

# Effective C++ Programming

NIE-EPC (v. 2021):  
VALUE CATEGORIES, TYPES OF REFERENCES,  
REFERENCE BINDING, OVERLOADING RULES  
© 2021 DANIEL LANGR, ČVUT (CTU) FIT

1

## Value categories

- In C++, each *expression* is characterized by two properties:
  - type*,
  - value category*.
- The total number of value categories is 5, but for most purposes, only 2 of them matter:
  - lvalues*,
  - rvalues*.
- Important rule** — each expression is (has category) either *lvalue* or *rvalue*.
- Precise definitions are complicated, but we will try to simplify them.
- Cppreference link**:
  - [https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/value\\_category](https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/value_category).



2

## Value categories — *lvalues*

- Lvalues* — addressable entities.
  - Their addresses can be obtained by & operator.
- Major cases**:
  - Entities with name* — every expression that itself is a named entity is *lvalue* (variables, references, function parameters, functions,...).
  - Array elements* — we can obtain their addresses.
  - Dereferenced pointers* — addresses = pointer values.
  - Function-call expressions* whose return type is *lvalue reference* (see later).
  - Example** — operator[] of std::vector [link](#).

```
std::vector<int> v = { 1, 2, 3 };
std::cout << v[1]; // expression "v[1]" is lvalue
```

- It returns *lvalue reference* to its element, which is addressable.
- String literals* — exception; other literals are *rvalues*.
- Casting to lvalue reference type* (see later).

3

3

## Value categories — *rvalues*

- Rvalues*:
  - Expressions that are not *lvalues* = *non-addressable* expressions.
- Major cases**:
  - Non-string literals* — -1, false, nullptr,...
  - Temporary objects* — results of operations,...

```
int i = 1;
int a[3] = { 1 /* lvalue */ , 1 /* rvalue */ , i + 1 /* rvalue */ };
```

- Function-call expressions whose return type is non-reference.**

```
std::string s("hello world");
std::string s2 = s.substr(0, 5); // red-emphasized expression is rvalue
```

- Function-call expressions whose return type is *rvalue reference*.**

- Example** — std::move:

```
std::string s("hello world");
std::string s_copy = s; // purple-emphasized expression is lvalue
std::string s_move = std::move(s); // red-emphasized expression is rvalue
```

- Cast expression to non-reference type or to *rvalue reference*** (see later).

4

4

## Value categories — *function overloading*

- Why are value categories important?
  - Because they, in practice, frequently decide which *overloaded variant of some function* will be called according to the value category of function argument.
- Examples**:
  - Two overloaded variants of std::vector::push\_back:
    - One is called for *lvalue arguments*, the other one for *rvalue arguments*.
  - Overloaded constructors** (and **assignment operators**) for initialization expressions of the same type:
    - copy constructor* is used for *lvalue "arguments"* — object initialization expressions,
    - move constructor* (if exists) is used for *rvalue arguments*.
- How this overloading works?
  - Typically, it is based on passing objects by reference, where overloads have different reference types of parameters.

5

5

## References — *lvalue references*

- Types of references in C++ (since C++11):
  - Lvalue references* — denoted by single & source code character.

```
template <typename T>
void f(
    int & lri, // lvalue reference to int
    const int & clri, // constant lvalue reference to int
    T & lrt, // lvalue reference to T (whatever it is resolved to)
    const T & clrt // constant lvalue reference to T
) { ... }
```

- Notes**:
  - "Constant reference" should be better worded as "reference-to-const".
  - Each reference is *constant* per se since it refers to the same object through its entire existence.
  - Reference-to-const** — denoted by const modifier — indicates that referred-to object cannot be modified **through this reference**.
    - ⇒ It does not imply that the object itself is *constant (immutable)*.
  - For sake of simplicity, we call "references-to-const" simply "constant references".

6

6

## References — *rvalue and forwarding refs*

- 2) *Rvalue references* — denoted by double `&&` source code characters in case these do not make forwarding references (see later).

```
template <typename T>
void f(
    int && rri,           // rvalue reference to int
    T && frr               // rvalue forwarding reference to T (whatever T resolves to)
) { ... }
```

- Note** — constant rvalue references are almost never useful.
- Relevant discussion: <https://stackoverflow.com/q/4938875/580083>.

- 3) *Forwarding references* — denoted by `auto&&`, or `T&&` where `T` is a function template parameter.

```
template <typename T>
void f(
    T && frr               // forwarding reference to T (whatever T resolves to)
) { ... }
```

- Note** — forwarding reference type must be exactly *function template parameter + double ampersand* `&&`.
- Any other form would make `frr` not a forwarding reference.

7

## Reference binding

- A reference always refer to some entity, such as an object or a function.
- Without the loss of generality*, we will consider mostly *references-to-objects*.
- Standard wording** — reference that refers to some object is said to be “bound to” this object.

```
int i = 1;
int &ri = i; // ri is bound to i
```

- References-related rules:**

- References cannot be “rebound” to another object.
- When the lifetime of the bound object ends, the reference becomes *invalid* — so-called “dangling” — and it may not be used any more.

- Common dangling-reference bug:**

```
int & f() {
    int i = 1; // i does not exist outside of f...
    return i;  // ...where reference to i is passed
}
```

8

## Reference binding (*cont.*)

- To what is reference bound is given by its *initialization expression*.

```
int & f() {
    int i = 1;
    return i; // reference returned from f is bound to i
}
```

```
void g(int & par_rri) { ... }
```

```
int i = 1;
int & ri = i; // ri is bound to i
g(i);        // par_rri is bound to i in this particular function call
```

```
std::vector<int> v = { 1, 2, 3 };
int & ri = v[1]; // ri is bound to second vector element
g(v[1]);        // par_rri is bound to second vector element in this function call
```

- References can sometimes prolong lifetime of temporaries:

```
int i = 1;
const int & cri = i + 1;
// cri is not dangling here, the temporary of type int with value 2 still exists
```

- Rules for temporary lifetime extension due to reference bounding are relatively complex  $\Rightarrow$  better is not to do this.

```
const int & f(const int & cri) {
    return cri;
}

const int & ref = f(i);
// Lifetime of literal 1 is not extended
// => ref is dangling here
```

9

## Reference binding and value categories

- Generally, references cannot be bound to objects of all categories.

- Basic rules:**

- Lvalue references* can be bound only to *lvalues*.

```
void f(int &) { }

int i;
std::vector<int> v = { 1, 2, 3 };
f(i);           // ok - "i" is lvalue (named entity)
f(v[1]);        // ok - "v[1]" is lvalue (operator[] returns lvalue reference)
f(1);           // error - "1" is rvalue (non-string literal)
f(i + 1);       // error - "i + 1" is rvalue (temporary object)
```

- Rvalue references* can be bound only to *rvalues*.

```
void g(int &&) { }

int i;
std::vector<int> v = { 1, 2, 3 };
g(i);           // error - "i" is lvalue
g(v[1]);        // error - "v[1]" is lvalue
g(1);           // ok - "1" is rvalue
g(i + 1);       // ok - "i + 1" is rvalue
```

- Note** — these rules justify names of “lvalue” and “rvalue references”.

10

## Reference binding and value categs. (*cont.*)

- Special case:**

- Constant *lvalue* references can be bound both *lvalues* and *rvalues*.

```
void h(const int &) { }

int i;
std::vector<int> v = { 1, 2, 3 };
h(i);           // ok - "i" is lvalue
h(v[1]);        // ok - "v[1]" is lvalue
h(1);           // ok - "1" is rvalue
h(i + 1);       // ok - "i + 1" is rvalue
```

- This rule allows writing a single function that can work with external objects regardless of their value category.
- For example, *equality-comparison* operator `==` typically does have both parameters constant lvalue references [\[sample link\]](#).

```
std::optional<int> lhs(1);
if (lhs == std::optional<int>(2)) ... // compares lvalue with rvalue
```

- It does not make any sense to distinguish lvalues and rvalues when comparing objects (their content).
- When does it make sense? See later...

11

## Reference binding and value categs. (*cont.*)

- Up to now, in *ad 1)*, *2)*, and *3)*, we worked with references related to particular object type:

```
void f(int &) { }      void g(int &&) { }      void h(const int &) { }
```

- What if we want to write a (*generic*) function that pass arguments by reference regardless of their type?

- Lvalue reference* — *generalized case*:

```
template <typename T>
void f_gen(T &) { }
```

- Works as expected — only *lvalues* are accepted, and they may be of any type.

```
int i;
double d;
f_gen(i);       // ok - "i" is lvalue (of type int)
f_gen(d);       // ok - "d" is lvalue (of type double)
f_gen(1);       // error - "1" is rvalue (of type int)
f_gen(1.0);     // error - "1.0" is rvalue (of type double)
```

12

## Reference binding and value catgs. (cont.)

- *Lvalue reference* — generalized case (cont.)...

- Exemplary library function
- template — `std::swap` for swapping content of two objects, both of the same type.

**std::swap**

```
Defined in header <algorithm>
Defined in header <utility>
Defined in header <string_view>
template< class T >
void swap( T& a, T& b );
namespace std { ... }
```

- $\Rightarrow$  `std::swap` can work only with lvalue arguments:

```
std::string s("hello");
std::swap(s, string("hi")); // error
```

- **Rationale:**

- Mostly, it does not make sense to swap content with *rvalue*.
- For instance, *rvalues* are typically *temporaries* whose lifetime does not exceed the expression they emerge within.
- $\Rightarrow$  Assignment makes more sense then:

```
s = string("hi"); // makes more sense
```

13

## Reference binding and value catgs. (cont.)

- *Constant lvalue reference* — generalized case:

```
template <typename T>
void h_gen(const T &) { }
```

- Works as expected — both *lvalues* and *rvalues* are accepted, and they may be of any type.

```
int i; double d;
h_gen(i); // ok - "i" is lvalue (of type int)
h_gen(d); // ok - "d" is lvalue (of type double)
h_gen(1); // ok - "1" is rvalue (of type int)
h_gen(1.0); // ok - "1.0" is rvalue (of type double)
```

- *Rvalue? reference* — generalized case:

```
template <typename T>
void g_gen(T &&) { }
```

- Does not work as expected — both *lvalues* and *rvalues* are accepted (and they may be of any type).

```
int i; double d;
g_gen(i); g_gen(d); g_gen(1); g_gen(1.0); // all ok
```

14

## Template argument deduction

- When function template is called and:
  - some *template argument* is not explicitly provided,
  - and *template parameter* appears in some function parameter type,
- ...then this template argument is deduced from the type of that function argument (*simplified wording*).

- **Example — lvalue reference case:**

```
template <typename T> void f_gen(T & param) { }

int i; double d;
f_gen(i); // in this call, T is deduced as int => type of param is int&
f_gen(d); // in this call, T is deduced as double => type of param is double&
```

- This deduction is "intuitive", as for *constant lvalue reference case*:

```
template <typename T> void h_gen(const T &) { }

int i; double d;
h_gen(i); // in this call, T is deduced as int => type of param is const int&
h_gen(d); // in this call, T is deduced as double => type of param is const double&
h_gen(1); // in this call, T is deduced as int => type of param is const int&
h_gen(1.0); // in this call, T is deduced as double => type of param is const double&
```

15

## Template argument deduction (cont.)

- However, the *seemingly rvalue reference case* is different:

```
template <typename T> void g_gen(T && param) { }
```

- In case of *rvalue* arguments, it "works" as expected:

```
int i; double d;
g_gen(i); // in this call, T is deduced as int => type of param is int&&
g_gen(1.0); // in this call, T is deduced as double => type of param is double&&
```

- $\Rightarrow$  Parameters indeed are *rvalue references*.

- However, in case of *lvalue arguments*, something weird happens:

```
int i; double d;
g_gen(i); // in this call, T is deduced as int& => type of param is int& (???)
g_gen(d); // in this call, T is deduced as double& => type of param double& (???)
```

- $\Rightarrow$  Parameters are *lvalue references*.

- $\Rightarrow$  They can bind *lvalue* arguments.

- **Short explanation** — `T&&` here is not an *rvalue reference* but it is a *forwarding reference*.

- Forwarding references have special template argument deduction rules.
- Why?

16

## Reference collapsing

```
template <typename T> void g_gen(T && param) { }

int i; double d;
g_gen(i); // in this call, T is deduced as int& => type of param is int& && = int&
g_gen(d); // in this call, T is deduced as double& => type of param double& && = double&
```

- **First puzzle:**

- When
  - T is deduced as `int&`,
  - and is "substituted" into `T&&`,
- how is possible that the resulting type of param is `int&`?
- Shouldn't it be `int&&` instead?

- **Explanation:**

- Technically, after *substitution*, the type of param is `int& &&`.
- However, then this `int& &&` "collapses" into `int&`...
- ...according to so-called *reference collapsing rules*:
  - `&&&`, `&&&`, and `&&&` collapse to `&`.
  - Only `&&&` collapses to `&&`.
- See, for example: <https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/reference>.

17

## Forwarding references

- **Need:**

- passing by reference argument of *any type* and *any value category*,
- plus *finding out the value category* of the passed argument inside the function.

- **Why?**

- Mostly used for so-called "*perfect forwarding*" — will be explained later.

- Only *forwarding reference* can do that:

- If passed argument is an *lvalue* of type X, the corresponding template argument is deduced as `X&`,
- otherwise (*rvalue* argument of type X), it is deduced as `X`.
- $\Rightarrow$  If the *template parameter* is *reference*, passed argument was *lvalue*, and vice versa.

- **Counter-example** — generic constant *lvalue* references:

- For both *lvalue* and *rvalue* arguments, the template argument is deduced equally.
- $\Rightarrow$  There is no information about value category of passed argument available in the function.

```
// template <typename T> void h_gen(const T &) { }
int i;
h_gen(i); // lvalue argument => T is deduced as int, type of param is const int &
h_gen(1); // rvalue argument => T is deduced as int, type of param is const int &
```

18

## Forwarding references — example

- **Example** — template argument deduction rules for forwarding references allows us to write a function that tell whether the argument expression is *lvalue* or *rvalue*:

```
template <typename T>
bool is_lvalue(T&& param) { // forwarding reference parameter
    return ??? // return true if T is reference, false if it is non-reference type
}
```

- In case of *lvalue argument*, T is *lvalue reference* to argument's types, otherwise (*rvalue argument*), T is *non-reference*.
- How to check this?
- Possible solution = **template metafunction** `std::is_reference`.
- Recall — **template metafunctions / C++ library type traits**:
  - take some type or number as template argument,
  - produce some type or number as its "result" (member type/variable):
- We have seen `std::is_trivially_copyable<T>` that maps type to a Boolean value (static member value) which is true if T is *trivially-copyable*.

19

## Forwarding references — example (cont.)

- Library metafunction (type trait) `std::is_reference<T>`:
  - Maps type to a Boolean value which is
    - *true* if the input type is a *reference type*,
    - *false* otherwise.
  - "Output" Boolean value has a form of a static member constant value.
- **Example**:

```
std::cout << std::is_reference<int>::value; // prints out "0"
std::cout << std::is_reference<int&& >::value; // prints out "1"
std::cout << std::is_reference<int&& >::value; // prints out "1"
```

- Application to our problem is straightforward:

```
template <typename T>
bool is_lvalue(T&& param) {
    return std::is_reference<T>::value;
}
```

- **Demo**:

```
int i;
std::cout << is_lvalue( i ); // prints out "1" (named entity - variable)
std::cout << is_lvalue( 1 ); // prints out "0" (non-string literal)
std::cout << is_lvalue( 1+1 ); // prints out "0" (temporary)
std::cout << is_lvalue( "string literal" ); // prints out "1" (string literal)
```

20

## Value categories + copying/moving content

- Assume that we want to create a function f that:
  - takes some object of type X as its argument,
  - and, inside, either *copy* or *move* content from it.
- How to design such a function?
- Recall:
  - *Lvalues* are *addressable* and, typically, their lifetime is longer than the lifetime of the expression where they emerge:

```
X x;
f( x ); // expression "x" has category lvalue (variable - named entity)
```

- Here, the object referred to by lvalue expression "x" existed before the function-call expression and will exist after it as well.
- *Rvalues* are typically short-lived objects (such as *temporaries*) whose lifetime is limited to the expression where they emerge:

```
f( X{} ); // expression "X{}" has category rvalue (temporary)
```

- Here, the object referred to by rvalue expression "X{}" exists only within the function-call expression.

21

## Value categories + copying/moving (cont.)

- **Consequences**:
  - *Lvalues* are natural candidates for *copying content* from.
  - *Rvalues* are natural candidates for *moving content* from.
    - Why to copy content from an object that will be then soon destructed?
- **Idiomatic solution**:
  - Two function overloads:
    - First for *lvalue arguments* that will *copy content* from them inside.
    - Second for *rvalue arguments* that will *move content* from them inside.

```
void f(X& param) { /* copy from argument bound to param */ } // accepts lvalue arguments
void f(X&& param) { /* move from argument bound to param */ } // accepts rvalue arguments
```

- **More idiomatic solution**:

- The content of the *copied-from object* is usually preserved.
- ⇒ *Constant lvalue reference* indicates that explicitly:

```
void f(const X& param) { ... }
void f(X&& param) { ... }
```

22

## Value categories + copying/moving (cont.)

- **Problem?**

```
void f(const X& param) { ... } // (1) accepts lvalue and rvalue arguments
void f(X&& param) { ... } // (2) accepts rvalue arguments
```

- For *lvalue arguments*, only overload (1) can be called.
- For *rvalue arguments*, both overloads are viable:
  - *Rvalue* can be bound both to *constant lvalue reference* as well as to *rvalue reference*.
- Fortunately, C++ overloading rules will prefer the second overload (2).
  - ⇒ There is no overloading ambiguity.

```
X x;
f( x ); // will call overload (1)
f( X{} ); // will call overload (2)
```

- **Example** — `std::vector::push_back` [\[link\]](#):

- Two overloaded variants:
  - 1) One for *lvalues* — *copies content* from arguments.
  - 2) Another one for *rvalues* — *moves content* from arguments.
- Note — if *move operation* is not available, ad 2) falls back to copying content (see later).

23

## Value categories + copying/moving (cont.)

- Another example — *copy/move constructors/assignment operators*.

- **Copy constructor** copies content (and preserve content of the source object) and content is typically copied from *lvalues*.
  - ⇒ Parameter of copy constructor is usually *constant lvalue reference*.
- **Move constructor** moves content and content is typically moved from *rvalues*.
  - ⇒ Parameter of move constructor is *rvalue reference*.
- The same holds for assignment operators.

```
struct X {
    X(const X&); // copy constructor
    X(X&&); // move constructor
    X& operator=(const X&); // copy assignment operator
    X& operator=(X&&); // move assignment operators
}
```

- **Note** — this explains forms of parameter types of *copy/move constructors/assignment operators*.

24

## Moving content from lvalues

- **Recall:**
  - *Lvalues* are "natural candidates" for *copying* content from.
  - *Rvalues* are "natural candidates" for *moving* content from.
- However, this is not always the case.
- Sometimes, content needs to be *moved* from *lvalues*!
- **Example** — vector's reallocation:

```
for (size_t i = 0; i < size_; i++)
    new (data + i) T( ??? ); // want to move content from original elements
```

- Expression `*(data_+i)` itself is *lvalue* (dereferenced pointer case).
  - $\Rightarrow$  Move constructor cannot be called (rvalue reference parameter).
- When we want to prefer content moving (from the original elements), we need to:
  - make an expression that refers to the same object as the original (*lvalue*) expression,
  - but its category will be *rvalue* instead of *lvalue*.

35

25

## Moving content from lvalues (cont.)

- **First option** — casting to rvalue reference:
  - *Cast-to-rvalue-reference expression* has category *rvalue*:

```
int i;
std::cout << is_lvalue( i ); // prints out "1"
std::cout << is_lvalue( static_cast<int&&>( i ) ); // prints out "0"
```

- **Application to vector's reallocation problem:**

```
for (size_t i = 0; i < size_; i++)
    new (data + i) T( static_cast<T&&>( *(data_ + i) ) );
```

- **Another option** — function call that returns rvalue reference.
  - This is the case of `std::move` function:

```
int i;
std::cout << is_lvalue( std::move( i ) ); // prints out "0"
```

```
for (size_t i = 0; i < size_; i++)
    new (data + i) T( std::move( *(data_ + i) ) );
```

- The second solution is preferred in practice:
  - It is effectively equivalent, but it is more "*descriptive*" and *idiomatic*.
- **Note** — in both cases, expressions refer to the very same objects, but their category is *rvalue*.

36

26

## Moving content from lvalues (cont.)

```
for (size_t i = 0; i < size_; i++)
    new (data + i) T( std::move( *(data_ + i) ) );
```

- **Universality of this solution** — it works even for types where there is no *content-moving* operation:
- **Trivially-copyable types:**
  - There is no difference between initialization from *lvalue* or *rvalue* expressions:

```
int i = 1;
int* p1 = new int( i );
int* p2 = new int( std::move(i) ); // effectively the very same as above
```

- **Non-trivially-copyable types with no move constructor:**
  - Copy constructor parameter = *constant lvalue reference*  $\Rightarrow$  can be bound to both *lvalue* and *rvalue* arguments.

```
struct X {
    X();
    X(const X&);
    // no move constructor available
};
```

```
X x;
X* px1 = new X( x ); // (1)
X* px2 = new X( std::move(x) ); // (2)
// (1) and (2) are effectively equivalent
```

37

27

## std::move

- How to write an `std::move`-like function?
- It needs to take any argument (of any type and any value category) and return *rvalue reference* to it:

```
template <typename T>
T&& move(T&& param) {
    return static_cast<T&&>( param );
}
```

- This will work for *rvalue* arguments, but not for *lvalue* ones:
  - For *lvalue* argument of type `X`, `T` is deduced to `X&`.
  - $\Rightarrow$  *Substitution* to `T&&` yields `X&&&`.
  - $\Rightarrow$  Due to *reference collapsing* rules, the return type becomes `X&`.
  - $\Rightarrow$  Return type is *lvalue reference*.
  - **Recall** — function call expression where return type is *lvalue reference* is *lvalue*.
- **Solution** — in case where `T` is reference, we need to "remove" it:

```
template <typename T>
typename std::remove_reference<T>::type && move(T&& param) {
    return static_cast<typename std::remove_reference<T>::type &&>( param );
}
```

38

28

## std::remove\_reference

```
template <typename T>
typename std::remove_reference<T>::type && move(T&& param) {
    return static_cast<typename std::remove_reference<T>::type &&>( param );
}
```

- `std::remove_reference` is a *type trait* that "removes" *reference* from a *type*.
- Up to now, we have met two *type traits* / *template metafunctions*:
  - `std::is_trivially_copyable`:
    - Maps *type* to a Boolean value, which is *true* if the type is *trivially-copyable*.
  - `std::is_reference`:
    - Maps *type* to a Boolean value, which is *true* if the type is *reference*.
- `std::remove_reference`:
  - Maps *type* to a *type*, which is `T` if the input type is a *reference-to-T*.
  - Otherwise, behaves as *identity* (maps *type* to itself).
  - The "output" *type* has a form of a member type called *type*.

```
std::remove_reference<int&&>::type i = 1; // type of i is int
std::remove_reference<double&&>::type d = 1.0; // type of d is double
std::remove_reference<bool>::type b = true; // type of b is bool
```

39

29

## Keyword/specifier typename

```
template <typename T>
typename std::remove_reference<T>::type && move(T&& param) {
    return static_cast<typename std::remove_reference<T>::type &&>( param );
}
```

- Why is there that *typename* specifier?
- If we write `Y::identifier`, where `Y` is a *class name*, *identifier* may refer to:
  - either *non-static member variable*,
  - or *member type*.

```
struct Y {
    static const bool value = true; // non-static member variable
    using type = bool; // member type ( alternative: typedef bool type; )
};
Y::type b = Y::value; // Y::type refers to member type, Y::value refers to member variable
```

- **Problem** — if we write `Z<A>::identifier`, where `Z` is a *class template name*, then whether *identifier* refers to
  - either *non-static member variable*
  - or *member type*,
- may, generally, depend on the provided template argument `A`.
- **Possible cause** — *template specialization* (see further lectures).

40

30

### Keyword/specifier typename (cont.)

- In other words:
  - For some template argument A1, Z<A1>::identifier may refer to a *non-static member variable*,
  - while for some other template argument A2, Z<A2>::identifier may refer to a *member type*.
- If the template argument is "known", a compiler "sees" which case — ad 1) or ad 2) — applies:

```
Z<bool>::identifier b; // can be resolved, ok if Z<bool>::identifier refers to a type
```

- But the same does not hold if the template argument is "unknown":

```
template <typename T> void f() {
    Z<T>::identifier b; // Is Z<T>::ident type or a static member variable?
                      // This cannot be resolved when this code is analyzed.
}
```

- A compiler needs to distinguish between ad 1) and ad 2) to parse the source code, even if it does not see what T is.
- Solution:**
  - By default, it assumes that case 1) applies (*non-static member variable*).
  - Case 2) (*member type*) needs to be explicitly indicated by the *typename* specifier.

31

### std::move — example

```
template <typename T>
typename std::remove_reference<T>::type && move(T&& param) {
    return static_cast<typename std::remove_reference<T>::type &&> param;
}
```

#### • Illustrative example:

```
void f(const std::vector<int>&); // lvalue overload
void f(std::vector<int>&&);      // rvalue overload

std::vector<int> v = { 1, 2, 3 };
f( std::move( v ) );
```

#### • In f(std::move(v)) call:

- Argument v of std::move is expression of type std::vector<int> and category *lvalue*.
- Parameter param of std::move is *forwarding reference*.
- ⇒ T is deduced as std::vector<int>&.
- Type of param is — due to *ref. collapsing* — std::vector<int>& as well.
- Expression typename std::remove\_reference<T>::type removes reference from std::vector<int>& ⇒ it results in std::vector<int>.
- ⇒ std::move is *instantiated* effectively as:

```
std::vector<int>&& move( std::vector<int>& param ) {
    return static_cast<std::vector<int>&&> param;
}
```

32

### Pushing-back into vector

- How to implement push\_back for our Vector class?
- Relevant questions:**
  - Does push\_back insert object "into" the vector (at its "end")? **Yes**.
  - Does push\_back insert object passed as its argument into the vector? **No!**
- Analysis:**
  - The object passed as an argument exists outside of the vector itself.
  - Recall** — there is no way how to "get" an object from one storage (*external*) to another (*vector's*).
- ⇒ push\_back needs to:
  - construct a new object (*element*) in its storage, and
  - either *copy* or *move* content from the argument into this new element.
- Solution** — two overloaded push\_back variants:
  - one for *lvalue* arguments,
  - another one for *rvalue* arguments.

33

### Pushing-back into vector (cont.)

- Ad 1) Initializes new element with the *reference-to-argument*:

```
template <typename T> class Vector {
    T* data_;
    size_t capacity_, size_;
public:
    ...
    void reserve(size_t capacity) { ... }
    void push_back(const T& param) { // overload for lvalues
        if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1); // realloc if needed
        new (data_ + size_) T( param );
        size_++;
    }
}
```

- Initialization expression param is *lvalue* (named entity).
  - ⇒ Copy constructor will be called for *non-trivially-copyable* types.
- Ad 2) The same approach?

```
void push_back(T&& param) { // overload for rvalues
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( param );
    size_++;
}
```

- Wrong!**

34

### Pushing-back into vector (cont.)

```
void push_back(T&& param) { // overload for rvalues
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( param );
    size_++;
}
```

- Initialization expression param:
  - its *type* is *rvalue* reference to T,
  - but its *value category* is *lvalue* (named entity; addressable).
- Consequence:**
  - Inserted element would be initialized with *copy constructor*.
- Solution** — expression that refers to the same object (push\_back argument) but its category is *rvalue*:

```
void push_back(T&& param) { // overload for rvalues
    if (size_ == capacity_) reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( std::move( param ) );
    size_++;
}
```

- Now, *move constructor* will be called if it is available.

35

### Pushing-back into vector (cont.)

- Classes with copy constructor only (no resource owners, pre-C++11 classes,...)
  - It will work as expected = new element will be initialized with copy constructor.
  - Recall** — *rvalues* may be bound to *constant lvalue references*.

```
void push_back(T&& param) {
    if (size_ == capacity_)
        reserve(capacity_ ? 2 * capacity_ : 1);
    new (data_ + size_) T( std::move( param ) );
    size_++;
}
```

```
struct X {
    X(int) {}
    X(const X&) {} // copy constructor
                  // no move constructor
};
```

```
Vector<X> v;
v.push_back( X(1) ); // rvalue argument - inserted element initialized by copy constructor
```

- Live demo with std::vector: <https://godbolt.org/z/MS85fsGz>.
- Note — custom definition of copy constructor suppresses automatic generation of move constructor.

36

## Pushing-back into vector (cont.)

- What if we explicitly disable (delete) move constructor?

```
struct X {
    X(int) {}
    X(const X&) {} // copy constructor
    X(X&&) = delete; // explicitly deleted move constructor
};
```

```
Vector<X> v;
v.push_back( X(1) ); // error: use of deleted function 'X:X(X&&)'
```

- *Live demo* with `std::vector`: <https://godbolt.org/z/boqMEKjna>.
- *Explanation:*
  - Deleted member functions **do participate** in overload resolution.
  - For *rvalue arguments*, both *copy* and *move* constructors are viable candidates.
  - But move constructor has higher priority (*rvalue reference* parameter for *rvalue* argument).
- *Conclusion:*
  - If there is custom-defined copy constructor, move constructor should be:
    - either *custom-defined* as well,
    - or *undefined* (and *undeclared*) completely (but not defined as deleted)

37