# Effective C++ Programming

NIE-EPC (v. 2021):
SMALL STRING OPTIMIZATION
© 2021 DANIEL LANGR, ČVUT (CTU) FIT

## String class — overview

- std::string "dynamic string owner":
  - Has allocated buffer for some string length (number of its characters),
     which is called *capacity*.
  - Holds/owns/manages a string of some size, which is lower than or equal to the capacity.
- Simplified custom string-class implementation:

- The capacity can grow to allow owning a string of characters of any length.
- Grow of capacity = storage "reallocation":
  - New buffer is allocated and the characters are copied from the old to the new buffer.

## String class — default constructor

- Default constructor = ownership of empty string.
- Empty string = string with a single null character ('\0').

```
class String {
  char* data_;
  size_t size_, capacity_;

public:
  String() : size_(0), capacity_(0), data_( new char[1] ) { data_[0] = '\0'; }
  ~String() { delete[] data_; }
};
```

- Size and capacity are counted in the number of string characters without the terminal null character, which is mandatory.
  - $\bullet \Rightarrow capacity = buffer size 1 (and, size < buffer size).$
- Note:
  - new char[1] causes so-called "default-initialization", which results in all char elements being initialized to unspecified values.
  - new char[1]() or new char[1]{} causes "value-initialization", which results in all char elements being initialized to zero, that is, to '\0' character.

```
String() : size_(0), capacity_(0), data_( new char[1]{} ) { } // same effect
```

# String class — converting constructor

 Constructor that creates ownership of the copy of the string of characters passed as an argument:

- Problem:
  - Member variables are initialized in the order of their declarations.
    - ⇒ data\_ is initialized first;
    - ⇒ at the time, capacity\_ has not been initialized yet;
    - ⇒ capacity\_has unspecified value and its reading causes undefined behavior.

# String class — converting const. (cont.)

- Possible solution I.:
  - Reordering of member variable declarations:

```
class String {

→ size_t size_, capacity_;

→ char* data_; // data_ is guaranteed to be initialized after capacity_

...
```

- Possible solution II.:
  - Assignment instead of initialization for data\_:

```
class String {
  char* data_;
  size_t size_, capacity_; // original order

public:
  String(const char* arg) : size_(strlen(arg)), capacity_(size_) {
    data_ = new char[capacity_ + 1]; // is guaranteed to happen after initialization...
    strcpy(data_, arg); // ... of all subobjects (including capacity_)
  }
  ...
```

- In case of member variables of basic (non-class) types (as char\*), there is effectively no difference between their initialization and assignment.
  - Proof no difference in the generated machine code: [link].
- For class types, the difference may be significant.

#### strcpy vs memcpy

• Original (*left*) vs alternative (*right*) implementation:

```
String(const char* arg)
    : size_(strlen(arg)), capacity_(size_)
{
    data_ = new char[capacity_ + 1];
    strcpy(data_, arg);
}
```

```
String(const char* arg)
  : size_(strlen(arg)), capacity_(size_)
{
  data_ = new char[capacity_ + 1];
  memcpy(data_, arg, size_ + 1);
}
```

- Difference:
  - Both functions copies bytes (characters) between memory locations.
  - $\Rightarrow$  Iterative process (loop), where the number of iterations is:
    - 1) known with memcpy,
    - 2) unknown with strcpy.
  - Ad 2) strcpy does not know, which iteration will be the last one ⇒ it must process all iterations sequentially.
  - Ad 1) memcpy can process iterations in parallel (enabled optimizations such as loop unrolling, using vectorization/SIMD instructions, etc.).
- Generally, memcpy may be faster, but it depends on the quality of its implementation.
- Also, the difference will likely be more significant for long strings.

# String class — memory efficiency

Actual implementation:

```
class String {
  char* data_;
  size_t size_, capacity_;

public:
  String() : size_(0), capacity_(0), data_( new char[1]{} ) { }

  String(const char* arg) : size_(strlen(arg)), capacity_(size_) {
    data_ = new char[capacity_ + 1];
    memcpy(data_, arg, size_ + 1);
  }

  ~String() { delete[] data_; }
};
```

• Example use:

```
String s("short"); // in some function
```

- Memory efficiency x86\_64/Linux:
  - Storage of s requires 24 bytes.
  - Dynamic allocation takes 32 bytes (16 bytes for allocated chunk, 16 bytes for housekeeping data; see previous lectures).
  - $\Rightarrow$  To work with a string of 5 characters, 56 bytes are needed!
  - Only less than 10% of required memory contain useful data.

# Short string optimization

- Simple idea:
  - In real-world programs, there are many *short strings* processed (such as names of entities in databases,...).
  - Storing such strings in dynamically-allocated storage is inefficient.
  - ⇒ Strings up to some length will be stored in the included storage of the string-class object itself.
- Such optimization technique is called small/short string optimization (SSO).
- Most straightforward implementation:
  - Adding a buffer member variable directly into the string-class itself:

```
class String {
  char* data_;
  size_t size_, capacity_;
  char buffer_[...];
public:
  ...
};
```

#### SSO — additional buffer

- How to choose buffer size?
  - On a 64-bit architecture, alignment requirements for String class is 8.
  - We do not want any wasted bytes due to padding.
  - ⇒ buffer\_ size needs to be a multiple of 8.
- Example:

```
class String {
  char* data_;
  size_t size_, capacity_;
  char buffer_[16];
  ...
```

- buffer\_ now can contain a string of characters with up to the
   characters + terminal zero character => its capacity is 15.
- → Default constructor no need for dynamic allocation :)

```
String() : size_(0), capacity_(15), data_(buffer_) { buffer_[0] = '\0'; }
```

### SSO — additional buffer (cont.)

```
String() : size_(0), capacity_(15), data_(buffer_) { buffer_[0] = '\0'; }
```

- How to recognize whether the owned string is short or long?
  - In case of short string, data\_points to buffer\_,
  - Otherwise (long string), it points to the dynamically-allocated memory.

```
private:
  bool is_short() const { return data_ == buffer_; } // private helper function
```

 In destructor, memory needs to be deallocated only if it has been dynamically-allocated before ⇒ only if the owned string is not short.

```
~String() { if (!is_short()) delete[] data_; }
```

Finally, converting constructor needs to distinguish between short and long strings:

```
String(const char* arg) : size_(strlen(arg)) {
   if (size_ < 16) {
      capacity_ = 15;
      data_ = buffer_;
   } else {
      capacity_ = size_;
      data_ = new char[capacity_ + 1];
   }
   memcpy(data_, arg, size_ + 1);
}</pre>
```

#### SSO — additional buffer (cont.)

Implementation with additional buffer:

- Memory efficiency for "short" string: String s("short");
  - Storage for s now requires 24 + 16 = 40 bytes (originally, it was 24).
  - No dynamic memory allocation is required.
  - ⇒ To work with a string of 5 characters, 40 bytes are needed (originally, it was 56) ⇒ memory "efficiency" 12.5%.
  - Maximum short string has 15 characters ⇒ efficiency 37.5%.

#### SSO — additional buffer — union

• Let us add functions for getting owned string size and allocated buffer capacity (as std::string provide):

```
class String {
    ...
public:
    ...
    size_t capacity() const { return capacity_; }
    size_t size() const { return size_; }
};
```

#### Observation:

- If the owned string is short, capacity is fixed (15).
- ⇒ It does not need to be explicitly stored; it can be derived instead:

```
size_t capacity() const { return is_short() ? 15 : capacity_; }
```

#### Outcome:

- If the string is short, capacity member variable is unused.
- If the string is long, buffer member variable is unused.
- ⇒ They can be stored in the same storage.

#### SSO — additional buffer — union (cont.)

- Storage "sharing" = union types (introduced in "UB" lecture).
- Union = class type where all member variables (*subobjects*) uses/shares the same storage.
- Original (*left*) and new (*right*) String implementation:

```
class String {
  char* data_;
  size_t size_;
  size_t capacity_;
  char buffer[16];
  ...
```

```
class String {
  char* data_;
  size_t size_;

union {
    size_t capacity_;
    char buffer[16];
  };
  ...

std::cout << sizeof(String); // "32"</pre>
```

```
std::cout << sizeof(String); // "40"</pre>
```

```
    Unions have some limitations that makes them hard to use
especially with class-type members.
```

- The C++ Standard library provides "safe" union std::variant.
  - Price for safety are larger storage requirements due to the need for "housekeeping" data.
  - $\Rightarrow$  In our case, we will stick to "ordinary" union.

#### SSO — additional buffer — union (cont.)

Implementation with additional buffer and union:

```
class String {
 char* data ;
 size t size;
 union { size t capacity ; char buffer [16]; } // storage sharing
 bool is short() const { return data == buffer ; }
public:
 String() : size_(0), capacity_(15), data_(buffer_) { buffer_[0] = '\0'; }
 String(const char* arg) : size (strlen(arg)) {
   if (size < 16) { /* nothing */ data = buffer;</pre>
                   { capacity_ = size_; data_ = new char[capacity + 1]; }
   else
   memcpy(data_, arg, size_ + 1);
 ~String() { if (!is short()) delete[] data ; }
 size t size() const { return size ; }
 size t capacity() const { return is short() ? 15 : capacity ; }
};
```

- Memory efficiency for "short" string: String s("short");
  - Storage for s now requires 16 + 16 = 32 bytes (without union, it was 40).
  - ⇒ To work with a string of 5 characters, 32 bytes are needed (without union, it was 40) ⇒ memory "efficiency" 15.6%.
  - Maximum short string has 15 characters ⇒ efficiency 46.9%.

# SSO — additional buffer — portability

Owned string accessor:

```
const char* data() const { return data_; }
```

- Recall (see lecture about undefined behavior) that:
  - In C++, only active union member may be accessed.
  - Active = last set/assigned/written into.
- In our case, this requirement is satisfied.
- When the string is short:
  - characters are written into buffer\_ (by memcpy), which makes it active;
  - capacity\_ is not used.
- When the string is long:
  - capacity\_ is set, which makes it active;
  - buffer\_ is not used.
- → Our implementation is portable and does not need any non-standard language extension regarding to unions.

#### SSO — additional buffer — *libstdc++/MSTL*

- SSO implemented with the additional buffer is implemented by:
  - GNU libstdc++ and Microsoft STL standard library implementations.

```
std::string s;
std::cout << sizeof(s);  // prints out "32" with Libstdc++/MSTL on x86_64
std::cout << s.capacity();  // prints out "15" with Libstdc++/MSTL on x86_64</pre>
```

Implementation in libstdc++ (include/bits/basic\_string.h):

```
enum { _S_local_capacity = 15 / sizeof(_CharT) };
union
{
    _CharT    _M_local_buf[_S_local_capacity + 1];
    size_type _M_allocated_capacity;
};
```

- Likely non-persistent [link].
- std::basic\_string is a template parametrized by character type (\_CharT).
- std::string = instance of std::basic\_string where character type \_CharT = char.
- $\Rightarrow$  sizeof(\_Char) is 1  $\Rightarrow$  "short capacity" is 15, buffer size is 16.

#### SSO — aliased buffer

- Is it possible even more increase memory efficiency for short strings?
- Let's get back to the original non-SSO String implementation:

```
class String {
  char* data_;
  size_t size_, capacity_;
  ...
```

- Assumption: 64-bit architecture.
- $\Rightarrow$  Each member variable require 8-byte storage.
- $\Rightarrow$  In total, they require 24 bytes.
- Would it be possible to share storage of all of them with a buffer for short strings, such as...?

- Objects that share storage are called to be "aliased".
- $\Rightarrow$  We call this approach "aliased buffer" case.

## SSO — aliased buffer — short vs long

- A lot of problems need to be resolved.
- First problem how to distinguish between short and long strings?
  - The information of whether a *short* or *long* string is owned needs to be stored somewhere.
  - ⇒ We need to reserve some byte of the storage.
- We will reserve the its last byte:

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { char* data; size_t size, capacity; } long_; // data for long strings
    struct { char buffer[23]; bool flag; } short_; // data for short strings
  };
  ...
```

- sizeof(bool) is not guaranteed to be 1.
- This is guaranteed of (unsigned) char type:

```
struct { char buffer[23]; unsigned char flag; } short_;
```

Now, we can implement is\_short() as follows:

```
bool is_short() const { return short_.flag; } // 1 => short string
```

# SSO — aliased buffer — portability

#### Second problem:

- The information about short/long string is read from short\_ member of the union.
- However, until we read it, we don't know which member of the union is active.
- If short\_ is not active, reading short\_.flag results in undefined behavior according to the C++ standard.
- ⇒ This SSO solution requires a C++ implementation that supports reading non-active union members (such as GCC or Clang; basically, all mainstream implementations do support it).
- Portable alternative?

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { charter data; size_t size, capacity; } long_; // data for long strings
    char but (24]; // buffer for short strings
};
unsigned ar flag // short/long-string flag outside of union
...
```

- We are trying to maximize memory efficiency.
- This solution would add 8 bytes (1 byte flag, 7 bytes wasted padding).

#### SSO — aliased buffer — accessor

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { char* data; size_t size, capacity; } long_;
    struct { char buffer[23]; unsigned char flag; } short_;
  };
  bool is_short() const { return short_.flag; }
public:
  ...
};
```

- Third problem how to get access to the owned string?
  - Pointer long\_.data shares storage with short\_.buffer.
  - $\Rightarrow$  There is no explicit *pointer* member variable to the string if it is *short*.
  - However, we know that in such a case, the string is in short\_.buffer.
  - Otherwise if owned string is long it is pointed to by long\_.data.

```
const char* data() const { return is_short() ? short_.buffer : long_.data; }
```

Helper functions (to-be-used-later):

```
private:
    char* ptr() { return is_short() ? short_.buffer : long_.data; }
    const char* ptr() const { return is_short() ? short_.buffer : long_.data; }

public:
    const char* data() const { return ptr(); }
```

# SSO — aliased buffer — string size

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { char* data; size_t size, capacity; } long_;
    struct { char buffer[23]; unsigned char flag; } short_;
  };
  bool is_short() const { return short_.flag; }
  char* ptr() { return is_short() ? short_.buffer : long_.data; }
  const char* ptr() const { return is_short() ? short_.buffer : long_.data; }

public:
  ...
  const char* data() const { return ptr(); }
};
```

- Fourth problem how to resolve string size?
  - If the owned string is *long*, its size is in long\_.size.
  - Variable long\_.size shares storage with short\_.buffer.
  - $\Rightarrow$  There is no explicit *size* member variable if the string is *short*.
  - Solution?

```
size_t size() const { return is_short() ? strlen(show buffer) : long_.size; }
```

- We are trying to "mimic" std::string.
- std::string::size() requires onstant time complexity.
- In our case, time complexity of String::size() is linear.

### SSO — aliased buffer — string size (cont.)

- The only option on how to provide short string size in O(1) time is to explicitly store it somewhere.
- In case of *short* strings, all bytes are occupied:
  - 23 bytes by buffer short\_.buffer,
  - 1 byte by short/long string flag short\_.flag.
- However, for the short/long flag, we in fact need only a single bit.
  - We will use the least-significant-bit (LSb).
- → Remaining 7 bits may be used for storing short-string size (which cannot have more than 22 characters).

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { char* data; size_t size, capacity; } long_;
    struct { char buffer[23]; unsigned char size_flag; } short_;
};

bool is_short() const { return short_.size_flag & 0x01; }

size_t short_size() const { return short_.size_flag >> 1; }

void short_size(size_t n) { short_.size_flag = n << 1 | 1; }

...

public:
    size_t size() const { return is_short() ? short_size() : long_.size; }</pre>
```

# SSO — aliased buffer — capacity

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { char* data; size_t size, capacity; } long_;
    struct { char buffer[23]; unsigned char size_flag; } short_;
};

bool is_short() const { return short_.size_flag & 0x01; }

size_t short_size() const { return short_.size_flag >> 1; }

void short_size(size_t n) { short_.size_flag = n << 1 | 1; }

char* ptr() { return is_short() ? short_.buffer : long_.data; }

const char* ptr() const { return is_short() ? short_.buffer : long_.data; }

public:
    size_t size() const { return is_short() ? short_size() : long_.size; }

const char* data() const { return ptr(); }
...</pre>
```

- Fifth problem how to resolve capacity?
  - If the owned string is *short*, (buffer) capacity is 22 (buffer size is 23 but *terminal null character* needs to be stored in it as well).
  - If the owned string is long, is allocated capacity in long\_.capacity?
  - Variable long\_.capacity shares storage with both short\_.buffer and short\_.size\_flag.
  - In case of long string, the LSb of short\_.size\_flag is zero.
  - → The same bit in long\_.capacity is zero as well!

# SSO — aliased buffer — capacity (cont.)

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { char* data; size_t size, capacity; } long_;
    struct { char buffer[23]; unsigned char size_flag; } short_;
};
...
```

- $\Rightarrow$  Capacity for *long* strings cannot be set to any value.
  - The byte that shares storage with short\_.size\_flag needs to have its LSb zero.
  - It is a byte of storage of long\_.capacity with highest address.
- Which byte is that?
  - The answer depends on the endianness of the architecture.
  - Big endian —the least-significant byte (LSB) have the highest address.
  - Little endian the most-significant byte (MSB) have the highest address.
- Assumption little endian (x86, x86\_64,...):

```
size_t capacity() const { return is_short() ? 22 : long_.capacity; }
```

# SSO — aliased buffer — capacity (cont.)

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { char* data; size_t size, capacity; } long_;
    struct { char buffer[23]; unsigned char size_flag; } short_;
  };
  ...
public:
  ...
  size_t capacity() const { return is_short() ? 22 : long_.capacity; }
  ...
```

#### Consequence:

- short\_.size\_flag shares storage with the MSB of long\_.capacity.
- ⇒ LSb of MSB of long\_.capacity must be zero.
- $\Rightarrow$  Practically, whole MSB of long\_.capacity must be zero.
- This limits the capacity for strings owned by String class owner to 2<sup>56</sup> 1 characters, which corresponds to 64 PB.
- Alternative option reordering of variables:

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { size_t capacity, size; char* data; } long_;  // capacity is first
    struct { unsigned char size_flag; char buffer[23]; } short_; // size_flag is first
};
...
```

# SSO — aliased buffer — capacity (cont.)

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { size_t capacity, size; char* data; } long_;  // capacity is first
    struct { unsigned char size_flag; char buffer[23]; } short_; // size_flag is first
  };
  ...
```

- Now, short\_.size\_flag shares storage with LSB of long\_.capacity.
  - ⇒ LSb of LSB of long\_.capacity must be zero.
  - $\Rightarrow$  Capacity for *long* strings is *even*; no other restrictions.
- Alternative alternative:
  - Even capacity requires to allocate odd number of bytes.
  - Generally, it is more efficient to dynamically-allocate even number of bytes.
  - This corresponds with the odd capacity for long strings.
  - $\Rightarrow$  We need LSb of short\_.size\_flag to be set (1) for long strings.

```
bool is_short() const { return !(short_.size_flag & 0x01); } // LSb 1 => Long string
...
void short_size(size_t n) { short_.size_flag = n << 1 /* +1 */; }</pre>
```

#### SSO — aliased buffer — *libc*++

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { size_t capacity, size; char* data; } long_;  // capacity is first
    struct { unsigned char size_flag; char buffer[23]; } short_; // size_flag is first
  };
  ...
```

```
bool is_short() const { return !(short_.size_flag & 0x01); } // LSb 1 => long string
```

 This approach is used in LLVM libc++ standard library implementation:

```
std::string s;
std::cout << sizeof(s);  // prints out "24" with libc++ on x86_64
std::cout << s.capacity(); // prints out "22" with libc++ on x86_64</pre>
```

- Likely non-persistent [link]; file include/string.
- Odd capacity for long strings:

```
std::string s(24, 'A');  // owned string have 24 characters
std::cout << s.capacity(); // printed out "31" in tested case with libc++ on x86_64</pre>
```

- Capacity 31  $\Rightarrow$  dynamically-allocated 32 bytes on the heap.
- Allocations being multiples of 16 are efficient (no alignment-enforced padding).

#### SSO — aliased buffer — const/destructors

Default constructor:

```
String() : short_.size_flag(0) { short_.buffer_[0] = '\0'; }
```

Converting constructor:

Destructor:

```
~String() { if (!is_short()) delete[] long_.data; }
```

# SSO — aliased buffer — portability

- Portability issues our implementation is now not portable.
- It works only under following assumptions:
- 1) Storage for the long\_structure requires 24 bytes (64-bit architecture).

```
union {
  struct { size_t capacity, size; char* data; } long_;
  struct { unsigned char size_flag; char buffer[23]; } short_;
};
```

2) C++ implementation supports access of inactive union member.

```
bool is_short() const { return !(short_.size_flag & 0x01); } // accessed even if Long
```

- 3) System architecture endianness is little-endian.
  - $\Rightarrow$  It forces long\_.capacity to have the bit number 0 (LSb) set.
  - With big-endian, this approach would force the capacity have the bit number 56 set ⇒ insanely large number.

# SSO — aliased buffer — magic numbers

- Resolving portability ad 1):
  - There are a lot of "magic numbers" in our source code.
  - Using such magic numbers is almost always a sign of a bad practice.

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { size_t capacity, size; char* data; / long;
    struct { unsigned char size_flag; char buff(r[23];) } short_;
 };
  bool is_short() const { return !(short_.size_flag & 0x01); }
 size_t short_size() const { return short_.size_flag >> 1; }
 void short size(size t n) { short .size flag = n << 1; }</pre>
  char* ptr() { return is short() ? short .buffer : long .data; }
  const char* ptr() const { return is short() ? short .buffer : long .data; }
public:
  String() : short .size flag(0) { short .buffer [0] = '\0'; }
 String(const char* arg) {
    size t size = strlen(arg);
   if (size < 23) { short_size_flag = 0; short_size(size); }</pre>
    else {
      long_.capacity = size; if ((long_.capacity & 0x01) == 0) long_.capacity++;
      long_.size = size; long_.data = new char[long_.capacity + 1];
   memcpy(ptr(), arg, size + 1);
 ~String() { if (!is_short()) delete[] long_.data; }
  size_t capacity() const { return is_short() (? 22 : long_.capacity; }
  size t size() const { return is_short() ? short_size() : long_.size; }
  const char* data() const { return ptr(); }
};
```

#### SSO — aliased buffer — magic nums (cont.)

Portable solution:

```
class String {
 struct long t { size t capacity, size; char* data; };
 static const size t short cap = sizeof(long t) - 2;
 struct short t { unsigned char size flag; char buffer[short cap + 1]; }
 union {
   long t long ;
   short t short;
  };
public:
 String(const char* arg) {
    size t size = strlen(arg);
    if (size <= short cap ) { short .size flag = 0; short size(size); }</pre>
    else {
      long .capacity = size; if ((long .capacity & 0x01) == 0) long .capacity++;
      long .size = size; long .data = new char[long_.capacity + 1];
   memcpy(ptr(), arg, size + 1);
  size t capacity() const { return is short() ? short cap : long .capacity; }
};
```

No magic numbers regarding capacity for short strings.

#### SSO — aliased buffer — portability (cont.)

- Resolving portability ad 2):
  - Cannot be avoided (without additional storage costs).
  - ⇒ This SSO solution is generally not portable.
  - ⇒ Can be used only with C++ implementations that support reading inactive union member (most implementations do support this).
- Resolving portability ad 3):
  - On big endian, we could switch back to the original variable order:

```
struct long_t { char* data; size_t size, capacity; };
static const size_t short_cap_ = sizeof(long_t) - 2;
struct short_t { char buffer[short_cap_ + 1]; unsigned char size_flag; }
```

- Here, again, short\_.size\_flag shares storage with LSB of long\_.capacity (which is what we need).
- Typical solution conditional compilation:

```
#ifdef LITTLE_ENDIAN
    struct long_t { size_t capacity, size; char* data; };
    ...
#else
    struct long_t { char* data; size_t size, capacity; };
    ...
#endif
```

# SSO — aliased buffer — comparison

- Memory efficiency for "short" string:
- String s("short");

- Storage for s now requires 24 bytes.
- ⇒ To work with a string of 5 characters, 24 bytes are needed.
   ⇒ Memory "efficiency" 20.8%.
- Maximum short string has 22 characters ⇒ efficiency 91.7%.
- Comparison of memory efficiency:
  - 1) String without SSO always dynamically allocates memory.
    - The worst-case efficiency (1 character-string) is 1.8%.
  - 2) String with additional buffer and union does not allocate for up to 15 characters.
    - The worst-case efficiency is **3.1%**.
    - The best-case efficiency for short strings is 46.9%.
  - 3) String with aliased buffer does not allocate for up to 22 characters.
    - The worst-case efficiency is 4.2%.
    - The best-case efficiency for short strings is 91.7%.

#### SSO — aliased buffer — comparison (cont.)

- Why libstdc++ and MSTL do not use the same approach as libc++?
- Drawbacks of "aliased buffer" solution:
  - 1) It is much (much) more complicated (right):

- 2) It is not fully portable.
- 3) It imposes runtime overhead into each access to the owned string, as well as to other operations.

```
class String {
#ifdef LITTLE ENDIAN
 struct long_t { size_t capacity, size; char* data; };
  static const size_t short_cap_ = sizeof(long_t) - 2;
 struct short_t { unsigned char size_flag; char buffer[short_cap_ + 1]; }
 struct long t { char* data; size t size, capacity; };
  static const size_t short_cap_ = sizeof(long_t) - 2;
 struct short_t { char buffer[short_cap_ + 1]; unsigned char size_flag; }
  union { long_t long_; short_t short_; };
  bool is short() const { return !(short .size flag & 0x01); }
  size_t short_size() const { return short_.size_flag >> 1; }
  void short_size(size_t n) { short_.size_flag = n << 1; }</pre>
  char* ptr() { return is short() ? short .buffer : long .data; }
  const char* ptr() const { return is short() ? short .buffer : long .data; }
 String() : short_.size_flag(0) { short_.buffer_[0] = '\0'; }
 String(const char* arg) {
   size_t size = strlen(arg);
   if (size <= short cap ) { short .size flag = 0; short size(size); }</pre>
     long_.capacity = size; if ((long_.capacity & 0x01) == 0) long_.capacity++;
      long .size = size; long .data = new char[long .capacity + 1];
   memcpy(ptr(), arg, size + 1);
 ~String() { if (!is short()) delete[] long .data; }
  size t capacity() const { return is short() ? short cap : long .capacity; }
  size_t size() const { return is_short() ? short_size() : long_.size; }
 const char* data() const { return ptr(); }
```

Additional buffer — there is an explicit direct pointer to stored string.

```
const char* data() const { return data_; }
```

Aliased buffer — pointer to stored string needs to be derived:

```
const char* ptr() const { return is_short() ? short_.buffer : long_.data; }
```

# Branching and branch prediction

```
const char* data() const { return data_; } // (1)

• ...Versus:

const char* ptr() const { return is short() ? short .buffer : long .data; } // (2)
```

- What is so "wrong" about (2)?
  - Generally, branching = performance penalty.
- Modern processors support:
  - *Pipelining* = processing multiple instructions at once.
  - Out-of-order execution = processing instructions in different order (than written in the program machine code).
- Branching hinders both since the processor does not know which way will the program continue to run.
- Reduction of performance penalty branch prediction:
  - Processors try to guess which branch will take place and will consider their instructions to be executed.

# Branching and branch prediction (cont.)

Branch prediction possible outcomes:

#### 1) Correct guess:

Almost no performance penalty.

#### *2) Incorrect guess:*

- Effects of pipeline-/out-of-order-processed instructions needs to be discarded.
- The instructions from mis-predicted branch are started to be processed from the beginning.
- ⇒ Significant performance penalty (in terms of CPU cycles) with respect to case 1).
- Interesting Stack Overflow post about branch prediction:
  - https://stackoverflow.com/q/11227809/580083
  - It is the most-voted question with the [C++] tag.

## Branching and branch prediction (cont.)

- Since C++20, we can provide a *hint* for the compiler to indicate which branch is more-likely.
- On some architectures, this allows generating machine code that:
  - instructs the branch-prediction processor unit which branch will likely take place,
  - makes the execution of the more-likely branch more efficient.
- Example source code (left), machine code (right):

```
f(bool):
   test dil, dil
   je .L2
   jmp true_path()
.L2:
   jmp false_path()
```

```
f(bool):
  test dil, dil
  jne .L4
  jmp false_path()
.L4:
  jmp true_path()
```

# Branching and branch prediction (cont.)

- Source code entities [[likely]] and [[unlikely]] are so-called C++ attributes.
- Their usage makes sense only in performance-critical code paths where coder can predict branching.
- What about our String class with aliased buffer?

```
const char* ptr() const { return is_short() ? short_.buffer : long_.data; }
```

- Creating string-owner objects may be generally performance-critical (e.g., in programs that process many strings objects such as database engines).
- However, when implementing String class, there is absolutely no knowledge or even guess of whether in programs the owned string will be short or long.
- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  It does not make sense to use these attributes here.

### SSO — benchmark

- Measuring construction + destruction time for short and long strings with std::string and libstdc++:
  - *Short* string having 15 characters.
  - Long string having 16 characters.
  - Results short-string case was
     6.8× faster.
  - Quick C++ Benchmark [link].
- The same with libc++:
  - Short string having 22 characters.
  - Long string having 23 characters.
  - Results short-string case was
     8.6× faster.
  - Quick C++ Benchmark [link].
- → The benefits of SSO for short strings is significant.





# SSO — the power of C++



- Implementation of SSO illustrates one of the major C++ strengths:
  - When implementing a string owner class, we use low-level abstraction mechanisms that allows us to precisely control what happens on the system level.
    - This wouldn't be possible without *pointers* (many languages don't have them), controlling access to individual bits in memory, taking care about size and alignment requirements of objects storage, aliasing objects, etc.
  - At the same time, application of SSO if fully transparent for class users.
    - They can write programs at a high level of abstraction and just use the string class without any knowledge of SSO.
  - Whether SSO is or is not internally applied does not change the way how the class is used (its API is preserved).

#### Note:

- Our implementation just showed a basic ideas of SSO.
  - It is by far not a complete string class; many functionalities are missing (copy/move semantics, adding/removing content, etc.).
  - In the current form, it is even flawed, since auto-generated copy constructor and copy assignment operator would now work incorrectly (for example, they would create shallow copies for long strings).

#### Bonus — folly::fbstring

- With aliased buffer, 24 bytes are available for a short string, which must include:
  - 1) terminal null character (first "housekeeping" byte),
  - 2) information about *string size* + information *that the string is short* (second "housekeeping" byte).
- $\Rightarrow$  22 bytes can be used for "effective" string characters.
- Interesting idea:
  - What if we managed to encode 2) in such a way, that the corresponding byte was zero for a string with 23 characters?
  - → Then, we could effectively "merge" both bytes into one, which would serve for both 1) and 2) at the same time.
- How to do this?
  - We need this byte to have the highest address.
  - Its LSb need to be zero for short strings.
  - Its remaining 7 bits must be zero for strings with 23 characters.

# Bonus — folly::fbstring (cont.)

- The solution is to store into those 7 bits not the size of the short string itself, but x instead, where x = 23 size.
- Consequence:
  - In case of a string with 23 characters, all the bits are zero and the highest byte case serve as the terminal null character at the same time.
  - Capacity of short strings is increased from 22 to 23.

```
class String {
  union {
    struct { char* data; size_t size, capacity; } long_;
    char buffer_[24];
};

bool is_short() const { return !(buffer_[23] & 0x01); }

size_t short_size() const { return 23 - (buffer_[23] >> 1); }

void short_size(size_t n) { buffer_[23] = 23 - n << 1; }

char* ptr() { return is_short() ? buffer_ : long_.data; }

const char* ptr() const { return is_short() ? buffer_ : long_.data; }

...

public:
    size_t capacity() const { return is_short() ? 23 : long_.capacity; }
    size_t size() const { return is_short() ? short_size() : long_.size; }

...</pre>
```

Note — size() has still constant time complexity.

# Bonus — folly::fbstring (cont.)

- This "extreme" approach is used by folly::fbstring.
- Facebook Folly library provides "a variety of core library components designed with efficiency in mind, which complements offerings such as Boost and std".
- folly::fbstring string-class similar to std::string.
  - Function for setting small size (similar to our short\_size):

```
void setSmallSize(size_t s) {
    ...
small_[maxSmallSize] = char((maxSmallSize - s) << shift);
    ...</pre>
```

- Discussion:
  - Many times in real world we can't have only pros without any cons.
  - Example additional buffer (faster) vs aliased buffer (more memory efficient).
  - Costs of fbstring approach?
    - With our (libc++) aliased buffer, empty string = all storage bits/bytes are zero.
    - With fbstring, one byte needs to be nonzero (23 0 << 1).</li>
    - This may add some overhead (for move semantics, swapping, etc.).