Meteorology and Ozone, Temperature, Relative Humidity

J. Coates¹ and T. Butler¹

¹Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies, Potsdam, Germany

October 20, 2015

Gap: Many observational studies have noted the dependence of ozone production on temperature

5 Abstract

6

1

2

3

4

1 Introduction

and also the non-linearity of ozone production on temperature and NOx. Furthermore, many regional modelling studies have also reproduced this relationship of ozone production on 10 temperature for specific areas; currently most of these modelling studies have been concerned with 11 US regions. Despite all this research, there has not been (to our knowledge) a detailed process study looking at modelled ozone as a function of both NOx and temperature. In this study, we model ozone over various temperature and NOx levels to determine how ozone production varies under these range of conditions. The review of Pusede et al. (2015) also highlights a lack of modelling studies looking at this non-linear relationship of ozone on NOx and temperature. 16 Pusede et al. (2014) demonstrated the importance of tackling high ozone levels also from 17 the stand point of temperature and in relation to varying NOx conditions over the San Joaquin Valley in California and the review of Pusede et al. (2015) highlights that the temperature dependence of biogenic VOC (BVOC) emissions is mainly responsible for this relationship. In 20 this study, we model the effects of temperature-dependent and temperature-independent BVOC 21 emissions on ozone production in order to look at the effects of temperature dependent ozone production chemistry without temperature dependent VOC emissions and then the effects of temperature-dependent chemistry and temperature-dependent BVOC emissions. As mentioned

in the review of Pusede et al. (2015), typically BVOC emissions are temperature-dependent while anthropogenic VOC (AVOC) emissions tend to be temperature-independent, as AVOC emissions

tend to be process and combustion related.

28 2 Methodology

29 2.1 Model Setup

- MECCA box model as described in Coates and Butler (2015) to broadly simulate the Benelux

 (Belgium, Netherlands and Luxembourg) region. As photolysis rates are parameterised by

 the solar zenith angle, the solar zenith angle of 51°N was used, representative of the central

 Benelux region.
- MECCA box model has been updated to include vertical mixing with the free troposphere
 and accordingly includes a diurnal cycle for the PBL height. These amendments are
 discussed further in Sect. 2.3.
- Simulations start at 06:00 using spring equinoctical conditions and the simulations ended after two days.
- All simulations performed using the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3.2, (Rickard 39 et al., 2015), Common Representative Intermediates, CRI v2 (Jenkin et al., 2008), Model 40 for Ozone and related chemical tracers, MOZART-4 (Emmons et al., 2010), Regional Acid Deposition Model, RADM2 (Stockwell et al., 1990) and the Carbon Bond Mechanism, CB05 42 (Yarwood et al., 2005). Coates and Butler (2015) describes the implementation of these 43 chemical mechanisms for use with KPP within MECCA. These chemical mechanisms were 44 chosen as they are commonly used by modelling groups and represent the highly-detailed 45 chemistry (MCM v3.2), chemistry suitable for regional 3D models (CRI v2, RADM2 and CB05) and global 3D models (MOZART-4). 47
- NOx emissions and temperature were varied systematically to analyse the effects on ozone mixing ratios over different NOx gradients and different temperatures.
- VOC emissions constant until noon of first day, to simulate a plume of emitted VOC.
- Two sets of runs were performed to include both a temperature-dependent and temperature-independent source of biogenic VOC emissions. MEGANv2.1 (Guenther

- et al., 2012) was used to specify the temperature-dependent BVOC emissions of isoprene.

 Isoprene is the most important VOC at a global scale due its high emission rates and
 emissions from vegetation have been reported to depend on temperature (Guenther et al.,
 2006).
- Methane is fixed at 1.7 ppmv throughout the model run, carbon monoxide (CO) and ozone
 were initialised at 200 ppbv and 40 ppbv and then allowed to evolve freely throughout the
 the simulation.
- The only source of NOx emissions in the box model was a constant source of NO emissions. The NO emissions were systematically varied from 5.2×10^6 to 1.6×10^9 molecules (NO) cm⁻² s⁻¹ at each temperature point used in this study. The temperature was systematically varied between 288 and 313 K (15 40 °C).

54 2.2 VOC Emissions

70

71

72

73

- Anthropogenic emissions from Benelux for the year 2011 were obtained from the

 TNO-MACC_III emission inventory. TNO-MACC_III is the current version of the

 TNO-MACC_II inventory and was created using the same methodology as Kuenen

 et al. (2014) and based upon improvements to the existing emission inventory during

 the AQMEII-2 exercise described in Pouliot et al. (2015).
 - Temperature-independent emissions of the biogenic VOC isoprene and monoterpenes, were calculated as a fraction of the total anthropogenic VOC emissions from each country in the Benelux region, this data was obtained from the supplementary data available from the EMEP (European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme) model (Simpson et al., 2012).
- AVOC and BVOC emissions are included as total emissions from SNAP (Selected
 Nomenclature for Air Pollution) source categories and these emissions were assigned
 to chemical groupings based on the country specific profiles for Belgium, the Netherlands
 and Luxembourg provided by TNO.
- The MCM v3.2 initial species were determined using the country specific profiles for each SNAP source category and where appropriate information of individual chemical species that can be represented by MCM v3.2 were determined using the detailed speciations of

will
need to
change
the NO
emissions
range

Table 1: Total anthropogenic NMVOC emissions in 2011 in tonnes from each SNAP category assigned from TNO-MACC_III emission inventory and biogenic VOC emission in tonnes from Benelux region assigned from EMEP. The allocation of these emissions to MCM v3.2, CRI v2, CB05, MOZART-4 and RADM2 species is found in the supplement.

	SNAP1	SNAP2	SNAP34	SNAP5	SNAP6	SNAP71
Belgium	4494	9034	22152	5448	42809	6592
Netherlands	9140	12173	29177	8723	53535	16589
Luxembourg	121	44	208	1371	4482	1740
Total	13755	21251	62648	15542	100826	24921
	SNAP72	SNAP73	SNAP74	SNAP8	SNAP9	BVOC
Belgium	2446	144	210	6448	821	7042
Netherlands	3230	1283	1793	10067	521	1462
Luxembourg	1051	6	324	643	0	2198
Total	6727	1433	2327	17158	1342	10702

Passant (2002). This approach was also used in von Schneidemesser et al. (2015) and further details are found within this article.

• As in von Schneidemesser et al. (2015), first the primary VOC that are represented by the MCM v3.2 and respective emissions were determined. Using this MCM v3.2 data, the NMVOC emission data were mapped to mechanism species in the other four chemical mechanisms used in the study. The NMVOC emissions in the non-MCM v3.2 chemical mechanisms were weighted by the carbon numbers of the MCM v3.2 species and the emitted mechanism species. The supplementary data outlines the primary NMVOC and calculated emissions with each chemical mechanism.

2.3Vertical Mixing with Diurnal Boundary Layer Height 90

- The MECCA box model used in Coates and Butler (2015) included a constant boundary 91 layer height of 1 km and no interactions (vertical mixing) with the free troposphere. 92
- The planetary boundary layer (PBL) height varies diurnally and affects chemistry by 93 diluting emissions after sunrise when the PBL rises. The expansion of the PBL into the 94 free troposphere introduces vertical mixing with those chemical species present in the free 95 troposphere. When the PBL collapses in the evening, pollutants are trapped in the PBL.
- The mixing layer height was measured as part of the BAERLIN campaign over the city of 97 Berlin. The profile of mean mixing layer height during the campaign period (June – August 98 2014) was used in the model to represent the diurnal cycle of the mixing layer height. 99

PBL height mixes the chemical species from the free troposphere with the chemical species

within the PBL i.e. vertical mixing. The PBL height collapses during night leaving the

Boris' paper • The concentrations of the chemical species within the PBL are diluted due to the larger mixing volume when the PBL height increases at the beginning of the day, also the increasing

stable nocturnal boundary layer, trapping the chemical species into a smaller volume thus 104 increasing the concentrations of the chemical species. 105

100

101

102

103

108

109

110

111

112

- This vertical mixing scheme was implemented into the boxmodel using the same approach 106 of Lourens (2012). 107
 - The mixing ratios of O3, CO and CH4 in the free troposphere were respectively set to 50 ppby, 116 ppby and 1.8 ppmy. These conditions were taken from the MATCH-MPIC chemical weather forecast model on the 21st March (the start date of the simulations). The model results (http://cwf.iass-potsdam.de/) at the 700 hPa height were chosen and the daily average was used as input into the boxmodel.

reference

check

Reference

113 3 Results

114 4 Conclusions

References

- J. Coates and T. M. Butler. A comparison of chemical mechanisms using tagged ozone production
- potential (TOPP) analysis. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15(15):8795–8808, 2015.
- L. K. Emmons, S. Walters, P. G. Hess, J.-F. Lamarque, G. G. Pfister, D. Fillmore, C. Granier,
- A. Guenther, D. Kinnison, T. Laepple, J. Orlando, X. Tie, G. Tyndall, C. Wiedinmyer, S. L.
- Baughcum, and S. Kloster. Description and evaluation of the Model for Ozone and Related
- chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4). Geoscientific Model Development, 3(1):43–67, 2010.
- A. Guenther, T. Karl, P. Harley, C. Wiedinmyer, P. I. Palmer, and C. Geron. Estimates of global
- terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from
- Nature). Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6(11):3181–3210, 2006.
- A. B. Guenther, X. Jiang, C. L. Heald, T. Sakulyanontvittaya, T. Duhl, L. K. Emmons, and
- 126 X. Wang. The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1):
- ¹²⁷ an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model
- 128 Development, 5(6):1471-1492, 2012.
- M.E. Jenkin, L.A. Watson, S.R. Utembe, and D.E. Shallcross. A Common Representative
- 130 Intermediates (CRI) mechanism for VOC degradation. Part 1: Gas phase mechanism development.
- 131 Atmospheric Environment, 42(31):7185 7195, 2008.
- J. J. P. Kuenen, A. J. H. Visschedijk, M. Jozwicka, and H. A. C. Denier van der Gon.
- 133 TNO-MACC II emission inventory; a multi-year (2003–2009) consistent high-resolution european
- emission inventory for air quality modelling. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14(20):
- 135 10963–10976, 2014.
- AsM Lourens. Air quality in the Johannesburg-Pretoria megacity: its regional influence and
- identification of parameters that could mitigate pollution. PhD thesis, North-West University,
- Potchefstroom Campus, 2012.
- N. Passant. Speciation of UK emissions of non-methane volatile organic compounds. Technical
- report, DEFRA, Oxon, UK., 2002.

- George Pouliot, Hugo A.C. Denier van der Gon, Jeroen Kuenen, Junhua Zhang, Michael D. Moran,
- and Paul A. Makar. Analysis of the emission inventories and model-ready emission datasets of
- Europe and North America for phase 2 of the AQMEII project. Atmospheric Environment, 115:
- 144 345–360, 2015.
- 145 S. E. Pusede, D. R. Gentner, P. J. Wooldridge, E. C. Browne, A. W. Rollins, K.-E. Min, A. R.
- Russell, J. Thomas, L. Zhang, W. H. Brune, S. B. Henry, J. P. DiGangi, F. N. Keutsch, S. A.
- Harrold, J. A. Thornton, M. R. Beaver, J. M. St. Clair, P. O. Wennberg, J. Sanders, X. Ren,
- T. C. VandenBoer, M. Z. Markovic, A. Guha, R. Weber, A. H. Goldstein, and R. C. Cohen.
- On the temperature dependence of organic reactivity, nitrogen oxides, ozone production, and
- the impact of emission controls in San Joaquin Valley, California. Atmospheric Chemistry and
- 151 Physics, 14(7):3373–3395, 2014.
- 152 Sally E. Pusede, Allison L. Steiner, and Ronald C. Cohen. Temperature and Recent Trends in
- the Chemistry of Continental Surface Ozone. Chemical Reviews, 115(10):3898–3918, 2015.
- Andrew Rickard, Jenny Young, M. J. Pilling, M. E. Jenkin, Stephen Pascoe, and S. M. Saunders.
- The Master Chemical Mechanism Version MCM v3.2. http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCMv3.2/,
- 156 2015. [Online; accessed 25-March-2015].
- D. Simpson, A. Benedictow, H. Berge, R. Bergström, L. D. Emberson, H. Fagerli, C. R. Flechard,
- G. D. Hayman, M. Gauss, J. E. Jonson, M. E. Jenkin, A. Nyíri, C. Richter, V. S. Semeena,
- S. Tsyro, J.-P. Tuovinen, Á. Valdebenito, and P. Wind. The EMEP MSC-W chemical transport
- model technical description. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12(16):7825–7865, 2012.
- William R. Stockwell, Paulette Middleton, Julius S. Chang, and Xiaoyan Tang. The second
- generation regional acid deposition model chemical mechanism for regional air quality modeling.
- Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 95(D10):16343-16367, 1990.
- E. von Schneidemesser, J. Coates, A. J. H. Visschedijk, H. A. C. Denier van der Gon, and T. M.
- Butler. Variation of the NMVOC speciation in the solvent sector and the sensitivity of modelled
- tropospheric ozone. Atmospheric Environment, page In preparation, 2015.
- Greg Yarwood, Sunja Rao, Mark Yocke, and Gary Z. Whitten. Updates to the Carbon Bond
- 168 Chemical Mechanism: CB05. Technical report, U. S Environmental Protection Agency, 2005.