

### 3.1 Elementary Properties of Primes

Recall that a natural number **greater than 1** is **prime** if it has no factors other than 1 and itself. A natural number greater than 1 is **composite** if it is not prime.

We begin by introducing some elementary facts about prime numbers.

**Lemma 3.1.** Every integer greater than 1 has a prime divisor.

**Proof.** By (strong) induction on  $n$ .

**Base case:**  $n = 2$ . The lemma clearly holds because 2 is a prime.

**Inductive step:** Let  $n \geq 2$  be an arbitrary integer. Suppose that the lemma is true for all integers  $2 \leq n' < n$ . If  $n$  is prime, we are done. So assume  $n$  is not prime. Then, by definition,  $n$  is composite and can be expressed as  $n = ab$  for some  $a, b < n$ . By induction hypothesis,  $a$  and  $b$  both have at least one prime divisors. Hence,  $n$  also have a prime divisors. ■

**Theorem 3.2 (Infinitude of Primes).** There exists infinitely many primes.

**Proof.** By contradiction. Suppose there exist only finitely many primes  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ .

Let  $N = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $N$  has at least one prime divisor and since  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  are all the primes by assumption, there must exists some  $i$  such that  $p_i \mid N$ . Since  $p_i \in \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ , we have that  $p_i \mid p_1 \dots p_n$  trivially. Further,  $p_i \mid N$ , so  $p_i \mid N - p_1 \dots p_n$ . This implies that  $p_i \mid 1$ . But no prime can divide 1. This is a contradiction. ■

**Proposition 3.1.** If  $n$  is composite, then there exists at least one prime  $p \leq \sqrt{n}$  dividing  $n$ .

**Proof.** By contradiction. Let  $n$  be an arbitrary composite number. By Lemma 3.1, we know that has at least one prime divisor  $p_j$ . Suppose for contradiction that all such  $p_j$  are  $p_j > \sqrt{n}$ .

$n$  is composite, so we assume that it has  $m$  divisors of  $n$  where  $m \geq 2$ . Then,

$$n > \underbrace{\sqrt{n}\sqrt{n}\dots\sqrt{n}}_m = n^{m/2} \geq n$$

This implies  $n > n$ , which is a contradiction. ■

## 3.2 Finding Primes

Algorithm known as the Sieve<sup>1</sup> of Eratosthenes.

To find all primes  $\leq x$ , we list all integers up to  $x$ . Strike out every integer  $\leq \sqrt{x}$  that is a multiple of primes  $\leq \sqrt{x}$ . In the end, whatever remains are primes.

**Example (Finding primes  $\leq 28$ ).** List all numbers:

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

2 is prime, so we circle it. Then, we strike out all numbers that is a multiple of 2.

$\boxed{2}$  3 ~~4~~ 5 ~~6~~ 7 ~~8~~ 9 ~~10~~ 11 ~~12~~ 13 ~~14~~ 15 ~~16~~ 17 ~~18~~ 19 ~~20~~ 21 ~~22~~ 23 ~~24~~ 25 ~~26~~ 27 ~~28~~

The next number, 3, is not struck out, so 3 is prime. We circle 3 and cross out all multiples of 3.

$\boxed{2}$   $\boxed{3}$  ~~4~~ 5 ~~6~~ 7 ~~8~~ ~~9~~ ~~10~~ 11 ~~12~~ 13 ~~14~~ ~~15~~ ~~16~~ 17 ~~18~~ 19 ~~20~~ ~~21~~ ~~22~~ 23 ~~24~~ 25 ~~26~~ ~~27~~ 28

The next number, 5, is not struck out, so 5 is prime. We circle 5 and cross out all multiples of 5 that are not yet struck out.

$\boxed{2}$   $\boxed{3}$   $\boxed{5}$  ~~4~~ ~~6~~ 7 ~~8~~ ~~9~~ ~~10~~ 11 ~~12~~ 13 ~~14~~ ~~15~~ ~~16~~ 17 ~~18~~ 19 ~~20~~ ~~21~~ ~~22~~ 23 ~~24~~ ~~25~~ ~~26~~ ~~27~~ 28

Since  $4 < \sqrt{28} < 5$ , we can stop here and box all the remaining numbers. They are primes because they are not struck out.

$\boxed{2}$   $\boxed{3}$  ~~4~~  $\boxed{5}$  ~~6~~  $\boxed{7}$  ~~8~~ ~~9~~ ~~10~~  $\boxed{11}$  ~~12~~  $\boxed{13}$  ~~14~~ ~~15~~ ~~16~~  $\boxed{17}$  18 19 ~~20~~ ~~21~~ ~~22~~  $\boxed{23}$  ~~24~~ ~~25~~ ~~26~~ ~~27~~ 28

To test if a number  $n$  is prime, we test all primes  $p \leq \sqrt{n}$ . If none divides  $n$ , then  $n$  is prime. There are more efficient algorithms for primality testing. More recently, the AKS primality testing algorithm was shown to be able to run in polynomial time.

## 3.3 Consecutive Composites

**Proposition 3.2.** For every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists  $n$  consecutive composite numbers.

**Proof.** By construction.

$(n+1)! + 2, (n+1)! + 3, \dots, (n+1)! + (n+1)$  are all composite. ■

Note that this construction may not give the smallest  $n$  consecutive composite numbers.

<sup>1</sup>strainer, colanders, used for filtering; this name is likely due to the fact that the algorithm “filters out” the non-primes.