

Termination of original F5

Vasily Galkin

`galkin-vv@yandex.ru`

Moscow State University
Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics
Department of Higher Algebra

Prove that F5 algorithm terminates on any input data represented by a set of homogeneous polynomials.

1 Definitions

- Polynomial signature
- Algorithm F5: original version

2 Termination proof

- F5 terminates: scheme of proof
- S-pair chains
- Representations of p with $\mathcal{S}(p) \prec \mathcal{S}(h)$

- Fix ideal generated by “input” polynomials $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$
- Fix order \prec on pairs (m, f_i) ; m is monomial without coefficient

Definitions

Signature: $\max_{\prec} (m_k, f_{i_k})$ for some input-representation

$$g = \sum_k c_k m_k f_{i_k}, \quad c_k \neq 0, \quad \text{all pairs } (m_k, f_{i_k}) \text{ are distinct}$$

Minimal signature: $\mathcal{S}(g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{\prec} (\text{all signatures of } g)$

We say mh *sig-safe reduce* g if $\text{LM}(mh) = \text{LM}(g)$, $\mathcal{S}(mh) \prec \mathcal{S}(g)$

- F5 tracks \mathcal{S} and performs only signature-safe reductions
- F5 use Position-over-Term order \prec (compare i -s than m -s)
 - Order is compatible to multiplication by monomial
 - Allows to define *sig-lead ratio* with linear order:

$$\frac{\mathcal{S}(g)}{\text{LM}(g)} \prec_{SL} \frac{\mathcal{S}(h)}{\text{LM}(h)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \mathcal{S}(g) \text{LM}(h) \prec \mathcal{S}(h) \text{LM}(g)$$

Scheme: computing basis of $(G \cup \{f_i\})$ from basis G

1. $P = \{\text{S-pair}(p, f_i) \mid p \in G, \text{ and S-pair parts pass 'F5' criterion}\}$
2. **while** $P \neq \emptyset$ **do**:
 - 2.1 $F = \text{S-polys}(\text{take } P \text{ all max-degree S-pairs passing 'Rewritten'})$
 - 2.2 **while** $\exists h \in F, \mathcal{S}(h)$ is minimal for non-zero F polynomials **do**:
 - 2.2.1 **if** $\exists p \in G - \text{sig-safe } h \text{ reductor, satisfying 'F5' and 'Rewritten'}$:
 $F = (F \setminus \{h\}) \cup \text{Reduce}(h, p)$
 - 2.2.2 **else**: $F = F \setminus \{h\}; R = R \cup \{h\}$
 - 2.3 **for** $r \in R: P = P \cup \{\text{S-pair}(p, r) \mid p \in G, \text{'F5' passed}\}; G = G \cup \{r\}$

Termination of original F5

- is topical: no algorithm was proved to be always faster than F5
- is not like Buchberger: G may be extended by polynomial h whose reductor $p \in G$ is not sig-safe or is not satisfying criteria
- proofed in original work only if f_1, \dots, f_n is regular sequence
- proof by reformulation as generic algorithm (TRB-F5; F5GEN)
 - equivalence proof has gaps

- 1* If the algorithm doesn't stop it fills G with polynomials containing infinite sequence with \prec_{SL} -increasing sig-lead ratio
- 2 This sequence contains p_1, p_2 with $\text{LM}(p_2) \mid \text{LM}(p_1)$
- 3 Polynomial p_2 is sig-safe reductor for p_1
- 4* Any polynomial p with signature smaller than signature of h on step 2.2 has representation of the form
$$p = \sum_k c_k m_k g_k, c_k \neq 0, g_k \in G \text{ where}$$
$$\mathcal{S}(m_k g_k) \preceq \mathcal{S}(p), \text{LM}(m_k g_k) \leq \text{LM}(p) \text{ and all } m_k g_k \text{ satisfy F5 and Rewritten criteria}$$
- 5 Applying this to p_2 on step with $h = p_1$ and selecting element with $\text{LM}(m_k g_k) = \text{LM}(p_2)$ as $m p_3$ gives polynomial p_3 in G .
- 6 p_3 is sig-safe reductor of p_1 and satisfies criteria
- 7 This leads to contradiction because p_1 was added to G without reduction by p_3

**studied below in more detail*

Definitions

- Polynomial h_2 computed as reduced $S\text{-pair}(h_1, p)$ satisfying $\mathcal{S}(\text{LM}(p) h_1) \succ \mathcal{S}(\text{LM}(h_1) p)$ is called *S-descendant* of h_1 .
- *S-descendant property*: $h_2 \succ_{SL} h_1$
- Sequence $\{h_i\}$ is *S-pair chain*, if $\forall i h_{i+1}$ is S-descendant of h_i .

Fact

If F5 doesn't stop it gives infinite S-pair chain

Proof.

- All $h \in R$ corresponds to S-pair chain $\{f, \dots, h\}$
- $\forall h$ has only finite number of direct S-pair descendants
- A chain is constructed from elements having infinite number of recursive S-pair descendants



$\forall p$ has representation $p = \sum_k c_k m_k g_k$, $c_k \neq 0$, $g_k \in G$, satisfying:

- $\text{LM}(p) \geq \text{LM}(m_k g_k)$ – all algorithms, including Buchberger
- $\mathcal{S}(p) \geq \mathcal{S}(m_k g_k)$ (sig-safe) – all signature-based algorithms
- For F5 we also need to analyze 'F5' and 'Rewritten' criteria
 - start with a sig-safe representation
 - iteratively replace terms that doesn't pass criteria or LM relation with sig-safe expressions
 - proof that this process terminates

Proof.

- Introduce well order \prec_1 on representation elements (like \prec)
- Lexicographically extend it to representations well order \prec
- Show that replacements \prec -decrease representation

