

Notes on Louvain Modularity

G.A. Jarrad

October 30, 2018

1 Undirected Modularity

This section is motivated by the application of the Louvain modularity clustering algorithm to an undirected graph, as (incompletely) described by [1].

Let $D_{ij} \geq 0$ represent the weight of a directed edge $i \rightarrow j$ (if one exists) from vertex $i \in \mathcal{V}$ to vertex $j \in \mathcal{V}$. Then the equivalent undirected edge has weight $A_{ij} = D_{ij} + D_{ji} - \delta_{ij}D_{ii}$, such that $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$. The total weight of all edges for vertex i (its so-called *vertex weight*) is then given by

$$A_{i\cdot} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ji} = A_{\cdot i}. \quad (1.1)$$

The sum of all vertex weights is then given by

$$A_{\cdot\cdot} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} A_{i\cdot} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij}. \quad (1.2)$$

Note that this counts self edges (i.e. $i - i$) once and all other edges (i.e. $i - j$, $i \neq j$) twice. The Louvain modularity algorithm [1] in fact assumes that there are no self edges, but we shall include them here for completeness.

The modularity score of a clustered, undirected graph is then given by

$$Q = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\frac{A_{ij}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}} - \frac{A_{i\cdot}A_{\cdot j}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}^2} \right] \delta(c_i, c_j), \quad (1.3)$$

where c_i is the index of the cluster containing vertex i . Note that $\delta(c_i, c_j) = 1$ if and only if $c_i = c_j = g$ for some cluster index g . Then Q can be partitioned by cluster as

$$Q = \sum_{g=1}^G \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} \left[\frac{A_{ij}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}} - \frac{A_{i\cdot}A_{\cdot j}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}^2} \right] \doteq \sum_{g=1}^G Q_g, \quad (1.4)$$

where the g -th cluster contains vertices $\mathcal{V}_g = \{i \in \mathcal{V} \mid c_i = g\}$, and therefore $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{g=1}^G \mathcal{V}_g$.

We now observe that the sum of edge weights of vertex i for all edges to and from cluster g is given by

$$A_{i,g} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij}, \quad (1.5)$$

and hence the *internal* cluster weight, namely the total weight of all edges internal to cluster g , is given by

$$\Sigma_g^{\text{int}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i,g}. \quad (1.6)$$

Note that this value, like $A_{\cdot\cdot}$, also counts self edges ($i - i$) once and all other edges ($i - j$) twice. Conversely, the *external* cluster weight, namely the total weight of all edges from vertices in cluster g to and from vertices in other clusters, is given by

$$\Sigma_g^{\text{ext}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_g} A_{ij} = \sum_{j \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_g} A_{j,g}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_g = \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}_g$ (or $\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}_g$). Note that these external edge weights are only counted once per cluster, but the edge $i - j$ is counted separately for both the cluster containing vertex i and the other cluster containing vertex j .

The total weight of cluster g is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}} &= \Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + \Sigma_g^{\text{ext}} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_g} A_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i\cdot}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.8}$$

We now observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i\cdot} A_{\cdot j} &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i\cdot} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{\cdot j} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i\cdot} \right) \left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{\cdot j} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i\cdot} \right)^2 = (\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}})^2.\end{aligned}\tag{1.9}$$

Hence, from equation (1.4), we see that the modularity score of the g -th cluster simplifies to become

$$Q_g = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} \left[\frac{A_{ij}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}} - \frac{A_{i\cdot} A_{\cdot j}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}^2} \right] = \frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}} - \left(\frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}} \right)^2,\tag{1.10}$$

from equations (1.6) and (1.9).

This cluster modularity score Q_g now gives us a handle on how to compute changes in score due to changes in the graph clustering, with the aim of choosing a clustering that maximises the total modularity score Q . Suppose we merge a singleton cluster containing only vertex k with another cluster g to form a new cluster $g \oplus k$ (technically, the new cluster is $\mathcal{V}_{g \oplus k} = \mathcal{V}_g \cup \{k\}$). Then, from equation (1.6), the new internal cluster weight is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{int}} &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g \cup \{k\}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g \cup \{k\}} A_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ik} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{kj} + A_{kk} \\ &= \Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + 2A_{k,g} + A_{kk}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.11}$$

Similarly, the new total cluster weight is given by

$$\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g \cup \{k\}} A_{i\cdot} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i\cdot} + A_{k\cdot} = \Sigma_g^{\text{tot}} + A_{k\cdot},\tag{1.12}$$

from equation (1.8). Consequently, the modularity score of the new cluster is given by

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{g \oplus k} &= \frac{\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{int}}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}} - \left(\frac{\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{tot}}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + 2A_{k,g} + A_{kk}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}} - \left(\frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}} + A_{k\cdot}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}} \right)^2,\end{aligned}\tag{1.13}$$

from equations (1.10)–(1.12). By extension, a singleton cluster containing only vertex k is notionally formed by merging the vertex with an empty cluster having zero cluster weights, and so the modularity score of the singleton cluster is just

$$Q_k = \frac{A_{kk}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}} - \left(\frac{A_{k\cdot}}{A_{\cdot\cdot}} \right)^2.\tag{1.14}$$

Note that the Louvain modularity algorithm [1] starts by placing every vertex $k \in \mathcal{V}$ into its own singleton cluster, and so initially there are $G = |\mathcal{V}|$ such clusters. Also note that, as mentioned above, the Louvain algorithm [1] implicitly assumes that $A_{kk} = 0$.

We can now compute the total change in modularity caused by adding singleton vertex k to cluster g , namely

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta Q_{(g,k) \rightarrow g \oplus k} &= Q_{g \oplus k} - Q_g - Q_k \\
&= \left[\frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + 2A_{k,g} + A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \left(\frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}} + A_{k.}}{A_{..}} \right)^2 \right] - \left[\frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}}}{A_{..}} - \left(\frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}}}{A_{..}} \right)^2 \right] - \left[\frac{A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \left(\frac{A_{k.}}{A_{..}} \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + 2A_{k,g} + A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \frac{(\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}})^2 + 2\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}}A_{k.} + A_{k.}^2}{A_{..}^2} - \frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + A_{kk}}{A_{..}} + \frac{(\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}})^2 + A_{k.}^2}{A_{..}^2} \\
&= \frac{2A_{k,g}}{A_{..}} - \frac{2\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}}A_{k.}}{A_{..}^2}, \tag{1.15}
\end{aligned}$$

from equations (1.10) and (1.13)–(1.14). Conceptually, this is just the score change upon destroying clusters k and g and then creating a new cluster $g \oplus k$.

In the converse situation, we instead want to remove vertex k from the cluster $g \oplus k$ and restore k to its singleton cluster. Since the action of adding vertex k to group g (above) is reversible, then removing the vertex must result in a change of modularity score opposite to the change in score caused by adding the vertex. This is just the score change involved in destroying cluster $g \oplus k$ and creating clusters g and k . Hence, we obtain

$$\Delta Q_{g \oplus k \rightarrow (g,k)} = Q_g + Q_k - Q_{g \oplus k} = -\frac{2A_{k,g}}{A_{..}} + \frac{2\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}}A_{k.}}{A_{..}^2}, \tag{1.16}$$

where now $A_{k,g}$ is computed from $A_{k,g \oplus k}$ via

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{k,g \oplus k} &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g \cup \{k\}} A_{kj} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{kj} + A_{kk} = A_{k,g} + A_{kk} \\
\Rightarrow A_{k,g} &= A_{k,g \oplus k} - A_{kk}, \tag{1.17}
\end{aligned}$$

and Σ_g^{tot} is computed from $\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{tot}}$ as

$$\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}} = \Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{tot}} - A_{k.}, \tag{1.18}$$

from equation (1.12). In terms of the existing cluster $g \oplus k$, the score change is thus

$$\Delta Q_{g \oplus k \rightarrow (g,k)} = -\frac{2(A_{k,g \oplus k} - A_{kk})}{A_{..}} + \frac{2(\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{tot}} - A_{k.})A_{k.}}{A_{..}^2}. \tag{1.19}$$

Hence, the combined action of removing vertex k from cluster $g \oplus k$ (to form cluster g) and adding it to cluster g' (to form cluster $g' \oplus k$) gives rise to the total change of score

$$\Delta Q_{(g \oplus k, g') \rightarrow (g, g' \oplus k)} = \Delta Q_{g \oplus k \rightarrow (g,k)} + \Delta Q_{(g',k) \rightarrow g' \oplus k}, \tag{1.20}$$

utilising equations (1.15) and (1.19).

2 Directed Modularity

This section is motivated by the application of the Louvain modularity clustering algorithm to a directed graph, as described by [2]. In distinction from the case of Section 1, we now take $A_{ij} = D_j \geq 0$ to be the directed edge weight from vertex i to vertex j (if such an edge exists), such that $A_{ij} \neq A_{ji}$ in general; this breaks a number of assumptions used in Section 1. For example, equation (1.1) now becomes

$$s_i^{\text{out}} = A_{i.} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij}, \quad s_j^{\text{in}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij} = A_{.j}, \tag{2.1}$$

mixing in some of the notation from [2]. Similarly, if we consider the g -th cluster, then the external cluster weight from equation (1.7) is now replaced by

$$\Sigma_g^{\text{out}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij}, \quad \Sigma_g^{\text{in}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij}, \tag{2.2}$$

such that

$$S_g^{\text{out}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} s_i^{\text{out}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij} = \Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + \Sigma_g^{\text{out}}, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$S_g^{\text{in}} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} s_j^{\text{in}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij} = \Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + \Sigma_g^{\text{out}}, \quad (2.4)$$

using equation (1.6). It follows that the modularity score Q_g of the g -th cluster becomes

$$Q_g = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} \left[\frac{A_{ij}}{A_{..}} - \frac{A_{i.} A_{.j}}{A_{..}^2} \right] = \frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}}}{A_{..}} - \frac{S_g^{\text{out}} S_g^{\text{in}}}{A_{..}^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

instead of equation (1.10).

We now consider the effect of merging the g -th cluster with the singleton cluster containing only vertex k , resulting in a new cluster $g \oplus k$. The internal weight $\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{int}}$ of this new cluster is now no longer given by equation (1.11), but instead by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{int}} &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g \cup \{k\}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g \cup \{k\}} A_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ik} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{kj} + A_{kk} \\ &= \Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + W(g, k) + W(k, g) + A_{kk}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

More generally, $W(g, k)$ is the edge weight from all vertices in the g -th cluster to vertex k , excluding any self edge $k \rightarrow k$ if k happens to be a member of the g -th cluster. Thus

$$W(g, k) = \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{V}_g \\ i \neq k}} A_{ik}, \quad (2.7)$$

and conversely

$$W(k, g) = \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{V}_g \\ j \neq k}} A_{kj}, \quad (2.8)$$

which is the edge weight from vertex k to all vertices (except k) in the g -th cluster.

Similarly, the cluster merge $g \oplus k$ results in new out and in edge weights given by

$$S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{out}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g \cup \{k\}} A_{i.} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i.} + A_{k.} = S_g^{\text{out}} + s_k^{\text{out}}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{in}} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g \cup \{k\}} A_{.j} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{.j} + A_{.k} = S_g^{\text{out}} + s_k^{\text{in}}, \quad (2.10)$$

respectively; these equations supercede equation (1.12). Consequently, the modularity score of the new cluster is now given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{g \oplus k} &= \frac{\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{int}}}{A_{..}} - \frac{S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{out}} S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{in}}}{A_{..}^2} \\ &= \frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + W(g, k) + W(k, g) + A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \frac{(S_g^{\text{out}} + s_k^{\text{out}})(S_g^{\text{in}} + s_k^{\text{in}})}{A_{..}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

instead of by equation (1.13). Similarly, the modularity score of the singleton cluster k is now just

$$Q_k = \frac{A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \frac{A_{k.} A_{.k}}{A_{..}^2}, \quad (2.12)$$

replacing equation (1.14). Finally, the change in score due to merging clusters g and k is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta Q_{(g,k) \rightarrow g \oplus k} &= Q_{g \oplus k} - Q_g - Q_k \\
&= \left[\frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + W(g, k) + W(k, g) + A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \frac{(S_g^{\text{out}} + A_{k.})(S_g^{\text{in}} + A_{k.})}{A_{..}^2} \right] \\
&\quad - \left[\frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}}}{A_{..}} - \frac{S_g^{\text{out}} S_g^{\text{in}}}{A_{..}^2} \right] - \left[\frac{A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \frac{A_{k.} A_{k.}}{A_{..}^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{W(g, k) + W(k, g)}{A_{..}} - \frac{S_g^{\text{out}} A_{k.} + S_g^{\text{in}} A_{k.}}{A_{..}^2}, \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

which replaces equation (1.15).

We can now compute the change in modularity score involved with removing vertex k from some cluster $g \oplus k$, resulting in cluster g . As in Section 1, this is just the negative of the score change from merging vertex k with cluster g , namely

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta Q_{g \oplus k \rightarrow (g,k)} &= -\frac{W(g, k) + W(k, g)}{A_{..}} + \frac{S_g^{\text{out}} A_{k.} + S_g^{\text{in}} A_{k.}}{A_{..}^2} \\
&= -\frac{W(g \oplus k, k) + W(k, g \oplus k)}{A_{..}} + \frac{(S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{out}} - A_{k.}) A_{k.} + (S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{in}} - A_{k.}) A_{k.}}{A_{..}^2}, \tag{2.14}
\end{aligned}$$

from equations (2.7)–(2.8) and (2.9)–(2.10). This replaces equation (1.19). The total change in modularity score involved in moving vertex k from cluster $g \oplus k$ to some other cluster g' is therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta Q_{(g \oplus k, g') \rightarrow (g, g' \oplus k)} &= \Delta Q_{g \oplus k \rightarrow (g,k)} + \Delta Q_{(g',k) \rightarrow g' \oplus k} \\
&= \frac{W(g', k) + W(k, g') - W(g \oplus k, k) - W(k, g \oplus k)}{A_{..}} \\
&\quad - \frac{(S_{g'}^{\text{out}} - S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{out}} + A_{k.}) A_{k.} + (S_{g'}^{\text{in}} - S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{in}} + A_{k.}) A_{k.}}{A_{..}^2}. \tag{2.15}
\end{aligned}$$

This is essentially equation (3.26) of [2] (with ΔQ scaled by $A_{..}$), except that their use of m (the total number of edges) should be replaced with $m_w = A_{..}$ (the total weight of all edges).

References

- [1] Vincent D Blondel, Jean-Loup Guillaume, Renaud Lambiotte, Etienne Lefebvre, *Fast unfolding of communities in large networks*, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2008 (10), P10008; ArXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/0803.0476>.
- [2] Arnaud Browet, *Algorithms for community and role detection in networks*, Doctoral thesis, Louvain-la-Neuve, September 30, 2014; <https://perso.uclouvain.be/arnaud.browet/files/thesis/thesis.pdf>.