Then we cut along line AB. The greatest common measure of the remaining rectangle ABDE is the same as the greatest common measure of the original (this is essentially just Theorem 2). We continue this process—obtaining smaller and smaller rectangles—until we obtain a square. If the sides of the original rectangle are not commensurate (i.e., if their ratio is not a rational number), then the process will continue forever.

- 31. We use the bisection method, constantly narrowing an interval in which the desired solution lies. Note that throughout the following algorithm, $\sqrt{2} \in (l, u)$. Thus when the algorithm terminates (as it surely does, since u-l decreases by a factor of 2 on every iteration), $|\sqrt{2}-l| < 10^{-n}$. Thus the algorithm computes $\sqrt{2}$ with an error of at most 1 in the *n*th decimal place.
 - 1. Set l equal to 1, and set u equal to 2.
 - 2. If $u-l < 10^{-n}$, then output l and stop. Otherwise continue with step 3.
 - 3. Set x equal to (u+l)/2. If $x^2 < 2$, then replace l by x. Otherwise replace u by x. In either case, return to step 2 and continue from there.
- 33. We need to check for reflexivity and transitivity in both cases, symmetry in part (a), and antisymmetry in part (b). Form the matrix representing R, i.e., the n by n matrix $M_R = (m_{ij})$ in which $m_{ij} = 1$ if iRj and $m_{ij} = 0$ if not. To check for reflexivity, simply check to see if $m_{ii} = 1$ for all i. To check for transitivity, compute M_{RoR} , defined in Section 3.3 to be the Boolean matrix product of M with itself. The relation is transitive if and only if $M_{RoR} = M$ (given that R is reflexive). To check for symmetry, we need to see that each below-the-diagonal entry, m_{ij} for i < j, has the same value as the above-the-diagonal entry symmetrically located across the main diagonal, namely m_{ji} . Finally, to check for antisymmetry, we need to check that for each below-the-diagonal entry (m_{ij}) for i < j that has the value 1, the above-the-diagonal entry symmetrically located across the main diagonal (namely m_{ji}) has the value 0.

SECTION 4.2 Pseudocode Description of Algorithms

```
1. procedure small\_sum(A: list of integers)
\{ A = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n); sum \text{ is the sum of the elements of } A \text{ less than } 100 \}
sum \leftarrow 0
\text{for } i \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do}
\text{if } a_i < 100 \text{ then } sum \leftarrow sum + a_i
\text{return}(sum)
```

```
3. (a) procedure middle(a, b, c: distinct natural numbers)
            {finds the middle value among a, b, and c}
               if a < b then
                       if c < a then return(a)
                           else if b < c then return(b)
                               else return(c)
                    else if c < b then return(b)
                       else if a < c then return(a)
                           else return(c)
    (b) procedure add\_fractions(a, b, c, d : integers)
            {assume that b > 0 and d > 0; returns the numerator and
              denominator of (a/b) + (c/d)
                return(ad + bc, bd)
    (c) procedure large_square(n: positive integer)
             { computes largest perfect square \leq n }
                i \leftarrow 1
                while i^2 \le n do
                    i \leftarrow i + 1
                return((i-1)^2)
     (d) procedure big\_word(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n : strings)
             {finds a longest word in the list, and its length}
                 maxword \leftarrow w_1
                 maxlength \leftarrow length(w_1)
                 for i \leftarrow 2 to n do
                    if length(w_i) > maxlength then
                         begin
                             maxword \leftarrow w_i
                             maxlength \leftarrow length(w_i)
                         end
                 return(maxlength, maxword)
  5. (a)
             i \leftarrow m
             while i \leq n do
                 begin
                     statement
                     i \leftarrow i + 1
                 end
      (b)
              i \leftarrow n
              while i \ge 1 do
                  begin
                      statement
                      i \leftarrow i - 1
                  end
```

```
 \begin{array}{ccc} (c) & i \leftarrow m \\ & \text{while } i \leq n \text{ do} \\ & \text{begin} \\ & & \text{statement} \\ & i \leftarrow i + k \\ & \text{end} \end{array}
```

- 7. Suppose that d is a common divisor of x, y, and z. Then d is a common divisor of x and y, so by Theorem 1 in Section 4.1, d is a common divisor of $\gcd(x,y)$ and z. Conversely, if d is a divisor of $\gcd(x,y)$, then by Exercise 15 in Section 4.1, d divides both x and y. Hence d is a common divisor of x, y, and z. Thus we have shown that the sets of divisors about which the two sides of the equation speak are identical, and so their greatest elements are equal.
- 9. procedure $naive_gcd(x, y)$: positive integers) $\{ computes gcd(x, y) \text{ by brute force} \}$ $d \leftarrow min(x, y)$ while $\neg(d \mid x \land d \mid y)$ do $d \leftarrow d 1$ return(d)
- 11. This algorithm finds the smallest factor of N greater than 1. It will necessarily be prime.

```
procedure small\_prime(N : integer > 1)
{ finds smallest prime factor of N }
d \leftarrow 2
while d \not \mid N do
d \leftarrow d + 1
return(d)
```

13. The smallest nontrivial factor at each stage will necessarily be prime. This procedure finds the highest power of this prime which divides N, then goes on to the next smallest prime factor.

```
procedure factorization(N : integer > 1)
    { the output will be a set of pairs, L = \{(p_1, e_1), (p_2, e_2), \ldots, (p_k, e_k)\},
      where N = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k} is the prime factorization }
        k \leftarrow 0 \ \{ k \text{ will be the number of factors} \}
        p \leftarrow 2 {potential prime factor}
        while N > 1 do
             begin
                  e \leftarrow 0 { e will be the largest power of p dividing N }
                 while p \mid N do
                      begin
                           e \leftarrow e + 1
                           N \leftarrow N/p
                      end
                 if e > 0 then {found a new prime factor}
                      begin
                           k \leftarrow k + 1
                           p_k \leftarrow p; e_k \leftarrow e
                  p \leftarrow p + 1 {go on to next potential prime factor}
             end
         return(L)
```

```
17. procedure order_4(a, b, c, d: distinct numbers)
{returns the same numbers, put into increasing order}
if a > b then interchange a and b {now a < b}
if b > c then interchange b and c {now a, b < c}
if c > d then interchange c and d {now d is the largest}
if a > b then interchange a and b {now a < b}
if b > c then interchange a and b {now a < b}
if b > c then interchange a and c {now a, b < c}
if a > b then interchange a and b {now a < b < c < d}
return(a, b, c, d)
```

```
procedure exercise_19(A: list of nonzero real numbers)
19.
               {assume that A = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) has at least
                 one positive and one negative number }
                   pos\_ \leftarrow count0; neg\_ \leftarrow count0
                   pos\_ \leftarrow sum0; neg\_ \leftarrow sum0
                   for i \leftarrow 1 to n do
                       if a_i > 0 then
                                begin
                                     pos\_ \leftarrow countpos\_count + 1
                                     pos\_ \leftarrow sumpos\_sum + a_i
                                end
                            else
                                begin
                                     neg\_ \leftarrow countneg\_count + 1
                                     neg_{-} \leftarrow sumneg_{-}sum - a_i \{ since |a_i| = -a_i \}
                                end
                    \mathbf{return}((pos\_sum/pos\_count) - (neg\_sum/neg\_count))
```

```
21. procedure circulant(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)
{ constructs circulant matrix (circ) whose first row is (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) }

for i \leftarrow 1 to n do

for j \leftarrow 1 to n do

begin

k \leftarrow i - 1 + j {this does the shifting}

if k > n then k \leftarrow k - n

circ(i, j) \leftarrow a_k

end

return(circ)
```

```
23. procedure phi(n: positive integer)
    {computes the number of numbers less than n
        that are relatively prime to n}
        count ← 0
        for i ← 1 to n do {does i meet the condition gcd(i, n) = 1?}
        if euclid(i, n) = 1 then count ← count + 1
        return(count)
```

```
25.
          procedure modes(s: string of decimal digits)
              \{ finds the digits that occur most often in s; answer is given as a
                set L of digits; uses procedure tabulate from this section }
                  count \leftarrow tabulate(s)
                  maxdigit \leftarrow 0
                  L \leftarrow \{0\} {the tentative answer}
                  for i \leftarrow 1 to 9 do
                      if count(i) = count(maxdigit) then
                              L \leftarrow L \cup \{maxdigit\} \{ i \text{ occurs as often as } maxdigit \}
                          else if count(i) > count(maxdigit) then
                              begin { i alone is the new tentative answer }
                                   maxdigit \leftarrow i
                                   L \leftarrow \{i\}
                              end
                  return(L)
27.
          procedure substring(s, t : strings)
              \{s = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n \text{ and } t = t_1 t_2 \dots t_m; \text{ determines whether } s \text{ is a substring of } t\}
                  for j \leftarrow 0 to m-n do
                      begin { see whether s occurs starting at t_{j+1} }
                          matched ← true {until we find a difference}
                          for i \leftarrow 1 to n do
                              if s_i \neq t_{j+i} then matched \leftarrow false
                          if matched then return(true) {otherwise try next location}
                      end
                  return(false) {no match found}
          procedure length_of_repeat(n : positive integer)
29.
              {finds the length of the repeat in the decimal expansion of 1/n;
                the array R holds the successive remainders in the long division
                process; when a remainder recurs, we are done }
                  R(1) \leftarrow 1 {the numerator is 1; it is the first remainder}
                  l \leftarrow 1
                  while true do
                      begin
                          l \leftarrow l + 1
                          R(l) \leftarrow 10 \cdot R(l-1) \bmod n
                                   {bring down next 0 and find new remainder}
                          if R(l) = 0 then return(0) {decimal is finite}
                          for i \leftarrow 1 to l-1 do {has this remainder occurred before?}
                              if R(i) = R(l) then return(l-i)
                      end
```

SECTION 4.3 Efficiency of Algorithms

- 1. (a) $i \leftarrow 1$; eating $\neq a$; $i \leftarrow 2$; eating $\neq curds$; $i \leftarrow 3$; eating = eating; return 3
 - (b) $i \leftarrow 1$; more $\neq a$; $i \leftarrow 2$; more $\neq curds$; $i \leftarrow 3$; more $\neq eating$; $i \leftarrow 4$; more $\neq her$; $i \leftarrow 5$; more $\neq little$; $i \leftarrow 6$; more $\neq miss$; $i \leftarrow 7$; more $\neq muffet$; $i \leftarrow 8$; more $\neq on$; $i \leftarrow 9$; more $\neq sat$; $i \leftarrow 10$; more $\neq tuffet$; loop finished, return 0
 - (c) low $\leftarrow 1$, high $\leftarrow 10$, middle $\leftarrow 5$; eating \leq little, so high $\leftarrow 5$, middle $\leftarrow 3$; eating \leq eating, so high $\leftarrow 3$, middle $\leftarrow 2$; eating $\not\leq$ curds, so low $\leftarrow 3$, loop finished; eating = eating, so return 3
 - (d) low $\leftarrow 1$, high $\leftarrow 10$, middle $\leftarrow 5$; more $\not \leq$ little, so low $\leftarrow 6$, middle $\leftarrow 8$; more \preceq on, so high $\leftarrow 8$, middle $\leftarrow 7$; more \preceq muffet, so high $\leftarrow 7$, middle $\leftarrow 6$; more $\not \leq$ miss, so low $\leftarrow 7$, loop finished; more \neq muffet, so return 0
- 3. (a) The 4n term and the coefficient 10 do not matter when we are dealing with big-oh, so this function is in $O(n^3)$.
 - (b) Since $\log n < n$, the first term dominates the second, so this function is in $O(n^2)$.
 - (c) $O(n^{1.5}/(n+1)) = O(n^{1.5}/n) = O(\sqrt{n})$
 - (d) $O(2^n)$, since $2^n > n^2$ for large n
- 5. (a) O(n/2) = O(n)
 - (b) $O(\log_3 n) = O(\log n)$
 - (c) O(1), since the loop is iterated only once
- 7. (a) If (high low) is even, then (high + low) is also even, so middle = (high + low)/2. There are now two cases. If low is replaced by middle + 1, then the new value of (high low) is

$$high - \left(\frac{high + low}{2} + 1\right) = \frac{high - low - 2}{2} = \frac{high - low}{2} - 1.$$

If high is replaced by middle, then the new value of (high - low) is

$$\frac{\mathit{high} + \mathit{low}}{2} - \mathit{low} = \frac{\mathit{high} - \mathit{low}}{2} \,.$$

Hence either (high - low) is cut in half, or else it is cut in half and 1 more is subtracted. On the other hand, if (high - low) is odd, then (high + low) is also odd, so middle = (high + low - 1)/2. Again there are two cases. If low is replaced by middle + 1, then the new value of (high - low) is

$$high - \left(\frac{high + low - 1}{2} + 1\right) = \frac{high - low - 1}{2}.$$

If high is replaced by middle, then the new value of (high - low) is

$$\frac{high+low-1}{2}-low=\frac{high-low-1}{2}\,.$$

Hence in either case, 1 is subtracted from (high - low) and then the value is cut in half.

- (b) We need to show that (high-low) never jumps from a positive number to a negative number. If (high-low) is odd, then $(high-low) \ge 1$, so that the new value of (high-low) is still greater than or equal to 0 by our formula from part (a). If (high-low) is even and not yet 0, then $(high-low) \ge 2$, so again our formula from part (a) guarantees that (high-low) remains nonnegative. Thus (high-low) is a constantly decreasing integer that never becomes negative, so it must eventually equal 0, thereby terminating the loop.
- 9. From algebra we know that $\log_a n = (\log_b n)/(\log_b a)$. Since $1/(\log_b a)$ is a positive constant, $\log_a n \in O(\log_b n)$.
- 11. (a) Let $C_1 = m^m/m!$, where $m = \lceil k \rceil$. Then for n > m we have

$$k^{n} = k^{n-m} \cdot k^{m} \le m^{n-m} \cdot m^{m}$$

$$\le n(n-1) \cdot \cdot \cdot (m+1) \cdot m^{m}$$

$$= n(n-1) \cdot \cdot \cdot (m+1) \cdot C_{1} \cdot m! = C_{1}n!,$$

so $k^n \in O(n!)$.

- (b) Since $n! = n(n-1) \cdots 2 \cdot 1 \le n \cdot n \cdots n \cdot n = n^n$, clearly $n! \in O(n^n)$.
- (c) Let $m = \lceil k \rceil$. Let $C_1 = m^m/m!$. Then if n > m,

$$mC_1(n+1)! = mC_1(n+1)n(n-1)\cdots(m+1)m! = m(n+1)n(n-1)\cdots(m+1)m^m$$

$$\geq m(n+1)m \cdot m \cdots m \cdot m^m = (n+1)m^{n+1} \geq (n+1)k^{n+1}.$$

Thus $(n+1)! \ge (n+1) \frac{1}{mC_1} k^{n+1}$. In particular, $\frac{(n+1)!}{k^{n+1}} \ge (n+1) \frac{1}{mC_1} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, so $n! \notin O(k^n)$.

- (d) $n^n = n \cdot n \cdots n \ge n(n-1) \cdots 2 \cdot n \ge n! \cdot n$. Thus $n^n/n! \ge n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore $n^n \notin O(n!)$.
- 13. Let C_1 , C_2 , k_1 , and k_2 be such that $f_1(n) \leq C_1 g(n)$ for all $n \geq k_1$, and $f_2(n) \leq C_2 g(n)$ for all $n \geq k_2$. Let $C = C_1 + C_2$ and let $k = \max(k_1, k_2)$. Then for all $n \geq k$ we have $f_1(n) + f_2(n) \leq C_1 g(n) + C_2 g(n) = C g(n)$.
- 15. (a) This follows from the fact that under the hypothesis, $f(n)+g(n) \leq g(n)+g(n) = 2g(n)$ for all large n.
 - (b) This follows from the definition (let C = m and k = 1).
- 17. (a) $n+n+\cdots+n$ (n times) = $n^2 \in O(n^2)$
 - (b) $(n-1) + (n-2) + \cdots + 1 + 0 \le n^2 \in O(n^2)$
 - (c) $n+n+\cdots+n$ $(1+\lfloor \log n \rfloor \text{ times}) \in O(n\log n)$
 - (d) $\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{4} + \frac{n}{8} + \dots \le n \in O(n)$

Hence in either case, 1 is subtracted from (high-low) and then the value is cut in half. (b) We need to show that (high-low) never jumps from a positive number to a negative number. If (high-low) is odd, then $(high-low) \ge 1$, so that the new value of (high-low) is still greater than or equal to 0 by our formula from part (a). If (high-low) is even and not yet 0, then $(high-low) \ge 2$, so again our formula from part (a) guarantees that (high-low) remains nonnegative. Thus (high-low) is a constantly decreasing integer that never becomes negative, so it must eventually equal 0, thereby terminating the loop.

- 9. From algebra we know that $\log_a n = (\log_b n)/(\log_b a)$. Since $1/(\log_b a)$ is a positive constant, $\log_a n \in O(\log_b n)$.
- 11. (a) Let $C_1 = m^m/m!$, where $m = \lceil k \rceil$. Then for n > m we have

$$k^{n} = k^{n-m} \cdot k^{m} \le m^{n-m} \cdot m^{m}$$

 $\le n(n-1) \cdots (m+1) \cdot m^{m}$
 $= n(n-1) \cdots (m+1) \cdot C_{1} \cdot m! = C_{1} n!$

so $k^n \in O(n!)$.

- (b) Since $n! = n(n-1) \cdots 2 \cdot 1 \le n \cdot n \cdots n \cdot n = n^n$, clearly $n! \in O(n^n)$.
- (c) Let $m = \lceil k \rceil$. Let $C_1 = m^m/m!$. Then if n > m,

$$mC_1(n+1)! = mC_1(n+1)n(n-1)\cdots(m+1)m! = m(n+1)n(n-1)\cdots(m+1)m^m$$

$$\geq m(n+1)m \cdot m \cdot m \cdot m^m = (n+1)m^{n+1} \geq (n+1)k^{n+1}.$$

Thus $(n+1)! \ge (n+1)\frac{1}{mC_1}k^{n+1}$. In particular, $\frac{(n+1)!}{k^{n+1}} \ge (n+1)\frac{1}{mC_1} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, so $n! \notin O(k^n)$.

- (d) $n^n = n \cdot n \cdots n \ge n(n-1) \cdots 2 \cdot n \ge n! \cdot n$. Thus $n^n/n! \ge n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore $n^n \notin O(n!)$.
- 13. Let C_1 , C_2 , k_1 , and k_2 be such that $f_1(n) \le C_1 g(n)$ for all $n \ge k_1$, and $f_2(n) \le C_2 g(n)$ for all $n \ge k_2$. Let $C = C_1 + C_2$ and let $k = \max(k_1, k_2)$. Then for all $n \ge k$ we have $f_1(n) + f_2(n) \le C_1 g(n) + C_2 g(n) = C g(n)$.
- 15. (a) This follows from the fact that under the hypothesis, $f(n)+g(n) \leq g(n)+g(n) = 2g(n)$ for all large n.
 - (b) This follows from the definition (let C = m and k = 1).
- 17. (a) $n + n + \cdots + n$ (n times) $= n^2 \in O(n^2)$
 - (b) $(n-1)+(n-2)+\cdots+1+0 \le n^2 \in O(n^2)$
 - (c) $n + n + \cdots + n$ $(1 + \lfloor \log n \rfloor \text{ times}) \in O(n \log n)$
 - (d) $\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{4} + \frac{n}{8} + \dots \le n \in O(n)$

- 19. (a) First the algorithm finds that 12 is the largest element, so it interchanges 12 and 2, yielding the sequence (2,4,5,8,6,10,12). Next it finds that 10 is the largest element among the first six elements; interchanging 10 and 10 has no effect, so the sequence is unchanged. The next pass reveals that 8 is the largest element among the first five, and so 8 and 6 are interchanged, yielding (2,4,5,6,8,10,12). The next three passes again result in no change, and the sequence is now sorted.
 - (b) After the outer loop is executed for a given value of end, a_{end} is the endth largest element in the list, in its correct place. Thus a_n , a_{n-1} , ..., a_2 are all correctly placed, and hence so is a_1 .
 - (c) The comparison step is executed $(n-1)+(n-2)+\cdots+2+1$ times, so the efficiency is $n(n-1)/2 \in O(n^2)$.
- 21. procedure speedy(A, x)
 {searches A for x, as in linear_search }
 a₀ ← x {the process will find x here if not before}
 i ← n
 while true do
 begin {loop executed at most n + 1 times}
 if x = a_i then return(i) {the only comparison}
 i ← i 1
 end
- 23. Since $g \notin O(f)$, there is an increasing, infinite sequence of numbers n_1, n_2, \ldots , such that $g(n_i)/f(n_i) \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. On the other hand, there exist positive constants C and k such that $f(n) \leq Cg(n)$ for all $n \geq k$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $C \geq 1$. Let the function h be defined by

$$h(n) = \begin{cases} g(n) & \text{if } n = n_i \text{ for some even } i \\ f(n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $h(n) \leq \max(g(n), f(n)) \leq \max(g(n), Cg(n)) = Cg(n)$ for all $n \geq k$, so $h \in O(g)$. Similarly $h(n) \geq \min(f(n), g(n)) \geq \min(f(n), f(n)/C) \geq f(n)/C$, so $f \in O(h)$. But $h \notin O(f)$ and $g \notin O(h)$, since as $i \to \infty$,

$$\frac{h(n_{2i})}{f(n_{2i})} = \frac{g(n_{2i})}{f(n_{2i})} \to \infty$$
 and $\frac{g(n_{2i+1})}{h(n_{2i+1})} = \frac{g(n_{2i+1})}{f(n_{2i+1})} \to \infty$.

25. (a) Using L'Hôpital's rule we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n^a}{\log n}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{an^{a-1}}{(1/n)\log e}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{a}{\log e}\right)n^a=\infty.$$

(b) We want to solve the equation $n^{0.01} = \log n$. Taking logs of both sides yields $0.01 \log n = \log(\log n)$, or $x = 100 \log x$, where $x = \log n$. Solving $x = 100 \log x$ iteratively on a calculator (start with any big guess and repeatedly take log of the display