## Homework Assignment 1

## Matthew Tiger

## February 7, 2016

**Problem 1.** To be comprehensive, the second derivative test for two-variable functions f = f(x, y) studied in Calculus III should contain (among others) the cases:

- a. D(a,b) > 0 and  $f_{xx}(a,b) = 0$ ,
- b. D(a,b) = 0 and  $f_{xx}(a,b) = 0$ .

Why aren't these cases considered? Explain.

Solution. Throughout, we assume that  $f:S\subset\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$  and that  $f\in C^2(S)$  so that  $f_{xy}(a,b)=f_{yx}(a,b)$ . Therefore,

$$D(a,b) = f_{xx}(a,b)f_{yy}(a,b) - f_{xy}(a,b)f_{yx}(a,b)$$
  
=  $f_{xx}(a,b)f_{yy}(a,b) - f_{xy}(a,b)^{2}$ .

- a. To illustrate that this case can never happen, suppose to the contrary that D(a,b) > 0 and  $f_{xx}(a,b) = 0$ . Since  $D(a,b) = f_{xx}(a,b)f_{yy}(a,b) f_{xy}(a,b)^2$ , we see that  $0 < D(a,b) = -f_{xy}(a,b)^2$  which is a contradiction since  $f_{xy}(a,b)^2 > 0$ . Therefore, this case cannot happen.
- b. Now suppose that D(a,b) = 0 and  $f_{xx}(a,b) = 0$ . As  $D(a,b) = f_{xx}(a,b)f_{yy}(a,b) f_{xy}(a,b)^2$ , it is true under our supposition that  $f_{xy}(a,b)^2 = 0$ , i.e.  $f_{xy}(a,b) = 0$ . We cannot conclusively state whether the point is a local extrema or saddle point as the function could be increasing or decreasing in the direction of x or y.

To illustrate, take as an example  $f_1(x,y) = -x^4 - y^4$  and  $f_2(x,y) = x^4 + y^4$ . Note that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  both satisfy D(a,b) = 0 and  $f_{xx}(a,b) = 0$  for the point (a,b) = (0,0). However, upon further inspection  $f_1$  obtains a local maximum at (0,0), yet  $f_2$  obtains a local minimum at (0,0). Thus, two different results occur for two different functions in the case where D(a,b) = 0 and  $f_{xx}(a,b) = 0$  and we conclude that the test is inconclusive in such cases.

## **Problem 2.** Recall that

- (a,b) is called an absolute maximum of f = f(x,y) on a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  if  $f(x,y) \leq f(a,b)$  for every  $(x,y) \in D$ .
- (The Extreme Value Theorem) If f is continuous and D is closed and bounded, then f attains both an absolute maximum value and an absolute minimum value.
- a. Describe in steps (and in words) how one finds absolute extrema for a two-variable function f = f(x, y) on a closed bounded  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .
- b. Apply your procedure derived in (a) to find absolute extrema for  $f(x,y) = 2x^3 + xy^2 + 5x^2 + y^2$  over the rectangle  $D := \{(x,y) \mid -2 \le x \le 3, 0 \le y \le 2\}$ .

Solution. a. The steps below outline the process to obtain the absolute extreme for a two-variable, continuous function f = f(x, y) on a closed bounded  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .

I. First, identify the critical points of the function, i.e. find the points  $(x_i, y_i)$  such that

$$\nabla f(x_i, y_i) = \langle f_x(x_i, y_i), f_y(x_i, y_i) \rangle = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$$

or such that  $f_x(x_i, y_i)$  or  $f_y(x_i, y_i)$  do not exist.

- II. Suppose that  $S_f$  is the set of critical points obtained in step I. Then  $P = S_f \cap D$  is the set of possible points at which the function f obtains its absolute minimum and maximum on the closed bounded domain D.
- III. Note that our function satisfies the assumptions of The Extreme Value Theorem and as a result, using the set P obtained in step II,  $\max f(P)$  is the absolute maximum of the function f and  $\min f(P)$  is the absolute minimum of the function f.
- b. Let  $f(x,y) = 2x^3 + xy^2 + 5x^2 + y^2$  where  $f: D = \{(x,y) \mid -2 \le x \le 3, 0 \le y \le 2\} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then

$$\nabla f(x,y) = \langle f_x(x,y), f_y(x,y) \rangle = \langle 2x(3x+5) + y^2, 2y(x+1) \rangle.$$

Note that  $f_y(x,y)=0$  if x=-1 or y=0 as the real numbers form a field and thus form an integral domain. Also note that  $f_x(x,y)=0$  if x=-1 and  $y=\pm 2$  or x=-5/3 and y=0 or x=0 and y=0. Thus,  $\nabla f(x,y)=\langle 0,0\rangle$  if  $(x,y)\in\{(-5/3,0),(-1,-2),(-1,2),(0,0)\}=S_f$ . Since the partial derivatives of f exist everywhere, the set  $S_f$  contains every critical point of the function f.

Now,  $P = S_f \cap D = \{(-5/3, 0), (-1, 2), (0, 0)\}$  and  $f(P) = \{125/27, 3, 0\}$ . Therefore, the absolute maximum of f is max f(P) = 125/27 which occurs at the point (-5/3, 0) and the absolute minimum of f is min f(P) = 0 which occurs at the point (0, 0).

**Problem 3.** Consider the optimization problem:

Min (Max) 
$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$
subject to 
$$g_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = k_1$$

$$g_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = k_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$g_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = k_m$$

- a. Formulate the Lagrangean and describe how we should proceed in order to solve such a problem.
- b. Find the relative extrema of f(x, y, z) = x + 2y + 3z subject to  $x y + z = 1, x^2 + y^2 = 1$ . Solution.

Problem	<b>4.</b>	Solve the shipping	probler	n studied	in MATH	111 if we	replace the	constrai	$\operatorname{nt}$
$x + 2y \le$	100	by the constraint	x + 2y	$\leq 625/6$ .	Use Math	nematica	to (at least)	graph t	he
feasible se	t.								

 $\square$ 

**Problem 5.** Suppose that  $f, f_1, f_2$  are convex functions and  $a \ge 0$ . Prove that af and  $f_1 + f_2$  are convex functions.

Solution. Recall that a function  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex if for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in S$ , it is true that  $f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2)$ .

Suppose that  $a \geq 0$  and  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function. From the above definition, the function af is convex if for all  $\lambda \in [0,1]$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in S$  we have that

$$af(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le \lambda af(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)af(x_2)$$
  
=  $a(\lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2)).$ 

Since  $a \ge 0$ , this condition is satisfied as an immediate consequence following the definition of the convexity of the function f. Therefore, for  $a \ge 0$  and a convex function f, the function af is convex as well.

Now suppose that  $f_1: S_1 \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_2: S_2 \to \mathbb{R}$  are convex functions. The function  $f_1 + f_2: S_1 \cap S_2 \to \mathbb{R}$  where  $f_1 + f_2(x) := f_1(x) + f_2(x)$  is convex if for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in S_1 \cap S_2$  it is true that

$$(f_1 + f_2)(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le \lambda(f_1 + f_2)(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)(f_1 + f_2)(x_2).$$

Using the convexity of the functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  and the definition of the function  $f_1 + f_2$ , we see that for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in S_1 \cap S_2$  we have that

$$f_1 + f_2(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) = f_1(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) + f_2(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2)$$

$$\leq \lambda f_1(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f_1(x_2)$$

$$+ \lambda f_2(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f_2(x_2)$$

$$= \lambda (f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_1))$$

$$+ (1 - \lambda)(f_1(x_2) + f_2(x_2))$$

$$= \lambda (f_1 + f_2)(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)(f_1 + f_2)(x_2).$$

Therefore, if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are convex functions, the function  $f_1 + f_2$  is convex as well.

**Problem 6.** For  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  we define its *epigraph* as the set

epi 
$$f = \{(x, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} | f(x) \leq \beta\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$
.

Prove that f is convex if and only if epi f is convex.

Solution.  $\Box$