

# Math 532 Notes

Jake Bailey

April 3, 2020

## Contents

1	Compact Subspaces of the Real Line	<2020-03-30 Mon 09:03>	1
2	Compact Subsets of the Real Line	<2020-04-01 Wed 08:59>	3
3	Limit Point Compactness	<2020-04-01 Wed 09:37>	4
4	More Limit Point Compactness	<2020-04-03 Fri 09:07>	4

## 1 Compact Subspaces of the Real Line <2020-03-30 Mon 09:03>

**Theorem 1.1.** *The extreme value theorem: Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous, where  $Y$  is an ordered set in the order topology. If  $X$  is compact, then  $\exists c, d \in X$  such that  $f(c) \leq f(x) \leq f(d)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous,  $X$  compact,  $Y$  ordered w/ the order topology. Let  $A = f(X)$ . The continuous image of a compact space is itself compact, so  $A$  is compact. Claim that  $A$  has a largest element  $M$  and a smallest element  $m$ . I.e.  $m, M \in A$ , so  $\exists c, d \in X$  such that  $f(c) = m, f(d) = M$ .

If  $A$  has no largest element, then we can build an open cover which has no finite subcover:  $\{(-\infty, a) \mid a \in A\}$  is an open cover of  $A$ . But  $A$  is compact, so it has a finite subcovering, say  $\{(-\infty, a_1), \dots, (-\infty, a_n)\}$ . Let  $a = \max\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . This  $a \in A$  is not a member of any  $(-\infty, a_i)$ . This is a contradiction, since these sets were assumed to be a cover. So  $A$  has a largest element. The argument for a smallest element is similar.  $\square$

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Let  $A \subseteq X, A \neq \emptyset$ . For  $x \in X$  define  $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y) \mid y \in A\}$  as the distance from  $x$  to  $A$ .

Note: for fixed  $A$  this is a continuous function of  $x$ . Next, we want to find a sort of diameter of containment of the set  $A$ . We can do so by finding the supremum of the distance between any two points in the set.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $A$  bounded. The diameter of  $A$  is:  $\text{diam} A = \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in A\}$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** The Lebesgue Number Lemma: Let  $A$  be an open covering of  $(X, d)$ , a metric space. If  $X$  is compact, then  $\exists \delta > 0$ , called a Lebesgue number, such that every subset of  $X$  with diameter less than  $\delta$  is a subset of some member of  $A$ .

Note:  $\delta$  is the Lebesgue number of  $A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be an open covering of  $(X, d)$ , a compact metric space. If  $X \in A$ , then any positive number will work as a Lebesgue number (and we're done). So, now we assume  $X \notin A$ .

Choose a finite subcollection of  $A$  which will still cover  $X$  (since  $X$  is compact), say  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ . Each  $A_i$  is open, so its complement must be closed. Let  $C_i = X \setminus A_i$  be these closed complements.

Define  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $f(x) = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n d(x, C_i)$ . Essentially, we're taking the average distance of the closed sets to  $X$ . First, we claim that the average distance is not zero. Let  $x \in X$ , and choose  $A_i$  which contains  $x$ . Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $B_d(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A_i$ . Thus,  $d(x, C_i) \geq \epsilon$ . So  $f(x) \geq \epsilon/n$ .

Now, we have that  $f$  is a continuous map from a compact set to an ordered one, so by the extreme value theorem, it has both a minimum and a maximum. Call the minimum  $\delta$ , and claim that  $\delta$  is the Lebesgue number of  $A$ .

Let  $B \subseteq X$  with  $\text{diam } B < \delta$ . Let  $x_0 \in B$ . Then,  $B \subseteq B_d(x_0, \delta)$ . Now,  $\delta \leq f(x_0) \leq d(x_0, C_m)$  where  $d(x_0, C_m)$  is the largest of all the distances from  $x_0$  to each  $C_i$ .

So,  $B \subseteq B_d(x_0, \delta) \subseteq A_m = X \setminus C_m$ . Thus,  $\delta$  is the Lebesgue number of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.3.** A function  $f : (X, d_x) \rightarrow (Y, d_y)$  between metric spaces is continuous at  $x_0 \in X$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall y \in X, d_x(x_0, y) < \delta \Rightarrow d_y(f(x_0), f(y)) < \epsilon$ . This is a pointwise condition, where  $\delta$  depends on both  $\epsilon$  and  $x_0$ .

**Definition 1.4.** A function  $f : (X, d_x) \rightarrow (Y, d_y)$  between metric spaces is said to be uniformly continuous if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x_0, x_1 \in X$  such that  $d_x(x_0, x_1) < \delta \Rightarrow d_y(f(x_0), f(x_1)) < \epsilon$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** The Uniform Continuity Theorem: If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map from a compact metric space to a metric space, then that map is uniformly continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , cover  $y$  by sets  $B(y, \epsilon/2)$  for  $y \in Y$ . Cover  $X$  by  $A = \{f^{-1}(B(y, \epsilon/2)) \mid y \in Y\}$ . Let  $\delta$  be the Lebesgue number of  $A$ . If  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , and the  $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$ , then  $\text{diam}\{x_1, x_2\} < \delta$ , and this set is a subset of one of the covering elements in  $A$ , i.e.  $\{x_1, x_2\} \subseteq f^{-1}(B(y, \epsilon/2))$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Then,  $d_y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq d(f(x_1), y) + d(f(x_2), y) < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$ .  $\square$

## 2 Compact Subsets of the Real Line <2020-04-01 Wed 08:59>

**Definition 2.1.**  $x \in X$  is isolated if  $\{x\}$  is open in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A set  $A$  is countable if it is finite or countably infinite (i.e. there exists a bijection from the set to the naturals).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty compact Hausdorff space. If  $X$  has no isolated points, then  $X$  is uncountable.

*Proof.* Step 1: Show that given  $U \subseteq X$ , open, nonempty, and taking  $x \in X$ ,  $\exists V \subseteq U$ , nonempty, open, such that  $x \notin \bar{V}$ . Let  $y \in U$ ,  $y \neq x$ . If  $x \in U$  since  $x$  is not isolated,  $U \not\setminus \{x\}$ , so  $\exists y \in U, y \neq x$ . If  $x \notin U$ ,  $y$  exists since  $U \neq \emptyset$ .

Choose  $W_1, W_2$  open such that  $x \in W_1, y \in W_2$ . Then  $V = W_2 \cap U$  is the desired open set.

Step 2: Show that a function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow X$  cannot be surjective. Then it follows that  $X$  is uncountable. Let  $x_n = f(n)$ . Apply step 1 with  $x_1 = x, U = X_1$  to obtain nonempty open  $V_1$  such that  $x_1 \notin \bar{V}_1$ . We are going to apply this recursively, choosing  $U_{n+1} = V_n$ . In general,  $V_n \subseteq V_{n-1}, x_n \notin \bar{V}_n$ . We have  $\bar{V}_1 \bar{V}_2 \dots$ , etc. Thus we have a descending sequence of nonempty closed sets.

Because  $X$  is compact,  $\{\bar{V}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a collection of closed sets with the Finite Intersection Property, and  $\exists x \in \bigcap_n \bar{V}_n$ . Then,  $\forall n, x \neq x_n$  since  $x \in \bar{V}_n$  and  $x_n \notin \bar{V}_n$ .  $\square$

One corollary of this: Every closed interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable.

### 3 Limit Point Compactness <2020-04-01 Wed 09:37>

**Definition 3.1.** A space  $X$  is said to be limit point compact if every infinite subset of  $X$  has a limit point.

**Theorem 3.1.** Compactness implies limit point compactness.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be compact. Suppose  $A \subseteq X$  has no limit point (i.e.  $A$  is closed, since it contains all (zero) of its limit points). For each  $a \in A$ , choose open  $U_a$  such that  $a \in U_a$ , and  $(U_a \setminus \{a\}) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Notice  $\{X \setminus A\} \cup \{U_a \mid a \in A\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ , so it has a finite subcover (because  $X$  is compact). Notice  $X \setminus A$  does not intersect  $A$ , each  $U_a$  contains only one point of  $A$ , and there can only be finitely many of them (finite subcover), so  $A$  must be finite. Thus, for a subset of  $X$  to not have a limit point, it must be finite, and all infinite subsets of  $X$  must have a limit point.  $\square$

Note that the converse (limit point compactness implies compactness) is not true! Example: Let  $Y$  be a two point set in the indiscrete topology. Consider  $X = \mathbb{Z}_+ \times Y$ . Every nonempty subset of  $X$  has a limit point. But,  $U_n = \{n\} \times Y$  is an open cover with a finite subcover.

### 4 More Limit Point Compactness <2020-04-03 Fri 09:07>

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $X$  be a well-ordered. Given  $\alpha \in X$ , let  $S_\alpha$  denote  $S_\alpha = \{x \mid x \in X, x < \alpha\}$ . This is the section of  $X$  by  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** There exists a well-ordered set  $A$  having largest element  $\Omega$  so each section  $S_\Omega$  of  $A$  by  $\Omega$  is uncountable, but every other section of  $A$  is countable.

*Proof.* Begin with an uncountable well ordered set  $B$ . Let  $C = \{1, 2\} \times B$  in the dictionary order topology. Some section of  $C$  has to be uncountable. any  $1 \times b$  for  $b \in B$  is less than any  $2 \times b$ . A section by  $\alpha = 2 \times b$  is uncountable. Let  $\Omega$  be the least element of  $X$  such that the section of  $C$  by  $\Omega$  is uncountable.  $A$  is the section of  $C$  together with  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

$S_\Omega$  is uncountable, well ordered, and every other section is countable, so it is called the minimum uncountable well ordered set. Denote  $A = S_\Omega \cup \{\Omega\}$  by  $\overline{S}_\Omega$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $A$  is a countable subset of  $S_\Omega$ , then  $A$  has an upper bound in  $S_\Omega$ .*

Is  $S_\Omega$  compact? Take  $S_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in A$ .  $S_\Omega$  has no largest element, so no finite subcover of this cover. So  $S_\Omega$  is not compact.  $S_\Omega$  is limit point compact: Let  $A \subseteq S_\Omega$  be infinite. Let  $B \subseteq A$  be countably infinite. Let  $b$  be an upper bound of  $B$ . So  $B \subseteq [a_0, b]$  where  $a_0 = \min S_\Omega$ . Since  $S_\Omega$  has a least upper bound,  $[a_0, b]$  is compact. So  $B$  has a limit point in  $[a_0, b]$ . Then  $x$  is also a limit point of  $A$ . So  $S_\Omega$  is limit point compact.

**Definition 4.2.** *Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  be a sequence of elements of the topological space  $X$ . Let  $\$n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_i < \dots \$$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers. Then  $(y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  defined as  $y_i = x_{n_i}$  is a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ .*

$X$  is sequentially compact if every sequence of points of  $X$  has a convergent subsequence.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a metrizable space. The following are equivalent:*

1.  $X$  is compact.
2.  $X$  is limit point compact.
3.  $X$  is sequentially compact.

*Proof.* 1. implies 2): done above!

2. implies 3): Assume  $X$  is limit point compact. Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  be a sequence of elements of  $X$ .

□