

Recursive algorithms and branching for nonmaximal embeddings

V D Lyakhovsky¹ and A A Nazarov²

^{1,2} Theoretical Department, SPb State University, 198904, Sankt-Petersburg, Russia

E-mail: ¹ lyakh1507@nm.ru, ² antonnaz@gmail.com

Abstract. Recurrent relations for branching coefficients in affine Lie algebras integrable highest weight modules are studied. The decomposition algorithm based on the injection fan technique is developed for the case of reductive subalgebra. In particular we consider the situations where the Weyl denominator becomes singular with respect to the subalgebra. We study the modifications of the injection fan technique and demonstrate that for any reductive subalgebra it is possible to define the "subtracted fan" – the tool that describes explicitly the recurrent properties of branching coefficients. Possible applications of subtracted fans in CFT models are considered.

AMS classification scheme numbers: 17B67, 17B10

Submitted to: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*

1. Introduction

The branching problem for affine Lie algebras emerges in conformal field theory, for example, in the construction of modular-invariant partition functions [1]. Recently the problem of conformal embeddings was considered in the paper [2].

There exist different approaches to deal with the branching coefficients. Some of them use the BGG resolution [3] (for Kac-Moody algebras the algorithm is described in [4],[5]), the Schur function series [6], the BRST cohomology [7], Kac-Peterson formulas [4, 8] or the combinatorial methods applied in [9].

Usually only the maximal reductive subalgebras $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ are considered since the case of non-maximal subalgebra can be obtained using the chain of maximal injections. In this paper we find out that the recurrent properties for branching coefficients can be explicitly formulated for an arbitrary reductive subalgebra. The principal point is to consider the subalgebra \mathfrak{a} together with its counterpart \mathfrak{a}_\perp "orthogonal" to \mathfrak{a} with respect to the Killing form. For any reductive \mathfrak{a} the subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}_\perp \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a regular and reductive. For a highest weight module $L^{(\mu)}$ and orthogonal pair of subalgebras $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_\perp)$ we consider the so called singular element $\Psi^{(\mu)}$ (the numerator in the Weyl

character formula $ch(L^\mu) = \frac{\Psi^{(\mu)}}{\Psi^{(0)}}$, see for example [10]) the Weyl denominator $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^{(0)}$ and the projection $\Psi_{(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_\perp)}^{(\mu)} = \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\Psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{(\mu)}}{\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^{(0)}}$. We prove that for any highest weight module $L^{(\mu)}$ and orthogonal pair of subalgebras $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_\perp)$ the element $\Psi_{(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_\perp)}^{(\mu)}$ has a decomposition with respect to the set of Weyl numerators of \mathfrak{a}_\perp . This decomposition provides the possibility to construct the recurrent property for the branching coefficients corresponding to the injection $\mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. This property is formulated in terms of a specific element of the group algebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ called "the subtracted injection fan". Using this tool we formulate a simple and explicit algorithm for branching coefficients computations applicable for an arbitrary (maximal or non-maximal) subalgebras of finite-dimensional and affine Lie algebras. In the case of maximal embedding the corresponding fan becomes unsubtracted and the recurrent relations described earlier (see the paper [11] and the references therein) are reobtained.

We demonstrate that our algorithm can be used in studies of conformal embeddings and coset constructions in rational conformal field theory.

The paper is organised as follows. In the subsection 1.1 we fix the general notations. In the Section 2 we derive the decomposition formula for the subtracted recurrent formula for anomalous branching coefficients and describe the decomposition algorithm for integrable highest weight modules $L_{\mathfrak{g}}$ with respect to a reductive subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ (subsection 2.5). In the Section 3 we present several simple examples for finite-dimensional Lie algebras. The affine Lie algebras and their applications in CFT models are considered in Section 4. Possible further developments are discussed (Section 5).

1.1. Notation

Consider affine Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{a} with the underlying finite-dimensional subalgebras $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{a}}$ and an injection $\mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that \mathfrak{a} is a reductive subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ with correlated root spaces: $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{a}}^* \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{a}}}^* \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}}^*$. We use the following notations:

$L^\mu (L_{\mathfrak{a}}^\nu)$ — the integrable module of \mathfrak{g} with the highest weight μ ; (resp. integrable \mathfrak{a} -module with the highest weight ν);

$r, (r_{\mathfrak{a}})$ — the rank of the algebra \mathfrak{g} (resp. \mathfrak{a}) ;

$\Delta (\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}})$ — the root system; Δ^+ (resp. $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^+$) — the positive root system (of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{a} respectively);

$\text{mult}(\alpha) (\text{mult}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\alpha))$ — the multiplicity of the root α in Δ (resp. in $(\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}})$);

$\overset{\circ}{\Delta}, (\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{a}})$ — the finite root system of the subalgebra $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$ (resp. $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{a}}$);

$\mathcal{N}^\mu, (\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{a}}^\nu)$ — the weight diagram of L^μ (resp. $L_{\mathfrak{a}}^\nu$) ;

$W, (W_{\mathfrak{a}})$ — the corresponding Weyl group;

$C, (C_{\mathfrak{a}})$ — the fundamental Weyl chamber;

$\bar{C}, (\bar{C}_{\mathfrak{a}})$ — the closure of the fundamental Weyl chamber;

$\rho, (\rho_{\mathfrak{a}})$ — the Weyl vector;

$\epsilon(w) := \det(w)$;

α_i , $(\alpha_{(\mathfrak{a})j})$ — the i -th (resp. j -th) basic root for \mathfrak{g} (resp. \mathfrak{a}); $i = 0, \dots, r$, $(j = 0, \dots, r_{\mathfrak{a}})$;
 δ — the imaginary root of \mathfrak{g} (and of \mathfrak{a} if any);
 α_i^\vee , $(\alpha_{(\mathfrak{a})j}^\vee)$ — the basic coroot for \mathfrak{g} (resp. \mathfrak{a}) , $i = 0, \dots, r$; $(j = 0, \dots, r_{\mathfrak{a}})$;
 $\overset{\circ}{\xi}$, $\overset{\circ}{\xi}_{(\mathfrak{a})}$ — the finite (classical) part of the weight $\xi \in P$, (resp. $\xi_{(\mathfrak{a})} \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}$);
 $\lambda = \left(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}; k; n \right)$ — the decomposition of an affine weight indicating the finite part $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$, level k and grade n ;
 P (resp. $P_{\mathfrak{a}}$) — the weight lattice;
 $m_\xi^{(\mu)}$, $\left(m_\xi^{(\nu)} \right)$ — the multiplicity of the weight $\xi \in P$ (resp. $\in P_{\mathfrak{a}}$) in the module L^μ , (resp. $\xi \in L_{\mathfrak{a}}^\nu$);
 $ch(L^\mu)$ (resp. $ch(L_{\mathfrak{a}}^\nu)$) — the formal character of L^μ (resp. $L_{\mathfrak{a}}^\nu$);
 $ch(L^\mu) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w \circ (\mu + \rho) - \rho}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\text{mult}(\alpha)}}$ — the Weyl-Kac formula;
 $R := \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\text{mult}(\alpha)}$ (resp. $R_{\mathfrak{a}} := \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\text{mult}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\alpha)}$) — the denominator.

2. Recurrent relations for branching coefficients.

Consider the integrable module L^μ of \mathfrak{g} with the highest weight μ and let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a reductive subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . With respect to \mathfrak{a} the module L^μ is completely reducible,

$$L_{\mathfrak{g} \downarrow \mathfrak{a}}^\mu = \bigoplus_{\nu \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}^+} b_\nu^{(\mu)} L_{\mathfrak{a}}^\nu.$$

Using the projection operator $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (to the weight space $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{a}}^*$) one can rewrite this decomposition in terms of formal characters:

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \circ ch(L^\mu) = \sum_{\nu \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}^+} b_\nu^{(\mu)} ch(L_{\mathfrak{a}}^\nu). \quad (1)$$

We are interested in branching coefficients $b_\nu^{(\mu)}$.

2.1. Orthogonal subalgebra and injection fan.

In this subsection we shall introduce some simple constructions that will be used in our studies of branching and in particular the "orthogonal partner" \mathfrak{a}_\perp for a reductive subalgebra \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{g} .

In the Weyl-Kac formula both numerator and denominator can be considered as formal elements containing the singular weights of the Verma modules V^ξ with the highest weights $\xi = \mu$ and $\xi = 0$ [10]. We attribute singular numerators to the corresponding integrable modules L^μ and $L_{\mathfrak{a}}^\nu$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{(\mu)} &:= \sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w \circ (\mu + \rho) - \rho}, \\ \Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{(\nu)} &:= \sum_{w \in W_{\mathfrak{a}}} \epsilon(w) e^{w \circ (\nu + \rho_{\mathfrak{a}}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{a}}}. \end{aligned}$$

and use the Weyl-Kac formula in the form

$$ch(L^\mu) = \frac{\Psi(\mu)}{\Psi(0)} = \frac{\Psi(\mu)}{R}. \quad (2)$$

Applying formula (2) to the branching rule (1) we get the relation connecting the singular elements $\Psi^{(\mu)}$ and $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{(\nu)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\frac{\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\mu+\rho)-\rho}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\text{mult}(\alpha)}} \right) &= \sum_{\nu \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}^+} b_{\nu}^{(\mu)} \frac{\sum_{w \in W_{\mathfrak{a}}} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\nu+\rho_{\mathfrak{a}})-\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}}}{\prod_{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^+} (1 - e^{-\beta})^{\text{mult}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\beta)}}, \\ \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\frac{\Psi^{(\mu)}}{R} \right) &= \sum_{\nu \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}^+} b_{\nu}^{(\mu)} \frac{\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{(\nu)}}{R_{\mathfrak{a}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ is the set of positive roots of the subalgebra \mathfrak{a} (without loss of generality we consider them as vectors from the positive root space \mathfrak{h}^{++} of \mathfrak{g}).

Consider the root subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp \mathfrak{a}}^*$ orthogonal to the roots in $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$,

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\perp \mathfrak{a}}^* =: \{\eta \in \mathfrak{h}^* | \forall \alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}; \alpha \perp \eta\},$$

and the roots (correspondingly – positive roots) of \mathfrak{g} orthogonal to $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}} &:= \{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} | \forall \alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}; \alpha \perp \beta\}, \\ \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}^+ &:= \{\beta^+ \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^+ | \forall \alpha^+ \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^+; \alpha^+ \perp \beta^+\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $W_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$ be the subgroup of W generated by the reflections w_{β} for the roots $\beta \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}^+$. The subsystem $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$ determines the subalgebra \mathfrak{a}_{\perp} with the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}^* := \{\eta \in \mathfrak{h}_{\perp \mathfrak{a}}^* | \forall \alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}} \cup \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}; \alpha \perp \eta\}$$

and consider the subalgebras

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp} &:= \mathfrak{a}_{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\perp} \\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}} &:= \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

Algebras \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}_{\perp} form the "orthogonal pair" $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_{\perp})$ of subalgebras in \mathfrak{g} .

For the Cartan subalgebras we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{a}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\perp} = \mathfrak{h}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{a}}. \quad (4)$$

For the subalgebras of an orthogonal pair $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_{\perp})$ we consider the corresponding Weyl vectors, $\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\rho_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$, and form the so called "defects" $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$ of the injection:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}} := \rho_{\mathfrak{a}} - \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \rho, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}} := \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}} - \pi_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}} \circ \rho. \quad (6)$$

For the highest weight module $L_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mu}$ consider the singular weights $\{(w(\mu + \rho) - \rho) | w \in W\}$ and their projections to $\mathfrak{h}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp}}^*$ (additionally shifted by the defect $-\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$):

$$\mu_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp}}(w) := \pi_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp}} \circ [w(\mu + \rho) - \rho] - \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}, \quad w \in W.$$

Among the weights $\{\mu_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp}}(w) | w \in W\}$ choose those located in the fundamental chamber $\overline{C_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}}$ and let U be the set of representatives u for the classes $W/W_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$ such that

$$U := \{u \in W \mid \mu_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp}}(u) \in \overline{C_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}}\}. \quad (7)$$

For the same set U introduce the weights

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(u) := \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \circ [u(\mu + \rho) - \rho] + \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}.$$

To simplify the form of relations we shall now on omit the sign "o" in projected weights.

To describe the recurrent properties for branching coefficients $b_{\nu}^{(\mu)}$ we shall use the technique elaborated in [11] one of the main tools of which is the set of weights $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}$ called the injection fan. As far as we consider more general situation (where the injection is not maximal) the notion of the injection fan is modified:

Definition 1. For the product

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_{\perp}^+} (1 - e^{-\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \alpha})^{\text{mult}(\alpha) - \text{mult}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \alpha)} = - \sum_{\gamma \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}} s(\gamma) e^{-\gamma} \quad (8)$$

consider the carrier $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}} \subset P_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of the function $s(\gamma) = \det(\gamma)$:

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}} = \{\gamma \in P_{\mathfrak{a}} | s(\gamma) \neq 0\} \quad (9)$$

The ordering of roots in $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ induce the natural ordering of the weights in $P_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Denote by γ_0 the lowest vector of $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}$. The set

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}} = \{\xi - \gamma_0 | \xi \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}\} \setminus \{0\} \quad (10)$$

is called the *injection fan*.

In the next subsection we shall see how the injection fan defines the recurrent properties of branching coefficients. It must be noticed that the injection fan is the universal instrument that depend only on the injection.

2.2. Decomposing the singular element.

Now we shall prove that the Weyl-Kac character formula (in terms of singular elements) describes the particular case of a more general relation:

Lemma 1. Let $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_{\perp})$ be the orthogonal pair of reductive subalgebras in \mathfrak{g} , with $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp} = \mathfrak{a}_{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$,

L^{μ} be the highest weight module with the singular element $\Psi^{(\mu)}$,

$R_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$ be the Weyl denominator for \mathfrak{a}_{\perp} .

Then the element $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\frac{\Psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mu}}{R_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}} \right)$ can be decomposed into the sum over $u \in U$ (see (7)) of the singular weights $e^{\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(u)}$ with the coefficients $\epsilon(u) \dim \left(L_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp}}^{\mu_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp}}(u)} \right)$:

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\frac{\Psi^{\mu}}{R_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}} \right) = \sum_{u \in U} \epsilon(u) \dim \left(L_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp}}^{\mu_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp}}(u)} \right) e^{\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(u)}. \quad (11)$$

Proof. With $u \in U$ and $v \in W_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$ perform the decomposition

$$u(\mu + \rho) = \pi_{(\mathfrak{a})} u(\mu + \rho) + \pi_{(\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{\perp})} u(\mu + \rho)$$

for the singular weight $vu(\mu + \rho) - \rho$:

$$\begin{aligned} vu(\mu + \rho) - \rho &= \pi_{(\mathfrak{a})}(u(\mu + \rho)) - \rho + \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} + \pi_{(\mathfrak{h}_\perp)}\rho \\ &\quad + v(\pi_{(\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp})}u(\mu + \rho) - \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} + \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} - \pi_{(\mathfrak{h}_\perp)}\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Use the defect $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}$ (6) to simplify the first summand in (12):

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{(\mathfrak{a})}(u(\mu + \rho)) - \rho + \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} + \pi_{(\mathfrak{h}_\perp)}\rho &= \\ \pi_{(\mathfrak{a})}(u(\mu + \rho)) - \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}\rho - \pi_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}\rho + \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} &= \\ \pi_{(\mathfrak{a})}(u(\mu + \rho) - \rho) + \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}, \end{aligned}$$

and the second one:

$$\begin{aligned} v(\pi_{(\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp})}u(\mu + \rho) - \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} + \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} - \pi_{(\mathfrak{h}_\perp)}\rho &= \\ v(\pi_{(\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp})}u(\mu + \rho) - \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} - \pi_{(\mathfrak{a}_\perp)}\rho - \pi_{(\mathfrak{h}_\perp)}\rho + \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} &= \\ v(\pi_{(\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp})}[u(\mu + \rho) - \rho] - \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} + \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

These expressions provide a kind of a factorization in the anomalous element Ψ^μ and we find in it the combination of anomalous elements $\Psi_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}^\eta$ of the subalgebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}$ -modules $L_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}^\eta$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^\mu &= \sum_{u \in U} \sum_{v \in W_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} \epsilon(v)\epsilon(u)e^{vu(\mu+\rho)-\rho} = \\ &= \sum_{u \in U} \epsilon(u)e^{\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}[u(\mu+\rho)-\rho]+\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} \sum_{v \in W_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} \epsilon(v)e^{v(\pi_{(\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp})}[u(\mu+\rho)-\rho]-\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}+\rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp})-\rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} = \\ &= \sum_{u \in U} \epsilon(u)e^{\pi_{(\mathfrak{a})}[u(\mu+\rho)-\rho]+\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} \Psi_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}^{\pi_{(\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp})}[u(\mu+\rho)-\rho]-\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by the Weyl element $R_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} = \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} (1 - e^{-\beta})^{\text{mult}(\beta)}$ and projecting them to the weight space $h_{\mathfrak{a}}^*$ we obtain the desired relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(\frac{\Psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^\mu}{R_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}\right) &= \sum_{u \in W/W_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} \epsilon(u)e^{\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}[u(\mu+\rho)-\rho]+\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}\left(\frac{\Psi_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}^{\pi_{(\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp})}[u(\mu+\rho)-\rho]-\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}}{\prod_{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} (1 - e^{-\beta})^{\text{mult}(\beta)}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{u \in U} \epsilon(u)\dim\left(L_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}^{\mu_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}(u)}\right) e^{\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(u)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1. This relation can be considered a generalized form of the Weyl formula for singular element $\Psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^\mu$: the vectors $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(u)$ play the role of singular weights while instead of the determinants $\epsilon(u)$ we have the products $\epsilon(u)\dim\left(L_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}^{\mu_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}(u)}\right)$. In fact when $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$ both \mathfrak{a}_\perp and \mathfrak{h}_\perp are trivial, $U = W$, and the original Weyl formula is easily reobtained.

2.3. Constructing recurrent relations.

Consider the right-hand side of relation (3). The numerator there describes the branching in terms of singular elements and it is reasonable to expand it as an element of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$\sum_{\nu \in \bar{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}} b_{\nu}^{(\mu)} \Psi_{(\mathfrak{a})}^{(\nu)} = \sum_{\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}} k_{\lambda}^{(\mu)} e^{\lambda}. \quad (13)$$

Here the coefficients $k_\lambda^{(\mu)}$ are integer and their signes depend on the length (see [10]) of the Weyl group elements in $\Psi_{(\mathfrak{a})}^{(\nu)}$. The important property of $k_\lambda^{(\mu)}$'s is that they coincide with the branching coefficients for all weights ν inside the main Weil chamber:

$$b_\nu^{(\mu)} = k_\nu^{(\mu)} \text{ for } \nu \in \bar{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}. \quad (14)$$

We call the coefficients k_λ — the anomalous branching coefficients (see also [11]).

Now we can state the main theorem which gives us an instrument for the recurrent computation of branching coefficients.

Theorem 1. *For the anomalous branching coefficients $k_\nu^{(\mu)}$ (13) the following relation holds*

$$k_\xi^{(\mu)} = -\frac{1}{s(\gamma_0)} \left(\sum_{w \in W_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp} \setminus W} \epsilon(w) \dim \left(L_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^{\pi_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}(w(\mu+\rho))-\rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} \right) \delta_{\xi-\gamma_0, \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(w(\mu+\rho)-\rho)} + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}} s(\gamma + \gamma_0) k_{\xi+\gamma}^{(\mu)} \right). \quad (15)$$

Proof. Redress the relation (3) for the element $\frac{\Psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^\mu}{R_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}$ using definition (9) of the carrier $\Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\frac{\Psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^\mu}{R_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} \right) &= \\ &= \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^+} (1 - e^{-\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \alpha})^{\text{mult}(\alpha) - \text{mult}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \alpha)} \left(\sum_{\nu \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}^+} b_\nu^{(\mu)} \sum_{w \in W_{\mathfrak{a}}} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\nu+\rho_{\mathfrak{a}})-\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}} \right) = \\ &= - \sum_{\gamma \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}} s(\gamma) e^{-\gamma} \left(\sum_{\nu \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}^+, w \in W_{\mathfrak{a}}} \epsilon(w) b_\nu^{(\mu)} e^{w(\nu+\rho_{\mathfrak{a}})-\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then expand the sum in brackets (with respect to the formal basis in \mathcal{E}):

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\frac{\Psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^\mu}{R_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} \right) = - \sum_{\gamma \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}} s(\gamma) e^{-\gamma} \sum_{\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}} k_\nu^{(\mu)} e^\lambda = - \sum_{\gamma \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}} \sum_{\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}} s(\gamma) k_\nu^{(\mu)} e^{\lambda-\gamma}.$$

Substitute the expression obtained in the Lemma (in the left-hand side),

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\frac{\Psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^\mu}{R_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}} \right) &= \sum_{u \in U} \epsilon(u) e^{\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(u))} \dim \left(L_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^-(u)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{u \in U} \epsilon(u) e^{\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}[u(\mu+\rho)-\rho]} \dim \left(L_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^-(u)} \right) \\ &= - \sum_{\gamma \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}} \sum_{\lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}} s(\gamma) k_\nu^{(\mu)} e^{\lambda-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

The immediate consequence of this equality is:

$$\sum_{u \in U} \epsilon(u) \dim \left(L_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^-(u)} \right) \delta_{\xi, \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}[u(\mu+\rho)-\rho]} + \sum_{\gamma \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}} s(\gamma) k_{\xi+\gamma}^{(\mu)} = 0, \quad \xi \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}. \quad (16)$$

The obtained formula means that the coefficients $k_{\xi+\gamma}^{(\mu)}$ for $\gamma \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}$ are not independent, they are subject to the linear relations and the form of these relations changes when the tested weight ξ coincides with one of the "singular weights" $\{\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}[u(\mu+\rho)-\rho] \mid u \in U\}$. To conclude the proof we extract the lowest weight $\gamma_0 \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}$ and pass to the summation over the vectors of the injection fan $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}}$ (see the definition 1). Thus we get the desired recurrent relation (15). \square

2.4. Embeddings and orthogonal pairs in simple Lie algebras

In this subsection we discuss some properties of "orthogonal pairs" of subalgebras in simple Lie algebras of classical series.

When both \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{a} are finite-dimensional all the regular embeddings can be obtained by a successive elimination of nodes in the extended Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} (and $\Delta_{\perp}^+ = \emptyset$ if \mathfrak{a} is maximal). For the classical series A , C and D when the regular injection $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is thus fixed, the Dynkin diagram for \mathfrak{a}_{\perp} is obtained from the extended diagram of \mathfrak{g} by eliminating the subdiagram of \mathfrak{a} and the adjacent nodes:

\mathfrak{g}	Extended diagram of \mathfrak{g}	Diagrams of the subalgebras \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{a}_{\perp}
A_n		
C_n		
D_n		

Table 1. Subalgebras \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{a}_{\perp} for the classical series

In the case of B series the situation is different. The reason is that here the subalgebra \mathfrak{a}_{\perp} may be larger than the one obtained by elimination of the subdiagram of \mathfrak{a} and the adjacent nodes. The subalgebras of the orthogonal pair, \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}_{\perp} , must not form a direct sum in \mathfrak{g} . It can be directly checked that when $\mathfrak{g} = B_r$ and $\mathfrak{a} = B_{r_a}$ the orthogonal subalgebra is $\mathfrak{a}_{\perp} = B_{r-r_a}$. Consider the injection $B_{r_a} \rightarrow B_r$, $1 < r_a < r$. By eliminating the simple root $\alpha_{r_a-1} = e_{r_a-1} - e_{r_a}$ one splits the extended Dynkin diagram of B_r into the disjoint diagrams for $\mathfrak{a} = B_{r_a}$ and D_{r-r_a} . But the system $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$ contains not only the simple roots $\{e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3, \dots, e_{r_a-2} - e_{r_a-1}, e_1 + e_2\}$ but also the root e_{r_a-1} . Thus $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$ forms the subsystem of the type B_{r-r_a} and the orthogonal pair for the injection $B_{r_a} \rightarrow B_r$ is (B_{r_a}, B_{r-r_a}) . In the next Section the particular case of such orthogonal pair is presented for the injection $B_2 \rightarrow B_4$ (see Figure 3).

The complete classification of regular subalgebras for affine Lie algebras can be found in the recent paper [12]. From the complete classification of maximal special subalgebras in classical Lie algebras [13] we can deduce the following list of pairs of orthogonal subalgebras \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{a}_{\perp} :

$$\begin{aligned}
su(p) \oplus su(q) &\subset su(pq) \\
so(p) \oplus so(q) &\subset so(pq) \\
sp(2p) \oplus sp(2q) &\subset so(4pq) \\
sp(2p) \oplus so(q) &\subset sp(2pq) \\
so(p) \oplus so(q) &\subset so(p+q) \quad \text{for } p \text{ and } q \text{ odd.}
\end{aligned}$$

2.5. Algorithm for recursive computation of branching coefficients

The recurrent relation (15) allows us to formulate an algorithm for recursive computation of branching coefficients. In this algorithm there is no need to construct the module $L_{\mathfrak{g}}^{(\mu)}$ or any of the modules $L_{\mathfrak{a}}^{(\nu)}$.

It contains the following steps:

- (i) Construct the root system $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$ for the embedding $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.
- (ii) Select the positive roots $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ orthogonal to the roots in $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$ i.e. form the set Δ_{\perp}^+ .
- (iii) Construct the set $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}}$ (10).
- (iv) Construct the set $\widehat{\Psi^{(\mu)}} = \{w(\mu + \rho) - \rho; w \in W\}$ of singular weights for the \mathfrak{g} -module $L^{(\mu)}$.
- (v) Select the weights $\{\mu_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}}(w) = \pi_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}}[w(\mu + \rho) - \rho] - \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}} \in \overline{C_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}}\}$. Since the set Δ_{\perp}^+ is fixed we can easily check whether the weight $\mu_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}}(w)$ belongs to the main Weyl chamber $\overline{C_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}}$ (by computing its scalar product with the roots from Δ_{\perp}^+).
- (vi) For the weights $\mu_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}}(w)$ calculate the dimensions of the corresponding modules $\dim(L_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}}^{\mu_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}}(w)})$.
- (vii) Calculate the anomalous branching coefficients in the main Weyl chamber $\overline{C_{\mathfrak{a}}}$ of the subalgebra \mathfrak{a} using the recurrent relation (15).

When being interested in the branching coefficients for the embedding of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra into an affine Lie algebra we can construct the set of anomalous weights up to a required grade and use the steps 4-7 of the algorithm for each grade. We can also speed up the algorithm by one-time computation of the representatives of the conjugate classes $W/W_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$.

The next section contains examples illustrating the application of this algorithm.

3. Branching for finite dimensional Lie algebras

3.1. Regular embedding of A_1 into B_2

Consider the regular embedding $A_1 \rightarrow B_2$. Simple roots α_1, α_2 of B_2 are presented as the dashed vectors in the Figure 1. We denote the corresponding Weyl reflections by w_1, w_2 . The simple root $\beta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$ of A_1 is indicated as the grey vector.

Let's describe the reduction of the fundamental representation $L_{B_2}^{(1,0)=\omega_1}$ (the black vector in Figure 1).

Circles indicate the weights of the singular element $\Psi^{(\omega_1)}$. Now we are to factorise the Weyl group W by $W_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}} = \{w_1\}$ and to construct the set $\{w(\mu + \rho) - \rho, w \in W_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}} \setminus W\}$. In the Figure 2 the weights of the corresponding $(\mathfrak{a}_{\perp} = A_1)$ -modules $L_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}^{\pi_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}(w(\mu+\rho))-\rho_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}}$ are indicated. Projecting them onto the root space of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} = A_1$ we get the anomalous weights and their multiplicities:

$$(1, 2), (0, -3), (-4, 3), (-5, -2).$$




Figure 1. Regular embedding of A_1 into B_2 . Simple roots α_1, α_2 of B_2 are presented as the dashed vectors. The simple root $\beta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$ of A_1 is indicated as the grey vector. The highest weight of the fundamental representation $L_{B_2}^{(1,0)=\omega_1}$ is shown by the black vector. The weights of the singular element $\Psi^{(\omega_1)}$ are indicated by the circles and the corresponding determinants $\epsilon(w)$ are shown.




Figure 2. Regular embedding $A_1 \subset B_2$. Simple roots α_1, α_2 of B_2 are presented as the dashed vectors. The simple root $\beta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$ of A_1 is indicated as the grey vector. The highest weight of the fundamental representation $L_{B_2}^{(1,0)=\omega_1}$ is shown by the black vector. $\mathfrak{a}_\perp = A_1$ -modules built on the weights of the singular element $\Psi^{(\omega_1)}$ are shown by dotted lines. The dimensions of these modules and the signs of the corresponding determinants $\epsilon(w)$ are shown near the anomalous weights. Fundamental weight coordinate of $\mathfrak{a} = A_1$ is indicated on the weight subspace of \mathfrak{a} .

For the set Γ (using the definition 1) we have

$$\Gamma_{A_1 \subset B_2} = \{(1, 2), (2, -1)\}.$$

Here the second component denotes the value of $s(\gamma)$. Applying this fan inside the $\bar{C}_a^{(0)}$ we get zeros for the weights greater than the first anomalous vector (1), here $k_1^{(1,0)} = 2$. For the last weight in $\bar{C}_a^{(0)}$ the formula (15) gives

$$k_0^{(1,0)} = -1 \cdot k_2^{(1,0)} + 2 \cdot k_1^{(1,0)} - 3 \cdot \delta_{0,0} = 1.$$

The recurrence property defines the branching.

3.2. Embedding of B_2 into B_4

Consider the regular embedding $B_2 \longrightarrow B_4$. The corresponding Dynkin diagrams are presented in the Figure 3.




Figure 3. The regular embedding $B_2 \subset B_4$ described by dropping the node from the Dynkin diagram. Note, that \mathfrak{a}_\perp is equal to B_2 , not to $A_1 \oplus A_1$ seen at the diagram.

In the orthogonal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$ the simple roots and the positive roots of B_4 are

$$S_{B_4} = \{e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3, e_3 - e_4, e_4\}$$

$$\Delta_{B_4}^+ = \{(e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3, e_3 - e_4, e_4, e_1 - e_3, e_2 - e_4, e_3 + e_4, e_3, e_1 - e_4, e_2 + e_4, e_2, e_1 + e_4, e_2 + e_3, e_1, e_1 + e_3, e_1 + e_2)\}$$

Correspondingly for the embedded subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} = B_2$ we have

$$S_{B_2} = \{e_3 - e_4, e_4\}$$

and

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^+ = \{e_1 - e_2, e_1 + e_2, e_1, e_2\}$$

is the set of positive roots for the algebra $\mathfrak{a}_\perp = B_2$.




Figure 4. Projected singular weights of $-\frac{1}{s(\gamma_0)}\pi_{B_2}\left(\hat{\Psi}_{B_4}^{(0,1,0,2)}\right)$ with the dimensions of the corresponding $\mathfrak{a}_\perp = B_2$ -modules.




Figure 5. The fan $\Gamma_{B_2 \subset B_4}$ for $B_2 \subset B_4$. The values $s(\gamma + \gamma_0)$ are shown in the corresponding weights γ .

Using the definition (10) we obtain the fan $\Gamma_{B_2 \subset B_4}$ with the corresponding values $s(\gamma + \gamma_0)$, depicted in the Figure 5.

Consider the B_4 -module L^μ with the highest weight $\mu = (0, 1, 0, 2) = 2e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3 + e_4$; $\dim(L^{(0,1,0,2)}) = 2772$. To find the branching coefficients we need to compute the anomalous weights of $L_{B_4}^\mu$, select the weights belonging to $\bar{C}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^{(0)}$ and compute the dimensions of the corresponding \mathfrak{a}_\perp -modules. The set of the anomalous weights $\{w(\mu + \rho) - \rho, w \in W\}$ contains 384 vectors.

We are to select the weights $\psi \in w(\mu + \rho)$ with the property $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}(\psi) \in \bar{C}_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^{(0)}$. It means that the scalar product of these weights with all the roots in $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^+$ is nonnegative.

To compute the dimensions of the corresponding \mathfrak{a}_\perp -modules we need to project each selected weight onto the root space $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^+$, subtract $\rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}$ and apply the Weyl dimension formula. The result is shown in the Figure 4.

Applying the recurrent relation (15) we obtain the following branching coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(chL_{B_4}^{(0,1,0,2)} \right) &= 6 chL_{B_2}^{(0,0)} + 60 chL_{B_2}^{(0,2)} + 30 chL_{B_2}^{(1,0)} + 19 chL_{B_2}^{(2,0)} + \\ &40 chL_{B_2}^{(1,2)} + 10 chL_{B_2}^{(2,2)}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Applications to the conformal field theory

4.1. Conformal embeddings

Branching coefficients for an embedding of affine Lie algebra into affine Lie algebra can be used to construct modular invariant partition functions for Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten models in conformal field theory ([1], [14], [15], [16]). In these models current algebras are affine Lie algebras.

The modular invariant partition function is crucial for the conformal theory to be valid on the torus and higher genus Riemann surfaces. It is important for the applications of CFT to the string theory and to the critical phenomena description.

The simplest modular-invariant partition function has the diagonal form:

$$Z(\tau) = \sum_{\mu \in P_{\mathfrak{g}}^+} \chi_{\mu}(\tau) \bar{\chi}_{\mu}(\bar{\tau})$$

Here the sum is over the set of the highest weights of integrable modules in a WZW-model and $\chi_{\mu}(\tau)$ are the normalized characters of these modules.

To construct the nondiagonal modular invariants is not an easy problem, although for some models the complete classification of modular invariants is known [17, 18].

Consider the Wess-Zumino-Witten model with the affine Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} . Nondiagonal modular invariants for this model can be constructed from the diagonal invariant if there exists an affine algebra \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Then we can replace the characters of the \mathfrak{g} -modules in the diagonal modular invariant partition function (17) by the decompositions

$$\sum_{\nu \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}^+} b_{\nu}^{(\mu)} \chi_{\nu}$$

containing the modified characters χ_ν of the corresponding \mathfrak{a} -modules. Thus we obtain the nondiagonal modular-invariant partition function for the theory with the current algebra \mathfrak{a} ,

$$Z_{\mathfrak{a}}(\tau) = \sum_{\nu, \lambda \in P_{\mathfrak{a}}^+} \chi_\nu(\tau) M_{\nu\lambda} \bar{\chi}_\lambda(\bar{\tau}). \quad (17)$$

The effective reduction procedure is crucial for this construction. The embedding is required to preserve the conformal invariance. Let $X_{-n_j}^{\alpha_j}$ and $\tilde{X}_{-n_j}^{\alpha'_j}$ be the lowering generators for \mathfrak{g} and for $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ correspondingly. Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the projection operator of $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$. In the theory attributed to \mathfrak{g} with the vacuum $|\lambda\rangle$ the states can be described as

$$X_{-n_1}^{\alpha_1} X_{-n_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots |\lambda\rangle \quad n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots > 0.$$

And for the sub-algebra \mathfrak{a} the corresponding states are

$$\tilde{X}_{-n_1}^{\alpha'_1} \tilde{X}_{-n_2}^{\alpha'_2} \dots |\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(\lambda)\rangle.$$

The \mathfrak{g} -invariance of the vacuum entails its \mathfrak{a} -invariance, but this is not the case for the energy-momentum tensor. So the energy-momentum tensor of the larger theory should contain only the generators \tilde{X} . Then the relation

$$T_{\mathfrak{g}}(z) = T_{\mathfrak{a}}(z) \quad (18)$$

leads to the equality of the central charges

$$c(\mathfrak{g}) = c(\mathfrak{a})$$

and to the equation

$$\frac{k \dim \mathfrak{g}}{k + g} = \frac{x_e k \dim \mathfrak{a}}{x_e k + a}. \quad (19)$$

Here x_e is the so called "embedding index": $x_e = \frac{|\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}\Theta|^2}{|\Theta_{\mathfrak{a}}|^2}$ with Θ , $\Theta_{\mathfrak{a}}$ being the highest roots of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{a} while g and a are the corresponding dual Coxeter numbers.

It can be demonstrated that the solutions of the equation (19) exist only for the level $k = 1$ [1].

The complete classification of conformal embeddings is given in [16].

The relation (19) and the asymptotics of the branching functions can be used to prove the finite reducibility theorem [19]. It states that for the conformal embedding $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ only finite number of branching coefficients have nonzero values.

Note 4.1. *The orthogonal subalgebra \mathfrak{a}_{\perp} is always empty for the conformal embeddings $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. Consider the modes expansion of the energy-momentum tensor

$$T(z) = \frac{1}{2(k + h^v)} \sum_n z^{-n-1} L_n.$$

The modes L_n are constructed as combination of normally-ordered products of the generators of \mathfrak{g} ,

$$L_n = \frac{1}{2(k+h^v)} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_m : X_m^{\alpha} X_{n-m}^{\alpha} : .$$

In the case of a conformal embedding energy-momentum tensors are to be equal (18).

The substitution of the generators of \mathfrak{a} in terms of the generators of \mathfrak{g} into these combinations should give the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mathfrak{g}}$. But if the set of the generators $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$ is not empty this is not possible, since $T_{\mathfrak{g}}$ contains the combinations of the generators X_n^{α} , $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$. \square

4.1.1. Special embedding $\hat{A}_1 \subset \hat{A}_2$. Consider the case where both \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{a} are affine Lie algebras: $\hat{A}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{A}_2$ and the injection is the affine extension of the special injection $A_1 \longrightarrow A_2$ with the embedding index $x_e = 4$. As far as the \mathfrak{g} -modules to be considered are of level one, the \mathfrak{g} -modules will be of level $\tilde{k} = kx_e = 4$.

There exist three level one fundamental weights in the weight space of \hat{A}_2 . It is easy to see that the set $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{\perp}}$ is empty and the subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\perp} = 0$.

Using the definition (10) we construct the fan $\Gamma_{\hat{A}_1 \rightarrow \hat{A}_2}$ and the function $s(\gamma + \gamma_0)$ (see the Figure 6).




Figure 6. The fan $\Gamma_{\hat{A}_1 \rightarrow \hat{A}_2}$ for $\hat{A}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{A}_2$. Values of $s(\gamma + \gamma_0)$ are shown for the weights $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\hat{A}_1 \rightarrow \hat{A}_2}$

Let us consider the module $L^{\omega_0=(0,0;1;0)}$. Here we use the (finite part; level; grade) presentation of the highest weight and the finite part coordinates are the Dynkin indices (see section(1.1)).

The set $\widehat{\Psi^{(\omega_0)}}$ is depicted in the Figure 7 up to the sixth grade.




Figure 7. The anomalous weights of the module $L_{\hat{A}_2}^{\omega_0} = L_{\hat{A}_2}^{(0,0;1;0)}$. The weights $w(\omega_0 + \rho) - \rho$ are marked by crosses when $\epsilon(w) = 1$ and by diamond when $\epsilon(w) = -1$. Simple roots of the classical subalgebra A_2 are grey and the grey diagonal plane corresponds to the Cartan subalgebra of the embedded algebra \hat{A}_1 .

The next step is to project the anomalous weights to $P_{\hat{A}_1}$. The result is presented in the Figure 8 up to the twelfth grade.

Using the recurrent relation for the anomalous branching coefficients we get the result presented in Figure 9. Inside the Weyl chamber $\bar{C}_{\hat{A}_1}$ (its boundaries are indicated in the Figure 9) there are only two nonzero anomalous weights and both have multiplicity 1. These are the highest weights of \mathfrak{a} -submodules and their branching coefficients. So the finite reducibility theorem holds and we get the decomposition

$$L_{\hat{A}_2 \downarrow \hat{A}_1}^{(0,0;1;0)} = L_{\hat{A}_1}^{(0;4;0)} \oplus L_{\hat{A}_1}^{(4;4;0)}.$$

For the other irreducible modules of level one we get the trivial branching

$$L_{\hat{A}_2 \downarrow \hat{A}_1}^{(1,0;1;0)} = L_{\hat{A}_1}^{(2;4;0)}, L_{\hat{A}_2 \downarrow \hat{A}_1}^{(0,1;1;0)} = L_{\hat{A}_1}^{(2;4;0)}.$$

Using these results the modular-invariant partition function is easily found,

$$Z = |\chi_{(4;4;0)} + \chi_{(0;4;0)}|^2 + 2\chi_{(2;4;0)}^2.$$

4.2. Coset models

Coset models [20] tightly connected with the gauged WZW-models are actively studied in string theory, especially in string models on anti-de-Sitter space [21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. The characters in coset models are proportional to the branching functions,

$$\chi_{\nu}^{(\mu)}(\tau) = e^{2\pi i \tau (m_{\mu} - m_{\nu})} b_{\nu}^{(\mu)}(\tau), \quad (20)$$




Figure 8. Projected anomalous weights of $L_{A_2}^{(0,0;1;0)}$. The multiplicities of projected weights and the corresponding signs are shown.




Figure 9. Anomalous branching coefficients for $\hat{A}_1 \subset \hat{A}_2$. The boundaries of the main Weyl chamber $\bar{C}_{\hat{A}_1}$ are indicated by the black lines. Two nonzero anomalous weights in the main Weyl chamber are marked by stars. Both weights have multiplicity 1, so the branching coefficients are equal to 1.

with

$$m_\mu = \frac{|\mu + \rho|^2}{2(k+g)} - \frac{|\rho|^2}{2g}.$$

The problem of the branching functions construction in the coset models was considered in [26], [7], [27].

Let us return to the example 3.1 and consider the affine extension of the injection $A_1 \longrightarrow B_2$. Since this embedding is regular and $x_e = 1$, the subalgebra modules and the initial module are of the same level. The set $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^+$ of the orthogonal positive roots with the zero projection on the root space of the subalgebra \hat{A}_1 is the same as in the finite-dimensional case.

Using the definition (10) we get the fan $\Gamma_{\hat{A}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{B}_2}$ with the corresponding values $s(\gamma + \gamma_0)$ (see the Figure 10). We restricted the computation to the twelfth grade.




Figure 10. The fan $\Gamma_{\hat{A}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{B}_2}$ for $\hat{A}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{B}_2$. Values of $s(\gamma + \gamma_0)$ are shown for the weights $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\hat{A}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{B}_2}$.

Consider the level one module $L_{\hat{B}_2}^{(1,0;1;0)}$ with the highest weight $\omega_1 = (1, 0; 1; 0)$, where the finite part coordinates are in the orthogonal basis e_1, e_2 . The set of anomalous weights for this module up to the sixth grade is presented in the Figure 11. In the grade zero it is exactly the set of the anomalous weights for the embedding of the classical Lie algebras $A_1 \subset B_2$ that can be seen in the Figure 1.

According to the algorithm 2.5 we project the anomalous weights to $P_{\hat{A}_1}$ and find the dimensions of the corresponding \mathfrak{a}_\perp -modules $L_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^{\pi_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}(w(\mu+\rho))-\rho_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}}$. The result is presented in the Figure 12 up to the twelfth grade.




Figure 11. The anomalous weights of $L_{B_2}^{(1,0;1;0)}$. The weights in the zero grade can be seen in the Figure 1. The weights $w(\omega_1 + \rho) - \rho$ are marked by crosses if $\epsilon(w) = 1$ and by circles otherwise. Simple roots of the classical subalgebra B_2 are grey and grey diagonal plane corresponds to the Cartan subalgebra of the embedded algebra \hat{A}_1 .




Figure 12. The projected anomalous weights $\pi_{\hat{A}_1}(\Psi_{B_2}^{(1,0;1;0)})$. The dimensions of the corresponding $\mathfrak{a}_\perp = A_1$ -modules with the signs $\epsilon(w)$ are shown.

Notice that here the lowest weight γ_0 of the fan is zero, since we have excluded all the roots of $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^+$ from the defining relation (10).

The multiplicities of the weights inside the Weyl chamber $\bar{C}_{\hat{A}_1}^{(0)}$ are the branching coefficients (up to the twelfth grade),

$$L_{\hat{B}_2 \downarrow \hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1} = 2L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1} \oplus 1L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0} \oplus 4L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - \delta} \oplus$$




Figure 13. Anomalous branching coefficients for $\hat{A}_1 \subset \hat{B}_2$. The boundaries of the main Weyl chamber $\bar{C}_{\hat{A}_1}$ are indicated by the black lines. The anomalous branching coefficients inside the main Weyl chamber are equal to the branching coefficients of the embedding $\hat{A}_1 \rightarrow \hat{B}_2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - \delta} \oplus 8L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - 2\delta} \oplus 8L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - 2\delta} \oplus 15L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - 3\delta} \oplus \\
& 12L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - 3\delta} \oplus 26L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - 4\delta} \oplus 29L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - 4\delta} \oplus 51L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - 5\delta} \oplus \\
& 42L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - 5\delta} \oplus 78L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - 6\delta} \oplus 85L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - 6\delta} \oplus 120L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - 7\delta} \oplus \\
& 139L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - 7\delta} \oplus 202L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - 8\delta} \oplus 222L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - 8\delta} \oplus 306L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - 9\delta} \oplus \\
& 346L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - 9\delta} \oplus 530L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - 10\delta} \oplus 482L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - 10\delta} \oplus 714L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - 11\delta} \oplus \\
& 797L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - 11\delta} \oplus 1080L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_1 - 12\delta} \oplus 1180L_{\hat{A}_1}^{\omega_0 - 12\delta} \oplus \dots
\end{aligned}$$

This result can be presented as the set of branching functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_0^{(\omega_1)} &= 1 + 4q^1 + 8q^2 + 15q^3 + 29q^4 + 51q^5 + 85q^6 + 139q^7 + \\
& \quad 222q^8 + 346q^9 + 530q^{10} + 797q^{11} + 1180q^{12} + \dots \\
b_1^{(\omega_1)} &= 2 + 2q^1 + 8q^2 + 12q^3 + 26q^4 + 42q^5 + 78q^6 + 120q^7 + \\
& \quad 202q^8 + 306q^9 + 482q^{10} + 714q^{11} + 1080q^{12} + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Here $q = \exp(2\pi i\tau)$ and the lower index enumerates the branching functions according to their highest weights in $P_{\hat{A}_1}^+$. These are the fundamental weights $\omega_0 = \lambda_0 = (0, 1, 0)$, $\omega_1 = \alpha/2 = (1, 1, 0)$.

Now we can use the relation (20) to get the expansion of the B_2/A_1 -coset characters:

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_1^{(\omega_1)}(q) &= q^{\frac{7}{12}} (2 + 2q^1 + 8q^2 + 12q^3 + 26q^4 + 42q^5 + 78q^6 + 120q^7 + \\
& \quad 202q^8 + 306q^9 + 482q^{10} + 714q^{11} + 1080q^{12} + \dots) \\
\chi_0^{(\omega_1)}(q) &= q^{\frac{5}{6}} (1 + 4q^1 + 8q^2 + 15q^3 + 29q^4 + 51q^5 + 85q^6 + 139q^7 + \\
& \quad 222q^8 + 346q^9 + 530q^{10} + 797q^{11} + 1180q^{12} + \dots)
\end{aligned}$$

Further amelioration of the algorithm can be achieved by using the folded fan technique [28] to get the explicit expression for the branching functions and the corresponding coset characters in conformal field theory.

5. Conclusion

We have demonstrated that the injection fan technique can be used to deal with the nonmaximal subalgebras. It was found out that for such subalgebras an auxiliary subset $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^+$ must be extracted from the set of positive roots $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^+$. The role of the subset $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^+$ is to modify both the injection fan (formed here by the weights $(\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^+ \setminus \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^+) \setminus \Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_\perp}^+$) and the anomalous weights of the initial module. This modification reduces to a simple procedure: the anomalous weights multiplicities are to be substituted by the dimensions of the corresponding \mathfrak{a}_\perp -modules.

The efficiency of the injection fan algorithm was verified. Its possible applications to some physical problems were discussed. In particular we considered the construction of modular-invariant partition functions in the framework of conformal embedding method and the coset construction in the rational conformal field theory. This construction is useful in the study of WZW-models emerging in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence [21, 22, 23].

6. Acknowledgements

The work was supported in part by RFFI grant N 09-01-00504 and the National Project RNP.2.1.1./1575.

References

- [1] Di Francesco P, Mathieu P and Senechal D 1997 *Conformal field theory* (Springer)
- [2] Coquereaux R and Schieber G 2008 From conformal embeddings to quantum symmetries: an exceptional SU (4) example *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* vol 103 (Institute of Physics Publishing) p 012006 (*Preprint* 0710.1397)
- [3] Bernstein I, Gelfand M and Gelfand S 1975 Differential operators on the base affine space and a study of γ -modules, Lie groups and their representations *Summer school of Bolyai Janos Math.Soc.* (Halsted Press, NY)
- [4] Kac V 1990 *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras* (Cambridge University Press)
- [5] Wakimoto M 2001 *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras* (American Mathematical Society)
- [6] Fauser B, Jarvis P, King R and Wybourne B 2006 *J. Phys A: Math. Gen* **39** 2611–2655 (*Preprint* math-ph/0505037)
- [7] Hwang S and Rhedin H 1995 *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A10** 823–830 (*Preprint* hep-th/9408087)
- [8] Quella T 2002 *Journal of Physics A-Mathematical and General* **35** 3743–3754 (*Preprint* math-ph/0111020)
- [9] Feigin B, Feigin E, Jimbo M, Miwa T and Mukhin E 2007 (*Preprint* 0707.1635)
- [10] Humphreys J 1997 *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory* (Springer) ISBN 0387900535
- [11] Ilyin M, Kulish P and Lyakhovsky V 2009 *Algebra i Analiz* **21** 2 (*Preprint* 0812.2124)
- [12] Felikson A, Retakh A and Tumarkin P 2008 *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **41** 365204
- [13] Dynkin E 1952 *Matematicheskii Sbornik* **72** 349–462
- [14] Walton M 1999 (*Preprint* hep-th/9911187)
- [15] Walton M 1989 *Nuclear Physics B* **322** 775–790
- [16] Schellekens A and Warner N 1986 *Physical Review D* **34** 3092–3096

- [17] Gannon T 1994 (*Preprint hep-th/9404185*)
- [18] Gannon T 1995 *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **36** 675–706 (*Preprint hep-th/9402074*)
- [19] Kac V and Wakimoto M 1988 *Advances in mathematics*(New York, NY. 1965) **70** 156–236
- [20] Goddard P, Kent A and Olive D 1985 *Physics Letters B* **152** 88 – 92 ISSN 0370-2693
- [21] Maldacena J M and Ooguri H 2001 *J. Math. Phys.* **42** 2929–2960 (*Preprint hep-th/0001053*)
- [22] Maldacena J M, Ooguri H and Son J 2001 *J. Math. Phys.* **42** 2961–2977 (*Preprint hep-th/0005183*)
- [23] Maldacena J M and Ooguri H 2002 *Phys. Rev.* **D65** 106006 (*Preprint hep-th/0111180*)
- [24] Maldacena J M, Moore G W and Seiberg N 2001 *JHEP* **07** 046 (*Preprint hep-th/0105038*)
- [25] Aharony O, Gubser S S, Maldacena J M, Ooguri H and Oz Y 2000 *Phys. Rept.* **323** 183–386 (*Preprint hep-th/9905111*)
- [26] Dunbar D C and Joshi K G 1993 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A8** 4103–4122 (*Preprint hep-th/9210122*)
- [27] Lu S 1994 *Advances in Mathematics* **105** 42–58
- [28] Il'in M, Kulish P and Lyakhovsky V 2010 *Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov POMI* **374** 197–212