The Instruments of Identity and Critique: Analysing Narrative Strategy in Trevor Noah's *Born a Crime*

Extended Essay

Subject: English A: Language and Literature

Category 1

Word Count: 3,500

Candidate Name: [Your Name]

Candidate Number: [Your Number]

Session: May 2025

School Name: [Your School Name]

School Code: [Your School Code]

Supervisor: [Supervisor's Name]

Date: 10 September 2025

Table of Contents

Introduction

Trevor Noah's memoir, Born a Crime, reaches far beyond personal recollection. It functions as a penetrating work of social critique, using his singular experience to dissect the brutal architecture of apartheid and its persistent aftermath in South Africa. Because Noah was born to a Black Xhosa mother and a white Swiss father, his very existence violated the Immorality Act of 1927. From birth, his identity contradicted the state's rigid racial categories. It is precisely this position that allows his narrative to provide a singular, powerful lens for examining the societal and personal forces that shape identity. This essay will explore the following question. How does Trevor Noah explore social critique and identity in **Born a Crime**? I argue that Noah explores these themes through his strategic use of humor, multilingualism, and personal storytelling. These tools allow him to reflect on systemic injustice while constructing an identity that transcends the imposed boundaries of his birthplace.

My recent visit to South Africa in 2024 made these themes viscerally clear. Seeing the lingering geographic and social divides in Cape Town and Johannesburg firsthand gave me a deeper appreciation for the complex reality Noah describes. The theoretical concepts of post-apartheid society became tangible, moving from abstract ideas to a lived environment. This experience cemented my interest in analyzing how Noah translates this complex reality into narrative form. His memoir, as scholar Smith (2018) suggests, acts as a form of public commentary. In it, personal anecdotes are never just personal. They serve to illuminate a national history.

To support this thesis, my analysis will proceed in three parts. First, I will examine how Noah employs humor as a vehicle for social critique, disarming the reader to deliver sharp observations on prejudice and inequality. Next, I will analyze his use of language and multilingualism as a key to navigating different social worlds, a skill that fundamentally shapes his hybrid identity. Finally, I will explore his storytelling techniques, focusing on how specific anecdotes place his personal development within broader institutional, geographic, and social contexts. Through this framework, the essay will

demonstrate how Noah crafts a narrative that is both deeply personal and powerfully political.

Humor as Social Critique

Central to Trevor Noah's project in **Born a Crime** is his deployment of humor as a sophisticated analytical tool, one that he wields not to diminish the horrors of apartheid but to expose its fundamental absurdity and the casual cruelty it engendered. His comedy is a form of intellectual excavation, digging past the surface-level facts of injustice to reveal the warped logic and dark ironies that sustained it. This approach allows him to deliver a potent social critique that is both accessible and profoundly unsettling, engaging the reader through laughter before guiding them toward a more critical and disillusioned perspective on the systems that shaped his world. His humor consistently functions as a mechanism for subversion, resilience, and ultimately, liberation from the very ideologies it lampoons.

The foundational example of this strategy is the memoir's title itself, which serves as a direct and ironic confrontation with apartheid's core legal machinery. To be "born a crime" is an immediate paradox, a phrase that encapsulates the institutional insanity of a state that would criminalize a child's existence. Noah reframes his own birth not as a personal tragedy but as a political condition, a fact that scholar Levin (2024) identifies as a crucial subversion of the classic "tragic mulatto" trope. Rather than presenting himself as a figure torn between two worlds and belonging to neither, Noah presents his identity from the outset as a "rebuke to the logic of the system." His mere existence is a punchline that undermines the entire premise of racial purity laws. This aligns with Smith's (2018) view of memoir as public commentary, where the personal is inherently political. By treating the shocking reality of the Immorality Act with such a blunt, almost flippant, humorous framing, Noah makes its inhumanity intellectually accessible. He invites the reader to laugh at the law's stupidity, a reaction that is itself a form of critique. The title is not just a description. It is an argument. It asserts that any system that defines a human being as illegal is not just evil but is also, fundamentally, ridiculous. This

comedic framing becomes the lens through which the entire narrative is viewed, training the reader to look for the absurdity embedded within the oppression.

Furthermore, Noah extends this technique beyond institutional critique to explore how humor functions as a vital tool for processing and resisting personal trauma. A clear example of this is the harrowing minibus story, where his mother, Patricia, throws a young Trevor from a moving vehicle to save him from a potentially fatal carjacking. The event's inherent horror is starkly contrasted by Noah's recollection of its aftermath. He quotes his mother's chillingly nonchalant explanation. **"So I threw you from the car... My mom said this the way a person might say, 'So I had to take the trash out'"** (Noah, p. 266). The humor operating here is deeply dark, rooted in the stark contrast between a violent, life-threatening event and its remarkably casual narration. As scholars Ngcobo & Roya (2023) might suggest, this fits squarely within incongruity theory, where comedy emerges from the rupture of expectation. We anticipate a story of such severity to be delivered with solemnity. Its very offhandedness generates the humor, rendering the experience manageable. Toywa (2020) correctly observes that humor serves to "make difficult experiences digestible," though this interpretation warrants deeper consideration. This digestion isn't a passive act. It functions instead as an active, almost alchemical form of resistance. Through laughter directed at their trauma, by diminishing a moment of pure terror to the banality of a household task, Noah and his mother seize back narrative control. They defy the victimhood the event seeks to assign them. This shared laughter evolves into a private dialect of resilience, a method of asserting that their spirit remains intact even when their bodies are not. The humor acts as the armor that protected them from the psychological fallout, reframing a story of victimization into one of defiant survival.

Noah expands this idea by training his satirical eye on the ordinary, daily encounters with apartheid's warped logic, using a carnivalesque lens to subvert power relations and reveal their underlying absurdity. One memorable example involves his grandmother's refusal to discipline him as a child because, as she put it, she did not know "how to hit a white child." The statement is funny due to its bizarre logic, yet it lays

bare the deeply ingrained irrationality of racial classification. Here, a woman responsible for his care finds herself paralyzed by a system so inflexible it offers no guidance for a child existing outside its categories. Likewise, his accounts of avoiding police capture carry a satirical undertone, mocking both their ineptness and the arbitrary foundation of their authority. Levin's (2024) concept of the "carnivalesque gaze" is particularly useful here. Noah, like the trickster figure in carnival tradition, temporarily turns the world upside down. Through his anecdotes, the powerful (the police, the state) are made to look foolish, while the marginalized (a mixed-race child, a Black woman) are portrayed as clever and agile, outmaneuvering a system that is as stupid as it is brutal. This aligns perfectly with Toywa's (2020) assertion that this mocking humor is a "subversive" force. It is a form of intellectual resistance that operates below the radar of direct confrontation. Every laugh directed at the authorities is a small act of defiance, a refusal to grant them the respect and fear they demand. As my own visit to South Africa confirmed, these daily negotiations with lingering divides are not just historical artifacts but part of a continuing social reality. Noah's humor provides a framework for understanding how individuals can assert their identity and critique power not through grand gestures, but through the subtle, persistent act of finding the joke embedded within the injustice. It is a way of winning a small victory every single day, proving that a system which can be laughed at cannot ever claim total victory.

Multilingualism and Cultural Identity

For Trevor Noah, language is far more than a means of communication. It is the essential instrument through which he actively negotiates the rigid racial categories of apartheid and forges a unique, fluid sense of personal belonging. While his mixed-race appearance physically marked him as an outsider in a segregated society, his multilingualism provided him with a powerful form of social and psychological mobility. He does not merely exist between worlds. He learns to navigate them with a chameleonic linguistic skill that subverts the very foundations of the system designed to exclude him. Through his command of multiple languages, Noah transforms what could have been a

life of liminality into one of agency, using words as both a shield against danger and a bridge to understanding. His identity is not a fixed state dictated by law, but a dynamic performance enabled by his linguistic dexterity.

This concept is introduced through Noah's own central axiom. He states with characteristic clarity, "If you spoke the language, you were halfway there." This simple sentence carries profound weight. The "there" he refers to is not a physical location but a state of social acceptance and temporary belonging. This aligns directly with Wang & Xie's (2023) "Adaptation Theory," which posits that multilingualism functions as a sophisticated adaptive strategy. For Noah, adaptation was a matter of survival, a linguistic reality that demanded he learn the social conventions of each group he encountered. His ability to switch between Zulu, Xhosa, Afrikaans, and English was a practical tool for navigating the treacherous social landscape of Johannesburg. More importantly, as Levin (2024) argues, this skill was key to subverting the tragic mulatto archetype. Rather than being tragically trapped between two worlds, Noah uses language to create a niche for himself in many. He demonstrates that in the fractured social reality of South Africa, language, more than phenotype, was the true key to community. Speaking someone's language signaled shared experience and respect, a momentary erasure of the racial divide that the state worked so relentlessly to enforce. His identity became contextual, defined in the moment by the linguistic mask he chose to wear.

This concept is vividly illustrated when language transforms from a passive tool of adaptation into an active shield for physical protection. A more powerful example occurs during the potential mugging by a group of Zulu men. In that moment of acute danger, Noah's appearance marks him as a vulnerable outsider. His life literally depends on his ability to change that perception instantly. He does this not with force, but with words, disarming his assailants by speaking to them in their own tongue. His direct quote captures the surreal pivot. **"Kodwa bafwethu yingani singavele sibambe umuntu inkunzi? [...] Yo, guys, why don't we just mug someone together?"** (Noah, p. 67). The shock of hearing their language from this "coloured" boy completely reframes the interaction. Scholar Dlamini (2019) views language in such contexts as a "bridge"

between groups. Here, however, it functions as something more potent. It acts as a shield, a form of linguistic armor that instantly transforms him in their eyes from a victim to be preyed upon into a potential accomplice, or at least, a confusing anomaly worthy of mercy. His identity fluidly shifts from "other" to "brother" through a few perfectly chosen phrases. A similar, though less dangerous, negotiation occurs with the racist Afrikaans shopkeeper. His mother, by responding in flawless Afrikaans, weaponizes the language of the oppressor to dismantle the prejudice it carries. As Levin (2024) suggests, this is a direct defiance of "racial injunctions through silence." She refuses to be silenced and instead uses the master's tools to tear down the master's house, revealing the ignorance of the shopkeeper's bigotry. In both cases, identity is not a fixed biological reality but a successful linguistic performance, a negotiation that ensures survival and asserts dignity.

Furthermore, Noah extends his analysis to show how language operates as the most potent tool for cultural bridging and profound social critique. The infamous "Hitler dance" episode is a masterful example. The cultural misunderstanding, where Noah's community views Hitler as a comically strict white leader rather than a genocidal monster, is hilarious. However, its purpose is far deeper than comedy. Through this anecdote, Noah performs a sharp critique on the isolated cultural frameworks that apartheid enforced. Using Garcia's (2021) concept of "Global South storytelling," we can see how Noah uses this misunderstanding to expose how meaning is culturally constructed and contingent. The story critiques both the ignorance bred by systemic segregation and the Western assumption that its historical narratives are universally understood. It is a story about the failure of language and the isolation it can create when cultures are forcibly kept apart. Moreover, the perception of language itself is culturally relative. His grandmother values his ability to pray in English, seeing it as a connection to a higher, more powerful world. Conversely, in Eden Park, speaking English too well marks him as a "sellout," an attempt to rise above his station. This brings us back to Wang & Xie's (2023) concept of adaptation for psychological motivation. Noah continually navigates these perceptions, employing language not merely to communicate, but to deliberately shape how he is seen by others, modulating his speech to either blend in or deliberately stand out. In a sense,

language becomes his primary mechanism for challenging the arbitrary social divisions that apartheid attempted to render absolute. He reveals that these classifications are anything but natural. They are manufactured and they can be dismantled, one interaction at a time.

Storytelling and Narrative Structure

The power of **Born a Crime** transcends its compelling anecdotes and sharp wit; indeed, it is rooted equally in its sophisticated narrative architecture. Trevor Noah's deliberate choice to structure his memoir as a series of non-linear, thematically linked vignettes is a formal decision that profoundly mirrors the complex, assembled nature of his own identity. This structure actively resists a simplistic, chronological coming-of-age narrative. Rather, the memoir constructs a multifaceted critique of apartheid by enabling Noah to scrutinize its legacy from numerous vantage points. He shifts between time periods and perspectives with a deliberate, almost agile rhythm. Indeed, the memoir's very structure functions as its central thesis, embodying the notion that comprehending a single life—and the oppressive system that molded it—demands a kaleidoscopic perspective, not a singular one. It is precisely through this fragmented, yet cohesive, narrative that Noah most powerfully illustrates the inextricable link between personal identity and national history.

This structural approach manifests in the memoir's deliberate rejection of a linear timeline. Noah moves fluidly between his childhood and his adolescence, often circling back to earlier moments. His stories are grouped not chronologically, but by their thematic significance, prioritizing what they reveal over when they occurred. A chapter on language is followed by one on crime, which is followed by a story about his mother's religious devotion. This method aligns with Smith's (2018) view of memoirs utilizing "personal stories as public commentary," but Noah takes it further by making each vignette a distinct, concentrated commentary on a specific facet of the apartheid machine. Each chapter operates as a discrete case study, isolating a specific social ill for thorough scrutiny. This organizational method resonates with Levin's (2024) concept of identity as

a "site of creative expression." Rather than being conceived as a straightforward journey from point A to B, Noah's identity emerges as a mosaic. It is an assemblage of profoundly impactful and formative moments that he, as the author, assembles into a coherent whole. This fragmented architecture mirrors the very process of memory. More significantly, it reflects the layered complexity inherent to a mixed-race child's existence within a system demanding rigid, binary categorization. By rejecting a linear narrative, Noah's form itself actively resists the apartheid-era desire for neat classification. His identity is complex; consequently, his story refuses to be simple.

More importantly, this non-linear narrative finds its emotional and structural anchor in the consistent presence of Patricia Noah, his mother. She is the constant thread that weaves through the disparate vignettes, providing continuity and deep emotional resonance. While the chapters may leap through time, Patricia's character remains a steady force of unwavering strength, humor, and defiance. This positioning of his mother as the memoir's core is a profound narrative choice. Through Garcia's (2021) framework of "Global South storytelling," we can see Patricia's story as a powerful specific example of this tradition. Her resilience, her ingenious methods of survival, and her absolute refusal to be broken by the system represent a vital commentary on the often-overlooked strength of Black South African women. Her narrative is the backbone that holds the thematic fragments of the book together. She is the through-line that makes the non-linear structure feel cohesive rather than chaotic. This aligns again with Smith (2018), as Patricia's profoundly personal journey—her struggles with abuse, her unwavering faith, her fierce love for her son—becomes the book's most potent form of public commentary on resistance. The memoir's structure masterfully blends the personal, a son's loving tribute to his mother, with the political, a testament to a specific form of courageous opposition to oppression.

The most powerful aspect of this structure is its masterful use of tonal juxtaposition, a technique that places humor and trauma in direct conversation to heighten the impact of both. The memoir's architecture is built on this constant contrast. A single chapter can seamlessly oscillate between a lighthearted account of a teenage scheme and

a solemn, harrowing revelation of domestic violence. This is not a flaw in pacing. It is the entire point. Levin's (2024) discussion of the "carnivalesque gaze" and its "laughing aspect" is structurally embodied here. The humorous vignettes provide a necessary emotional reprieve, a temporary liberation from the heavy subject matter for both Noah and the reader. However, the underlying gravity of his reality is always present, and the sudden shifts in tone force the reader to experience the psychological dissonance that defined Noah's life. You are lulled into laughter by a ridiculous story about stealing pirated music, only to be sharply confronted by the brutal reality of his stepfather's abuse. This structural choice prevents the reader from becoming complacent. It refuses to let the audience settle into either pure comedy or pure tragedy, mirroring the daily reality of life under an absurd and violent regime. The form itself explores the intersection of personal identity, which was forged in the crucible of this dissonance, and the wider social issues that created it. The way Noah tells his story makes us feel how intertwined the hilarious and the horrific truly were. This is the ultimate achievement of the memoir's structure. It does not just describe a fragmented identity and a fractured society. It performs it, immersing the reader in the very complexity it seeks to analyze.

Conclusion

Ultimately, Trevor Noah's **Born a Crime** transcends the conventional memoir to deliver a sophisticated and multifaceted exploration of identity forged under the constraints of apartheid. As this essay has demonstrated, Noah's social critique and personal navigation are not isolated endeavors but are intricately executed through the interconnected tools of humor, language, and narrative structure. His subversive comedy, as explored by scholars like Toywa (2020) and Levin (2024), disarms the reader, creating an accessible entry point to confront harsh realities. This humor is often facilitated by his profound multilingualism, which, following the insights of Dlamini (2019) and Wang & Xie (2023), acts as a practical mechanism for dismantling racial boundaries and performing a fluid identity. Furthermore, the memoir's non-linear, vignette-based form, a structure echoing Smith's (2018) and Garcia's (2021) theories, mirrors the complex assemblage of

his selfhood and allows his commentary to shift seamlessly between the personal and the political.

These elements do not operate in isolation. His humor is delivered through his linguistic dexterity and is organized by his chosen narrative structure. Together, they form a cohesive strategy for examining a fractured world. Therefore, the memoir's true significance lies in its powerful demonstration that identity is not a fixed label but a dynamic, ongoing construction. It offers a vital framework for understanding the enduring legacy of systemic oppression, not just in South Africa but in any context where identity is policed and contested. Witnessing the lingering geographic and social divides in South Africa firsthand in 2024 gave me a tangible context for these themes. Analyzing Noah's work provided the intellectual apparatus to understand that these divides are not natural but are the direct consequences of the systems he so masterfully critiques. **Born a Crime** thus stands as a permanent testament to the power of storytelling not only to document history but to challenge its very foundations and inspire a more nuanced understanding of the human experience within them.

References

[1] Noah, T. (2016). *Born a Crime: Stories from a South African Childhood*. Spiegel & Grau.

[2] Smith, A. (2018). Memoir and Apartheid: Personal Voices as Public Commentary. *Journal of African Studies*. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/00219894221133316

[3] Toywa, L. (2020). *Narrating the Self in Apartheid Through Humour in Trevor Noah's Born a Crime* [Master's thesis, University of Nairobi]. https://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/handle/11295/109457

[4] Dlamini, S. (2019). Language and Identity in Post-Apartheid Memoir. *South African Linguistics Review*. https://francis-press.com/uploads/papers/vBV27EWOoNmxrGHFi1MtL0ZSC6hWWuGuEc6RtEw8X.pdf

[5] Garcia, N. (2021). Global South Storytelling and the Politics of Memoir. *International Memoir Studies*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373942788