Effective C++ Programming

NIE-EPC (v. 2021):

TYPE ERASURE, STD::FUNCTION, ANY, VARIANT,
CRTP, SWAP, ADL,...

© 2021 DANIEL LANGR, ČVUT (CTU) FIT

Type erasure (cont.)

• Now, we can "store" an object of any type into an Any owner:

```
Any a1( 1 );
Any a2( true );
Any a3( std::string("some string") );
```

1

- Note thanks to type erasure, type of a1, a2, and a3 is the same.
- Question what can be done with such type-erased objects?
- With the above-provided definition, nothing at all.

3

Type erasure (cont.)

- Generally, if type-erased objects have some common interface, it may be "propagated" even out of the owner class.
- That is, it may be made a part of its interface.
- Example task owner class which type-erases entities callable without arguments.
- Motivation thread pool:
- Fixed number of running threads.
- Queue of tasks (with a thread-safe access, such as protected by a mutex).
- Once a task in enqueued (from outside) and some thread is available (idle), this thread dequeues the task and starts its execution.
- Task = any callable entity that can be called without arguments.
- Problem how to add callable entities of different types into the same queue?

Type erasure

- Recall type erasure allowed a shared pointer to own/manage and use a deleter of any type.
- This technique may be generalized:

std::unique_ptr<Base> ptr_;
...
Any() { delete ptr : }

2

Type erasure (cont.)

- Question what can be done with such type-erased objects?
- · Two different cases:
 - These objects have some common interface — they provide some common operation.
 - They do not have anything in common.
- Ad 1) This was the case of shared pointer deleters:
- All of them are supposed to provide a function call operator with a value type-pointer parameter.
- This operator is then propagated out of the internal type-erasure classes as a virtual function.
- Ad 2) As with Any class will be discussed later.

```
template ctypename T> class shared_ptr {
    struct Base {
        virtual_abase() = default;
        virtual_abase() = default;
        virtual_abase() = default;
        virtual_veid_operator()(\(^*\) ptr) = \(^*\);
        virtual_veid_operator()(\(^*\) ptr) = \(^*\);
        virtual_veid_operator()(\(^*\) ptr) = \(^*\);
        virtual_veid_operator()(\(^*\) ptr) override
        virtual_veid_operator()(\(^*\) ptr_) = \(^*\);
        virtual_veid_operator()(\(^*\) ptr_) = \(^*\);
        deleter ptr_;
        ) }
```

4

Type erasure (cont.)

• Initial attempt:

```
class ThreadPool {
    std::vectorstd::thread threads_; // running threads
    std::untex m; // protection of q_ shared by threads
    std::queuec??? > q_; // queue of tasks
    ...
};
```

- Problem how to define a queue member variable such that we could enqueue different callable entities into it?
- Example:

- We have 3 entities callable without arguments.
- Each one is of a different type.
- How to put them into the queue q_ of the thread pool tp?

Type erasure (cont.)

- · Analysis:
- Each callable entity task1, task2, and task3 has a different type.
- All elements of standard library containers (such as std::vector or std::list) and container adaptors (such as std::queue or std::stack) must have the same (value) type.
- ⇒ We cannot store tasks into the thread pool queue directly.
- Possible solution type erasure:
- · Let us start with Any class:

```
class ThreadPool { std::vector.std::thread> threads_i // running threads std::mutex m_i // protection of q shared by threads std::queuec Any > q_i // queue of tasks
```

- Now, we can store all the tasks into the queue.
- Problem we need to eventually dequeue tasks and execute them.
- ⇒ We need to invoke their function-call operator.
- · Such a functionality is not provided by Any class.

7

Type erasure (cont.)

 Now, we can put any callable entity (without arguments) into Task owner and invoke its function call operator:

- Live demo: https://godbolt.org/z/W3zPT5x7j.
- The queue in ThreadPool can be now defined and used the same way.
- Note if task returns something, the return value is discarded.

Type erasure (cont.)

- Alternative:
- We define tasks as callable entities without arguments.
- ⇒ All of them share this common interface feature.
- ⇒ We can make this operation available from the type-erasure owner class:

8

std::function

- C++ standard library provides a generic type-erased callable entity owner — class template std::function.
- Our Task:
- Task can own and call an entity callable without arguments ⇒ basically callable entities without parameters.
- If the type-erased entity returns, the return value is discarded by Task.
- std::function:
- An instance of std::function template can own and call callable entities with particular types or parameters and type of return value.
- The types of parameters and type of return value are determined by std::function template argument.
- Examples:

10

- std::function< void()>— basically, equivalent of our Task \Rightarrow stored callable entities have no parameters and do not return values.
- std::function<bool(int,int)>— callable entities have two parameters of type int and return bool.

std::packaged_task

- std::packaged_task basically std::function with some additional functionality.
- Namely, packaged task allows to obtain a relevant future object (of corresponding std::future type).
- This future object allows to:
- Wait for the task to be completed (its execution).
- · Obtain its return value.

9

- Catch exception thrown within task execution.
- Moreover, future object may be accessed by other threads than the thread executing the task.
- ⇒ Packaged tasks are supposed to be run asynchronously.
- Note suitable use case = thread pools.

std::packaged_task *(cont.)*

• Simple thread pool (*incomplete*) with packaged tasks:

```
class ThreadPoil (
side; Tasks = stripschage_taskvoid());
side; instex n;
side
```

Type erasure — std::any

- Recall Any class can store/own type-erased object of any type.
- Any type \Rightarrow there is no common interface.
- However, we can provide access to the stored type-erased object.
- First option type-less pointer:
- In Base and Any classes, there is no knowledge of T.
- ⇒ Only possibility is to return a pointer to the stored object of type void*.

```
class Any {
    struct Base {
        virtual -Base() = default;
        virtual -Base() = default;
        virtual void* get() = 0;
    };
    template ctypename T>
    struct Helper : Base {
        i t_;
        Nelper(const T& t) : t_(t) {
        Nelper(const T& t) : t_(t) {
        i t_i void* get() override { return &t_; }
    };
    std:unique_ptrdBase> ptr_;
    public:
    template ctypename T>
    Any(const T& t) : ptr_( new NelpercT>(t) ) {
        void* get() { return ptr_->get(); }
    };
}
```

Type erasure — std::any (cont.)

- Consequence to use the object, returned pointer needs to be casted into the pointer-to-object-type.
- ⇒This type must be provided from outside!
 There is no other way; Any class cannot "remember" a type.

```
std::wectorcAnyo v;
v.emplace_back(1);
v.emplace_back(std::string("some string")); // type-enased int
v.emplace_back(std::string("some string")); // type-enased std::string

int* ptr = static_castcint* >( v[0].get() ); // pointer to the stored int
std::string& ref = "static_castcistd::string*>( v[1].get() ); // reference to the stored...
// ...std::string& ref = "static_castcistd::string*>( v[1].get() ); // reference to the stored...
```

• Better option — wrapping "ugly" casting with a custom function:

```
- Better Option — Wrapping Ugiy Casting With a Custoff Unition:

class Any {
    public:
    template <typename T> friend T Any_cast(const Any& a); // friend to have access to ptr_
};

template <typename T> T Any_cast(const Any& a) // free (non-member) function template {
    return *static_casts stdir:remove_reference_tcf* > (a.phr_-spet()); }
```

 Note — Any_cast can either return a reference to the stored object, or its copy.

13

Type erasure — std::any (cont.)

- Such a generic type-erased object owner is provided by the C++ standard library as std::any class.
- It is available since C++17.

- Notes:
- In contrast to cast operators (static_cast, reinterpret_cast,...), std::any cast is not an operator.
- Instead, it is an "ordinary" function template.
- Use cases:
- std::any is suitable for very specific use cases only.
- Relevant discussion: https://devblogs.microsoft.com/cppblog/stdany-how-when-and-why/.

14

16

- std::any a single-object owner.
- The owned object is stored in dynamically allocated storage.
- Its access involves virtual-function dispatch.
- => Relatively large runtime and memory overhead.
- Can store an object of any type, but one needs to "recall" this type during object access.

std::variant

- Another C++ standard library option std::variant:
- A single-object owner as well.
- Is a variadic template template arguments define a list of types.
- Only objects of these types may be stored in variant.

```
      std::any a1 = 1;
      // int stored

      std::any a2 = true;
      // bool stored

      std::any a3 = 1.0;
      // double stored

      a1 = 1.0;
      // double stored

      v1 = 1.0;
      // EROR
```

• Note — all any objects have the same type, variants with different type list have different types.

15

std::variant (cont.)

- List of types defines their order.
- ⇒ Each type has some *index* in this order.
- Index of the type of actually stored object is returned by the index member function.

std::variant<int, bool, double> v = 1.0; // int has index 0, bool 1, double 2 std::cout << v.index(); // double is stored => prints out "2"

- $\bullet \ \, \mathsf{Stored} \, \mathsf{object} \, \mathsf{is} \, \mathsf{accessed} \, \mathsf{with} \, \mathsf{std} \\ \vdots \\ \mathsf{get} \, \mathsf{free} \, \mathsf{function} \, \mathsf{template}.$
- Template argument index of the stored object type, or the type itself.
- Returns a reference to the owned object.

- Note C++ is a statically typed language.
- ⇒ All types must be resolved at runtime.
- \Rightarrow This holds also for the return type of std::get.
- ⇒ index function call cannot be used as a template argument of std::get.

std::variant (cont.)

- $\bullet\,$ Owned object needs to be stored in the included storage.
- ⇒ Variant is explicitly disallowed to dynamically allocate memory [link].

- Implementation included buffer aligned and sized suitably according the type list.
- Buffer alignment = maximum of alignment requirements for of types.
- Buffer size = maximum of size requirements of types.
- $\bullet \ \textit{Note} \text{it may be defined with std::aligned_union library helper type.} \\$
- $\bullet \ \Rightarrow \mbox{No runtime/memory overhead}.$
- \Rightarrow std::variant is much preferred than std::any once there is a fixed list of type alternatives.
- Benchmark std::variant vs std::any:
 - insertion (object storage) 6.6× faster with std::variant [link];
- stored object access 6.1× faster with std::variant [link].

17

std::variant (cont.)

- std::variantvsstd::tuple:
- std::tuple multiple object owner ⇒ owns/stores a single object for each type from the type list (template arguments).
- std::variant single object owner ⇒ owns/stores at most one object at a given moment (of a type from the type list).

- std::variantvsunion:
- std::variant basically a type-safe union.
- union is low-level "C" type, which in very unsafe and problematic with classes.
- $\mathit{Price} \mathtt{std}::$ variant needs to remember the actual "alternative" (index).

```
union { int i; bool b; double d; } u;
std::cout << sizeof(u);
// printed "8" in experiment
std::varianticn; bool, double> v;
std::cout << sizeof(v);
// printed "16" in experiment
```

19

CRTP (cont.)

• Another functionality — selection of objects:

```
std::vector Object20" > selected; // set of selected objects
void select(); // function that put pointers to selected objects into selected vector

// resolution of user actions:
if (ACTION == SELECT) select(); ....
```

- Note selected is a vector of "normal" (raw) pointers.
- Vectors cannot hold references.

21

- Smart pointers do not make sense here ← vector select does not own selected objects; only refers to them.
- Yet another functionality duplication of selected objects.

```
// resolution of user actions:
if (ACTION == SELECT) select();
else if (ACTION == DUPLICATE) duplicate();
...

void duplicate() {
for (auto p_obj : selected) scene.emplace_back( ??? );
}
```

Problem — how to create a copy of a pointed-to object?

22

CRTP (cont.)

• Solution — polymorphic copying/cloning:

• Application to scene duplication:

```
void duplicate() {
  for (auto p_obj : selected) scene.emplace_back( p_obj->clone() );
```

 Drawback — clone function needs to be repeatedly / "redundantly" implemented throughout the whole class hierarchy.

CRTP

• Example — vector 2D graphic editor ⇒ hierarchy of polymorphic classes that represent various graphic objects:

```
class Object20 { // obstract base class
public:
    virtual -object20() = default;
    virtual void draw() const;
}...
}...
class Line : public Object20 { ... };
class Square : public Object20 { ... };
class Circle : public Object20 { ... };
```

• Scene is represented as a collection of graphic objects:

std::vector< std::unique_ptr<Object2D> > scene; // all objects in a scen

• Exemplary functionality — loading scene from a file:

20

CRTP (cont.)

```
void duplicate() {
  for (auto p_obj : selected) scene.emplace_back( ??? );
```

- Analysis:
- p_obj points to an object of derived class type (Line, Square, or Circle).
- Type of p_obj is a pointer-to-base (Object2D*).
- ⇒ We need to invoke a copy constructor of a derived class through a pointer to a base class. How?
- Generally, this mechanism is provided by virtual functions.
- ⇒We would need a "virtual copy constructor".
- However, C++ does not support virtual constructors.
- Alternative delegation of a copying semantics into a separate virtual function.
- This function if typically called clone.
- The whole solution is sometimes called "clone pattern/idiom".

CRTP (cont.)

- Alternative option couldn't we "inject" clone function into derived classes from some base class?
- Obviously, it is not possible from Object2D base class itself.
- There is no knowledge of the cloned type there.
- The base class where clone is defined must know about the cloned object type.
- Solution:
- Making another base class that is a template...
- ...and "remembering" the cloned type in its template parameter.
- Note:
- We cannot make Object2D a template.
- Polymorphism requires a single unique base class.
- Templated Object 2D would create a separate base class for each template argument.
- Solution (cont.) templated "middle" class with clone that:
 - inherits from Object2D (⇒ polymorphism with single base),
 - serves as a base for graphic object classes (⇒ injects clone into them).

CRTP (cont.) Partial solution: class Object2D { // single (original) base => polymorphism virtual Object2D* clone() const = 0; template <typename T> class ClonableObject2D : public Object2D { // template argument = cloned type // middle class => defines clone virtual Object2D* clone() const { ??? } • Derived 2d graphic object classes: · derive from ClonableObject2d class template, • where cloned type = template argument is derived class itself. • For example, Line derives from ClonableObject2D and the cloned type needs to be Line ⇒ template argument needs to be Line. class Line : public ClonableObject2d<Line> { • This technique — inheritance from derived template where base template argument is derived class itself — is called "curiously

CRTP (cont.)

• Last step — definition of clone:

```
template (typename T> class ClonableObjectZD : public ObjectZD {
...
virtual ObjectZD* clone() const { return new T( ??? ); } // invoke copy constructor of T
};
```

- clone needs to create new object with copy constructor of T.
- The source object to be copied is pointed to by this pointer.
- Problem the type of this in ClonableObject2D<T>::clone()
 call is ClonableObject2D<T>*
- But, we know that it actually points to an object of type T, which should be copied.
- Example:

26

```
Object20° ptr = new Line;
ptr->clone(); // inside, type of 'this' is clonableObject20cLine>*, but it points to Line

• Consequence—this needs to be casted into T*:
```

virtual Object2D* clone() const {
 return new T(*static_castcconst T*>(this)); // or, 'static_castcconst T&>(*this)'

25

recurring template pattern" (CRTP).

CRTP (cont.)

Summary:

• Application to scene duplication is the same:

```
void duplicate() {
  for (auto p_obj : selected) scene.emplace_back( p_obj->clone() );
}
```

- Advantage clone is defined only once.
- ⇒ Less code redundancy ⇒ better code maintenance.
- Note generally, CRTP has broader use than just the clone idiom.

CRTP (cont.)

- Result one base class, three middle classes, three derived classes.
- Exemplary resolution if ptr points to Line, ptr->clone() actually calls ClonableObject2D<Line>::clone(), which copies Line by its copy constructor.

27 28

Function swap

- $\bullet \ \textit{Special member functions} \ \text{related to object content:} \\$
- default constructor (sets object to "empty" state),
- copy constructor (copies content into initialized object),
 move constructor (moves content into initialized object),
- copy assignment operator (copies content into existing object),
- copy assignment operator (copies content into existing object),
 move assignment operator (moves content into existing object),
- destructor (destroys content).
- The member functions are special in that:
- they are automatically generated under some circumstances,
- they may be called without explicit function call syntax.
- Example:

```
Struct X (
std::string s;
) // all 6 special member functions are...
// ...automatically generated for TwoStrings class
void f(X);
int main() {
X x; // default constructor called
f(X); // copy constructor called
} // destructor called
```

Function swap (cont.)

- There is one additional "special" member function swap for swapping content of two existing objects.
- It is not truly special ⇒ not automatically generated, not called without explicit function call syntax.
- However, it is widely used inside existing (not only C++ standard) library algorithms (sorting, for instance).
- Suppose such an algorithm needs to swap content of two objects of type T.
- Then, there are two options:
- either there is a custom swap free function specifically designed for arguments of type T (typically defined in the same namespace as T),
- or, there is no such a custom function.
- The algorithms then typically works as follows:
- Ad 1) They use that custom function for required content swapping.
- Ad 2) They use std::swap instead.

std::swap

- std::swap is a library function that is provided in two forms:
- First, there is a primary template that works generally for objects of any
- . Then, there are "specializations" for various library types [link].

```
struct X { std::string s; };
      int main() {
  int i = 1, j = 2;
  std::swap(i, j);
  std::cout << i << j;
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     // uses generic primary template
// prints out "21" => content-numbers were swapped
             star:cour(<1<<) // prints our 21=> content-numbers were swapped std::string <math>st("world"), s2("hello "); std::sup(s1, s2); // uses spectal overload of std::sup for std::string std::sup(s1, s2); // <math>prints out "hello world" => content-strings were swapped start <math>std::string start start
             std::cout << s1 << s2; // prints out "nello world" => content-strings were sw

X x1("world"), x2("hello "); // uses generic primary template

std::cout << x1.s << x2.s; // prints out "hello world" => content-strings were sw
```

31

33

- 1) Why are these "specializations" defined?
- 2) Isn't the primary template enough?
- 3) Should we define swap function for custom types?

32

Custom swap vs std::swap (cont.)

- Is it worth defining special swap overload for custom types?
- Obviously, it is worth for classes without move semantics and "expensive" copy semantics (such as legacy/pre-C++11 classes).
- Benchmark class with a std::string subobject.
- Two versions first with move semantics:

```
struct X_move {
  std::string s;
}; // X_move HAS automatically generated move constructor and move assignment operator
```

• Second, with copy but without move semantics:

```
struct X_copy { // for instance, pre-C++11 legacy class std::string s; X_copy(cnst change c) - (-) (-)
                           std::string s;
X_copy(const char* s) : s(s) { }
X_copy(const X_copy& other) : s(other.s) { } // suppresses auto-generation...
// ...of move-content operations
\}; \hspace{0.2cm} //\hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{X\_copy HAS NOT} \hspace{0.1cm} automatically \hspace{0.1cm} generated \hspace{0.1cm} move \hspace{0.1cm} constructor \hspace{0.1cm} and \hspace{0.1cm} move \hspace{0.1cm} assignment \hspace{0.1cm} operator \hspace{0.1cm} and \hspace{0.1cm} move \hspace{0.1cm} assignment \hspace{0.1cm} operator \hspace{0.1cm} and \hspace{0.1cm} move \hspace{0.1cm} assignment \hspace{0.1cm} operator \hspace{0.1cm} and \hspace{
```

- Comparison of swapping of two objects of these types with std::swap primary template:
- Swapping of content was 4.3× faster for X_move [link].

34

· Conclusion:

Custom swap vs std::swap (cont.)

- . What is the difference:
- In all examined cases, swapping of content of X_... class object = swapping of content of its subobject (namely, its s member variable).
- In case of X_move and custom swap, this swapping of s is accomplished with "specialization" of std::swapfor std::string arguments:

```
roid swap(X_move& a, X_move& b) {
   std::swap(a.s, b.s); // specialization of std::swap for std::string called here
```

• In case of std::swap, primary template of std::swap is used and instantiated as follows:

```
roid swap<X move>(X move& a, X move& b) {
 Did SwapPCK, movez.V_m.movea d, x_mvrea v) ; // move constructor of X_move called a = std::move(b); // move assignment operator of X_move called b = std::move(temp); // move assignment operator of X_move called // destructor of X_move called
```

- · Auto-generated special member function of X_move is effectively
- special member functions: 1× move constructor, 2× move assign operator, 1x destructor

std::swap (cont.)

- How is generic primary template of std::swap implemented?
- It is not specified by the C++ standard, but implementations of C++ standard libraries typically define primary template naturally as follows:

```
template <typename T> // primary std::swap template void swap(T& a, T& b) {
  ous usup(18 a), 10 () {
Themp { std:move(a) }; // moves/copies content from first parameter into a temporary
a = std::move(b); // moves/copies content from second parameter into the first
b = std::move(temp); // moves/copies content from the temporary into the second param.
// => this effectively swaps content of both parameters
```

• \Rightarrow For class types, primary template of std::swap is implemented in terms of its special member functions:

```
// if T is a class type
T temp { std::move(a) }; // move/copy constructor called (move preferred, a = std::move(b); // move/copy assignment operator called (ditto) b = std::move(temp); // move/copy assignment operator called (ditto) // destructor called (for temp)
```

- ⇒ Move-content operations are (naturally) preferred if they exist; otherwise, copy-content operations are used instead
- Note for trivially-copyable types, there is no moving of content.
- ⇒ All operations involve copying of binary representations.

36

Custom swap vs std::swap (cont.)

• Let us add a custom swap function for a move-less version:

```
void swap(X_copy& a, X_copy& b)
 std::swap(a.s. b.s): // swapping content = swapping content of subobject s
```

- Results swapping of content was 12× faster with custom swap than with std::swap [link].
- ⇒ It is usually crucial to provide swap function for custom classes if these do not provide move-content operations and are expensive to copy (typically "non-movable" classes that own some resources).
- What about classes that provide move-content operations?

```
void swap(X move& a, X move& b)
 std::swap(a.s, b.s);
```

Results — swapping of content was 2.6× faster with custom swap than with std::swap [link].

Custom swap vs std::swap (cont.)

· With a custom swap function, content of s member variable is swapped

. With std::swap function, content of s member variable is swapped with:

• In both cases, the final outcome (observable behavior) of swapping

· However, within our experiment and used C++ implementation, the compiler was not able to optimize both cases into the equally

with the specialization of std::swap for std::string arguments.

• single call of std::string move constructor, • double call of std::string move assignment operator,

• and single call of std::string destructor.

efficient machine code.

· Why not?

equivalent with the same special member function of std::string.

 \Rightarrow Swapping of s is accomplished with the following calls of std::string

Custom swap vs std::swap (cont.)

- · Exemplary problem:
- After each move-content operation, s member variable of source object needs to be put into moved-from = "empty string" state, which requires some work.
- However, within std::swap instance, this is unnecessary since in all 3 cases, the s member variable is then either assigned new content or destructed:

```
void swapcX_move>(X_move& a, X_move& b) {
  X_move temp { std::nove(a) }; // a.s put into empty string state...
  a = std::nove(b); // b.s put into empty string state...

a = std::nove(b); // b.s put into empty string state...
  b = std::nove(temp); // ...while it is then assigned new content

b = std::nove(temp); // temps. put into empty string state...
  }
  b = std::nove(temp); // ...while it is then destructed
```

- $\bullet \ \ {\sf Compiler\ optimizers\ are\ simply\ not\ } {\it perfect/ideal\ from\ our\ point\ of\ view}.$
- Here, a compiler may not recognize that "resetting" strings is unnecessary, and generate machine code for it.
- Note due to SSO, move-content operations for std::string are nontrivial but relatively complex.

Custom swap vs std::swap (cont.)

- On the contrary, "specialization" of std::swap for std::string does not require any resetting to empty state.
- If strings are long, swapping of content effectively just turns into swapping content of few member variables (pointer + integers).
- ⇒ "Specialization" can be implemented in such a way that it does not contain any unnecessary operations.
- With generic std::swap based on move-content operations, additional operations are involved and it may be hard or impossible for a compiler to optimize them away and generate equally efficient machine code.
- Experiment swapping content of two std::string objects with primary template and specialization of std::swap: [link].
- Consequence generally, even for classes that provide movecontent operations, it may be worth providing custom swap function.

37

Using swap function

• How to use swap function, for instance, in a quicksort algorithm?

```
template typename T> long partition(T* a, long lo, long hi) {

long i = lo;

for (long j = lo; j < hi; j++)

if (a[j] a[hi])???; // need to swap a[i++] and a[j], NGW?

???;

return i;

}

template cypename T> void qs(T* a, long lo, long hi) {

if (lot < hi) = (long t) = (long t) + (lon
```

- Within partitioning, we need to swap sorted elements; how?
- We want:
- 1) to use custom swap function if it exists,
- to use std::swap otherwise.
- If we called
- swap, then compilation error would be generated in the second case.
- std::swap, then custom swap would not be used in the first case.

Using swap function

· Solution is simple:

38

40

```
template <typename 7> long partition(T* a, long lo, long hi) {
    using std::wmap;
    long i = lo.;
    for (long i = lo.; i < hi; j++)
    for (long i = a(hi)) swap(a[i++], a[j]);
    swap(a[i], a[hi]);
    return i;
}</pre>
```

- Directive using "injects" the name swap from std namespace into *qlobal namespace*.
- Consequently, swap call will:
- use custom swap (its "specialization") if it exists (better overloading candidate),
- fall back to primary std::swap template otherwise.
- Apparent problem:
- What if custom swap is in some custom (for instance, library) namespace?
- Will this solution work?

39

Argument dependent lookup

• Example:

```
manespace our_library {
    struct X {
        stucistring s;
        bool operator<(const X& rhs) const { return s < rhs.s; } // lexicographic comparison
    );
    void swap(X& a, X& b) { std::swap(a.s, b.s); }

int main() {
    our_library::X a[2] = { "B", "A" };
    a(s, 0, 1);
}</pre>
```

• In partition, there is no "knowledge" of our_library namespace:

Argument dependent lookup (cont.)

• Which overload of swap will be called when T is our_library::X?

```
long partitioncour_library::Xo(our_library::X* a, long lo, long hi) {
    using std::Neap;
    long i = lo; j < hi; j++)
    if (alj : a (ahi) | Sump(a[i++], a[j]);
    smap(a[i], a[hi]);
    return i;</pre>
```

- $\bullet \ \textit{Good news} {\sf custom\,our_library::swap\,will\,be\,used\,in\,this\,case}.$
- Reason = "argument dependent lookup" (ADL):
- If types of arguments are from some namespace, candidates for overloading are looked-up in this namespace as well.
- Without ADL, it would be almost impossible to write generic code.
- Its developer can't know about namespaces from which involved types of objects will come from.