

## Chapter 5

# Eigenvalue problems

In this chapter, we are interested in the symmetric eigenvalue problem

$$\text{Solve for } (\mu, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}), Ax = \mu x, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $A$  is assumed symmetric.

Contrary to the linear solve case, where there is an explicit algorithm to solve the linear problem  $Ax_* = b$ , for  $n \geq 5$ , by the Abel-Ruffini theorem, there is no algorithm that computes the eigenvalues of  $A$  that stops after a finite number of operations. Otherwise, it would be possible to find the roots of a polynomial of degree  $n$  within a finite number of operations. This means that the methods to compute the eigenvalues of a matrix have to be iterative. We start with algorithms which approximate the eigenvalues of a matrix using single vector iterations.

### 5.1 Single vector iteration methods

#### 5.1.1 Power iteration

Suppose that the eigenvalues  $(\mu_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  of the matrix  $A$  are ordered such that

$$|\mu_n| > |\mu_{n-1}| \geq \dots \geq |\mu_1|. \quad (5.2)$$

For a starting vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider the sequences  $(y^{(k)})$  and  $(\lambda^{(k)})$  defined by  $y^{(0)} = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$  and  $\lambda^{(0)} = \langle y^{(0)}, Ay^{(0)} \rangle$  and for  $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} \hat{y}^{(m)} = Ay^{(m-1)} \\ y^{(m)} = \frac{\hat{y}^{(m)}}{\|\hat{y}^{(m)}\|} \\ \lambda^{(m)} = \langle y^{(m)}, Ay^{(m)} \rangle. \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

By iteration, we see that  $y^{(m)} = \frac{A^m x}{\|A^m x\|}$ .

Expanding  $x$  in the basis of the eigenvectors  $(q_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  of  $A$ , we have

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i q_i, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.4)$$

thus assuming that  $\alpha_n \neq 0$

$$A^m x = \alpha_n \mu_n^m \left( q_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_n} \left( \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_n} \right)^m q_i \right). \quad (5.5)$$

Since  $\left| \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_n} \right| < 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $A^m x$  tends to be aligned with the vector  $q_n$  i.e. the eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue with the largest magnitude.

---

**Algorithm 5.1** Power method

---

```

function POWERMETHOD( $A, x, \varepsilon_{\text{tol}}$ )
   $y^{(0)} = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$ 
   $\lambda^{(0)} = \langle y^{(0)}, Ay^{(0)} \rangle$ 
   $m = 0$ 
  while  $\|Ay^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}y^{(m)}\| > \varepsilon_{\text{tol}}$  do
     $m = m + 1$ 
     $\hat{y}^{(m)} = Ay^{(m-1)}$ 
     $y^{(m)} = \frac{\hat{y}^{(m)}}{\|\hat{y}^{(m)}\|}$ 
     $\lambda^{(m)} = \langle y^{(m)}, Ay^{(m)} \rangle$ 
  end while
  return  $(\lambda^{(m)}, y^{(m)})$ 
end function

```

---

**Theorem 5.1** (Convergence of the power method). *Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric matrix. Let  $(\mu_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be its eigenvalues and  $(q_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be the corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors. Suppose that the eigenvalues satisfy Eq. (5.2) and  $\mu_n > 0$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\langle x, q_n \rangle > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $m \geq 1$*

$$\|y^{(m)} - q_n\| \leq C \left| \frac{\mu_{n-1}}{\mu_n} \right|^m, \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$|\lambda^{(m)} - \mu_n| \leq C \left| \frac{\mu_{n-1}}{\mu_n} \right|^{2m}. \quad (5.7)$$

*Proof.* From Eq. (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|A^m x\|^2 &= \left\| \alpha_n \mu_n^m \left( q_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_n} \left( \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_n} \right)^m q_i \right) \right\|^2 \\
 &= |\alpha_n \mu_n^m|^2 \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_n} \left( \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_n} \right)^m \right|^2 \right) \\
 &= |\alpha_n \mu_n^m|^2 \left( 1 + \mathcal{O} \left( \left( \frac{\mu_{n-1}}{\mu_n} \right)^{2m} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_n - y^{(m)}\| &= \left\| q_n - \frac{A^m x}{\|A^m x\|} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|(\|A^m x\| - \alpha_n \mu_n^m) q_n\|}{\|A^m x\|} + \frac{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \mu_i^m q_i \right\|}{\|A^m x\|} \\ &\leq C \left| \frac{\mu_{n-1}}{\mu_n} \right|^m,\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $m$ .

For  $\lambda^{(m)}$ , we first notice that  $\|q_n - y^{(m)}\|^2 = 2 - 2\langle q_n, y^{(m)} \rangle$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle q_n - y^{(m)}, A(q_n - y^{(m)}) \rangle &= \langle q_n, Aq_n \rangle - 2\langle y^{(m)}, Aq_n \rangle + \langle y^{(m)}, Ay^{(m)} \rangle \\ &= \mu_n - 2\mu_n \langle y^{(m)}, q_n \rangle + \lambda^{(m)} \\ &= \lambda^{(m)} - \mu_n - 2\mu_n(1 - \langle y^{(m)}, q_n \rangle) \\ &= \lambda^{(m)} - \mu_n - \mu_n \|y^{(m)} - q_n\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

We deduce the bound

$$|\lambda^{(m)} - \mu_n| \leq |\mu_n| \|y^{(m)} - q_n\|^2 + |\langle q_n - y^{(m)}, A(q_n - y^{(m)}) \rangle| \leq 2|\mu_n| \|y^{(m)} - q_n\|^2 \leq C \left| \frac{\mu_{n-1}}{\mu_n} \right|^{2m}. \quad (5.8)$$

□

Notice that the eigenvalue converges at a rate which is twice faster than the eigenvector. This is a result which also applies in general.

**Remark 5.2.** *The power iteration also converges when  $A$  is diagonalisable (not necessarily in an orthonormal basis) under the assumption Eq. (5.2) on the eigenvalues.*

The convergence of the power iteration is sensitive to an eigenvalue that is close (in magnitude) to the dominant one  $\mu_n$ . In particular if  $\mu_{n-1} = \mu_n(1 - \varepsilon)$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then

$$\frac{\mu_{n-1}}{\mu_n} = 1 - \varepsilon. \quad (5.9)$$

The convergence rate of the power iteration method in that case can be written

$$\|y^{(m)} - q_n\| \leq C(1 - \varepsilon)^m \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda^{(m)} - \mu_n| \leq C(1 - \varepsilon)^{2m}.$$

### 5.1.2 Inverse power iteration

Assume now that the eigenvalues of  $A$  are ordered such that

$$0 < |\mu_1| < |\mu_2| \leq \dots \leq |\mu_n|. \quad (5.10)$$

Applying the power method to the matrix  $A^{-1}$  gives the following sequence

$$\begin{cases} \hat{y}^{(m)} = A^{-1} y^{(m-1)} \\ y^{(m)} = \frac{\hat{y}^{(m)}}{\|\hat{y}^{(m)}\|} \\ \lambda^{(m)} = \langle y^{(m)}, A^{-1} y^{(m)} \rangle, \end{cases} \quad (5.11)$$

where  $y^{(0)} = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$  and  $\lambda^{(0)} = \langle y^{(0)}, A^{-1}y^{(0)} \rangle$ .

By Theorem 5.1,  $(\lambda^{(m)}, y^{(m)})$  converge to the eigenpair corresponding to the dominant eigenvalue of  $A^{-1}$ , thus  $(\frac{1}{\mu_1}, q_1)$ .

The formulation in Eq. (5.11) is not interesting in practice as it would require the knowledge of the inverse  $A$ . However, by introducing the appropriate intermediate variable, it is possible to replace  $A^{-1}$  by linear solve at each step.

---

**Algorithm 5.2** Inverse power method

---

```

function INVERSEPOWERMETHOD( $A, x, \varepsilon_{\text{tol}}$ )
   $y^{(0)} = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$ 
   $x^{(0)}$  solves  $Ax^{(0)} = y^{(0)}$ 
   $\lambda^{(0)} = \langle y^{(0)}, x^{(0)} \rangle$ 
   $m = 0$ 
  while  $\|y^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}Ay^{(m)}\| > \varepsilon_{\text{tol}}$  do
     $m = m + 1$ 
     $y^{(m)} = \frac{x^{(m-1)}}{\|x^{(m-1)}\|}$ 
     $x^{(m)}$  solves  $Ax^{(m)} = y^{(m)}$ 
     $\lambda^{(m)} = \langle y^{(m)}, x^{(m)} \rangle$ 
  end while
  return  $(\frac{1}{\lambda^{(m)}}, y^{(m)})$ 
end function

```

---

The sequence defined by Algorithm 5.2 is mathematically equivalent to applying the power iteration to  $A^{-1}$  but requires only a linear solve per iteration of the while loop. We have by a simple consequence of Theorem 5.1 the following convergence for the inverse power iteration method.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be an Hermitian matrix. Let  $(\mu_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be its eigenvalues and  $(q_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be the corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors. Suppose that the eigenvalues satisfy Eq. (5.10). Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\langle x, q_1 \rangle > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $m \geq 1$*

$$\|y^{(m)} - q_1\| \leq C \left| \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \right|^m, \quad (5.12)$$

and

$$|\lambda^{(m)} - \mu_1| \leq C \left| \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \right|^{2m}. \quad (5.13)$$

Again the convergence of the inverse power method is slow if the ratio  $\left| \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \right|$  is close to 1.

In this case, if we have a good guess  $\tilde{\mu}_1 \neq \mu_1$  to the exact eigenvalue, we can apply the inverse power method to the matrix  $A - \tilde{\mu}_1 \text{id}_n$  which satisfies

$$|\mu_1 - \tilde{\mu}_1| \ll |\mu_2 - \tilde{\mu}_1| \leq \dots \leq |\mu_n - \tilde{\mu}_1|.$$

Hence the inverse power iteration converges much faster for  $A - \tilde{\mu}_1$  than for  $A$ .

**Remark 5.4.** *Shifting by  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  the matrix  $A$  can also be used to target a specific eigenvalue  $\mu_i$  of  $A$ .*

*Indeed let  $\sigma$  such that  $|\mu_i - \sigma| < |\mu_j - \sigma|$  for all  $j \neq i$ . Then we have that the inverse power iteration applied to the matrix  $A - \sigma \text{id}$  converges to the eigenvector  $q_i$  of  $A$ .*

## 5.2 Krylov methods

We will assume here that  $A$  is Hermitian with eigenvalues

$$\mu_1 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n. \quad (5.14)$$

Inspired by the iterative solver case, we expect a Krylov method for linear solvers to converge faster to the exact eigenvalue than a single vector iteration. In that case, the approximate eigenvector is sought in a Krylov space  $\mathcal{K}_{m+1}(A, y)$ . If we are interested in the largest eigenvalue  $\mu_n$ , it is natural to start from the variational characterisation of the eigenvalue (see Proposition 1.12)

$$\mu_n = \max_{\substack{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ y \neq 0}} \frac{\langle y, Ay \rangle}{\|y\|^2},$$

and restrict the maximisation problem to the Krylov space  $\mathcal{K}_{m+1}(A, y)$

$$\lambda^{(m)} = \max_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{K}_{m+1}(A, y) \\ y \neq 0}} \frac{\langle y, Ay \rangle}{\|y\|^2}.$$

By the restriction, we necessarily have  $\mu_n - \lambda^{(m)} \geq 0$ .

The Arnoldi/Lanczos algorithm (see Proposition 4.8), as  $A$  is symmetric, provides an orthonormal basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_{m+1})$  of  $\mathcal{K}_{m+1}(A, y)$  such that  $V_{m+1}^T A V_{m+1} = T_{m+1}$  where  $V_{m+1} = [v_1, \dots, v_{m+1}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (m+1)}$  and  $T_{m+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+1) \times (m+1)}$  is tridiagonal. Using this basis, we can write

$$\lambda^{(m)} = \max_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{K}_{m+1}(A, y) \\ y \neq 0}} \frac{\langle y, Ay \rangle}{\|y\|^2} = \max_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{\langle V_{m+1}t, AV_{m+1}t \rangle}{\|V_{m+1}t\|^2} = \max_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{\langle t, V_{m+1}^T A V_{m+1}t \rangle}{\|t\|^2} = \max_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{\langle t, T_{m+1}t \rangle}{\|t\|^2}.$$

We deduce that  $\lambda^{(m)}$  is simply the largest eigenvalue of  $T_{m+1}$ . Since  $T_{m+1}$  is tridiagonal and smaller than  $A$ , it is reasonable to expect efficient eigenvalue solvers for such matrices. Let  $t^{(m+1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  a normalised eigenvector associated to  $T_{m+1}t^{(m+1)} = \lambda^{(m)}t^{(m+1)}$ , then the approximate eigenpair using the Krylov subspace is  $(\lambda^{(m)}, V_{m+1}t^{(m+1)})$ . The corresponding procedure called the *iterative Arnoldi algorithm* is summarised in the Algorithm 5.3.

**Remark 5.5.** In the iterative Arnoldi algorithm 5.3, we can by-pass the estimation of the residual  $\|Ay^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}y^{(m)}\|$  using that  $y^{(m)} = V_m t^{(m)}$  and that

$$Ay^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}y^{(m)} = AV_m t^{(m)} - V_m T_m t^{(m)} = -t_{m+1,m} v_{m+1} e_m^T t^{(m)}.$$

Thus  $\|Ay^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}y^{(m)}\| = |t_{m+1,m}| |t_m^{(m)}|$ . To avoid the computation of the largest eigenvalue of  $T_m$ , it is also possible to just use the coefficient  $t_{m+1,m}$  of the Arnoldi/Lanczos algorithm in order to estimate the residual.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let  $A$  be a Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues  $\mu_1 \leq \dots \leq \mu_{n-1} < \mu_n$ . Let  $q_n$  be an eigenvector associated to  $\mu_n$ . Let  $(\lambda^{(m)}, y^{(m)})$  be the result of the iterative Arnoldi algorithm for which=largest (Algorithm 5.3) starting with the vector  $y$ . Assume that  $\langle q_n, y \rangle \neq 0$ .

**Algorithm 5.3** Iterative Arnoldi algorithm

---

```

function ITERATIVEARNOLDI( $A, y, \varepsilon_{\text{tol}}$ ; which = {largest, smallest})
   $y^{(0)} = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$ 
   $\lambda^{(0)} = \langle v^{(0)}, Av^{(0)} \rangle$ 
   $m = 0$ 
  while  $\|Ay^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}y^{(m)}\| > \varepsilon_{\text{tol}}$  do
     $m = m + 1$ 
    Compute  $V_m \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, T_m \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  of the Hermitian Lanczos algorithm 4.2
    Compute the which eigenvalue  $\lambda^{(m)}$  and the eigenvector  $t^{(m)}$  of  $H_{mm} = V_m^*AV_m$ 
     $y^{(m)} = V_mt^{(m)}$ 
  end while
  return  $\lambda^{(m)}, y^{(m)}$ 
end function

```

---

Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $m$  such that the error on the approximated eigenvalue  $\lambda^{(m)}$  given after  $m$  steps of the iterative Arnoldi algorithm is given by

$$0 \leq \mu_n - \lambda^{(m)} \leq C \frac{\mu_n - \mu_1}{T_m \left( \frac{2\mu_n - \mu_{n-1} - \mu_1}{\mu_{n-1} - \mu_1} \right)^2} \leq 4C(\mu_n - \mu_1) \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1} \right)^{2m}, \quad (5.15)$$

where  $T_m$  is the  $m$ -th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind and  $\kappa = \frac{\mu_n - \mu_1}{\mu_{n-1} - \mu_1}$ .

*Proof.* The approximate eigenvalue  $\lambda^{(m)}$  is characterised by

$$\lambda^{(m)} = \max_{x \in \mathcal{K}_{m+1}(A, y), x \neq 0} \frac{\langle x, Ax \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle},$$

hence

$$\mu_n - \lambda^{(m)} = \min_{x \in \mathcal{K}_{m+1}(A, y), x \neq 0} \frac{\langle x, (\mu_n - A)x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle}. \quad (5.16)$$

Using that  $\mathcal{K}_{m+1}(A, y) = \mathcal{K}_{m+1}(\mu_n - A, y) = \{P(A)y, P \in \mathbb{C}^m[X]\} = \{P(\mu_n - A)y, P \in \mathbb{C}^m[X]\}$ , we have that

$$\mu_n - \lambda^{(m)} = \min_{0 \neq P \in \mathbb{C}^m[X]} \frac{\langle P(\mu_n - A)y, (\mu_n - A)P(\mu_n - A)y \rangle}{\langle P(\mu_n - A)y, P(\mu_n - A)y \rangle}. \quad (5.17)$$

Expanding  $y$  in the orthonormal eigenvector basis, we have  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i q_i$ , thus

$$\mu_n - \lambda^{(m)} = \min_{0 \neq P \in \mathbb{C}^m[X]} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\alpha_i(\mu_n - \mu_i)P(\mu_n - \mu_i)|^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i P(\mu_n - \mu_i)|^2}. \quad (5.18)$$

We thus obtain the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_n - \lambda^{(m)} &\leq (\mu_n - \mu_1) \min_{0 \neq P \in \mathbb{C}^m[X]} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\alpha_i P(\mu_n - \mu_i)|^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i P(\mu_n - \mu_i)|^2} \\
&\leq (\mu_n - \mu_1) \min_{0 \neq P \in \mathbb{C}^m[X]} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\alpha_i P(\mu_n - \mu_i)|^2}{|\alpha_n P(0)|^2} \\
&\leq (\mu_n - \mu_1) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\alpha_i|^2}{|\alpha_n|^2} \min_{0 \neq P \in \mathbb{C}^m[X]} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{|P(\mu_n - \mu_i)|^2}{|P(0)|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can relax the min-max problem to

$$\min_{0 \neq P \in \mathbb{C}^m[X]} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{|P(\mu_n - \mu_i)|^2}{|P(0)|^2} \leq \min_{\substack{P \in \mathbb{C}^m[X] \\ P(0)=1}} \max_{\mu_n - \mu_{n-1} \leq \mu \leq \mu_n - \mu_1} |P(\mu)|^2.$$

We recognise the same min-max problem that is solved by a shifted and rescaled Chebyshev polynomial  $T_k$  (see Remark 4.18):

$$\min_{\substack{P \in \mathbb{C}^{m-1}[X] \\ P(0)=1}} \max_{\mu_n - \mu_{n-1} \leq \mu \leq \mu_n - \mu_1} |P(\mu)|^2 = \frac{1}{T_{m-1}\left(\frac{2\mu_n - \mu_{n-1} - \mu_1}{\mu_{n-1} - \mu_1}\right)^2} \leq 4 \left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}\right)^{2m},$$

with  $\kappa = \frac{\mu_n - \mu_1}{\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}}$ . □

**Remark 5.7.** *The convergence of the Arnoldi process is also sensitive to  $\mu_{n-1}$  close to  $\mu_n$ . However, as in the linear solver case, the situation is better for the Krylov solver. Suppose that  $\mu_1 \ll \mu_n$  and  $\mu_{n-1} = \mu_n(1 - \varepsilon)$  for some  $1 \gg \varepsilon > 0$ . Then we have*

$$\kappa = \frac{\mu_n - \mu_1}{\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}} = \frac{1 - \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_n}}{1 - \frac{\mu_{n-1}}{\mu_n}} \sim \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

Thus the convergence rate becomes

$$\frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1} \sim \frac{1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sim 1 - 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Compared to the power iteration case (5.9), we have gained a square root in the convergence rate.

A similar estimate can be obtained for the lowest eigenvalue of the matrix  $A$ , provided that we now assume a gap between  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , and that in the iterative Arnoldi algorithm, the lowest eigenvalue is computed instead of the largest one.

**Corollary 5.8.** *Let  $A$  be a Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues  $\mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n$ . Let  $q_1$  be an eigenvector associated to  $\mu_1$ . Let  $(\lambda^{(m)}, y^{(m)})$  be the result of the iterative Arnoldi algorithm *which=smallest* (Algorithm 5.3) starting with the vector  $y$ . Suppose that  $\langle q_1, y \rangle \neq 0$ . Then*

there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $m$  such that the error on the approximated eigenvalue  $\lambda^{(m)}$  given after  $m$  steps of the iterative Arnoldi algorithm is given by

$$0 \leq \lambda^{(m)} - \mu_1 \leq C \frac{\mu_n - \mu_1}{T_m\left(\frac{\mu_n + \mu_2 - 2\mu_1}{\mu_n - \mu_2}\right)^2} \leq 4C(\mu_n - \mu_1) \left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}\right)^{2m}, \quad (5.19)$$

where  $T_m$  is the  $m$ -th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind and  $\kappa = \frac{\mu_n - \mu_1}{\mu_2 - \mu_1}$ .

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 5.6 to the matrix  $-A$ . □