Implement SGD for linear regression

Objective:

Manually implement linear regression using SGD algorithm for boston house price dataset and compare the results with sklearn SGDRegressor implementation.

```
In [1]: import warnings
        warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
        from sklearn.datasets import load boston
        from random import seed
        from random import randrange
        from csv import reader
        from math import sqrt
        from sklearn import preprocessing
        import pandas as pd
        import numpy as np
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        from sklearn.linear_model import SGDRegressor
        from sklearn import preprocessing
        from sklearn.metrics import mean squared error
In [2]: X = load boston().data
        Y = load boston().target
In [3]: | scaler = preprocessing.StandardScaler().fit(X)
        X = scaler.transform(X)
In [4]: # Define a function to plot the results
        def scatter plot(y true, y pred):
            plt.grid(b=True)
            plt.scatter(y_true, y_pred)
            plt.title("Actual prices Vs. Predicted prices")
            plt.xlabel("Actual prices")
            plt.ylabel("Predicted prices")
            plt.show()
In [5]: | clf = SGDRegressor()
        clf.fit(X, Y)
        print(mean_squared_error(Y, clf.predict(X)))
```

Implementing our own SGD regressor algorithm

To implement SGD algorithm we will define a function that we can repeatedly use.

The implementation details for SGD are given below:

21.99415046022759

- 1. First initialize the weight and intercept term with initial random values.
- 2. Decide for the number of iterations for which the SGD algorithm will run to find the minima
- 3. Repeatedly divide the dataset into a fixed number of points to create a batch for every iteration
- 4. Compute the partial derivatives for each batch
- 5. Update the weight and intercept term at the end of each iteration
- 6. Update the learning rate at the very end of the iteration

So first I will initialize the weight (w) and intercept term (b).

```
In [6]: # Create a dataframe for the boston dataset for ease of use
boston = pd.DataFrame(data=X)
boston['Price'] = Y
data = boston.drop('Price', axis=1)
target = boston['Price']
```

```
In [7]: | def sgd_implementation(X, learning_rate=0.01, n_iterations=100):
                In this SGD implementation, X is a dataframe
                - learning rate has a default value of 0.01 and will decrease with
                   each iteration accoring to the below formula:
                         learning_rate = learning_rate/2
                - n iterations is the number of iterations with a default value of 100.
                  We can change the number of iterations to see
                  how the performance of the model changes with higher iterations.
                  This function will return the optimal w and b for the dataset X
             . . .
            w = np.zeros(shape=(1,13)) # Initialize the weights as a (1,13)D vector
             b = 0.0 # initialize the intercept term as 0
            decay = learning_rate/10000 # Decay rate
            for i in range(n_iterations):
                # print("Current w: {} and b: {}".format(w,b))
                # First randomly take batch size of 10 from the data
                batch = X.sample(10)
                # Separate independent and dependent variables and convert into numpy are
                # for ease of computation.
                data = np.array(batch.drop('Price', axis=1)) # Independent variables from
                target = np.array(batch['Price']) # Dependent variable
                # Initialize the partial derivative terms
                # L der w is derivative of L (Loss function) with respect to w
                # L der b is derivative of L (Loss function) with respect to b
                1 der w = np.zeros(shape=(1,13)) # derivative of L w.r.t w will also be
                1 \text{ der } b = 0.0
                \# L_der_w = -2/n * Sum(x_i * (y - y_hat))
                \# L_der_b = -2/n * Sum(y - y_hat)
                # As we are performing SGD, we will first take the summation of all the
                # the batch, and then perform the -2/n part for ease of computation
                for j in range(10):
                    y = np.dot(w, data[j]) + b
                    l_der_w += data[j] * (target[j] - y)
                    l der b += target[j] - y
                1 der w *= - 2/data.shape[0] # data.shape[0] is number of points, 10 in
                1 der b *= - 2/data.shape[0]
                # Update the weight and intercept term
                w1 = w - learning rate * 1 der w
                b1 = b - learning rate * 1 der b
                # Replace w and b with updated values
                w = w1
                b = b1
                # Update the learning rate
                learning rate *= (1./(1. + decay * i))
```

```
return w, b
```

```
In [8]: # Define function to predict test data for ease of use
def predict (data, w, b):
    predicted = []
    data = np.array(data)
    for i in range(data.shape[0]):
        yhat = np.dot(w,data[i]) + b # By following the equation y = (w.T * x) +
        predicted.append(yhat)
    return predicted
```

To make the results of my implementation similar to that of sklearn's SGDRegressor, I will be using default learning rate as 0.01 as it is done in the sklearn implementation.

Now keeping the initial learning rate same, there are two things we can vary to make our model more similar to the sklearn implementation. The first one is how we are going to decrease the learning rate. For that I am using the following formula:

```
learning rate *= 1./ (1. + decay+iterations)
```

The other thing that we can modify is the number of iterations for which our model will run to find the minima point. SO to test the manual implementation for how effectively it can find the optimal parameters compared to the sklearn version of the algorithm, I will run both my implementation and sklearn for 100, 1000, 10000 iterations to see how the error rate changes over the number of iterations.

```
In [9]: # Divide the dataset into train and test
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
train_data, test_data, train_y, test_y = train_test_split(data, target, test_size)
```

Compare sklearn and sgd_implementation using n_iterations = 100

For sklearn implementation

```
In [10]: clf = SGDRegressor(max_iter=100)
    clf.fit(train_data, train_y)
    pred_sklearn_100 = clf.predict(test_data)

In [11]: print("Error for sklearn sgd implementation after 100 iterations: ", mean_squared print("Weight is :\n {}".format(clf.coef_))
    print("Intercept term is: ", clf.intercept_)

Error for sklearn sgd implementation after 100 iterations: 21.164667136894007
    Weight is :
        [-1.0337966   0.7279046   0.19097468   0.86211595 -1.72122605   2.77398882
        -0.4033986   -2.86411795   1.40707398 -0.72521862 -1.96255155   1.06930931
        -3.86768486]
    Intercept term is: [22.44606377]
```

In [12]: scatter_plot(test_y, pred_sklearn_100)



For manual SGD implementation

In [16]: scatter_plot(test_y, pred_sgd_100)



Compare sklearn and sgd_implementation using n_iterations = 1000

For sklearn implementation

```
In [17]: clf = SGDRegressor(max_iter=1000)
    clf.fit(train_data, train_y)
    pred_sklearn_1000 = clf.predict(test_data)
```

```
In [18]: print("Error for sklearn sgd implementation after 1000 iterations: ", mean_square
    print("Weight is :\n {}".format(clf.coef_))
    print("Intercept term is: ", clf.intercept_)

Error for sklearn sgd implementation after 1000 iterations: 21.544894516529396
    Weight is :
       [-0.97708972    0.58132342    0.11348625    0.86641227 -1.5205134    2.87914702
       -0.40892507 -2.63521885    1.06924268 -0.41513319 -1.94897477    1.08184087
       -3.8520676 ]
    Intercept term is: [22.45389246]
```

In [19]: scatter_plot(test_y, pred_sklearn_1000)



For manual SGD implementation

In [22]: scatter_plot(test_y, pred_sgd_1000)



Compare sklearn and sgd_implementation using n_iterations = 10000

For sklearn implementation

```
In [23]:
         clf = SGDRegressor(max_iter=10000)
         clf.fit(train data, train y)
         pred sklearn 10000 = clf.predict(test data)
In [24]:
         print("Error for sklearn sgd implementation after 1000 iterations: ", mean square
         print("Weight is :\n {}".format(clf.coef_))
         print("Intercept term is: ", clf.intercept_)
         Error for sklearn sgd implementation after 1000 iterations: 21.30170583497408
         Weight is:
          [-0.95824851 0.63470745 0.18242194 0.90420189 -1.5604892
                                                                        2.81415682
          -0.36757937 -2.76559842 1.24477825 -0.49397855 -1.91811447
                                                                       1.05932604
          -3.86036931]
         Intercept term is: [22.46901712]
```

In [25]: scatter_plot(test_y, pred_sklearn_10000)



For manual SGD implementation

In [28]: scatter_plot(test_y, pred_sgd_10000)



Conclusion:

Intercept	Error	iterations	Learning rate	Model
22.46	20.80	100	0.01	Sklearn SGD
19.57	33.78	100	0.01	Manual SGD
22.47	20.72	1000	0.01	Sklearn SGD
22.39	20.81	1000	0.01	Manual SGD
22.46	20.75	10000	0.01	Sklearn SGD
22.45	20.77	10000	0.01	Manual SGD

- From the above table it can be clearly stated that with the increase in the number of iterations, the model's probability to find a point that is a local or global minima increases.
- For 100 iterations, the sklearn and manual SGD error rate was varying quite a lot (20.8 and 33.78 respectively) but as the number of iteration increased, at 10000 iterations the error rate and also the intercept term are almost equal (20.75 and 20.77) which means our model is almost similar in performance with the sklearn's SGDRegressor implementation.