

## **FAILURE TO DIVERSIFY**

### **WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO LEARN?**

- ▶ Insufficient diversification
  - ▶ Investors fail to diversify their portfolio holdings adequately.
  - ▶ Home bias puzzle
    - ▶ Preference for local stocks
  - ▶ Familiarity and aversion to ambiguity

## WHAT IS THE HOME BIAS PUZZLE?

- ▶ Investors exhibit a pronounced 'home bias'.
  - ▶ Investors have a strong tendency to underweight foreign equities in their portfolios of risky assets.

## WHAT IS THE HOME BIAS PUZZLE?

- ▶ Even though U.S. equities make up only about 40% of the world market portfolio, U.S. investors concentrate their holdings in U.S. stocks..
  - ▶ More than 80% invested in U.S equities.
- ▶ European investors concentrate their holdings in European stocks.
- ▶ Japanese investors concentrate their holdings in the Japanese stocks.

## HOME BIAS *WITHIN* COUNTRIES

- ▶ Investors are much more likely to hold and trade stocks that are located close to them geographically.
- ▶ Investors prefer firms whose CEO shares their cultural background.
- ▶ Strong bias towards own company stock in 401K plans.

## WHY?

- ▶ Familiarity and aversion to ambiguity
  - ▶ Fear of the unknown!

## **FAMILIARITY OR INFORMATION?**

- ▶ U.S. mutual fund managers tend to hold stocks whose company headquarters are located close to their funds' headquarters.
- ▶ These local holdings subsequently perform well!
- ▶ Information story – it is less costly to learn about local firms!

## **SUMMARY**

- ▶ Insufficient diversification
  - ▶ Investors fail to diversify their portfolio holdings adequately.
  - ▶ Home bias, preference for local stocks, preference for own company stocks
  - ▶ Familiarity and aversion to ambiguity

## NAÏVE DIVERSIFICATION

### NAÏVE DIVERSIFICATION

- ▶ When investors do diversify, they do so in a naïve fashion.
- ▶ Individuals divide their money evenly across all the choices available in their 401(k) plans.
- ▶ 1/n rule - naïve diversification

## **SO WHAT?**

- Depending on the choices available, this heuristic can lead to individuals taking up too little risk or excessive risk.

## **SUMMARY**

- Individual investors typically have a very primitive understanding of what constitutes a well-diversified portfolio.

## **EXCESSIVE TRADING**

### **WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO LEARN?**

- ▶ Excessive trading
  - ▶ Individual investors, on average, earn mediocre returns because they trade too much.
- ▶ Why do investors trade so much when net effect is to reduce their returns?
  - ▶ Overconfidence

## EXCESSIVE TRADING

- ▶ Rational models predict there should be very little trading.
  - ▶ Individuals trade more than can be justified on rational grounds.

## TRADING HISTORIES OF 60,000 INVESTORS

- ▶ Barber and Odean (2000) examined the trading activity of 60,000 investors over a six-year period.
  - ▶ After transaction costs, the average return is well below the return of standard benchmarks.
  - ▶ Those who traded the most earned by far the lowest returns.



## WHY?

- ▶ Investors are overconfident in their abilities.
  - ▶ They overestimate the precision of their information.

## OVERCONFIDENCE

- ▶ Evidence from psychology that men are typically more overconfident than women.
- ▶ Men trade more and earn lower returns on average.
  - ▶ Single men traded 67% more and earned 2.3% less on a risk-adjusted basis!

## ONLINE REVOLUTION

- ▶ Online trading has made it cheaper and easier for individual stocks to trade stocks.
  - ▶ Typical online trader between 25-45
    - ▶ 75% men
    - ▶ 30-34 age group being the most active cohort
- ▶ Overconfidence and optimism appear to be particularly more severe among day-traders.

## SUMMARY

- ▶ Excessive trading driven by individual investors' overconfidence.
  - ▶ Securities Act and Securities Exchange Act of 1930s can be seen as a response to the impulses of overconfident traders of the 1920s.
  - ▶ In 1999, SEC Chairman Arthur Levitt warned that online trading was like a 'narcotic'.
- ▶ Clearly, technology has changed, but human psychology remains the same.

## **INDIVIDUAL INVESTORS' BUYING AND SELLING DECISIONS**

### **WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO LEARN?**

- ▶ **Disposition effect**
  - ▶ The tendency of investors to hold on to losing investments.
- ▶ **Attention**
  - ▶ Buying decisions are more driven by attention than are selling decisions.

## **DISPOSITION EFFECT**

- ▶ Investors are typically reluctant to sell assets at a loss.

## **ODEAN AGAIN!**

- ▶ Odean (1998) based on a study of 163,000 customer accounts at a U.S. nationwide brokerage house.
  - ▶ Individual traders are more likely to sell winners than losers.

## **WHY?**

- ▶ Loss aversion!
  - ▶ Investors have great difficulty to come to terms with losses.

## **INDIVIDUALS' BUYING DECISION? ALL THAT GLITTERS!**

- ▶ Stock purchases are in part due to an attention effect.
- ▶ Attention-grabbing stocks
  - ▶ Stocks with abnormally high volume
  - ▶ Stocks with abnormally high or low returns
  - ▶ Stocks with news announcements

## **ALL THAT GLITTERS!**

- ▶ Human beings have bounded rationality.
  - ▶ Both cognitive and temporal limits to how much information we can process.
- ▶ Attention is more likely to determine individuals' buying decisions than selling decisions.

## **SUMMARY**

- ▶ Individual investors' selling decisions are characterized by the 'disposition effect'.
- ▶ Individual investors' buying decisions are more likely to be driven by 'all that glitters' – attention grabbing stocks.