

Chapter 2

Methodology

This chapter describes the methods and materials used to address the research questions of the study (Sect. ??). Details of the modelling set-up, including initial and boundary conditions are included in this chapter.

2.1 Air Quality Modelling

Models are either Eulerian or Lagrangian, with Eulerian models constituting most of the models used by the AQ modelling community (Russell and Dennis, 2000). Eulerian models describe the atmosphere using fixed grid-boxes where species enter in and out of the boxes and the species concentrations are calculated as a function of time. While Lagrangian models simulate changes of selected air parcels during advection through the atmosphere, thus there is no exchange between the surroundings and the air parcel (besides emissions) and the model calculates concentrations at different locations and times (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006).

Photochemical models also have different dimensions, ranging from zero-dimensional (box model) to three-dimensional models where the simplicity and computing power increase with model dimension. Box models are the simplest type of a model having uniform atmospheric concentrations that are only a function of time (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). Box models lack realism but are extremely useful for studying the detailed processes that influence air quality. Examples of modelling studies that have used box models include Qi et al. (2007) and Nölscher et al. (2014).

Models numerically solve the chemical species conservation equation

describing the processes affecting the concentration of chemical species:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \bar{U} c_i = \nabla \rho D \nabla (c_i / \rho) + R_i(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, T, t) \\ + S_i(\bar{x}, t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

In Eq. (2.1), c_i is the concentration (in mass or volume) of species i , \bar{U} is the wind velocity vector, D_i is the molecular diffusivity of species i , R_i is the rate of concentration change of species i through chemical reactions and may be a function of meteorological variables such as temperature. $S_i(\bar{x}, t)$ is the source or sink of i at location \bar{x} , ρ is the air density and n is the number of predicted species. (Russell and Dennis, 2000)

The dimension and type of model determines the set of differential equations solved at each time step of the model run. Numerical methods used to determine the concentration of species i in Eq. (2.1) vary between models, examples include Runge-Kutta (Sandu et al., 1997b), Finite Element (Russell and Dennis, 2000) or Rosenbrock methods (Sandu et al., 1997a).

Initial and boundary conditions are required to solve the system of differential equations. Boundary conditions require knowledge of the concentration and transport of species i at the boundary edges of the model grid. While initial conditions fix the starting concentration of each species i .

2.1.1 Model Description and Setup

The MECCA (Module Efficiently Calculating the Chemistry of the Atmosphere) box model was used throughout this work to study the detailed processes influencing the production of ozone. MECCA was developed by Sander et al. (2005) and adapted to include MCM v3.1 chemistry by Butler et al. (2011). The chemical mechanisms used with MECCA in this work are outlined in Sect. 2.2. The MECCA box model has been used for studies in modelling of atmospheric gas-phase chemistry including Kubistin et al. (2010) and Lourens et al. (2016).

MECCA is written using the Fortran programming language and runs on UNIX/Linux platforms. The setup of MECCA that we used uses KPP (Kinetic Pre-Processor) (Damian et al., 2002) to efficiently setup up the system of differential equations for each species considered (Eq. (2.1)). KPP processes the specified chemistry scheme in the chemical mechanism and generates Fortran code that is then compiled by MECCA. KPP has many choices of numerical solver for solving the

Table 2.1: General settings used for MECCA box model in this study.

Model Parameter	Setting
Pressure	1013 hPa
Temperature	293 K
Relative Humidity	81 %
Boundary Layer Height	1000 m
Latitude	34° N
Starting Date and Time	27th March 06:00
Model Time Step	20 mins
Model Run Time	7 days

differential equations, a Rosenbrock solver (the `ros3` option) throughout this work.

Aside from the chemistry, MECCA also calculates physical parameters at every time step of the simulations. In our simulations, the pressure, temperature, relative humidity and boundary layer height are held constant at the set values of Table 2.1.

varying temperature and use of diurnal boundary layer height with vertical mixing in final part of study, latitude and total run time also changed

Photolysis rates in this study are parameterised as a function of the solar zenith angle. This parameterisation requires the degree of latitude for the study to be a defined variable in MECCA, we have chosen the 34° N latitude which is roughly that of the city of Los Angeles. and changed to ? in the temperature-ozone study. The simulations start at the spring equinox (27th March) at 6am and allowed to run for seven diurnal cycles. or 2 days for final study.

All fluxes of the chemical species into and out of the box are handled by KPP. The chemical mechanism file includes pseudo-unimolecular reactions specifying the emissions and dry deposition of chemical species with the relevant rate. The chemical species that are emitted into the model and the emission rates are read into the model using a namelist file.

Namelist files are also used to specify the initial conditions of chemical species and the mixing ratios of those chemical species that are fixed throughout the model. In all simulations, methane (CH_4) was fixed to 1.75 ppmv while carbon monoxide (CO) and O_3 are initialised at 200 ppbv and 40 ppbv and then allowed to evolve freely.

2.2 Chemical Mechanisms

Chemical mechanisms describe the atmospheric chemistry used by a model. The chemical mechanism specifies all reactions, products and rate constants of each chemical species. The number of species determines the size of the system of differential equations determined by Eq. (2.1) which determines the amount of computing resources required for the model simulations.

Chemical mechanisms range from highly-detailed (explicit) chemical mechanisms to the less-detailed lumped-structure and lumped-molecule chemical mechanisms. Explicit chemical mechanisms, such as Aumont et al. (2005), include thousands of reactions outlining the degradation chemistry of VOCs even including reactions of minor importance in the atmosphere. Near-explicit chemical mechanisms, such as the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) of Jenkin et al. (1997), Jenkin et al. (2003), Saunders et al. (2003), Bloss et al. (2005) are less-detailed than explicit chemical mechanisms but still contain thousands of reactions and as such are mainly used in box models.

Many other chemical mechanisms developed simplified approaches to describe atmospheric chemistry for use in regional and global models. These reduced chemical mechanisms aggregate (or lump) VOC into mechanism species and the secondary degradation of these mechanism species attempts to replicate ambient measurements and chamber experiments (Stockwell et al., 2012). The first part of this study compares the maximal ozone produced from a number of reduced chemical mechanisms, listed in Table 2.2, a description of the different reduction techniques used by the reduced mechanisms is found in Sect. 2.2.2, Sect. 2.2.3 and Sect. 2.2.4. The main results from the chemical mechanism comparison study are presented in Sect. ??.

see about wording and less repetition to intro

2.2.1 Near-Explicit Chemical Mechanisms

The Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM v3) is a near-explicit mechanism describing the chemical degradation of 107 non-aromatic VOCs in (Saunders et al., 2003) and 18 aromatic VOCs in (Jenkin et al., 2003). The MCM v3.2 was the reference mechanism throughout this study as it was the most recent version of the MCM at the time of the first experiments related to the chemical mechanism study; the MCM v3.2 was obtained from the world wide web (<http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCMv3.2/>). In

Table 2.2: Chemical mechanisms used in the study.

Chemical Mechanism	Lumping Type	Reference
MCM v3.1 and v3.2	No lumping	Jenkin et al. (1997), Jenkin et al. (2003) Saunders et al. (2003), Bloss et al. (2005) Rickard et al. (2015)
CRIv2	Lumped intermediates	Jenkin et al. (2008)
MOZART-4	Lumped molecule	Emmons et al. (2010)
RADM2	Lumped molecule	Stockwell et al. (1990)
RACM	Lumped molecule	Stockwell et al. (1997)
RACM2	Lumped molecule	Goliff et al. (2013)
CBM-IV	Lumped structure	Gery et al. (1989)
CB05	Lumped structure	Yarwood et al. (2005)

total, the MCM v3.2 has 12,691 reactions including 4351 organic compounds and 46 inorganic compounds.

Rate constants and branching ratios of the reactions represented in the MCM v3.2 are those recommended by IUPAC. If no data was available then they are estimated using structure activity representation (SAR) or group reactivity (GR) methods. Each primary VOC and each degradation product, is individually degraded until it is broken down to CO_2 , H_2O , CO or an organic product (or radical) already represented by the MCM (Jenkin et al., 1997). In order to minimize the number of reactions and species in the MCM v3, reactions with low probability and those reactions deemed of minor importance are not included. Also the reactions between peroxy radicals are represented by a single parameterised reaction which greatly reduces the number of reactions.


2.2.2 Lumped Intermediate Chemical Mechanisms

Lumped intermediate chemical mechanisms aggregate the degradation products rather than primary VOC. The Common Representative Intermediates (CRI) chemical mechanism (Jenkin et al., 2008) is an example of a lumped intermediate mechanism.

The CRI is a reduced version of the MCM developed for 3-D models. Reducing the complexity of the MCM was achieved by representing many degradation products (intermediates) in the CRI by a single mechanism species designed to produce the same amount of ozone as when using the MCM. The CRI v2 was used in this study and the intermediates in this version of the CRI mirror the ozone production from the MCM v3.1.

The CRI v2 is available online (<http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/CRI>) in a full

Figure 2.1: Flowchart of the major reactions of primary VOCs, intermediates and products considered in the MCM. Figure 1 in Saunders et al. (2003)



version and further reduced variants that include even further reductions to the chemistry represented in MCM v3.1 by also lumping VOCs into lumped mechanism species. Jenkin et al. (2008) describes the main assumptions made in order to condense the organic chemistry of the MCM v3.1 to the lumped intermediate chemistry of the CRI v2, while Watson et al. (2008) describes the further reductions made to the CRI v2 to produce the five lumped emission variants. The most reduced version of the CRI v2 has also been implemented into the widely used 3-D regional model WRF-CHEM (Archer-Nicholls et al., 2014).

2.2.3 Lumped Molecule Chemical Mechanisms

Lumped molecule chemical mechanisms aggregate primary VOC into mechanism species and is the most simplification common technique. However, lumped-molecule chemical mechanisms use different approaches in creating these lumped species. Typically VOCs having large emissions, such as methane, isoprene, ethane and ethene, are represented by dedicated species with mechanism species used to represent all other VOCs. These lumped mechanism species typically represent NMVOC based on functional group, OH-reactivity or a combination of both. Table 2.2 lists the lumped-molecule chemical mechanisms used in this thesis and implemented into the MECCA model.

MOZART

The Model for OZone and Related chemical Tracers (MOZART) chemical mechanism is an example of a lumped molecule chemical mechanism used in global and regional 3-D models. MOZART was developed for global chemical transport models and describes chemical processes within the planetary boundary layer, free troposphere and stratosphere. MOZART-4 (Emmons et al., 2010) was used in this study.

MOZART-4 represents methane, ethane, propane, ethene, propene, isoprene and formaldehyde by explicit species. The lumped mechanism species, BIGALK, BIGENE and TOLUENE, are used to represent alkanes and alkenes with four or more carbon atoms and all aromatic VOC (Emmons et al., 2010). Thus, the lumping species used by MOZART are based on the functionality of the VOC. There are no mechanism species representing emissions of esters, ethers or chlorinated NMVOC, when representing emissions of these less-reactive NMVOC are added to the BIGALK mechanism species as this has the lowest OH-reactivity.

modifications for use in MECCA

RADM2

The Regional Acid Deposition Model (RADM2) (Stockwell et al., 1990) is used in regional modelling studies. RADM2 is still popular within the modelling community as outlined by the review of European modelling groups by Baklanov et al. (2014).

Methane, ethane, ethene, isoprene and formaldehyde are represented explicitly in RAMD2. RADM2 represents other VOC using lumped species based on the OH-reactivity and functional group of the VOC. The lumped mechanism species, HC3, HC5, and HC8, represent alkanes and other VOCs such as alcohols, ethers and chlorinated VOC based on their OH-reactivity. Alkenes are represented by OLT or OLI depending on the position of the double bond (OLT: terminal alkenes and OLI: internal alkenes).

Aromatic VOC are represented by TOL or XYL depending on OH-reactivity and CSL is used for hydroxy-substituted aromatics. Carbonyls and organic acids are also represented by lumped mechanism species. Typically the NMVOC having the least number of carbons (e.g. formaldehyde and formic acid) is represented by an

explicit species and then all other species from that functional group are represented by a lumped species.

RACM

Stockwell et al. (1997) describes Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Mechanism (RACM) which updates RADM2. RACM includes further lumped mechanism species such as API and LIM to represent cyclic terpenes with one double bond and all other cyclic terpenes.

RACM2

RACM was further extended and updated to RACM2 (Goliff et al., 2013) including more lumped mechanism species to represent primary VOC emissions as well as updating the secondary chemistry of lumped mechanism species. The biggest changes in the chemistry was to the representation of aromatic chemistry. RACM2 now represents aromatic VOC using eight mechanism species instead of three species as in RACM, with explicit representation of benzene and each xylene isomer having its own mechanism species. The secondary chemistry of the aromatic VOC was updated to reflect the MCM.

Acetone and methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) are now treated as separate species rather than being represent as a single mechanism species, KET, in RADM2 and RACM. Alcohols are also represented in RACM2, whereas in the previous versions, alcohols were represented by HC3, HC5 or HC8.

isoprene degradation products from RADM2->RACM->RACM2

2.2.4 Lumped Structure Chemical Mechanisms

Lumped-structure chemical mechanisms express VOCs as permutations of building blocks representing the structure of the emitted VOC. The Carbon Bond mechanism is the most widely used lumped-structure chemical mechanism which includes mechanism species representing the different carbon bonds present in emitted VOC.

CBM-IV

The fourth version of the Carbon Bond mechanism was developed to represent the chemistry in polluted urban conditions and described in Gery et al. (1989). CBM-IV represents 20 organic species and requires 46 reactions to fully represent the secondary chemistry. Explicitly represented emitted NMVOC are those with the most significant emissions: isoprene, ethene and formaldehyde. Mechanism species represent the C–C bond (PAR), OLE represents C=C and ALD2 represents C=O and less and more reactive aromatic VOC are represented by TOL and XYL.

NMVOC emissions emitted by the mechanism species are described in Hogo and Gery (1989). For example, if heptane, having seven carbons each with a single bond, has emissions of 1×10^9 molecules $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ then using the CBM-IV, these emissions would be represented by 7 PAR and thus PAR emissions would be 7×10^9 molecules $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$. Also, propene is represented as 1 OLE and 1 PAR and so emissions of 1×10^9 molecules $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ would be emitted as 1×10^9 molecules $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ of OLE and 1×10^9 molecules $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ of PAR.

CB05

CBM-IV was updated to CB05 (Yarwood et al., 2005) to include more species representing emitted VOC. CB05 includes 99 organic reactions to represent the degradation of 37 organic species. Mechanism species for terpenes (TERP), ethane (ETHA), aldehydes with more than three carbons (ALDX), methanol (MEOH), ethanol (ETOH) and alkenes with both internal (IOLE) and external (OLE) double bonds were included. CB05 was also updated to include low- NO_x chemistry including peroxide formation.

2.3 Using the Chemical Mechanisms in MECCA

As outlined in Sect. 2.1.1, the MECCA boxmodel is based upon the KPP pre-processor and so required that all chemical mechanisms listed in Table 2.2 be written in the KPP format. The KPP format of each chemical mechanism was either obtained from the WRF/Chem (Grell et al., 2005) model, which includes KPP files of RADM2, RACM and CBM-IV for use within the model. For all the other chemical mechanisms, the chemistry published in the original reference were adapted to the KPP format.

update
citation

Some changes were made to the original chemistry specified by each chemical mechanism. Firstly, the inorganic chemistry of the MCM v3.2 was used in each chemical mechanism in order to focus on differences between chemical mechanisms of their representation of the secondary degradation chemistry of emitted NMVOC. Other changes included adopting the MCM v3.2 approaches to photolysis and peroxy-peroxy reactions, a more detailed description of these changes are found in the supplementary material of the first paper of this thesis (Chap. ??).

Include
supplementary
material

2.4 Initial and Boundary Conditions

References

- S. Archer-Nicholls, D. Lowe, S. Utembe, J. Allan, R. A. Zaveri, J. D. Fast, Ø. Hodnebrog, H. Denier van der Gon, and G. McFiggans. Gaseous chemistry and aerosol mechanism developments for version 3.5.1 of the online regional model, WRF-Chem. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 7(6):2557–2579, 2014. doi:10.5194/gmd-7-2557-2014. URL <http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/7/2557/2014/>.
- B. Aumont, S. Szopa, and S. Madronich. Modelling the evolution of organic carbon during its gas-phase tropospheric oxidation: development of an explicit model based on a self generating approach. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 5(9):2497–2517, 2005. doi:10.5194/acp-5-2497-2005. URL <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/2497/2005/>.
- A. Baklanov, K. Schlünzen, P. Suppan, J. Baldasano, D. Brunner, S. Aksoyoglu, G. Carmichael, J. Douros, J. Flemming, R. Forkel, S. Galmarini, M. Gauss, G. Grell, M. Hirtl, S. Joffre, O. Jorba, E. Kaas, M. Kaasik, G. Kallos, X. Kong, U. Korsholm, A. Kurganskiy, J. Kushta, U. Lohmann, A. Mahura, A. Manders-Groot, A. Maurizi, N. Moussiopoulos, S. T. Rao, N. Savage, C. Seigneur, R. S. Sokhi, E. Solazzo, S. Solomos, B. Sørensen, G. Tsegas, E. Vignati, B. Vogel, and Y. Zhang. Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in Europe: current status and prospects. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14(1):317–398, 2014. doi:10.5194/acp-14-317-2014. URL <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/14/317/2014/>.
- C. Bloss, V. Wagner, M. E. Jenkin, R. Vollamer, W. J. Bloss, J. D. Lee, D. E. Heard, K. Wirtz, M. Martin-Reviejo, G. Rea, J. C. Wenger, and M. J. Pilling. Development of a detailed chemical mechanism (MCMv3.1) for the atmospheric oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 5:641–664, 2005.
- T. Butler, M. Lawrence, D. Taraborrelli, and J. Lelieveld. Multi-day ozone production potential of volatile organic compounds calculated with a tagging approach. *Atmospheric Environment*, 45(24):4082 – 4090, 2011. ISSN

1352-2310. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.03.040>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231011003001>.

V. Damian, A. Sandu, M. Damian, F. Potra, and G. R. Carmichael. The kinetic preprocessor KPP-a software environment for solving chemical kinetics. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 26(11):1567 – 1579, 2002. ISSN 0098-1354. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0098-1354\(02\)00128-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0098-1354(02)00128-X). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S009813540200128X>.

L. K. Emmons, S. Walters, P. G. Hess, J.-F. Lamarque, G. G. Pfister, D. Fillmore, C. Granier, A. Guenther, D. Kinnison, T. Laepple, J. Orlando, X. Tie, G. Tyndall, C. Wiedinmyer, S. L. Baughcum, and S. Kloster. Description and evaluation of the Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4). *Geoscientific Model Development*, 3(1):43–67, 2010. doi:10.5194/gmd-3-43-2010. URL <http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/3/43/2010/>.

M. W. Gery, G. Z. Whitten, J. P. Killus, and M. C. Dodge. A photochemical kinetics mechanism for urban and regional scale computer modeling. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 94(D10):12,925–12,956, 1989.

W. S. Goliff, W. R. Stockwell, and C. V. Lawson. The regional atmospheric chemistry mechanism, version 2. *Atmospheric Environment*, 68:174 – 185, 2013. ISSN 1352-2310. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.11.038>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231012011065>.

G. Grell, S. Peckham, R. Schmitz, S. McKeen, G. Frost, W. Skamarock, and B. Eder. Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model. *Atmospheric Environment*, 39(37):6957–6975, 2005.

H. Hogo and M. Gery. USER'S GUIDE FOR EXECUTING OZIPM-4 (OZONE ISOPLETH PLOTTING WITH OPTIONAL MECHANISMS, VERSION 4) WITH CBM-IV (CARBON-BOND MECHANISMS-IV) OR OPTIONAL MECHANISMS. VOLUME 1. DESCRIPTION OF THE OZONE ISOPLETH PLOTTING PACKAGE. VERSION 4. Technical report, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989.

M. Jenkin, L. Watson, S. Utembe, and D. Shallcross. A Common Representative Intermediates (CRI) mechanism for VOC degradation. Part 1: Gas phase mechanism development. *Atmospheric Environment*, 42(31):7185 – 7195, 2008. ISSN 1352-2310. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.07.028>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231008006742>.

M. E. Jenkin, S. M. Saunders, and M. J. Pilling. The tropospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds: a protocol for mechanism

- development. *Atmospheric Environment*, 31(1):81 – 104, 1997. ISSN 1352-2310. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(96\)00105-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00105-7). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231096001057>.
- M. E. Jenkin, S. M. Saunders, V. Wagner, and M. J. Pilling. Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part B): tropospheric degradation of aromatic volatile organic compounds. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 3(1):181–193, 2003. doi:10.5194/acp-3-181-2003. URL <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/181/2003/>.
- F. Kirchner and W. R. Stockwell. Effect of peroxy radical reactions on the predicted concentrations of ozone, nitrogenous compounds, and radicals. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 101(D15):21007–21022, 1996. ISSN 2156-2202. doi:10.1029/96JD01519. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/96JD01519>.
- D. Kubistin, H. Harder, M. Martinez, M. Rudolf, R. Sander, H. Bozem, G. Eerdeken, H. Fischer, C. Gurk, T. Klüpfel, R. Königstedt, U. Parchatka, C. L. Schiller, A. Stickler, D. Taraborrelli, J. Williams, and J. Lelieveld. Hydroxyl radicals in the tropical troposphere over the Suriname rainforest: comparison of measurements with the box model MECCA. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10(19):9705–9728, 2010. doi:10.5194/acp-10-9705-2010. URL <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/10/9705/2010/>.
- A. S. M. Lourens, T. M. Butler, J. P. Beukes, P. G. van Zyl, G. D. Fourie, and M. G. Lawrence. Investigating atmospheric photochemistry in the Johannesburg-Pretoria megacity using a box model. *South African Journal of Science*, 112(1/2), 2016. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2016/2015-0169>.
- A. Nölscher, T. Butler, J. Auld, P. Veres, A. Muñoz, D. Taraborrelli, L. Vereecken, J. Lelieveld, and J. Williams. Using total OH reactivity to assess isoprene photooxidation via measurement and model. *Atmospheric Environment*, 89(0): 453–463, 2014. ISSN 1352-2310. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.02.024. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231014001204>.
- B. Qi, Y. Kanaya, A. Takami, S. Hatakeyama, S. Kato, Y. Sadanaga, H. Tanimoto, and Y. Kajii. Diurnal peroxy radical chemistry at a remote coastal site over the sea of Japan. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 112(D17), 2007. ISSN 2156-2202. doi:10.1029/2006JD008236. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JD008236>. D17306.
- A. Rickard, J. Young, M. J. Pilling, M. E. Jenkin, S. Pascoe, and S. M. Saunders. The Master Chemical Mechanism Version MCM v3.2. <http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCMv3.2/>, 2015. [Online; accessed 25-March-2015].

- A. Russell and R. Dennis. NARSTO critical review of photochemical models and modeling. *Atmospheric Environment*, 34(12–14):2283 – 2324, 2000. ISSN 1352-2310. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(99\)00468-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00468-9). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231099004689>.
- R. Sander, A. Kerkweg, P. Jöckel, and J. Lelieveld. Technical note: The new comprehensive atmospheric chemistry module mecca. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 5(2):445–450, 2005. doi:10.5194/acp-5-445-2005. URL <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/445/2005/>.
- A. Sandu, J. Verwer, J. Blom, E. Spee, G. Carmichael, and F. Potra. Benchmarking stiff ode solvers for atmospheric chemistry problems II: Rosenbrock solvers. *Atmospheric Environment*, 31(20):3459 – 3472, 1997a. ISSN 1352-2310. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(97\)83212-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)83212-8). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231097832128>.
- A. Sandu, J. Verwer, M. V. Loon, G. Carmichael, F. Potra, D. Dabdub, and J. Seinfeld. Benchmarking stiff ode solvers for atmospheric chemistry problems-I. implicit vs explicit . *Atmospheric Environment*, 31(19):3151 – 3166, 1997b. ISSN 1352-2310. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(97\)00059-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00059-9). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231097000599>.
- S. M. Saunders, M. E. Jenkin, R. G. Derwent, and M. J. Pilling. Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of non-aromatic volatile organic compounds. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 3(1):161–180, 2003. doi:10.5194/acp-3-161-2003. URL <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/161/2003/>.
- J. H. Seinfeld and S. N. Pandis. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change*. John Wiley & Sons Inc, New York, second edition, 2006. ISBN 978-0-471-72018-8.
- W. R. Stockwell, P. Middleton, J. S. Chang, and X. Tang. The second generation regional acid deposition model chemical mechanism for regional air quality modeling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 95(D10):16343–16367, 1990. ISSN 2156-2202. doi:10.1029/JD095iD10p16343. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/JD095iD10p16343>.
- W. R. Stockwell, F. Kirchner, M. Kuhn, and S. Seefeld. A new mechanism for regional atmospheric chemistry modeling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 102(D22):25847–25879, 1997. ISSN 2156-2202. doi:10.1029/97JD00849. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/97JD00849>.

W. R. Stockwell, C. V. Lawson, E. Saunders, and W. S. Goliff. A review of tropospheric atmospheric chemistry and gas-phase chemical mechanisms for air quality modeling. *Atmosphere*, 3(1):1, 2012. ISSN 2073-4433. doi:10.3390/atmos3010001. URL <http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4433/3/1/1>.

L. Watson, D. Shallcross, S. Utembe, and M. Jenkin. A Common Representative Intermediates (CRI) mechanism for VOC degradation. Part 2: Gas phase mechanism reduction. *Atmospheric Environment*, 42(31):7196 – 7204, 2008. ISSN 1352-2310. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.07.034>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231008006845>.

G. Yarwood, S. Rao, M. Yocke, and G. Z. Whitten. Updates to the Carbon Bond Chemical Mechanism: CB05. Technical report, U. S Environmental Protection Agency, 2005.