

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING
TUESDAY- -MARCH 5, 2013- -7:00 P.M.

Mayor Gilmore convened the meeting at 7:04 p.m. Councilmember Chen led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL - Present: Councilmembers Chen, Daysog, Ezzy Ashcraft, Tam and Mayor Gilmore – 5.

Absent: None.

AGENDA CHANGES

(13-079) Mayor Gilmore announced that the Public Hearing [paragraph no. 13-092] was continued to March 19, 2013.

PROCLAMATIONS, SPECIAL ORDERS OF THE DAY & ANNOUNCEMENTS

(13-080) Mayor Gilmore read a statement regarding the season of non-violence word of the day: hope.

(13-081) Proclamation Declaring March as Red Cross Month.

Mayor Gilmore read and presented the Proclamation to Harry Hartman, Red Cross.

(13-082) Proclamation Declaring March through May 2013 as Historic Preservation Season.

Mayor Gilmore read and presented the Proclamation to Judith Lynch, Historical Advisory Board; Robbie Dileo, Alameda Museum; and Jim Smallman.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS, NON-AGENDA

None.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Councilmember Tam moved approval of the Consent Calendar.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote – 5. [Items so enacted or adopted are indicated by an asterisk preceding the paragraph number.]

(*13-083) Minutes of the Special and Regular City Council Meetings Held on February 5, 2013. Approved.

(*13-084) Ratified bills in the amount of \$1,513,672.40.

(*13-085) Recommendation to Accept the Quarterly Sales Tax Report for the Period Ending September 30, 2012. Accepted.

(*13-086) Recommendation to Accept the Treasury Report for the Quarter Ended December 31, 2012. Accepted.

(*13-087) Resolution No. 14776, "Changing the Authorized Signors for the City's Investment in the State's Local Agency Investment Fund." Adopted.

(*13-088) Ordinance No. 3063, "Approving and Authorizing Conveyance by Grant Deed of Meyers House Museum and Garden Located at 2021 Alameda Avenue, to the Alameda Museum, a Non-Profit Corporation." Finally passed.

REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS

(13-089) Resolution No. 14777, "Appointing La Donna Franco as a Member of the Commission on Disability Issues." Adopted;

(13-089 A) Resolution No. 14778, "Appointing Judith Frugé as a Member of the Commission on Disability Issues." Adopted.

Councilmember Tam moved adoption of the resolutions.

Councilmember Chen seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote – 5.

The City Clerk administered the Oath of Office and presented a Certificate of Appointment to Ms. Franco.

(13-090) Recommendation to: (1) Authorize the City Manager to Execute a Letter of Support to the United States Navy for Modification to the Surplus Determination of Land at the Former Naval Air Station Alameda; (2) Approve a Non-Binding Term Sheet between the United States Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) and the City of Alameda in Substantial Conformance with the Proposed Term Sheet.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point gave a brief presentation.

Mayor Gilmore inquired who currently owns the land being discussed, to which the Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point responded the U.S. Navy.

Mayor Gilmore inquired whether the Navy is contemplating a deal with the VA, which is another federal entity.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point responded in the affirmative.

Mayor Gilmore inquired whether the transaction could go forward whether or not the City of Alameda agrees with proceeding.

George Schlossberg, Outside Counsel, responded in the affirmative; stated property held by the United States (U.S.) is available for federal missions; the City negotiated a road map in 2000, which allows the City to acquire and develop surplus property; last year, there was a boundary change and the City agreed to absorb the submerged land, which was originally planned to go to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USF&WS); when USF&WS dropped out, the Navy was looking for a way to satisfy its obligations and the City agreed to accept the land, which will be part of the next conveyance; the action tonight is part of the on-going boundary adjustments.

Mayor Gilmore stated that as the owners of the land, the U.S. is entitled to decide what happens with the land; the U.S. could decide to transfer custody to another federal entity, such as the VA, or could decide to transfer the land to the City; inquired whether the City does not have a say in the custodial change from one federal agency to another federal agency.

Mr. Schlossberg responded in the affirmative.

Councilmember Tam stated the Council has received letters expressing concern about public outreach and participation; requested staff to explain when the change was contemplated and the extent of the public outreach; stated the City received a notice about the project from the VA about two meetings which would be held on March 14th on the USS Hornet; issues have been raised about accessibility for the disabled, particularly disabled veterans; inquired whether there is any opportunity to provide City facilities, which might be more accessible.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point responded the project has been underway for over two years; the Biological Opinion released in August 2012 addresses the modified VA project; the matter has been in the news and there has been public discussion; tonight is the first public hearing before the City Council in open session; USF&WS has done outreach to environmental organizations; the decision to hold the meetings on the USS Hornet was made by the Navy and VA; the City discussed using the O'Club; stated the VA could explain why the USS Hornet was selected.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft stated that she hopes the Navy and VA would reconsider holding the meetings on the USS Hornet; the meetings should be as publically accessible as possible.

Larry Jaynes and Richard Crowe, VA, gave a brief presentation and showed Power Point slides.

Mayor Gilmore inquired whether Mr. Crowe operated the USS Hornet lift, to which Mr. Crowe responded that he watched the lift being operated; stated a lift operator would be present at the meetings.

Councilmember Tam stated although the lift makes the USS Hornet accessible, uneven surfaces on board would be difficult for people with mobility issues; inquired whether the venue could be changed or if an additional meeting could be held at a City facility, which is more disabled friendly.

Mr. Crowe responded setting logistics for the acquisition process takes many weeks or even months.

Councilmember Tam stated the City would offer assistance.

Mr. Crowe noted the Congress sets the federal acquisition process.

The City Manager stated Congress would not need to approve scheduling another meeting to satisfy community concerns; suggested either moving the meetings off of the USS Hornet or scheduling an additional meeting at another location to allow everyone to attend.

Mr. Crowe stated the USS Hornet is ADA accessible and the area on board being used is flat; scheduling another meeting could be discussed.

Mayor Gilmore suggested that the VA agree to hold another meeting at an alternate site to let the public provide additional input.

Mr. Jaynes noted a meeting was held on the USS Hornet in 2008; continued his presentation.

Councilmember Chen inquired what would happen to the site if the Least Terns move to another location or are taken off the endangered list.

Mr. Jaynes responded currently, the VA has no plans to develop the rest of the site.

In response to Councilmember Chen's inquiry regarding the Biological Opinion, Mr. Jaynes stated the VA has to live by the terms of the Biological Opinion in perpetuity; stated the VA could go through the process of asking for another Biological Opinion in the future if the Least Tern is de-listed; at this time, there is no indication the VA would do so.

Councilmember Chen inquired how the process works and what would trigger a request for another Biological Opinion.

Mr. Jaynes responded the VA would have to want to do something on the property; the current planning addresses northern Alameda County's needs; that he is not aware of additional need.

Councilmember Chen inquired whether the VA would use bunkers for storage space

and land for emergency drills in the off-season [when Least Terns are not breeding].

Laura Kelly, VA, responded the plan for emergency management would be to do drills only on the developed site; there are no plans to do training on the undeveloped property; in the event of a national emergency, the Alameda Point location would allow supplies and people to be moved using the water; if training occurs, it would be every 14 months and would not be during the Least Tern breeding season; the VA would be respectful of residents during training.

Councilmember Chen expressed concern about training involving large equipment and helicopters, which could disturb the Least Tern; inquired how the VA would focus on the effectiveness of the drill and still be sensitive to the Least Tern.

Ms. Kelly stated VA exercises are not like the Department of Defense (DOD) exercises involving large equipment; the VA exercises involve moving simulated patients; staff members practice moving simulated patients into the clinic and staging the patients; in an emergency, Moffett Field is the primary location and Alameda would be the next area if something happens to Moffett Field; equipment would be simulated during exercises; the cost of using equipment for exercises is not conceivable.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft stated the Biological Opinion mentions the emergency training exercises and using the bunkers for emergency supplies; however, specifics are not included; inquired whether the 250 on-site personnel would be the only participants in the emergency training exercises or whether outside personnel would participate; further inquired how many people would participate in the training.

Ms. Kelly responded the participants are all VA staff volunteers; the entire staff does not volunteer and there would be 75 to 100 participants at most.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft inquired whether staff from other areas would attend, to which Ms. Kelly responded that she would hope staff would come from other VA facilities, but the budget would prevent outside personnel from attending training every 14 months; further stated three bunkers would be retained for storage.

In response to Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft's inquiry regarding items in storage, Ms. Kelly stated storage would be used to hold: cots, blankets, emergency generators, water and items which would not require expiration dates to be checked.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft inquired whether the training exercise would be coordinated with local emergency responders.

Ms. Kelly responded the San Francisco VA Medical Center is the federal coordinating center for the Bay Area and has agreements with 30 hospitals; the hospitals would not move patients to Alameda Point, but would prepare to accept patients requiring greater care.

Councilmember Chen inquired whether there could be a longer time period between training exercises.

Ms. Kelly responded training is done in different ways and does not necessarily have to occur at Alameda Point; noted the public could provide comments without attending the meetings on March 14th.

The City Manager noted the perception is that the USS Hornet is not a user-friendly environment; the matter should be taken off the table.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft stated the community benefits from having well trained emergency personnel and balance can be achieved to protect the Least Tern; noted the birds nested between two runways when the Navy was active.

Councilmember Daysog stated the California Brown Pelican was previously on the list; inquired how the VA would proceed if the California Brown Pelican ends up back on the endangered list.

Mr. Jaynes responded the VA is committed to following all of the requirements in the Biological Opinion, which contains of a number of protections.

Steve Rottenborn, Biologist, stated a statement at the end of the Biological Opinion requires the VA to re-initiate consultation with the USF&WS in the event of certain occurrences including addition or re-listing of a species; if the Brown Pelican is added back to the list, the VA would need to re-initiate consultation and go through measures to protect the bird.

Councilmember Tam noted the Snowy Plover is mentioned in the Biological Opinion; stated questions are being raised about birds because the community would like assurances that development will be minimized in the area that is to be a wildlife refuge; inquired whether the VA could provide said assurance.

Mr. Jaynes responded in the affirmative; stated there are acres of land, which the VA does not intend to develop; the area is not listed as a national wildlife refuge, but the VA does not have plans to develop the property.

Councilmember Tam stated the City Council does not have control over zoning federal land; the City would like an assurance similar to zoning beyond the statement that there are no plans at this time; inquired what permanent safeguards the City can expect.

Mr. Jaynes stated the VA plans on living by the terms of the Biological Opinion.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft inquired why not designate the area a wildlife refuge, to which Mr. Jaynes responded the VA does not intend to or have the power to designate the area a wildlife refuge; wildlife refuges fall under USF&WS; after three years of negotiation between the Navy and USF&WS, common understanding was reached that

USF&WS could not move forward; then, the Navy started working with the VA.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft inquired whether the VA objects to designating an area as a wildlife refuge.

Mr. Jaynes responded the VA has no intent of creating a national wildlife refuge at Alameda Point; the matter is out the VA's control.

Mayor Gilmore stated the VA is negotiating with USF&WS to maintain the undeveloped land; inquired what the VA's stance would be if USF&WS decides designating the property as a national wildlife refuge is appropriate.

Mr. Jaynes responded that he is not sure; the matter has not come up before; the VA does not intend to ask USF&WS to do so.

Mayor Gilmore stated Alameda residents and the City Council could lobby USF&WS to make the area a wildlife refuge; the matter might be worth internal discussion at the VA.

Mr. Jaynes concurred; stated the matter should be discussed with USF&WS.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft inquired whether there is a reason a wildlife refuge would not be a good neighbor to the VA, to which Mr. Jaynes responded the appearance would be similar to current conditions.

In response to Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft's further inquiry, Mr. Jaynes stated that he believes there are reasons USF&WS never designated the area a national wildlife refuge; the City should ask USF&WS said question.

Councilmember Chen stated that he likes the proposed map; however, there is no guarantee the map will remain the same after 10, 20 or 50 years; noted that he is proposing a resolution designating the undeveloped area as a wildlife refuge; inquired whether the VA would object to the resolution.

Mr. Jaynes stated the VA is not here to support a wildlife refuge; supporting a wildlife refuge is not the VA's purpose; stated the comments are valid and would be better addressed as part of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Environmental Assessment (EA); noted experts would be present to answer questions.

Councilmember Chen requested staff to explain the staff report mentioning that the non-binding term sheet is an agreement to take actions with regard to: infrastructure, site access and transit.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point stated the term sheet contemplates future agreements that the City and VA would enter into, which would most likely be easements; provided examples of potential future access and utility easements.

Councilmember Chen inquired whether the letter of support legally binds the City to a particular course of action.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point responded in the negative.

Mr. Schlossberg stated the VA's project is undergoing environmental review; until the review is done, the VA cannot proceed; the City also needs to do environmental review; neither party can enter into binding agreements at this time; the federal government wants to know the City's view, however, the actions are unilateral; hopefully, the federal government will continue to cooperate with, and listen to, the City and take the City's interests into account; the ability to exclude the 74 acres is a unilateral action; the nature of the action tonight is not binding and is cooperation between two governments; binding agreements may come about from the action tonight after full NEPA and California Environmental Review Act (CEQA) review.

Councilmember Chen stated the letter has two components: 1) redrawing the VA undeveloped area boundary; and 2) the City asking the VA for help with infrastructure and access; inquired what guarantees the City will have that by supporting the Navy giving the VA the 74 acres, the City hopes to save millions of dollars in public access and infrastructure.

Mr. Schlossberg responded neither side can give binding commitments until both sides complete environmental review; the cooperative effort is born out of geography; to access the federal parcel, the VA will travel through the City and Alameda residents will travel through the VA property to access the park area; there is a mutual interest; everything is subject to change as the environmental documents proceed, public comment is received and alternatives are raised.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft stated the staff report indicates the term sheet includes VA coordination with the local transit authority; inquired whether the local transit authority is AC Transit or the Metropolitan Transportation Commission.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point responded AC Transit.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft stated the term sheet states: the VA shall contact the local transit authority to seek to obtain extended public transit services to the facilities at no additional costs to the VA and subject to the applicable federal law and the availability of funds; inquired whether doing more than is listed in the term sheet is possible; and whether the VA could operate a shuttle bus; stated 250 VA employees will serve around 543 patients during the weekdays and around 70 patients on weekends; in addition, there will be special events with from 1,000 to 5,000 attendees; individual cars would be a burden; the clause does not go far enough.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point responded the non-binding term sheet is a road map for how the two agencies coordinate together; City staff wanted to include something about transit; the City is reviewing the VA's environmental document and will

submit comments addressing transit.

Mr. Jaynes stated the VA is concerned about transportation to the facility; the VA staff met with AC Transit staff on July 24, 2012 to review the demographics of the staff, patients and trips; AC Transit staff indicated the route to Alameda Point could be extended; the VA's architect designed a bus stop as part of the project; the VA will have to submit an application to the AC Transit Board for rerouting the bus line in 1½ to 2 years; further stated the VA will run a shuttle during the clinic's hours of operation.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft stated the Biological Opinion references the City working with East Bay Regional Park District (EBRPD); that she has great concern and objection to doing anything with EBRPD while the District is suing the City; inquired whether the references in the Biological Opinion commit the City to any actions.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point responded the statements do not commit the City; stated the 150 acre park is discussed; however, a particular service provider is not identified; the USF&WS has to approve the provider to ensure capability to operate adjacent to an endangered species colony; nothing in the Biological Opinion or term sheet commit the City to work with a specific provider.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft stated there is reference to EBRPD holding a conservation easement over the undeveloped land; that she does not believe the conservation easement is still being considered; inquired whether or not the easement is being considered.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point responded that she understands there have been numerous conversations between USF&WS and the VA about placing a conservation easement on federal land; a determination was made that the federal government cannot place a conservation easement on its own land.

In response to Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft's inquiry regarding the Biological Opinion restricting the number of ferry crossings, the Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point stated Water Emergency Transit Authority (WETA) plans to lease land from the City; the ferries stationed at the site would leave and return through the breakwater gap; the breakwater gap might also be used if the ferry terminal is moved to the sea plane lagoon; the language was included to build in flexibility.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft inquired whether the City faces risks due to a statement in the term sheet about the VA access road being subject to the availability of funds.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point responded the entire VA project is subject to the availability of funds; stated presumably, the VA would not start the project or road construction if funds are not available.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft noted the clause was not included in other areas of the term sheet.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point stated there is some risk.

The City Manager noted the VA is not included in the current sequestration.

Expressed concern with holding the meetings on the USS Hornet and urged future meetings be held in ADA friendly locations: Audrey Lord-Hausman, Commission on Disability Issues.

Urged changes be better explained, adequate time be provided, and there be opportunity for meaningful public participation: Kate Quick, League of Women Voters (submitted letter).

Urged the VA meetings and public input take place prior to Council sending the support letter: Joe Van Winkle, Alameda.

Urged the term sheet and letter of support not be approved unless language is added to protect the wildlife habitat: Irene Dieter, Alameda.

Opposed giving up park land; urged a longer review period and additional meetings be held in other locations: Jon Spangler, Alameda.

Urged that the Community Reuse Plan be followed, the 512 acres be designated as a wildlife preserve and the letter of support be delayed: Jane Sullwold, Alameda.

Questioned the the VA being able to close the trail for national security reasons; stated species other than the Least Tern should be considered, such as the Burrowing Owl; urged the vision be discussed more and a statement be added that the VA would look out for all wildlife: Richard Bangert, Alameda.

Expressed concern with holding the meeting on USS Hornet; and urged a longer review period: Carol Gottstein, Alameda.

Urged that the City not consent to the 74 acres, which were supposed to be transferred to the City, being transferred to the VA; stated the Economic Development Conveyance is binding a contract: Robert Sullwold, Alameda.

Urged approval of staff report Alternate 2, which is a good compromise to balance growth and protect wildlife; stated that she supports designating the undeveloped area as a wildlife refuge: Karen Bey, Alameda.

Expressed concern with sending a letter of support since the Council solicited the VA two years ago, which seems like a conflict of interest, and urged better use of the waterfront: Ferda Martin, Alameda.

Councilmember Tam noted that the Golden Gate Audubon Society and Sierra Club

approached the City Council in 2011 advocating that the Council speak to the VA about better ways to protect the Least Tern colony and find ways to configure the VA project to be more protective of the birds; the issue is being considered by the VA due to the City Council representing the environmental community.

The City Manager inquired whether the Council discussion two years ago about the project would constitute a conflict of interest, to which the City Attorney responded in the negative; stated a conflict of interest arises if a particular Councilmember has a financial interest in the outcome.

Councilmember Chen inquired whether the language on the agenda complies with the Sunshine Ordinance.

The City Attorney responded in the affirmative; stated the agenda items have to allow a person of average intelligence to understand the matter being discussed; the language on the agenda adequately gave notice; anyone interested in additional information could have read the staff report, which was available when the agenda was published.

In response to Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft's inquiry regarding the relationship between the March 14th environmental hearings and the Council action tonight, the Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point stated the Navy and VA released a joint, draft Environmental Assessment (EA); meetings are being held to discuss and receive comments on the EA, which is part of the standard NEPA process; the term sheet lays out a project road map, which is subject to environmental review; the finalized NEPA and CEQA documents may change the results of the final agreement; following environmental review, staff will return to Council with binding agreements, such as easements.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft inquired what the City would do with the comments by the public and City Council as the process moves forward.

The City Manager responded the process is a federal process, not a City process; staff wanted VA staff present tonight to hear the comments firsthand.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft inquired whether staff would not be summarizing the issues and concerns raised.

The City Manager responded that staff does not intend to summarize issues and concerns beyond the meeting minutes, which can be forwarded to the VA.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft stated that she would like to see more description of transit options; the VA representative addressed communications with AC Transit and future steps; that she was hoping to capture said comments.

The City Manager stated the City has the right to comment on the environmental document; staff intends to submit comments consistent with the Council discussion

tonight.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft inquired whether the comments would be on the Biological Opinion or the EA.

The City Manager responded the EA.

Mayor Gilmore noted various City departments would be providing comments; Public Works would comment on transit.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft inquired whether a park would still be located in the Northwest Territories, to which the Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point responded in the affirmative; stated 250 acres of parks and open space are being contemplated on the land which is intended to come to the City; said land does not include the 511 acres of preserve area on the rest of the property.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft inquired whether the City's park area is along the waterfront, to which the Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point responded in the affirmative.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft stated opening up public access to the waterfront is exciting.

The Chief Operating Officer – Alameda Point stated the City anticipates having an access easement around the VA site and having a Bay Trail around the Northwest Territories.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft stated the land does not belong to the City yet; the public has weighed in on the clinic and columbarium; read from the September 10, 2010 Alameda Reuse and Redevelopment Authority Resolution (ARRA), which solidifies her motivation to move forward with the staff recommendation; stated Veteran causes need to be supported; the ARRA resolution indicates the VA project will create local construction, permanent jobs and have a positive impact on local revenues.

Councilmember Chen stated that he would request the Council to consider delaying the letter of support so that it will coincide with the wildlife refuge resolution being considered on March 19th and to give the public time to study the staff report and EA.

Councilmember Daysog stated there is enthusiasm for the VA clinic and columbarium; ideally all issues would be resolved; that he supports having such a facility in Alameda.

Councilmember Daysog moved approval of the staff recommendation; stated the City Council and City staff can work on issues raised; the wildlife refuge resolution can happen independent of the action tonight.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft seconded the motion.

Under discussion, Councilmember Tam stated that she would not support the motion;

that she concurs with Councilmember Chen; stated the letter of support and non-binding term sheet are essentially a comment on the EA being considered at the March 14th meetings; from a timing standpoint, including the matter as part of the City's comments on the EA would be more organized and consolidated.

On the call for the question, the motion carried by the following voice vote: Ayes: Councilmembers Daysog, Ezzy Ashcraft, and Mayor Gilmore – 3. Noes: Councilmembers Chen and Tam – 2.

(13-091) Introduction of Ordinance Approving a Lease and Authorizing the City Manager to Execute Documents Necessary to Implement the Terms of a Lease Amendment with the Artemis Racing, USA Extending Their Current Lease for Up to One Year in Building 12 Located at 1050 West Tower, a Portion of Taxiway H and Access to the Seaplane Lagoon at Alameda Point. Introduced.

The Economic Development Division Manager gave a brief presentation; mentioned the Luna Rossa team would also be locating at Alameda Point.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft suggested the Luna Rossa team give a presentation.

Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft moved introduction of the ordinance.

Councilmember Tam seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote – 5.

(13-092) Public Hearing to Consider a Resolution to Certify a Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR), Introduction of an Ordinance to Amend the Alameda Municipal Code for the North Park Street Planning Area, and a Resolution to Approve Amendments to the Design Review Manual Regulating Development Within the Area Bounded by the Oakland-Alameda Estuary, Tilden Way, Lincoln Avenue, and Oak Street. **Continued to March 19, 2013.**

CITY MANAGER COMMUNICATIONS

(13-093) The City Manager announced that staff reached tentative agreements with the Fire Managers and Police Managers Associations on the outstanding issue; the contact would be brought to Council and job description changes would be presented to the Civil Service Board prior to the contracts commencing on July 1st.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS, NON-AGENDA

None.

COUNCIL REFERRALS

None.

COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS

(13-094) Mayor Gilmore made announcements about Councilmembers serving on regional boards; stated that she would bring the matter back at the next meeting.

Councilmember Daysog inquired whether the Alameda County Associated Community Action Program (ACAP) still exists, to which Councilmember Tam responded in the negative; stated ACAP dissolved.

(13-095) Councilmember Tam announced that she attended the East Bay Division League of California Cities meeting; provided meeting highlights; further announced that she, along with Councilmembers Ezzy Ashcraft and Chen, attended the Beltline Tour organized by the Recreation Director; and that she and Councilmember Daysog attended the 30th Anniversary Memorial for Robert Davey, Junior.

(13-096) Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft announced that she, along with the Mayor and Councilmembers Tam and Daysog, attended the grand opening of the Ala Costa Center at Little John Park.

(13-097) Councilmember Chen announced that he attended the Alameda County Transportation Commission retreat on behalf of Vice Mayor Ezzy Ashcraft.

ADJOURNMENT

(13-098) There being no further business, Mayor Gilmore adjourned the meeting at 9:46 p.m. in memory of Ryan Kellogg.

Respectfully submitted,

Lara Weisiger
City Clerk

The agenda for this meeting was posted in accordance with the Sunshine Ordinance.