

# Effective C++ Programming

NIE-EPC (v. 2021):  
EMPLACE SEMANTICS, PERFECT FORWARDING,  
FUNCTION CALL DISPATCHING  
© 2021 DANIEL LANGR, ČVUT (CTU) FIT

1

## Implicit conversions

- Consider the following example:

```
struct X {
    X(int) { std::cout << "converting constructor\n"; }
    X(X&&) { std::cout << "move constructor\n"; }
};
```

```
std::vector< X > v;
v.push_back( X(1) );
```

- Here, `std::vector<X>::push_back(X&&)` is called where:

- type of parameter is `X&&`,
- argument is an *expression* that:
  - refers to an object of type `X`,
  - its value category is *rvalue*.

- Modified example:

```
std::vector< X > v;
v.push_back( 1 );
```

- What has changed?

- Argument is an *expression* that refers to an object of type **other** than `X`.  
(Namely, it refers to an object of type `int` and its category is *rvalue*.)

2

## Implicit conversions (cont.)

- When:
  - initialization requires expression of some type `T1`, and
  - the type of the initialization expression is different than `T1` (say, `T2`),
- then a compiler will try to “*implicitly*” **convert** the initialization expression into an object of type `T1`.
- In our case:
  - Parameter of `push_back` (of type `X&&`) expects expression of type `X`.
  - Initialization expression (argument) `1` has type `int`.
- ⇒ Compiler will try to *implicitly* convert `1` to an object of type `X`.
- Is such conversion possible?
  - Yes, it is**, due to the availability of matching non-explicit converting constructor (constructor with a parameter of type `int`):

```
X(int) { std::cout << "converting constructor\n"; }
```

- Note — explicit *specifier* does disallow such implicit conversions.  
Conversions are then still possible but only explicitly written in code.

3

## Emplacing-back into vector

- Consequence — both two options are *effectively equivalent*:

```
v.push_back( X(1) ); // option #1
v.push_back( 1 ); // option #2
```

- In #2, the temporary object of type `X` created automatically due to an implicit conversion.

- What constructors of `X` are involved in...?

```
std::vector<X> v;
v.push_back(1);
```

- A temporary of type `X` is created from `1` ⇒ *converting constructor*.
- Then, `push_back` internally initializes a new element in its storage and move content into it from the temporary ⇒ *move constructor*.

- The program output agrees with that:

```
converting constructor
move constructor
```

- Live demo — <https://godbolt.org/z/3Y3KaTnEx>.

4

## Emplacing-back into vector (cont.)

```
std::vector<X> v;
v.push_back(1);
```

```
converting constructor
move constructor
```

- There is something “wrong” with that from the perspective of *performance/efficiency*.
  - Why to create a *temporary* and then, immediately, *move content* from it?
  - Wouldn't be better to create the *vector element* itself with the *converting constructor* “directly” from the argument `1`?
- Clearly, `push_back` itself cannot provide that — it accepts only arguments of type `X`.
- Instead — we want a vector member function that
  - accepts argument(s) of *any type* and *any value category*,
  - initializes a new vector element with *expression* that:
    - represents the same object(s) as the function argument(s),
    - has the same value category(ies) as the function argument(s).

5

## Emplacing-back into vector (cont.)

- Such functionality is called “*emplacing*” and `std::vector` provides corresponding function called `emplace_back`.
- Our first attempt — *single-argument* implementation.
- We want to accept an argument of *any type*...
  - ⇒ the designed function actually needs to be a *function template*;
- ...and *any value category*, which will be *recognizable*...
  - ⇒ the function parameter needs to be a *forwarding reference*.

```
// template <typename T> class Vector { ...
template <typename U>
void emplace_back(U&& param) {
    if (size_ == capacity_)
        reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( ??? );
    size_++;
}
```

- Remains to be resolved — how *initialization expression* should look like?

6

## Emplacing-back into vector (cont.)

### • Example:

```
template <typename U>
void emplace_back(U&& param) {
    ...
    new (data_ + size_) T( ??? );
}
```

```
std::vector<X> v;
int i = 1;
v.emplace_back( i ); // (1)
v.emplace_back( i+1 ); // (2)
```

- In case (1), we need to initialize vector element with an expression that:
  - refers to the same object as the argument  $\Rightarrow$  to variable *i*,
  - has the same value category as the argument  $\Rightarrow$  *lvalue*.
- In case (2), we need to initialize vector element with an expression that:
  - refers to the same object as the argument  $\Rightarrow$  to temporary *i+1*,
  - has the same value category as the argument  $\Rightarrow$  *rvalue*.

### • Possible solutions?

```
new (data_ + size_) T( param ); // NO! param is always lvalue => breaks d)
new (data_ + size_) T( std::move(param) );
// NO! std::move(param) is always rvalue => breaks b)
```

7

## Emplacing-back into vector (cont.)

```
new (data_ + size_) T( param );
new (data_ + size_) T( std::move(param) );
```

### • Problem:

- param is always *lvalue* (named entity case)
- `std::move(param)` is always *rvalue*.
- Instead, we need an expression that:
  - represents the same object as param,
  - its value category is the same as the value category of the `emplace_back` argument.

### • Quick solution — `std::forward`.

```
new (data_ + size_) T( std::forward<U>(param) );
```

### • Example:

```
std::vector<X> v;
v.emplace_back(i);
// converting constructor
```

8

## Pushing- vs emplacing-back into vector

### • Benchmark:

- Insertion of elements with `push_back` and `emplace_back` into a vector of strings (`std::string`), where argument is a string literal.

### • Results:

- With `GCC/libstdc++`, using `emplace_back` was **2.3x faster**.
  - Link: [https://quick-bench.com/q/bVc7e\\_EjenbAVWqAzSK22reeqYc](https://quick-bench.com/q/bVc7e_EjenbAVWqAzSK22reeqYc).
- With `Clang/libc++`, using `emplace_back` was **3.2x faster**.
  - Link: <https://quick-bench.com/q/QtdeRHqkhARu-mgXOSl4JeyxHr4>.

### • Alternative benchmark:

- The same with a vector of integers (`int`) and integer literal argument.
- Results — **same** measured runtime/performance.

### • Analysis:

- With `std::string`, `move constructors` are additionally involved with `push_back`.
- On the contrary, `int` is a *non-class type* and additional initialization is effectively eliminated under optimizations.

9

## Perfect forwarding

- How does `std::forward` function work?
- Recall** — param is a forwarding reference that is bound to some object.

```
// template <typename T> class Vector { ...
template <typename U> void emplace_back(U&& param) {
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( ... );
    size_++;
}
```

- This object is represented by either *lvalue* or *rvalue* expression.

```
Vector<X> v;
int i = 1;
v.emplace_back( i );
v.emplace_back( i+1 );
```

- We want to initialize new vector element with expression that:
  - represents the same object,
  - has the same value category.

10

## Perfect forwarding (cont.)

```
template <typename U>
void emplace_back(U&& param) {
    if (size_ == capacity_)
        reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( ... );
    size_++;
}
```

```
Vector<X> v;
int i = 1;
v.emplace_back( i ); // (1)
v.emplace_back( i+1 ); // (2)
```

### • Recall:

- In the first (*lvalue*) case, *U* is deduced as `int&` (and type of param is `int&`).
- In the second (*rvalue*) case, *U* is deduced as `int` (and the type of param is `int&`).

### • Generally, in case of

- lvalue*  $\rightarrow$  *U* is a (*lvalue*) reference type,
- rvalue*  $\rightarrow$  *U* is a non-reference type.

### • $\Rightarrow$ Initialization expression needs to be:

- lvalue* expression if *U* is a reference type,
- rvalue* expression otherwise.

### • Possible cast-based solution?

```
new (data_ + size_) T( static_cast<U&&>(param) );
```

11

## Perfect forwarding — casting

```
template <typename U> void emplace_back(U&& param) {
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( static_cast<U&&>(param) );
    size_++;
}
```

### • *Lvalue-case example:*

```
Vector<X> v;
int i = 1;
v.emplace_back( i );
```

- U* is deduced as `int&`  $\Rightarrow$  after *substitution* and *reference collapsing*:

```
new (data_ + size_) T( static_cast< int& >(param) ); // cast expression is lvalue
```

- $\Rightarrow$  Initialization expression represents variable *i* and its category is *lvalue*.

### • *Rvalue-case example:*

```
v.emplace_back( i+1 );
```

- U* is deduced as `int`  $\Rightarrow$  cast turns into:

```
new (data_ + size_) T( static_cast< int&& >(param) ); // cast expression is rvalue
```

- $\Rightarrow$  Initialization expression represents temporary *i+1* and its category is *rvalue*.

12

## Perfect forwarding — `std::forward`

- Delegation of cast to a separate function:

```
template <typename T>
T&& forward(T& param) { return static_cast<T&&>(param); }
```

- Application to `std::vector<T>::emplace_back`:

```
template <typename U> void emplace_back(U&& param) {
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ * 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( forward(U)(param) );
    size_++;
}
```

- Lvalue-case example:

```
Vector<X> v; int i = 1; v.emplace_back( i );
```

- $\Rightarrow$  T in forward is `int&`  $\Rightarrow$  after substitution and collapsing:

```
int& forward(int& param) { return static_cast<int&&>(param); } // its call is lvalue
```

- $\Rightarrow$  forward call expression represents variable `i` and is lvalue.

- Rvalue-case example:

```
Vector<X> v; int i = 1; v.emplace_back( i+1 );
```

- $\Rightarrow$  T in forward is `int`  $\Rightarrow$  after substitution:

```
int&& forward(int& param) { return static_cast<int&&>(param); } // its call is rvalue
```

- $\Rightarrow$  forward call expression represents temporary `i+1` and is rvalue.

13

## Perfect forwarding — `std::forward` (cont.)

```
template <typename T> T&& forward(T& param) // accepts only lvalues
{ return static_cast<T&&>(param); }
```

- Note — our forward function (template) accepts only lvalue arguments.
- This was ok for our `emplace_back`.
  - Its parameter `param` used as an argument of `forward` is always lvalue (named-entity case).

```
new (data_ + size_) T( forward(U)(param) ); // param expression is always lvalue
```

- Generally, we need to “cover” cases where forward:
  - function argument is either lvalue or rvalue,
  - template argument is either reference or non-reference type.
- $\Rightarrow$  This makes 4 different cases, which cannot be resolved with a single definition of forward function template.
- An additional overload would need to be added for rvalues:

```
template <typename T>
T&& forward(typename remove_reference<T>::type&& param) // overload for rvalues
{ return static_cast<T&&>(param); }
```

14

## Perfect forwarding — `std::forward` (cont.)

- Such two overloads are provided by the C++ standard library as a function template `std::forward`.

- $\Rightarrow$  Explanation of the original solution:

```
new (data_ + size_) T( std::forward(U)(param) );
```

- Relevant notes:

- Template argument for `(std::)forward` call must be explicitly specified.
- Template argument deduction rules wouldn't work here with the desired functionality.
- $\Rightarrow$  Implementations of `std::forward` are more “robust” (than our forward), and enforce explicit template argument provision.
  - Note — in application to our problem, there is effectively no difference.
- Relevant Stack Overflow discussions:
  - [Why does std::forward have two overloads?](#)
  - [The implementation of std::forward](#)

15

## Perfect forwarding (cont.)

- Generalization:
  - We have a function (template) that has a forwarding reference parameter.
  - This function is called with some function argument (= expression).
  - This argument represents some object and has some value category.
  - Inside the function, there is another expression created that:
    - represents the same object as the function argument,
    - has the same value category as the function argument.
- Such technique is generally called “perfect forwarding”.
- It is effectively used for passing/“forwarding” of arguments of “outer” function call into some internal another function call (for instance, constructor in case of initialization).
- “Perfect” = it preserves all properties of arguments (representation of particular object, its type, and value category).
- Note — “forwarding” gave rise of term “forwarding reference”

16

## `std::forward` vs `std::move`

- Perfect forwarding is typically implemented with the help of library utility function (template) `std::forward`.
- $\Rightarrow$  This is the reason of its name.
- `std::forward` and `std::move`:
- 1) `std::move` — recall:
  - It does not “move” anything.
  - Instead, its call creates an expression that represents the same objects as its argument and has category rvalue.
- 2) `std::forward` — similarly:
  - It does not “forward” anything.
  - Instead, its call creates an expression that represents the same object as its argument (forwarding reference) and has a desired value category (same as the expression “bound” to that forwarding reference).
- $\Rightarrow$  Both functions are technically similar; they generally differ only in the value category they “produce”.
- $\Rightarrow$  However, they both have very different use cases.

17

## Emplacing back — multiple arguments

- Final solution:

```
// template <typename T> class Vector { ...
template <typename U> void emplace_back(U&& param) {
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ * 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( std::forward(U)(param) );
    size_++;
}
```

- It works as required, but...
- ...only for a single argument.

```
struct X {
    X(int) {} // converting constructor
};
```

```
Vector<X> v;
v.emplace_back( 1 ); // ok
```

- $\Rightarrow$  This version of `emplace_back` cannot perfectly-forward multiple arguments to the vector elements initialization.
- This might be required for constructors with multiple parameters.

```
struct Y {
    Y(int, int) {} // converting constructor
};
```

```
Vector<Y> v;
int i = 1;
v.emplace_back( i, i+1 ); // error
```

18

## Emplacing back — multiple args (cont.)

- We would like to “perfectly-forward” — in our case to the initialization expression of the added vector element — not only a *single argument*, but *any number of arguments*.

- Quick solution** — making `emplace_back` a **variadic template**:

```
template <typename... U> void emplace_back(U&&... param) {
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( std::forward<U>(param)... );
    size_++;
}
```

```
Vector<V> v;
int i = 1;
v.emplace_back( i, i+1 ); // ok
```

- Effect:**
  - Each argument is (separately) perfectly-forwarded to the initialization expression of the constructed vector element.
  - The first constructor argument represents variable `i` and its category is *lvalue*.
  - The second constructor argument represents temporary `i+1` and its category is *rvalue*.

19

## Emplacing back — multiple args (cont.)

- Resolution:**

```
template <typename... U> void emplace_back(U&&... param) {
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( std::forward<U>(param)... );
    size_++;
}
```

```
Vector<V> v;
int i = 1;
v.emplace_back( i, i+1 );
```

- `emplace_back` has 2 arguments  $\Rightarrow$  effectively equivalent with...

```
template <typename U, typename V> void emplace_back(U&& param1, V&& param2) {
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( std::forward<U>(param1), std::forward<V>(param2) );
    size_++;
}
```

- ...which is then “resolved” (*instantiated*) as:

```
void emplace_back(int& param1, int& param2) {
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) V( std::forward<int&>(param1), std::forward<int>(param2) );
    size_++;
}
```

20

## Emplacing back — no argument

```
template <typename... U> void emplace_back(U&&... param) {
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( std::forward<U>(param)... );
    size_++;
}
```

- This variadic template-based solution even allows to forward **no argument at all**:

```
Vector< std::string > v;
v.push_back(); // error - push_back requires an argument
v.emplace_back(); // ok - inserts empty = default-constructed string object in the vector
```

- `emplace_back` is here *instantiated* as:

```
void emplace_back() {
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) std::string( /* nothing here */ );
    size_++;
}
```

21

## push\_back vs emplace\_back

- `push_back` requires — as its input — an object of vector's value type `T`.
- Recall, there are two overloads for *lvalues* and *rvalues*.

```
// template <typename T> class Vector { ...
void push_back(const T& param) { // overload for lvalues
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( param );
    size_++;
}
void push_back(T&& param) { // overload for rvalues
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( std::move( param ) );
    size_++;
}
```

- On the contrary, `emplace_back` can accept *any argument*:

```
template <typename... U> void emplace_back(U&&... param) {
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( std::forward<U>(param)... );
    size_++;
}
```

- $\Rightarrow$  These arguments may — of course — be of type `T` as well.

22

## push\_back vs emplace\_back (cont.)

- When argument of `emplace_back` is of type `T` and its category is *lvalue*, `emplace_back` behaves exactly as the *lvalue* overload of `push_back`.

- $\Rightarrow$  We can write this overload of `push_back` in terms of `emplace_back`:

```
void push_back(const T& param) { // overload for lvalues
    emplace_back(param);
}
```

- Similarly, when argument of `emplace_back` is of type `T` and its category is *rvalue*, `emplace_back` behaves exactly as the *rvalue* overload of `push_back`.

- $\Rightarrow$  We can also write this overload of `push_back` in terms of `emplace_back`:

```
void push_back(T&& param) { // overload for rvalues
    emplace_back( std::move(param) ); // need to make rvalue from param
}
```

- This way — for example — is the `push_back` implemented in *Microsoft STL* (with a bit different internal syntax, but the same effect).

- Link: <https://github.com/microsoft/STL/blob/main/stl/inc/vector#L633>.

23

## Perfect forwarding — use cases

- Perfect forwarding* is one of the building blocks of modern C++.
- It was introduced in C++11 (same as, for example, *content moving semantics*).
- It is commonly used in C++ standard library.
- Use case 1.** — `std::construct_at` (seen in lecture 4):
  - Recall** — this function has the functionality of *placement new*.
  - $\Rightarrow$  It explicitly initializes an object of a *give type* in the *location* specified by its first function argument...
  - ...while all other arguments are *perfectly forwarded* to the object *initialization expression*.

```
new (ptr) T(arg1, arg2, arg3); // option #1 - placement new
std::construct_at<T>(ptr, arg1, arg2, arg3); // option #2 - equivalent
```

- Possible implementation:**

```
template <typename T, typename... A>
T* construct_at(void* ptr, A&&... params) {
    new (ptr) T( std::forward<A>(params)... );
}
```

24

## Perfect forwarding — use cases (cont.)

- *Use case II.* — constructor of `std::optional` (seen in lecture 4):
  - Recall — *optional class* can optionally own/store an object of its *value type* (template argument) into its *included storage*.
  - It has a constructor that immediately initializes the owned object and perfectly forwards any arguments to its constructor.

- Possible implementation of such a constructor:

```
// template <typename T> class optional { ...
template <typename Ts...>
optional(std::in_place_t, Ts&&... args) : exists_(true) {
    new (buffer_) T(std::forward<Ts>(args)...);
}
```

- Alternative implementation with `std::construct_at` ( $\Rightarrow$  double perfect forwarding)

```
// template <typename T> class optional { ...
template <typename Ts...>
optional(std::in_place_t, Ts&&... args) : exists_(true) {
    std::construct_at<T>(buffer_, std::forward<Ts>(args)...);
}
```

- What about that (unnamed) parameter of type `std::in_place_t`?

25

## Perfect forwarding — use cases (cont.)

- Why isn't the constructor defined simply as follows?

```
// template <typename T> class optional { ...
template <typename Ts...>
optional(Ts&&... args) : exists_(true) {
    new (buffer_) T(std::forward<Ts>(args)...);
}
```

- Consider the following two options when initializing an optional object:

- First, we want to create an "empty" optional owner object, which does not own any object of its *value type*.
  - For this purpose, there is a *default constructor*:

```
std::optional< std::string > o1; // default constructor => no owned object
```

- Second, we want to initialize an optional owner that owns an empty = *default-constructed string object*.

- $\Rightarrow$  We would like to invoke the "forwarding-constructor" and *forward nothing*.

- With the above definition, this would require *no constructor argument as well*.

- $\Rightarrow$  We need some mechanism to tell the compiler that it should call the forwarding constructor in this case (*discussion: [link]*).

- Mechanism used by `std::optional` is called *tag dispatching*.

26

## Function call dispatching

- *Situation* — *function call* which may correspond to *multiple versions (variants/definitions)* of that function.
- **Dispatching of such function call** = resolution of which of the versions will be called.
- C++ has several different dispatching mechanisms suitable for different situations.
- First dispatching option — *function overloading*.
  - The dispatch is resolved according to the *function argument expressions* (their types and value categories).

- Example — *type-based overload-dispatching*:

```
f(int); // overload #1
f(double); // overload #2
```

```
f( 1 ); // overload #1 called
f( 1.0 ); // overload #2 called
```

- Another example — *value category-based overload-dispatching*:

```
struct X {
    X(); // default constructor
    X(const X&); // copy constructor
    X(X&&); // move constructor
};
```

```
X x1;
X x2( x1 ); // copy ctor called
X x3( std::move(x1) ); // move ctor called
```

27

## Function call dispatching (cont.)

- Second dispatching option — *dynamic polymorphism*.
  - The dispatch is resolved according to the *actual (dynamic) type of the pointed-to/referenced object*.
- Example:

```
struct Base {
    virtual void f() = 0;
    virtual ~Base() = default;
};
struct Derived1 : Base {
    virtual void f() { std::cout << "1"; }
};
struct Derived2 : Base {
    virtual void f() { std::cout << "2"; }
};
void f(Base& obj) {
    obj.f(); // dispatch required
}
```

```
std::unique_ptr<Base> p_obj;
int i;
std::cin >> i;
p_obj = (i == 1) ?
    new Derived1 : new Derived2;
f(*p_obj);
// => calls either
// Derived1::f()
// or
// Derived2::f()
// based on the type of created object
```

- Dispatch resolution is based on *virtual functions*.
- It is sometimes referred to as "virtual dispatch".

28

## Function call dispatching (cont.)

- Third dispatching option — *static polymorphism*.
  - The dispatch is resolved according to the *actual type of the object* that is specified by a template parameter.
- Example:

```
template <typename T>
void f(T& obj) {
    obj.f(); // dispatch required
}
```

```
struct A { void f() { std::cout << "A"; } };
struct B { void f() { std::cout << "B"; } };
```

```
A obj;
f(obj); // calls A::f()
```

- Dispatch may be resolved

- 1) either *at compile time* — then, it is generally called "static dispatch",
- 2) or *at runtime* — then, it is generally called "dynamic dispatch".

- Examples:

- Overload- and *static polymorphism*-based dispatches are *static*.
- *Dynamic polymorphism (virtual)*-based dispatch is *dynamic*.

29

## Function call dispatching (cont.)

- When class object is *initialized*, constructor is dispatched according to the *initialization expression* by the *overloading mechanism*:

```
struct X {
    X();
    X(const X&);
    X(X&&);
};
```

```
X x1; // no argument => default constructor
X x2(x1); // lvalue argument of type X => copy constructor
X x3(std::move(x1)); // rvalue argument of type X => move constructor
```

- Problem with the following version of the forwarding constructor of the optional class is...

```
// template <typename T> class optional { ...
optional(); // default constructor
template <typename Ts...> optional(Ts&&... args); // forwarding constructor (attempt)
```

- ...that the overloading rules cannot distinguish between the described two initialization cases:

- 1) initialization of *empty optional* object,
- 2) initialization with *no-argument forwarding*.

- Both syntactically correspond with initialization with no argument:

```
std::optional< std::string > o1; // both default and forwarding constructor match
```

30

## Overloading

```
optional(); // default constructor
template <typename Ts...> optional(Ts&&... args); // forwarding constructor (attempt)

std::optional< std::string > o1; // both default and forwarding constructor match
```

- In this case, *both constructor* match the initialization form (*no/empty initialization expression*).
- They both can be called  $\Rightarrow$  are so-called viable "candidates" for overload resolution.
- Which of them will be finally called?
- Generally, when they are multiple viable overloading candidates, the one with the *highest priority* is selected.
  - These priorities are specified by (relatively complex rules of) C++ standard.
- In our case, the *default constructor* will be selected according to these rules (*non-templates* typically have priority over *templates*).
- Note:
  - Multiple candidates with equal highest priority results in compilation error ("ambiguous call of...").

31

## Tag dispatching

```
optional(); // default constructor
template <typename Ts...> optional(Ts&&... args); // forwarding constructor (attempt)
```

- Overloading rules cannot distinguish between initialization with these two constructors in case of *no-argument forwarding*.
- $\Rightarrow$  We need some other dispatching mechanism how to select forwarding constructor.
- One such mechanism is so-called "**tag dispatching**".
  - It works such that we introduce into a function a parameter (typically unnamed) of a *special type* created only for tag dispatching purposes.
- Example:

```
void f() { std::cout << "1"; }

struct tag_t { }; // special new tagging-purpose type
template <typename... Ts> void f(tag_t, Ts&&...) { std::cout << "2"; }

int main() {
    f(); // prints out "1"
    f( tag_t{} ); // prints out "2"
}
```

- Note — tag dispatching is an "*explicit overloading mechanism*".

32

## Tag dispatching (cont.)

- Tag dispatching is used for selection of forwarding constructor of `std::optional`.
- The special selection "tag" type is `std::in_place_t`:

```
// template <typename T> class optional { ...
template <typename Ts...>
optional(std::in_place_t, Ts&&... args);
```

- Forwarding constructor is then effectively selected by providing the first argument of this type during initialization:

```
std::optional< std::string > o1; // default constructor called
std::optional< std::string > o2( std::in_place_t{} ); // forwarding constructor called
```

- Resolution:
  - First initialization creates an empty optional object.
  - Second one creates an optional object that owns an empty string object.
- Note:
  - Tag argument needs to be an expression/object of type `std::in_place_t`.
  - Standard library defines such an object for us:

```
std::optional< std::string > o2( std::in_place );
```

33

## Perfect forwarding (cont.)

- Use case III. — smart pointer "makers".
  - Smart pointers do not have forwarding constructors.
  - Instead, we can initialize them by creating owned objects explicitly:

```
std::unique_ptr<X> upy = new X(1);
```

- Drawbacks:
  - Type needs to be written twice in the source code (*duplication*).
  - Its "ugly" — using `new` explicitly is considered bad practice in modern C++ when programming at a high level of abstraction.

- Alternative solution...

```
std::unique_ptr<X> upy = std::make_unique<X>(1); // eliminates explicit new
```

- ...or, even better:

```
auto upy = std::make_unique<X>(1); // eliminates both drawbacks
```

- The same approach — `std::shared_ptr` + `std::make_shared`.
- Caveat:
  - Smart pointers support so-called *custom deleters*, which cannot be supplied via `make_` functions.

34

## Perfect forwarding (cont.)

- Function (template) `std::make_unique`:
  - It creates a unique pointer that owns a constructed object of a desired type...
  - ...and perfectly forwards all function arguments to the object initialization expression.
- $\Rightarrow$  Possible implementation:

```
template <typename T, typename... Ts>
std::unique_ptr<T> make_unique(Ts&&... params) {
    return std::unique_ptr<T>( new T( std::forward<Ts>(params)... ) );
}
```

- Does `make_unique` have any *runtime overhead*?

```
std::unique_ptr<X> upy1 = new X(1); // converting constructor of std::unique_ptr called only
auto upy2 = std::make_unique<X>(1); // which constructors called here?
```

- Since C++17, it is *guaranteed* to be equivalent  $\Rightarrow$  no overhead.
- Until C++17, it is *very likely* equivalent  $\Rightarrow$  (very) likely no overhead.
- Reason = *copy elision* optimization technique.

35

## Perfect forwarding (cont.)

- Use case IV. — *emplacing functions* of library containers.
  - We have seen `std::vector::emplace_back`.
  - Other containers (and container adapters) also support *emplacing semantics* for elements insertion operations.
  - They differ from "traditional" insertion functions such that they construct/initialize new container elements while perfectly forwarding arguments to its initialization expression.

- Examples:

```
std::set<std::string> m;
m.insert("string"); // converting + move
m.emplace("string"); // converting only
```

```
std::list<std::string> l;
l.push_front("string"); // converting + move
l.emplace_front("string"); // converting only
```

```
std::stack<std::string> s;
s.push("string"); // converting + move
s.emplace("string"); // converting only
```

36