



Abstract Classes and Interfaces





Java is “safer” than Python

- Python is very *dynamic*—classes and methods can be added, modified, and deleted as the program runs
 - If you have a call to a function that doesn't exist, Python will give you a **runtime** error *when you try to call it*
- In Java, everything has to be defined before the program begins to execute
 - If you have a call to a function that doesn't exist, the compiler marks it as a **syntax error**
 - Syntax errors are far better than runtime errors
 - Among other things, they won't make it into distributed code
 - To achieve this, Java requires some additional kinds of classes



Abstract methods

- You can *declare* an object without *defining* it:
`Person p;`
- Similarly, you can declare a *method* without defining it:
`public abstract void draw(int size);`
 - Notice that the body of the method is missing
- A method that has been declared but not defined is an **abstract method**



Abstract classes I

- Any class containing an abstract method is an **abstract class**
- You must declare the class with the keyword **abstract**:
abstract class MyClass {...}
- An abstract class is *incomplete*
 - It has “missing” method bodies
- You cannot **instantiate** (create a new instance of) an abstract class



Abstract classes II

- You can extend (subclass) an abstract class
 - If the subclass defines all the inherited abstract methods, it is “complete” and can be instantiated
 - If the subclass does *not* define all the inherited abstract methods, it too must be abstract
- You can declare a class to be **abstract** even if it does not contain any abstract methods
 - This prevents the class from being instantiated



Why have abstract classes?

- Suppose you wanted to create a class **Shape**, with subclasses **Oval**, **Rectangle**, **Triangle**, **Hexagon**, etc.
- You don't want to allow creation of a "Shape"
 - Only *particular* shapes make sense, not *generic* ones
 - If **Shape** is abstract, you can't create a **new Shape**
 - You *can* create a **new Oval**, a **new Rectangle**, etc.
- Abstract classes are good for defining a general category containing specific, "concrete" classes



An example abstract class

- `public abstract class Animal {
 abstract int eat();
 abstract void breathe();
}`
- This class cannot be instantiated
- Any non-abstract subclass of `Animal` must provide the `eat()` and `breathe()` methods



Why have abstract methods?

- Suppose you have a class **Shape**, but it *isn't* abstract
 - **Shape** should *not* have a **draw()** method
 - Each subclass of **Shape** *should* have a **draw()** method
- Now suppose you have a variable **Shape figure**; where **figure** contains some subclass object (such as a **Star**)
 - It is a *syntax error* to say **figure.draw()**, because the Java compiler can't tell in advance what kind of value will be in the **figure** variable
 - A class “knows” its superclass, but doesn't know its subclasses
 - An object knows its class, but a class doesn't know its objects
- **Solution:** Give **Shape** an *abstract* method **draw()**
 - Now the class **Shape** is abstract, so it can't be instantiated
 - The **figure** variable cannot contain a (generic) **Shape**, because it is impossible to create one
 - Any object (such as a **Star** object) that *is* a (kind of) **Shape** *will* have the **draw()** method
 - The Java compiler can depend on **figure.draw()** being a legal call and does not give a syntax error



A problem

- `class Shape { ... }`
- `class Star extends Shape {`
 `void draw() { ... }`
 `...`
}
- `class Crescent extends Shape {`
 `void draw() { ... }`
 `...`
}
- `Shape someShape = new Star();`
 - This is legal, because a Star *is* a Shape
- `someShape.draw();`
 - This is a syntax error, because *some Shape* might not have a `draw()` method
 - Remember: *A class knows its superclass, but not its subclasses*



A solution

- `abstract class Shape {
 void draw();
}`
- `class Star extends Shape {
 void draw() { ... }
 ...
}`
- `class Crescent extends Shape {
 void draw() { ... }
 ...
}`
- `Shape someShape = new Star();`
 - This is legal, because a Star *is* a Shape
 - However, `Shape someShape = new Shape();` is *no longer* legal
- `someShape.draw();`
 - This is legal, because every actual instance *must* have a `draw()` method



Interfaces

- An **interface** declares (describes) methods but does not supply bodies for them

```
interface KeyListener {  
    public void keyPressed(KeyEvent e);  
    public void keyReleased(KeyEvent e);  
    public void keyTyped(KeyEvent e);  
}
```

- All the methods are implicitly **public** and **abstract**
 - You can add these qualifiers if you like, but why bother?
- You cannot instantiate an interface
 - An **interface** is like a *very* abstract class—*none* of its methods are defined
- An interface may also contain constants (**final** variables)



Designing interfaces

- Most of the time, you will use Sun-supplied Java interfaces
- Sometimes you will want to design your own
- You would write an interface if you want classes of various types to all have a certain set of capabilities
- For example, if you want to be able to create animated displays of objects in a class, you might define an interface as:
 - `public interface Animatable {
 install(Panel p);
 display();
}`
- Now you can write code that will display *any* **Animatable** class in a **Panel** of your choice, simply by calling these methods



Implementing an interface I

- You **extend** a class, but you **implement** an interface
- A class can only extend (subclass) one other class, but it can implement as many interfaces as you like
- Example:

```
class MyListener  
    implements KeyListener, ActionListener { ... }
```



Implementing an interface II

- When you say a class **implements** an interface, you are promising to *define* all the methods that were *declared* in the interface

- Example:

```
class MyKeyListener implements KeyListener {  
    public void keyPressed(KeyEvent e) {...};  
    public void keyReleased(KeyEvent e) {...};  
    public void keyTyped(KeyEvent e) {...};  
}
```

- The “...” indicates actual code that you must supply
- Now you can create a **new MyKeyListener**



Partially implementing an Interface

- It is possible to define some but not all of the methods defined in an interface:

```
abstract class MyKeyListener implements KeyListener {  
    public void keyTyped(KeyEvent e) {...};  
}
```

- Since this class does not supply all the methods it has promised, it is an abstract class
- You must label it as such with the keyword **abstract**
- You can even *extend* an interface (to add methods):
 - `interface FunkyKeyListener extends KeyListener { ... }`



What are interfaces for?

- **Reason 1:** A class can only **extend** one other class, but it can **implement** multiple interfaces
 - This lets the class fill multiple “roles”
 - In writing Applets, it is common to have one class implement several different listeners
 - Example:

```
class MyApplet extends Applet
    implements ActionListener, KeyListener {
    ...
}
```
- **Reason 2:** You can write methods that work for more than one kind of class



How to use interfaces

- You can write methods that work with more than one class
- `interface RuleSet { boolean isLegal(Move m, Board b);
void makeMove(Move m); }`
 - Every class that implements `RuleSet` must have these methods
- `class CheckersRules implements RuleSet { // one implementation
public boolean isLegal(Move m, Board b) { ... }
public void makeMove(Move m) { ... }
}`
- `class ChessRules implements RuleSet { ... } // another implementation`
- `class LinesOfActionRules implements RuleSet { ... } // and another`
- `RuleSet rulesOfThisGame = new ChessRules();`
 - This assignment is legal because a `rulesOfThisGame` object *is* a `RuleSet` object
- `if (rulesOfThisGame.isLegal(m, b)) { makeMove(m); }`
 - This statement is legal because, *whatever* kind of `RuleSet` object `rulesOfThisGame` is, it *must* have `isLegal` and `makeMove` methods



instanceof

- **instanceof** is a keyword that tells you whether a variable “is a” member of a class or interface

- For example, if
 class Dog extends Animal implements Pet {...}
 Animal fido = new Dog();

then the following are all true:

 fido instanceof Dog

 fido instanceof Animal

 fido instanceof Pet

- **instanceof** is seldom used
 - When you find yourself wanting to use **instanceof**, think about whether the method you are writing should be moved to the individual subclasses



Interfaces, again

- When you implement an interface, you promise to define *all* the functions it declares
- There can be a *lot* of methods

```
interface KeyListener {  
    public void keyPressed(KeyEvent e);  
    public void keyReleased(KeyEvent e);  
    public void keyTyped(KeyEvent e);  
}
```

- What if you only care about a couple of these methods?



Adapter classes

- Solution: use an adapter class
- An **adapter class** implements an interface and provides empty method bodies

```
class KeyAdapter implements KeyListener {  
    public void keyPressed(KeyEvent e) { };  
    public void keyReleased(KeyEvent e) { };  
    public void keyTyped(KeyEvent e) { };  
}
```

- You can override only the methods you care about
- This isn't elegant, but it does work
- Java provides a number of adapter classes



Vocabulary

- **abstract method**—a method which is declared but not defined (it has no method body)
- **abstract class**—a class which either (1) contains abstract methods, or (2) has been declared **abstract**
- **instantiate**—to create an instance (object) of a class
- **interface**—similar to a class, but contains only abstract methods (and possibly constants)
- **adapter class**—a class that implements an interface but has only empty method bodies



The End
