Notes on Louvain Modularity

G.A. Jarrad

October 30, 2018

1 Undirected Modularity

This section is motivated by the application of the Louvain modularity clustering algorithm to an undirected graph, as (incompletely) described by [1].

Let $D_{ij} \geq 0$ represent the weight of a directed edge $i \rightarrow j$ (if one exists) from vertex $i \in \mathcal{V}$ to vertex $j \in \mathcal{V}$. Then the equivalent undirected edge has weight $A_{ij} = D_{ij} + D_{ji} - \delta_{ij}D_{ii}$, such that $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$. The total weight of all edges for vertex i (its so-called vertex weight) is then given by

$$A_{i\cdot} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ji} = A_{\cdot i}.$$
 (1.1)

The sum of all vertex weights is then given by

$$A_{\cdot \cdot \cdot} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} A_{i \cdot \cdot} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij}. \tag{1.2}$$

Note that this counts self edges (i.e. i-i) once and all other edges (i.e. i-j, $i \neq j$) twice. The Louvain modularity algorithm [1] in fact assumes that there are no self edges, but we shall include them here for completeness.

The modularity score of a clustered, undirected graph is then given by

$$Q = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\frac{A_{ij}}{A_{..}} - \frac{A_{i.}A_{.j}}{A_{..}^2} \right] \delta(c_i, c_j), \qquad (1.3)$$

where c_i is the index of the cluster containing vertex i. Note that $\delta(c_i, c_j) = 1$ if and only if $c_i = c_j = g$ for some cluster index g. Then Q can be partitioned by cluster as

$$Q = \sum_{g=1}^{G} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} \left[\frac{A_{ij}}{A_{..}} - \frac{A_{i.}A_{.j}}{A_{..}^2} \right] \doteq \sum_{g=1}^{G} Q_g,$$
 (1.4)

where the g-th cluster contains vertices $\mathcal{V}_g = \{i \in \mathcal{V} \mid c_i = g\}$, and therefore $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{g=1}^G \mathcal{V}_g$. We now observe that the sum of edge weights of vertex i for all edges to and from cluster g is given by

$$A_{i,g} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_o} A_{ij} \,, \tag{1.5}$$

and hence the *internal* cluster weight, namely the total weight of all edges internal to cluster g, is given by

$$\Sigma_g^{\text{int}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i,g}.$$
 (1.6)

Note that this value, like $A_{...}$, also counts self edges (i-i) once and all other edges (i-j) twice. Conversely, the external cluster weight, namely the total weight of all edges from vertices in cluster g to and from vertices in other clusters, is given by

$$\Sigma_g^{\text{ext}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_g} A_{ij} = \sum_{j \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_g} A_{j,g}, \qquad (1.7)$$

where $V_g = V \setminus V_g$ (or $V - V_g$). Note that these external edge weights are only counted once per cluster, but the edge i - j is counted separately for both the cluster containing vertex i and the other cluster containing vertex j.

The total weight of cluster g is then given by

$$\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}} = \Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + \Sigma_g^{\text{ext}}$$

$$= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_g} A_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i}. \qquad (1.8)$$

We now observe that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i.} A_{.j} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i.} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{.j}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i.}\right) \left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{j.}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i.}\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_$$

Hence, from equation (1.4), we see that the modularity score of the g-th cluster simplifies to become

$$Q_g = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} \left[\frac{A_{ij}}{A_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}} - \frac{A_{i \cdot \cdot} A_{\cdot j}}{A_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}^2} \right] = \frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}}}{A_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}} - \left(\frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}}}{A_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}} \right)^2, \tag{1.10}$$

from equations (1.6) and (1.9).

This cluster modularity score Q_g now gives us a handle on how to compute changes in score due to changes in the graph clustering, with the aim of choosing a clustering that maximises the total modularity score Q. Suppose we merge a singleton cluster containing only vertex k with another cluster g to form a new cluster $g \oplus k$ (technically, the new cluster is $\mathcal{V}_{g \oplus k} = \mathcal{V}_g \bigcup \{k\}$). Then, from equation (1.6), the new internal cluster weight is given by

$$\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{int}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g \bigcup \{k\}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g \bigcup \{k\}} A_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ik} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{kj} + A_{kk}$$

$$= \Sigma_{g}^{\text{int}} + 2A_{k,g} + A_{kk}. \tag{1.11}$$

Similarly, the new total cluster weight is given by

$$\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\mathsf{tot}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g \bigcup \{k\}} A_{i\cdot} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i\cdot} + A_{k\cdot} = \Sigma_g^{\mathsf{tot}} + A_{k\cdot}, \qquad (1.12)$$

from equation (1.8). Consequently, the modularity score of the new cluster is given by

$$Q_{g \oplus k} = \frac{\sum_{g \oplus k}^{\text{int}}}{A_{\cdot \cdot}} - \left(\frac{\sum_{g \oplus k}^{\text{tot}}}{A_{\cdot \cdot}}\right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{g}^{\text{int}} + 2A_{k,g} + A_{kk}}{A_{\cdot \cdot}} - \left(\frac{\sum_{g}^{\text{tot}} + A_{k \cdot}}{A_{\cdot \cdot}}\right)^{2}, \qquad (1.13)$$

from equations (1.10)–(1.12). By extension, a singleton cluster containing only vertex k is notionally formed by merging the vertex with an empty cluster having zero cluster weights, and so the modularity score of the singleton cluster is just

$$Q_k = \frac{A_{kk}}{A_{\cdot \cdot}} - \left(\frac{A_{k \cdot}}{A_{\cdot \cdot}}\right)^2. \tag{1.14}$$

Note that the Louvain modularity algorithm [1] starts by placing every vertex $k \in \mathcal{V}$ into its own singleton cluster, and so initially there are $G = |\mathcal{V}|$ such clusters. Also note that, as mentioned above, the Louvain algorithm [1] implicitly assumes that $A_{kk} = 0$.

We can now compute the total change in modularity caused by adding singleton vertex k to cluster g, namely

$$\Delta Q_{(g,k)\to g\oplus k} = Q_{g\oplus k} - Q_g - Q_k
= \left[\frac{\sum_g^{\text{int}} + 2A_{k,g} + A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \left(\frac{\sum_g^{\text{tot}} + A_{k.}}{A_{..}} \right)^2 \right] - \left[\frac{\sum_g^{\text{int}}}{A_{..}} - \left(\frac{\sum_g^{\text{tot}}}{A_{..}} \right)^2 \right] - \left[\frac{A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \left(\frac{A_{k.}}{A_{..}} \right)^2 \right]
= \frac{\sum_g^{\text{int}} + 2A_{k,g} + A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \frac{(\sum_g^{\text{tot}})^2 + 2\sum_g^{\text{tot}} A_{k.} + A_{k.}^2}{A_{..}^2} - \frac{\sum_g^{\text{int}} + A_{kk}}{A_{..}} + \frac{(\sum_g^{\text{tot}})^2 + A_{k.}^2}{A_{..}^2}
= \frac{2A_{k,g}}{A} - \frac{2\sum_g^{\text{tot}} A_{k.}}{A^2},$$
(1.15)

from equations (1.10) and (1.13)–(1.14). Conceptually, this is just the score change upon destroying clusters k and g and then creating a new cluster $g \oplus k$.

In the converse situation, we instead want to remove vertex k from the cluster $g \oplus k$ and restore k to its singleton cluster. Since the action of adding vertex k to group g (above) is reversible, then removing the vertex must result in a change of modularity score opposite to the change in score caused by adding the vertex. This is just the score change involved in destroying cluster $g \oplus k$ and creating clusters g and k. Hence, we obtain

$$\Delta Q_{g \oplus k \to (g,k)} = Q_g + Q_k - Q_{g \oplus k} = -\frac{2A_{k,g}}{A} + \frac{2\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}} A_{k.}}{A^2}, \qquad (1.16)$$

where now $A_{k,g}$ is computed from $A_{k,g\oplus k}$ via

$$A_{k,g\oplus k} = \sum_{j\in\mathcal{V}_g\bigcup\{k\}} A_{kj} = \sum_{j\in\mathcal{V}_g} A_{kj} + A_{kk} = A_{k,g} + A_{kk}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_{k,g} = A_{k,g\oplus k} - A_{kk}, \qquad (1.17)$$

and $\Sigma_g^{\mathtt{tot}}$ is computed from $\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\mathtt{tot}}$ as

$$\Sigma_g^{\text{tot}} = \Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{tot}} - A_{k}, \qquad (1.18)$$

from equation (1.12). In terms of the existing cluster $g \oplus k$, the score change is thus

$$\Delta Q_{g \oplus k \to (g,k)} = -\frac{2(A_{k,g \oplus k} - A_{kk})}{A_{...}} + \frac{2(\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\mathsf{tot}} - A_{k.})A_{k.}}{A^{2}}. \tag{1.19}$$

Hence, the combined action of removing vertex k from cluster $g \oplus k$ (to form cluster g) and adding it to cluster g' (to form cluster $g' \oplus k$) gives rise to the total change of score

$$\Delta Q_{(g \oplus k, g') \to (g, g' \oplus k)} = \Delta Q_{g \oplus k \to (g, k)} + \Delta Q_{(g', k) \to g' \oplus k}, \qquad (1.20)$$

utilising equations (1.15) and (1.19).

2 Directed Modularity

This section is motivated by the application of the Louvain modularity clustering algorithm to a directed graph, as described by [2]. In distinction from the case of Section 1, we now take $A_{ij} = D_j \ge 0$ to be the directed edge weight from vertex i to vertex j (if such an edge exists), such that $A_{ij} \ne A_{ji}$ in general; this breaks a number of assumptions used in Section 1. For example, equation (1.1) now becomes

$$s_i^{\text{out}} = A_{i\cdot} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij}, \quad s_j^{\text{in}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij} = A_{\cdot j},$$
 (2.1)

mixing in some of the notation from [2]. Similarly, if we consider the g-th cluster, then the external cluster weight from equation (1.7) is now replaced by

$$\Sigma_g^{\text{out}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_g} A_{ij}, \qquad \Sigma_g^{\text{in}} = \sum_{i \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij},$$
 (2.2)

such that

$$S_g^{\text{out}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_a} s_i^{\text{out}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_a} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} A_{ij} = \Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + \Sigma_g^{\text{out}},$$
 (2.3)

and

$$S_g^{\text{in}} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} s_j^{\text{in}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij} = \Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + \Sigma_g^{\text{in}}, \qquad (2.4)$$

using equation (1.6). It follows that the modularity score Q_g of the g-th cluster becomes

$$Q_g = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} \left[\frac{A_{ij}}{A_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}} - \frac{A_{i \cdot \cdot} A_{\cdot j}}{A_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}^2} \right] = \frac{\Sigma_g^{\text{int}}}{A_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}} - \frac{S_g^{\text{out}} S_g^{\text{in}}}{A_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}^2}, \tag{2.5}$$

instead of equation (1.10).

We now consider the effect of merging the g-th cluster with the singleton cluster containing only vertex k, resulting in a new cluster $g \oplus k$. The internal weight $\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{int}}$ of this new cluster is now no longer given by equation (1.11), but instead by

$$\Sigma_{g \oplus k}^{\text{int}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g \bigcup \{k\}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g \bigcup \{k\}} A_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ij} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{ik} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{kj} + A_{kk}$$

$$= \Sigma_g^{\text{int}} + W(g, k) + W(k, g) + A_{kk}. \tag{2.6}$$

More generally [2], W(g, k) is the edge weight from all vertices in the g-th cluster to vertex k, excluding any self edge $k \to k$ if k happens to be a member of the g-th cluster. Thus

$$W(g,k) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g \setminus \{k\}} A_{ik}, \qquad (2.7)$$

and conversely

$$W(k,g) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_c \setminus \{k\}} A_{kj}, \qquad (2.8)$$

which is the edge weight from vertex k to all vertices (except k) in the g-th cluster.

Similarly, the cluster merge $g \oplus k$ results in new out and in edge weights given by

$$S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{out}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g \bigcup \{k\}} A_{i\cdot} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{i\cdot} + A_{k\cdot} = S_g^{\text{out}} + S_k^{\text{out}}, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{in}} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_q \bigcup \{k\}} A_{\cdot j} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_g} A_{\cdot j} + A_{\cdot k} = S_g^{\text{out}} + S_k^{\text{in}}, \qquad (2.10)$$

respectively; these equations supercede equation (1.12). Consequently, the modularity score of the new cluster is now given by

$$Q_{g \oplus k} = \frac{\sum_{g \oplus k}^{\text{int}}}{A_{..}} - \frac{S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{out}} S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{in}}}{A_{..}^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{g}^{\text{int}} + W(g, k) + W(k, g) + A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \frac{\left(S_{g}^{\text{out}} + A_{k.}\right) \left(S_{g}^{\text{in}} + A_{.k}\right)}{A^{2}}, \qquad (2.11)$$

instead of by equation (1.13). Similarly, the modularity score of the singleton cluster k is now just

$$Q_k = \frac{A_{kk}}{A} - \frac{A_{k\cdot}A_{\cdot k}}{A^2} \,, \tag{2.12}$$

replacing equation (1.14). Finally, the change in score due to merging clusters g and k is

$$\Delta Q_{(g,k)\to g\oplus k} = Q_{g\oplus k} - Q_g - Q_k
= \left[\frac{\sum_g^{\text{int}} + W(g,k) + W(k,g) + A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \frac{\left(S_g^{\text{out}} + A_{k.}\right)\left(S_g^{\text{in}} + A_{.k}\right)}{A_{..}^2} \right]
- \left[\frac{\sum_g^{\text{int}}}{A_{..}} - \frac{S_g^{\text{out}}S_g^{\text{in}}}{A_{..}^2} \right] - \left[\frac{A_{kk}}{A_{..}} - \frac{A_{k.}A_{.k}}{A_{..}^2} \right]
= \frac{W(g,k) + W(k,g)}{A_{..}} - \frac{S_g^{\text{out}}A_{.k} + S_g^{\text{in}}A_{k.}}{A_{..}^2},$$
(2.13)

which replaces equation (1.15).

We can now compute the change in modularity score involved with removing vertex k from some cluster $g \oplus k$, resulting in cluster g. As in Section 1, this is just the negative of the score change from merging vertex k with cluster g, namely

$$\Delta Q_{g \oplus k \to (g,k)} = -\frac{W(g,k) + W(k,g)}{A_{..}} + \frac{S_g^{\text{out}} A_{.k} + S_g^{\text{in}} A_{k.}}{A_{..}^2} \\
= -\frac{W(g \oplus k,k) + W(k,g \oplus k)}{A_{..}} + \frac{(S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{out}} - A_{k.}) A_{.k} + (S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{in}} - A_{.k}) A_{k.}}{A^2}, \quad (2.14)$$

from equations (2.7)–(2.8) and (2.9)–(2.10). This replaces equation (1.19). The total change in modularity score involved in moving vertex k from cluster $g \oplus k$ to some other cluster g' is therefore

$$\Delta Q_{(g \oplus k, g') \to (g, g' \oplus k)} = \Delta Q_{g \oplus k \to (g, k)} + \Delta Q_{(g', k) \to g' \oplus k}
= \frac{W(g', k) + W(k, g') - W(g \oplus k, k) - W(k, g \oplus k)}{A..}
- \frac{(S_{g'}^{\text{out}} - S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{out}} + A_{k.}) A_{.k} + (S_{g'}^{\text{in}} - S_{g \oplus k}^{\text{in}} + A_{.k}) A_{k.}}{A_{..}^{2}}.$$
(2.15)

Multiplying ΔQ by A.. gives equation (3.26) of [2] with $c_1 = g \oplus k$, $c_2 = g'$, $s_k^{\text{out}} = A_k$. and $s_k^{\text{in}} = A_{\cdot k}$, except that their use of m (the total number of edges) should be replaced with $m_w = A$.. (the total weight of all edges).

References

- [1] Vincent D Blondel, Jean-Loup Guillaume, Renaud Lambiotte, Etienne Lefebvre, Fast unfolding of communities in large networks, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2008 (10), P10008; ArXiv: https://arxiv.org/abs/0803.0476.
- [2] Arnaud Browet, Algorithms for community and role detection in networks, Doctoral thesis, Louvain-la-Neuve, September 30, 2014; https://perso.uclouvain.be/arnaud.browet/files/thesis/thesis.pdf.