Bernstein approximation of chance constrained problems: an example

Gao Yuan

August 15, 2016

Abstract

We study the example in [2] in more detail and repeat the computation using the new conic program solver.

The model

We describe the chance constrained problem in detail. As in [2], consider the following chance constrained program

$$\max_{x_0, x_1 \cdots, x_n, \tau} (\tau - 1) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\tau > \sum_{j=0}^n r_j x_j\right) \le \alpha, \quad \sum_{j=0}^n x_j \le 1, \quad x_j \ge 0, \forall j$$
 (1)

where $\alpha \in [0,1]$ is a given constant. The assumptions are

- 1. The returns r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n satisfy $r_0 = 1$ and $\mathbb{E}(r_i) = 1 + \rho_i$ with $0 \le \rho_1 \le \dots \le \rho_n$.
- 2. For $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq l \leq q$, one has $r_j = \eta_j + \sum_{l=1}^q \gamma_{jl} \zeta_l$ where $\eta_j \sim \mathcal{LN}(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2)$ (the individual noises) and $\zeta_l \sim \mathcal{LN}(\nu_l, \theta_l^2)$. All η_j and ζ_l are independent of each other.
- 3. One has $\nu_l = 0$, $\theta_l = 0.1$ for all l, $\mu_j = \sigma_j$ for all j, $\sum_{l=1}^q \gamma_{jl} \exp\left(\nu_l + \frac{\theta_l^2}{2}\right) = \frac{\rho_j}{2}$ for all j and $\sum_{j=1}^n \exp\left(\mu_j + \frac{\sigma_j^2}{2}\right) = 1 + \frac{\rho_j}{2}$.

We see that the problem can be rewritten into (1.1) in [2] with m=1. Denote

$$\tilde{x} = (\tau, x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_n)^T.$$

The objective function is simply $f(\tilde{x}) = -\tau$, and the chance constraint is

$$\mathbb{P}\left(F(\tilde{x},\xi) \le 0\right) \ge 1 - \alpha$$

where

$$F(\tilde{x},\xi) = g_0(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \xi_j g_j(\tilde{x}), \ d = n + q, \ g_0(\tilde{x}) = \tau - x_0,$$

$$\xi_j = \eta_j, \ g_j(\tilde{x}) = -x_j, \ 1 \le j \le n,$$

$$\xi_{n+l} = \zeta_l, \ g_{n+l}(\tilde{x}) = -\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{jl} x_j, \ 1 \le l \le q.$$

Convex approximation and standard form formulation

Here we construct the Bernstein approximation to (1) and reformulate it into a standard form involving exponential cone constraints.

Note that the discretization scheme described in [2] has been adopted and all random variables $\xi_j,\ 1\leq j\leq d$ are now discrete with finite support. For each j, denote the support and the associated probability masses as $\left\{(v_k^j,p_k^j)\right\}_{1\leq k\leq N_j}$. In other words, for each $j,\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_j=v_k^j\right), \forall k$ and the moment generating function of ξ_j is $M_j:z\to\sum_{k=1}^{N_j}p_k^j\exp\left(v_k^jz\right)$.

The Bernstein approximation to (1) is therefore the following convex maximization problem

$$\max_{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau} (\tau - 1) \quad \text{s.t. } \inf_{t>0} \left(g_0(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^d t \Lambda_j \left(t^{-1} g_j(\tilde{x}) \right) - t \log \alpha \right) \le 0.$$
 (2)

In fact, problem (2) can be reformulated into the standard form (PD') in [1], namely (note that d = n + q)

s.t.
$$x_{0} + x_{1} + \dots + x_{n} + s_{x} = 1$$

$$g_{0} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} s_{j}\right) - (\log \alpha) t_{0} = 0$$

$$g_{0} - \tau + x_{0} = 0$$

$$g_{j} + x_{j} = 0, \ j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$g_{n+l} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{jl} x_{j} = 0, \ l = 1, \dots, q$$

$$w_{k}^{j} - v_{k}^{j} g_{j} + s_{j} = 0, \ j = 1, \dots, d, \ k = 1, \dots, N_{j}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N_{j}} p_{k}^{j} u_{k}^{j} - t_{0} = 0, \ j = 1, \dots, d$$

$$t_{0} - t_{k}^{j} = 0, \ j = 1, \dots, d, \ k = 1, \dots, N_{j}$$

$$(3)$$

where the decision variables are

$$\tau \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_n, s_x \ge 0$$

$$g_0, g_1, \cdots, g_d \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$t_0 \ge 0$$

$$s_1, \cdots, s_d \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$[w_k^j; w_k^j; t_k^j] \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{exp}}, \ j = 1, \cdots, d, \ k = 1, \cdots, N_j.$$

Note that we keep the slack variable $s_x \ge 0$ in the first constraint, although it can be shown that there is always an optimal solution $(x_0^*, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ with $\sum_{j=0}^n x_j^* = 1$.

References

- [1] Y. Gao. Design and implementation of homogeneous interior-point methods for conic programming involving exponential cone constraints, 2006.
- [2] A. Nemirovsky and A. Shapiro. Convex approximation of chance constrained programs. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 17(4):969–996, 2006.