- a compiler and operating systems are often co-dependent
- during this weeks lecture we have examined the implementation of SYSTEM_TRANSFER in GNU LuK
- copy the following code into the C file suggested

testgcc.c

```
void interruptsOn (void)
{
   asm volatile ("sti");
}

void interruptsOff (void)
{
   asm volatile ("cli");
}

unsigned int getFlags (void)
{
   unsigned int b;

   asm volatile("pushf; popl %%ebx; movl %%ebx, %[b]": [b] "=rm" (b) :: "ebx");
   return b;
}
```

testgcc.c

```
typedef void *PROCESS;
int turnInterrupts (int oo)
{
   unsigned int flags = getFlags();
   if (oo)
      interruptsOn ();
   else
      interruptsOff ();
   return (flags & (1 << 9)) != 0;
}</pre>
```

testgcc.c

- compile this program using
- \$ gcc -o testgcc-unoptimized.s -m32 -S testgcc.c
- produce an instruction by instruction commentary of the function SYSTEM_TRANSFER
 - the output of the compiler is placed into testgccunoptimized.s

- now recompile the program using:
- \$ gcc -03 -o testgcc-optimized.s -m32 -S testgcc.c
- the output from the compiler is placed into testgcc-optimized.s
- produce an instruction by instruction commentary of the function SYSTEM_TRANSFER
 - which is easier to understand, the optimised or unoptimised assembly output?