Homework Assignment 4

Matthew Tiger

September 23, 2015

Problem 2.3. Find the ACVF of the time series $X_t = Z_t + aZ_{t-1} + bZ_{t-2}$ where $Z_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$ when:

a. $a = 0.3$, $b = -0.4$, and $\sigma^2 = 1$.

b. $a = -1.2$, $b = -1.6$, and $\sigma^2 = 0.25$.

Solution. The ACVF of the time series $\{X_t\}$, $\gamma_X(h)$, is by definition:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_X(h) &= \mathrm{Cov}(X_{t+h}, X_t) \\
&= \mathrm{Cov}(Z_{t+h} + aZ_{t+h-1} + bZ_{t+h-2}, Z_t + aZ_{t-1} + bZ_{t-2}) \\
&= \mathrm{Cov}(Z_{t+h}, Z_t) + a\mathrm{Cov}(Z_{t+h}, Z_{t-1}) + b\mathrm{Cov}(Z_{t+h}, Z_{t-2}) \\
&\quad + a\mathrm{Cov}(Z_{t+h-1}, Z_t) + a^2\mathrm{Cov}(Z_{t+h-1}, Z_{t-1}) + ab\mathrm{Cov}(Z_{t+h-1}, Z_{t-2}) \\
&\quad + b\mathrm{Cov}(Z_{t+h-2}, Z_t) + ab\mathrm{Cov}(Z_{t+h-2}, Z_{t-1}) + b^2\mathrm{Cov}(Z_{t+h-2}, Z_{t-2}). \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (1), we can see that since $Z_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$,

$$\gamma_X(h) = \begin{cases} (1 + a^2 + b^2)\sigma^2 & \text{if } h = 0 \\ a(1+b)\sigma^2 & \text{if } h = \pm 1 \\ b\sigma^2 & \text{if } h = \pm 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, when

a. $a = 0.3$, $b = -0.4$, and $\sigma^2 = 1$, the ACVF of $\{X_t\}$ is:

$$\begin{cases} 1.25 & \text{if } h = 0 \\ 0.18 & \text{if } h = \pm 1 \\ -0.4 & \text{if } h = \pm 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

b. $a = -1.2$, $b = -1.6$, and $\sigma^2 = 0.25$, the ACVF of $\{X_t\}$ is:

$$\begin{cases} 1.25 & \text{if } h = 0 \\ 0.18 & \text{if } h = \pm 1 \\ -0.4 & \text{if } h = \pm 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\square$

Problem 2.5. Suppose that $\{X_t, t = 0, \pm 1, \dots\}$ is stationary and that $|\theta|< 1$. Show that for each fixed n the sequence

$$S_m = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \theta^j X_{n-j}$$

is convergent absolutely and in mean square as $m \to \infty$.

Solution. Let $a_j = \theta^j X_{n-j}$. Since each X_i is a random variable, each X_i maps to a real, non-infinite value so let $X = \max\{|X_i|\}$. Then to see that S_m is convergent absolutely as $m \to \infty$, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_j| &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} |\theta^j X_{n-j}| \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m} |\theta|^j |X_{n-j}| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} X|\theta|^j = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j = T_m
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\theta|< 1$, we know that as $m \to \infty$, the partial sum $\sum_{j=1}^{m} X|\theta|^j \to 0$ and it must hold that $T_m \to 0$. Thus, we know that as $m \to \infty$, $\sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_j|$ converges to some L since $|a_j| \leq b_j$ and T_m is convergent. Therefore, S_m is convergent absolutely.

To see that S_m is convergent in the mean square, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{E}(S_m - S_l)^2 \to 0$ as $m, l \to \infty$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $m > l > 0$. Notice that $S_m - S_l = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j = \sum_{j=l+1}^{m} a_j$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{E}(S_m - S_l) = \mathrm{E}(\sum_{j=l+1}^{m} a_j) = \sum_{j=l+1}^{m} \mathrm{E}(a_j).$$

It is clear that $\mathrm{E}(a_j) = \mathrm{E}(\theta^j X_{n-j}) = \theta^j \mathrm{E}(X_{n-j})$. Since $\{X_t\}$ is a stationary time series, its expectation does not depend on t, so say $\mathrm{E}(X_{n-j}) = \mu_X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(S_m - S_l) &= \sum_{j=l+1}^{m} \theta^j \mathrm{E}(X_{n-j}) \\
&= \mu_X \sum_{j=l+1}^{m} \theta^j \\
&= \frac{\mu_X \theta^{l+1}(1 - \theta^{m-l-1})}{1 - \theta}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\theta|< 1$, it is clear then that $\mathrm{E}(S_m - S_l)^2 \to 0$ as $m, l \to \infty$ showing that S_m is convergent in mean square for any n. $\square$

Problem 2.11. Suppose that in a sample of size 100 from an AR(1) process with mean μ, $\phi = 0.6$, and $\sigma^2 = 2$ we obtain $\bar{x_{100}} = 0.271$. Construct an approximate 95% confidence interval for μ. Are the data compatible with the hypothesis that $\mu = 0$.

Solution. Note that since AR(1) is a linear model, $\bar{X_n}$ is approximately normal with mean μ for large n and an approximate 95% confidence interval for μ is

$$\left(\bar{X_n} - \frac{1.96\nu^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X_n} + \frac{1.96\nu^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

where $\nu = \sum_{|h|<\infty} \gamma_X(h)$.

Since $\{X_t\}$ is an AR(1) process, we know that $\gamma_X(h) = \gamma_X(0)\phi^{|h|}$ where $\gamma_X(0) = \sigma^2/(1-\phi^2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu = \sum_{|h|<\infty} \gamma_X(h) &= \sum_{|h|<\infty} \frac{\sigma^2\phi^{|h|}}{1-\phi^2} \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2}\left(1 + 2\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\phi^h\right) \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2}\left(1 + \frac{2\phi}{1-\phi}\right) \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2(1+\phi)}{(1-\phi)(1-\phi^2)} = \frac{\sigma^2}{(1-\phi)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

If $\phi = 0.6$ and $\sigma^2 = 2$, then $\nu = 2/(1-0.6)^2 = 12.5$. Since $n = 100$, $\bar{x_n} = \bar{x_{100}} = 0.271$, and an approximate 95% confidence interval for μ is

$$\left(0.271 - \frac{1.96(12.5)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{100}}, 0.271 + \frac{1.96(12.5)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{100}}\right)$$

or $(-0.42197, 0.96397)$. Given this confidence interval, it is plausible that $\mu = 0$. □

Problem 2.12. Suppose that in a sample of size 100 from an MA(1) process with mean μ, $\theta = -0.6$, and $\sigma^2 = 1$ we obtain $\bar{x_{100}} = 0.157$. Construct an approximate 95% confidence interval for μ. Are the data compatible with the hypothesis that $\mu = 0$.

Solution. Note that since MA(1) is a linear model, $\bar{X_n}$ is approximately normal with mean μ for large n and an approximate 95% confidence interval for μ is

$$\left(\bar{X_n} - \frac{1.96\nu^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X_n} + \frac{1.96\nu^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

where $\nu = \sum_{|h|<\infty} \gamma_X(h)$.

Since $\{X_t\}$ is an MA(1) process, we know that

$$\gamma_X(h) = \begin{cases} \sigma^2(1+\theta^2) & \text{if } h = 0 \\ \sigma^2\theta & \text{if } h = \pm 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Thus

$$\nu = \sum_{|h|<\infty} \gamma_X(h) = \sigma^2(1+\theta^2) + 2\sigma^2\theta = \sigma^2(1+\theta)^2$$

If $\theta = -0.6$ and $\sigma^2 = 1$, then $\nu = (1-0.6))^2 = 0.16$. Since $n = 100$, $\bar{x_n} = \bar{x_{100}} = 0.157$, and an approximate 95% confidence interval for μ is

$$\left(0.157 - \frac{1.96(0.16)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{100}}, 0.157 + \frac{1.96(0.16)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{100}}\right)$$

or $(0.15198, 0.16202)$. Given this confidence interval, it is not plausible that $\mu = 0$. $\square$