

# The cost of undisturbed landscapes

## Assessing systemic effects of renewables expansion in Austria

Sebastian Wehrle, Johannes Schmidt

University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna

February 25, 2020

NOeG Annual Meeting 2020



**reFUEL**



1 Background

2 Motivation & Method

3 Results

4 Sensitivity

5 Discussion & Conclusion

# Austrian energy policy objectives

according to government programme 2020-2024

- 100%<sup>1</sup> of electricity demand from domestic renewable sources on annual balance by 2030
  - necessarily turns Austria into a net exporter of electricity
- additional annual electricity generation of 27 TWh is expected to suffice
- technology-specific additions:

	2018 [GW]	2018 <sup>2</sup> [TWh]	Policy [TWh]	2030 [TWh]	2030 [GW]
Solar PV	1.44	1.23	+11	12.23	14.27
Wind (onshore)	3.05	6.14	+10	16.14	8
Hydro (run-of-river)	5.72	28.34	+5	33.34	6.73
Biomass	~ 1	4.78	+1	5.78	> 2

---

<sup>1</sup>excluding system services and industry own consumption. At current levels this equals 10% of consumption, i.e. actual target is around 90%.


<sup>2</sup>meteorological conditions as in 2016

# Why wind is not solar

A side note on imperfect substitutes

## Aggregate generation profile in Austria

- secure system operation requires  $S = D$  at any point in time
- electricity not easily storable
- »transforming« electricity feed-in to end-use electricity is costly



## Stylized Facts

- Apart from wind and solar, potentials for renewable electricity generation in Austria largely exhausted
- Under announced policies, solar PV is only large-scale substitute to wind power
- Increasing social conflict around the large-scale expansion of onshore wind power
- Traditional power system models do not account for local negative externalities of renewable energy generators, such as:
  - visual impact on landscape
  - harm to wildlife
  - noise, flickering, glaring

- 1 Background
- 2 Motivation & Method
- 3 Results
- 4 Sensitivity
- 5 Discussion & Conclusion

# The problem from a social planner's perspective

How to account for local negative externalities in renewables expansion planning?

## The cost of undisturbed landscapes

- assess energy system effects and costs of substituting wind power with solar PV

## The value of undisturbed landscapes

- estimates of the negative external effect of wind turbines reported in literature

# The cost of undisturbed landscapes

## Approach

- Resemble Austrian power system in 2030
- include most important electricity trading partner Germany
- set policy target of meeting 90% of demand in 2030 from domestic renewable sources
- incorporate announced electricity system targets for Germany in 2030
  - nuclear phase-out
  - partial coal exit
  - expansion of renewable capacities in line with EEG 2017
- simulate prospective electricity system with *medea*



# Power system model *medea*

## Objective

- minimize total system cost
  - fuel and CO<sub>2</sub> cost
  - O&M cost
  - capital cost

## Decision variables

- hourly dispatch
- inter-zonal electricity trade
- investment in power plants, storages, and transmission

## Constraints

- market clearing
- capacity constraints
- co-generation & fuel use
- system service requirement
- inter-zonal electricity trade

## Economic assumptions

- perfect competition
- perfect foresight
- price-inelastic demand

## Resolution

- hours (one year)
- bidding zones
- 41 technologies

## Implementation

- linear program
- python & GAMS

*medea* is available on [github.com/inwe-boku/medea](https://github.com/inwe-boku/medea) under an open MIT license

# The cost of undisturbed landscapes

Estimating the opportunity cost of wind power


- 1) derive unrestricted optimal deployment of wind and solar power
- 2) restrict deployment of wind power by a small margin  
(→ solar PV substitutes for wind)
- 3) repeat till no wind power can be deployed

We approximate the cost of undisturbed landscapes (i.e. the forgone value of wind power  $w$ ) by the change in net cost of the electricity system including air pollution cost  $c_{net}$  in response to a change in deployed wind power  $w$ , i.e.

$$OC_w = \frac{\Delta C_{net}}{\Delta w}$$

- 1 Background
- 2 Motivation & Method
- 3 Results
- 4 Sensitivity
- 5 Discussion & Conclusion

## The cost of undisturbed landscapes



Capital cost of solar PV | 630 €/kW<sub>p</sub>

→ about  $\frac{2}{3}$  rooftop PV,  
 $\frac{1}{3}$  open space PV



← more wind power

more solar PV →



wind power and solar PV  
are substitutes

# The cost of undisturbed landscapes

Cost with restricted wind power







Capital cost of solar PV	630 €/kWp
CO <sub>2</sub> price	90 €/MWh



# The cost of undisturbed landscapes

System operation with restricted wind power




Capital cost of solar PV	630 €/kWp
CO <sub>2</sub> price	90 €/MWh

- 1 Background
- 2 Motivation & Method
- 3 Results
- 4 Sensitivity
- 5 Discussion & Conclusion

# The cost of undisturbed landscapes

Sensitivity to capital cost of solar PV




Capital cost estimates for solar PV in 2030

Small-scale rooftop	830 €/kWp
Utility-scale open space	280 €/kWp



# The cost of undisturbed landscapes

Sensitivity to capital cost of solar PV



Capital cost of solar PV | 280 €/kWp

- 1 Background
- 2 Motivation & Method
- 3 Results
- 4 Sensitivity
- 5 Discussion & Conclusion

# The cost of undisturbed landscapes

## Discussion of results

- Renewable resource quality held constant as capacity is expanded
- Sub-national electricity transmission and distribution grids neglected
- Technical operation of generators not fully represented  
(e.g. no unit commit, simplified balancing)
- Electricity market-splitting has increased market concentration
- Announced policy necessarily turns Austria into a net exporter of electricity
  - "loop-flows" potentially avoided
  - artificial transmission restriction between DE and AT could be eliminated

## Conclusions

- If we value CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at 30 €/MWh or lower, onshore wind power can be substituted by open-space utility-scale solar PV at little loss
- CO<sub>2</sub> valuation above 30 €/MWh or a preference for rooftop PV allows for gains to be made from wind power deployment
- Gains from wind power could be used to compensate the ones affected by local negative externalities of wind turbines
- Complementing our analysis with spatially resolved estimates of wind turbine impacts, one could derive a spatially explicit plan for the socially optimal expansion of wind power in Austria

Thank you!

<https://refuel.world>

<https://github.com/inwe-boku/medea>

[sebastian.wehrle@boku.ac.at](mailto:sebastian.wehrle@boku.ac.at)



We gratefully acknowledge support from the European Research Council ("reFUEL" ERC-2017-STG 758149).

