; however, use Random Forest Regression with or without 10 Folds Cross Validations. Utilize Polynomial Regression in the process. | | **Experiment 6.6:** Conduct experiment 6.1; however, use Bayesian prediction with or without 10 Folds Cross Validations. b) Use Polynomial Fit | |
|  |
| **Set 7: CKD Mortality using Survey data i.e. No aggregation on Age Groups**  Input Data: Bring CKD mortality data to each participant using the corresponding age  Use PCA (to find contributing food groups and subgroups) and then Regression to find correlation between mortality and Food Groups/Subgroups/ACR values |
| **Set 8: Experiments using Regression: No aggregated (on age groups) survey data**  And then utilize Machine Learning Approaches for Mortality Prediction on Test Dataset. Input dataset from Set 7 can be used here as the Input dataset |
| **Set 9: Remaining Life for CKD Patients and Food Groups/Subgroups: No aggregated survey data**  Input Data: Bring remaining life data to each participant using the corresponding age and CKD status  Use PCA (to find contributing food groups and subgroups) and then Regression to find correlation between remaining life and Food Groups/Subgroups/(ACR values optional)  Will not work on this as data are not sufficient and the project has becoming long. |

# Experiment Details and Outcome:

## Set 1: Mortality and CKD: Food Groups Only

**Experiment:** Identify contributing and important food groups in the dataset using PCA

**Outcome (Plots are given below):**

* First three components explain the data by 91%
* **Contributors to the First Component**: Sugar, Oil, Protein and then Vegetables **negatively** (-0.6 approx).

Dairy somewhat (0.5) **positively**, and Fruits (.3) minimally **positively**

* **Contributors to the 2nd Component**: grain strongly and **negatively**. Oil and protein minimally and **negatively**. Vegetables strongly and **positively**. Sugars minimally positively
* **Contributors to the 3rd component**: Fruits **positively** where sugars minimally positive.
* **Contributors for 4th component**: Oil strongly and **negatively**

Considering all components, Grain and Oil contribute the most and negatively then protein and sugar. Fruits contributes the most positively then vegetable and dairy.

The above outcome (also the numbers) is primarily taken from experiment using intake amount to high end of recommendation amount. Analysis with actual intake amounts shows very similar outcome as above. Regressions will be done with all food groups as all are found to be contributing.

**Fig below**: PCA components, and contributors to PCA components

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| **Contributors to PCA components** | |

**Experiment:** Find out correlation (using Pearson’s correlation and regression) between CKD mortality and important food groups as found using PCA in the previous experiment.

**Regression:**

Grain (-0.84) and Fruits (-0.43) respectively show strong negative correlation with Mortality rates i.e. if Grain and protein taken less, mortality is high. Vegetables show positive (0.58) correlation where sugars slightly positive (0.35) correlation i.e. when vegetables are taken high, mortality is also high. However, data shows this in older adults. Still ratios were used, age might have a bias. This does not show conformity with doctors’ recommendation on taking more vegetables for CKD patients. If this analysis has to be right: moderate (not high) vegetable intake might be an option for older adults.

The above results are from ratios (experiment 2.2). However, PCA and Regression using Actual Intake Amounts (Exp 2.1) show similar outcome where sugar (0.0004) shows virtually no effect. Hence, this research will conclude no effect on mortality for added sugars.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

## Set 2: Mortality and CKD: Food Sub Groups

**Experiment:** Identify important food sub groups in the dataset using PCA a) Actual Intake Amount only

**Outcome:**

* First five components explain the data by 90%
* **Contributors for the First Component:** 
  + **Positively** (0.4): Milks and milk drinks
  + **Negatively** (-0.3 to -0.4): Dark-green vegetables, Starchy vegetables, Whole grains, Meat Poultry and Eggs, Oils, Water noncarbonated intake, Nonalcoholic beverages taken
* **Contributors for 2nd Component:** 
  + **Positively**: Red and orange vegetables, Other vegetables
  + **Negatively**: Nuts Seeds and Soy Products, Added Sugars/Sugars and sweets, Alcoholic beverages
* **Contributors for 3rd component:** 
  + **Positively**: Refined grains, Milk desserts sauces gravies
  + **Negatively**: Water noncarbonated
* **Features that do not contribute significantly:** Seafood, Solid Fats
* **Considering all Five Components:** 
  + **Most Positively** : Refined grains, Milk desserts sauces gravies, Nuts Seeds and Soy Products, Red and orange vegetables
  + **Most Negatively:** Other vegetables, Added Sugars/Sugars and sweets, Alcoholic beverages

Regression analysis will be done with all food sub groups except Seafood, Solid Fats

**Fig Below: PCA components and Contributors to the PCA components**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
|  | |

**Experiment:** Use Regression to find correlations to Mortality rates with food subgroups. On Actual Intake Amount

**Outcome:**

* **Most Positively Correlated**: Other vegetables, Red and orange vegetables, Starchy vegetables,
* **Most Negatively Correlated**: Alcoholic beverages (-0.79), Added Sugars/Sugars and sweets (-0.64), Whole grains (-0.61), Nuts, Seeds, and Soy Products (-0.55)

Corresponding line chart indicating positive and negative correlations are provided in the appendix.

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |

## Set 3 and Set 4: ACR Values with Food Groups and Nutrients Intake separately or in combination

Notes on input Data: Combined food group based intake data and nutrients intake data into the same dataset then applied PCA and Regression together (food groups and nutrients) and separately. Nutrient survey provided nutrients taken in a day for two days. Nutrients intake data were averaged to get average intake in a day

### Experiment with PCA to identify contributing factors:

**PCA: Combined Food Group and Nutrients**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
|  | |
|  | |

**PCA Outcome, Contributing food groups and nutrients:** Dairy, ‘Fats, oils, and salad dressings’,  Fruits, Grains, Protein, ‘Sugars, sweets, and beverages’, Vegetables, Avg\_energy\_kcal,  avg\_protein\_gm, avg\_carbohydrate\_gm, avg\_total\_fat\_gm, avg\_total\_saturated\_fatty\_acids\_gm, avg\_total\_monounsaturated\_fatty\_acids\_gm,  avg\_total\_polyunsaturated\_fatty\_acids\_gm, avg\_lutein\_zeaxanthin\_mcg, avg\_thiamin\_vitamin\_B1\_mg, avg\_riboflavin\_Vitamin\_B2\_mg, avg\_Niacin\_mg, avg\_Calcium\_mg,  avg\_Phosphorus\_mg, avg\_Magnesium\_mg, avg\_Iron\_mg, avg\_Zinc\_mg, avg\_Copper\_mg, avg\_Sodium\_mg, avg\_Potassium\_mg, avg\_Selenium\_mcg, Hexadecenoic\_gm, Octadecenoic\_gm

**PCA: Food Group Only:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
|  | |
|  | |

**Notes on Food Groups:**

Grain, Protein, and Sugars were important in the first component. Dairy and Fruits were important in the second component. Fats in the third component then fruits were important. Vegetables in the 4th component


**PCA: Nutrients:**


|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
|  | |
|  | |

### Experiment using Regression to find correlation between ACR and Food Groups/Nutrients


Effect on ACR using Regression Techniques: Effect on ACR was not found to be very significant in any of the experiments in this set. However, some food groups and/or nutrients have higher affect than the others

**Regression: Food Groups and Nutrients Combined**

****

****

**Combined**

****

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Regression: Food Groups:**

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |

**Regression: Nutrients and ACR:**

|  |
| --- |
| **Data Normalized** |
| **Data Not Normalized** |
|  |

## Set 5 Food Subgroups and ACR Values

**Experiment:** Use PCA to identify food subgroups contributing in the dataset

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **https://lh6.googleusercontent.com/c7U8GMWeAR2e3w3JsUlTefP5CM-r_4IFSQpb9RJo4EJ6RjP_rpHSBxVGe4_0MG-kh3qWxSVdFSHFVoR7aOV95SKj1ebg0K4FQTVHP_uRtQ_fcuDeOELC8qZzpVbJB2Aqk-NhWEYi** |  |
| **Contributions to Three Components**  **https://lh5.googleusercontent.com/aWFtzVcNsrCJ9TP65RPFjpGr3bB4yDz3AQqUzXKZhBZKLGekp_bKk4wZUZv5TEKbF54CEv2djCu7MIbf-YhRGSz8wnos-kwLN_xMZwwt-AFvpvopWrOgk_6PNvzd96f8lvMzduB-** | |
| **Contributions to 7 Components:**  **https://lh3.googleusercontent.com/vn39xVkIPiFwLn3DY_4ocCWJTDmcyVwG7gCplzBUHo2t9rEv4k1mY1rIy8G0Rcud7KzlnPE26VaXWNSxyAjKTka-OOS8wYBwfxhi8QwHxtZWy4OqdlAmGkRDH_RvFyU5W_QReJsg** | |

**Experiment: Regression and Correlation:**

‘Milk desserts, Sauces, Gravies’, and Alcoholic beverages show correlation with ACR more than the other food subgroups. However, the correlation can be seen to be negligible.

|  |
| --- |
| Food subgroup and ACR association |
|  |

## Set 6 Experiment using Machine Learning Approaches: ACR Values and Food Subgroups

**Goal:** If the ACR value can be predicted using the food intake patterns.

Applied Machine Learning (ML) Approaches such as Regression, Polynomial Regression, Random Forest Regression, Bayesian, and 10 fold cross validation on Food Subgroups dataset. Only the food subgroups that were found to be important using PCA were used for the ML approaches.

**Target Variables:**

Absolute ACR values and ACR Category were used as the target variables. For ACR category, < 30 is assigned to class 0, and > 30 is assigned to class 1.

Outcome**:**

The best test set accuracies are found using the approaches such as: 10 Fold Cross Validation Polynomial Regression, Polynomial Bayesian with Cross Validation (68%), Polynomial Regression (57%), Bayesian on Polynomial fit (41%), Cross Validation with Polynomial Random Forest Regression (21%)

A list of the best performing approaches and the outcome are provided below. Complete list can be seen on outcome\_machine\_learning\_for\_acr\_values.xlsx

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Feature Data** | **Target** | **Approach used** | **MSE Train** | **MSE Test** | **RMSE Train** | **RMSE Test** | **R2 Score Train** | **Accuracy: Test R2 Score if not mentioned** |
| Data Not Normalized | ACR Value | 10 Fold Cross Validation Polynomial Regression | | |  |  |  | -0.957 - cross\_val\_score |
| Data Not Normalized | ACR Value | Polynomial Bayesian with Cross Validation |  |  |  |  |  | -0.682 - cross\_val\_score |
| Data Not Normalized | ACR Value | Polynomial Regression | 90965 | 52946 | 301 | 301 | 0.359 | -0.579 – r2\_score – test data |
| Data Not Normalized | ACR Value | Bayesian on Polynomial fit | 93047 | 47431 | 305 | 305 | 0.344 | -0.414 r2\_score on test data |

### Outcome when ACR category is used:

After regression, > 0.5 is assigned to category 1. Test accuracies are 88%

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Data | Target | Appoach | Train Confusion (total, correct : [ TP, FN, FP, TN]) | Test Confusion |
| Not Normalized | acr\_category | LinearRegression | 6927,87 :[6032,0,895,0] | 770, 88: [692, 0, 78, 0] |
| Normalized | acr\_category | LinearRegression | 6927, 87:[6032,0,895,0] | 770, 88: [692, 0, 78, 0] |

# Appendix

## Line chart plots for experiment 4: Correlation with Mortality for food subgroups

Positively:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| positive_subgroup_line_1.png | positive_subgroup_line_2.png | positive_subgroup_line_3.png |

Negatively:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| negatively_subgroup_line_1.png | negatively_subgroup_line_2.png | negatively_subgroup_line_3.png |

## On Github:

# Repository: **public-data-code-the-effect-of-dietary-patterns-on-the-mortality-and-survival-of-ckd-patients**

<https://github.com/sayed-ahmed-canada/public-data-code-the-effect-of-dietary-patterns-on-the-mortality-and-survival-of-ckd-patients>

Shows the plots and sample data:

**Group and Nutrients:**

<https://github.com/sayed-ahmed-canada/public-data-code-the-effect-of-dietary-patterns-on-the-mortality-and-survival-of-ckd-patients/blob/master/Python-or-R-codes/foodgroup-acr-association-prediction.ipynb>

**Subgroup:**

<https://github.com/sayed-ahmed-canada/public-data-code-the-effect-of-dietary-patterns-on-the-mortality-and-survival-of-ckd-patients/blob/master/Python-or-R-codes/food-subgroup-acr-association-prediction.ipynb>

## Analysis using Excel and XLStat:

Food subgroups and ACR. Shows similar output to Python files (might not be the most recent)

<https://github.com/sayed-ahmed-canada/public-data-code-the-effect-of-dietary-patterns-on-the-mortality-and-survival-of-ckd-patients/blob/master/phase-experiment-excel-xlstat-analysis/food-groups/upto-date-jul-06-applying_pca_and_regression_on_this_merged_food_items_and_nutrients_file.xlsm>

# References

1. [What Is Chronic Kidney Disease?](https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/kidney-disease/chronic-kidney-disease-ckd/what-is-chronic-kidney-disease)
2. Jaimon T. Kelly, Suetonia C. Palmer, Shu Ning Wai, Marinella Ruospo, Juan-Jesus Carrero, Katrina L. Campbell, and Giovanni F. M. Strippol  [Healthy Dietary Patterns and Risk of Mortality and ESRD in CKD: A Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies](https://cjasn.asnjournals.org/content/clinjasn/12/2/272.full.pdf)
3. Chen X, Wei G, Jalili T, Metos J, Giri A, Cho ME, Boucher R, Greene T, Beddhu S: The associations of plant protein intake with all-cause mortality in CKD. Am J Kidney Dis 67: 423–430, 2016 (26)
4. Gutie´rrez OM, Muntner P, Rizk DV, McClellan WM, Warnock DG, Newby PK, Judd SE: Dietary patterns and risk of death and progression to ESRD in individuals with CKD: A cohort study. Am J Kidney Dis 64: 204–213, 2014  (27)
5. Huang X, Jime ́nez-Moleo ́n JJ, Lindholm B, Cederholm T, Arnold ̈v J, Rise ́rus U, Sjo¨gren P, Carrero JJ: Mediterranean diet, kidney function, and mortality in men with CKD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 8: 1548–1555, 2013 (28)
6. Muntner P, Judd SE, Gao L, Gutie´rrez OM, Rizk DV, McClellanW, Cushman M, Warnock DG: Cardiovascular risk factors in CKD associated with both ESRD and mortality. J Am Soc Nephrol 24: 1159–1165, 2013 (29)
7. Ricardo AC, Madero M, Yang W, Anderson C, Menezes M, Fischer MJ, Turyk M, Daviglus ML, Lash JP: Adherence to a healthy lifestyle and all-cause mortality in CKD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 8: 602–609, 2013 (30)
8. Tsuruya K, Fukuma S, Wakita T, Ninomiya T, Nagata M, Yoshida H, Fujimi S, Kiyohara Y, Kitazono T, Uchida K, Shirota T, Akizawa T, Akiba T, Saito A, Fukuhara S: Dietary patterns and clinical outcomes in hemodialysis patients in Japan: A cohort study. PLoS One 10: e0116677, 2015 (31)
9. Ricardo AC, Anderson CA, Yang W, Zhang X, Fischer MJ, Dember LM, Fink JC, Frydrych A, Jensvold NG, Lustigova E, Nessel LC, Porter AC, Rahman M, Wright Nunes JA, Daviglus ML, Lash JP; CRIC Study Investigators: Healthy lifestyle and risk of kidney disease progression, atherosclerotic events, and death in CKD: Findings from the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) Study. Am J Kidney Dis 65: 412–424, 2015 (17)
10. [National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey](https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/search/datapage.aspx?Component=Dietary)
11. <https://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2015/guidelines/chapter-2/a-closer-look-at-current-intakes-and-recommended-shifts/>
12. [Food Code Numbers and the Food Coding Scheme](https://reedir.arsnet.usda.gov/codesearchwebapp/(gcp3kq55ssdyc445ry2k2rus)/coding_scheme.pdf)
13. [VEGETABLE SUBGROUPS](http://www.cn.nysed.gov/common/cn/files/Vegetable%20Subgroups.pdf)
14. [Key Concepts About the USDA Food Coding Scheme:](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/tutorials/Dietary/SurveyOrientation/ResourceDietaryAnalysis/Info2.htm)
15. [Appendix 3. USDA Food Patterns: Healthy U.S.-Style Eating Pattern.](https://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2015/guidelines/appendix-3/)
16. [United states Renal Data System (USRDS). 2018 ADR Reference Tables:](https://www.usrds.org/reference.aspx)
17. [2018 ADR Chapters.](https://www.usrds.org/2018/view/Default.aspx)
18. [Documentation and Dataset:](https://www.ars.usda.gov/northeast-area/beltsville-md-bhnrc/beltsville-human-nutrition-research-center/food-surveys-research-group/docs/wweia-documentation-and-data-sets)
19. [2018 USRDS Annual Data Report: Executive Summary](https://www.usrds.org/2018/view/v1_00.aspx)
20. [DIETARY  GUIDELINES  FOR AMERICANS  2015-2020](https://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2015/resources/2015-2020_dietary_guidelines.pdf)
21. <https://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/dga95/9DIETGUI.HTM>
22. [Mortality and Causes of Death](https://www.usrds.org/2018/ref/ESRD_Ref_H_Mortality_2018.xlsx)
23. Tong A, Chando S, Crowe S, Manns B, Winkelmayer WC, Hemmelgarn B, Craig JC: Research priority setting in kidney disease: A systematic review. Am J Kidney Dis 65: 674–683, 2015
24. Lin J, Fung TT, Hu FB, Curhan GC: Association of dietary patterns with albuminuria and kidney function decline in older white women: A subgroup analysis from the Nurses’ Health Study. Am J Kidney Dis 57: 245–254, 2011
25. Taylor EN, Fung TT, Curhan GC: DASH-style diet associates with reduced risk for kidney stones. J Am Soc Nephrol 20: 2253–2259, 2009
26. Liu, Hao-Wen; Tsai, Wen-Hsin; Liu, Jia-Sin; Kuo, Ko-Lin. 2019. "Association of Vegetarian Diet with Chronic Kidney Disease." *Nutrients* 11, no. 2: 279.
27. Golaleh Asghari, Mehrnaz Momenan, Emad Yuzbashian, Parvin MirmiranEmail author and Fereidoun Azizi. Dietary pattern and incidence of chronic kidney disease among adults: a population-based study
28. Tanushree Banerjee1 , Deidra C. Crews2 , Delphine S. Tuot3 , Meda E. Pavkov4 , Nilka Rios Burrows4 , Austin G. Stack5 , Rajiv Saran6,7 , Jennifer Bragg-Gresham6 and Neil R. Powe1,8 ; for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Chronic Kidney Disease Surveillance Team9 Poor accordance to a DASH dietary pattern is associated with higher risk of ESRD among adults with moderate chronic kidney disease and hypertension
29. Jacek R., Beata F., Aleksandra C., Anna G.The Effect of Diet on the Survival of Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. *Nutrients* 2017, *9*(5), 495;<https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9050495>
30. <https://www.kidney.org/news/one-seven-american-adults-estimated-to-have-chronic-kidney-disease>
31. <https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/282929.php>
32. Five Stages of CKD. <https://www.davita.com/education/kidney-disease/stages>
33. Goal: How to Identify the Most Important Predictor Variables in Regression Models

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/how-to-identify-the-most-important-predictor-variables-in-regression-models>

1. How to Interpret Regression Analysis Results: P-values and Coefficients

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/how-to-interpret-regression-analysis-results-p-values-and-coefficients>

1. Regression Analysis: How to Interpret the Constant (Y Intercept)

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/regression-analysis-how-to-interpret-the-constant-y-intercept>

1. How to Compare Regression Slopes: How to statistically test the difference between regression slopes and   
    constants

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/how-to-compare-regression-lines-between-different-models>

1. How Do I Interpret R-squared and Assess the Goodness-of-Fit?

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/regression-analysis-how-do-i-interpret-r-squared-and-assess-the-goodness-of-fit>

1. How High Should R-squared Be in Regression Analysis?

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/how-high-should-r-squared-be-in-regression-analysis>

1. How to Interpret a Regression Model with Low R-squared and Low P values

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/how-to-interpret-a-regression-model-with-low-r-squared-and-low-p-values>

1. Use Adjusted R-Squared and Predicted R-Squared to Include the Correct Number of Variables

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/multiple-regession-analysis-use-adjusted-r-squared-and-predicted-r-squared-to-include-the-correct-number-of-variables>

1. How to Interpret S, the Standard Error of the Regression

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/regression-analysis-how-to-interpret-s-the-standard-error-of-the-regression>

1. What Is the F-test of Overall Significance in Regression Analysis?

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/what-is-the-f-test-of-overall-significance-in-regression-analysis>

1. Understanding Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and the F-test

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/understanding-analysis-of-variance-anova-and-the-f-test>

1. How to Compare Regression Slopes

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/how-to-compare-regression-lines-between-different-models>

1. How to Present and Use the Results to Avoid Costly Mistakes, part 1

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/applied-regression-analysis-how-to-present-and-use-the-results-to-avoid-costly-mistakes-part-1>

1. How to Identify the Most Important Predictor Variables in Regression Models

<https://blog.minitab.com/blog/adventures-in-statistics-2/how-to-identify-the-most-important-predictor-variables-in-regression-models>

1. How to Interpret your Regression Results <http://sitestree.com/how-to-interpret-your-regression-results/>