

Proof Outlines

LINE NUMBERS: Only lines that are referred to have labels (for example, L1) in this document. For a formal proof, all lines are numbered. Line numbers appear at the beginning of a line. You can indent line numbers together with the lines they are numbering or all line numbers can be unindented, provided you are consistent.

INDENTATION: Indent when you make an assumption or define a variable. Unindent when this assumption or variable is no longer being used.

1. **Implication:** Direct proof of $A \text{ IMPLIES } B$.

L1. Assume A .
:
L2. B
 $A \text{ IMPLIES } B$; direct proof: L1, L2

2. **Implication:** Indirect proof of $A \text{ IMPLIES } B$.

L1. Assume $\text{NOT}(B)$.
:
L2. $\text{NOT}(A)$
 $A \text{ IMPLIES } B$; indirect proof: L1, L2

3. **Equivalence:** Proof of $A \text{ IFF } B$.

L1. Assume A .
:
L2. B
L3. $A \text{ IMPLIES } B$; direct proof: L1, L2
L4. Assume B .
:
L5. A
L6. $B \text{ IMPLIES } A$; direct proof: L4, L5
 $A \text{ IFF } B$; equivalence: L3, L6

4. **Proof by contradiction** of A .

L1. To obtain a contradiction, assume $\text{NOT}(A)$.
:
L2. B
:
L3. $\text{NOT}(B)$
L4. This is a contradiction: L2, L3
Therefore A ; proof by contradiction: L1, L4

5. **Modus Ponens.**

\vdots
L1. A
 \vdots
L2. $A \text{ IMPLIES } B$
 B ; modus ponens: L1, L2

6. **Conjunction:** Proof of $A \text{ AND } B$:

\vdots
L1. A
 \vdots
L2. B
 $A \text{ AND } B$; proof of conjunction; L1, 2

7. **Use of Conjunction:**

\vdots
L1. $A \text{ AND } B$
 A ; use of conjunction: L1
 B ; use of conjunction: L1

8. **Implication with Conjunction:** Proof of $(A_1 \text{ AND } A_2) \text{ IMPLIES } B$.

L1. Assume $A_1 \text{ AND } A_2$.
 A_1 ; use of conjunction, L1
 A_2 ; use of conjunction, L1
 \vdots
L2. B
 $(A_1 \text{ AND } A_2) \text{ IMPLIES } B$; direct proof, L1, L2

9. **Implication with Conjunction:** Proof of $A \text{ IMPLIES } (B_1 \text{ AND } B_2)$.

L1. Assume A .
 \vdots
L2. B_1
 \vdots
L3. B_2
L4. $B_1 \text{ AND } B_2$; proof of conjunction: L2, L3
 $A \text{ IMPLIES } (B_1 \text{ AND } B_2)$; direct proof: L1, L4

10. **Disjunction:** Proof of $A \text{ OR } B$ and $B \text{ OR } A$.

\vdots
L1. A
 $A \text{ OR } B$; proof of disjunction: L1
 $B \text{ OR } A$; proof of disjunction: L1

11. Proof by cases.

L1. $C \text{ OR } \text{NOT}(C)$ tautology
L2. Case 1: Assume C .
:
L3. A
L4. $C \text{ IMPLIES } A$; direct proof: L2, L3
L5. Case 2: Assume $\text{NOT}(C)$.
:
L6. A
L7. $\text{NOT}(C) \text{ IMPLIES } A$; direct proof: L5, L6
 A proof by cases: L1, L4, L7

12. Proof by cases of $A \text{ OR } B$.

L1. $C \text{ OR } \text{NOT}(C)$ tautology
L2. Case 1: Assume C .
:
L3. A
L4. $A \text{ OR } B$; proof of disjunction, L3
L5. $C \text{ IMPLIES } (A \text{ OR } B)$; direct proof, L2, L4
L6. Case 2: Assume $\text{NOT}(C)$.
:
L7. B
L8. $A \text{ OR } B$; proof of disjunction, L7
L9. $\text{NOT}(C) \text{ IMPLIES } (A \text{ OR } B)$; direct proof: L6, L8
 $A \text{ OR } B$; proof by cases: L1, L5, L9

13. Implication with Disjunction: Proof by cases of $(A_1 \text{ OR } A_2) \text{ IMPLIES } B$.

L1. Case 1: Assume A_1 .
:
L2. B
L3. $A_1 \text{ IMPLIES } B$; direct proof: L1, L2
L4. Case 2: Assume A_2 .
:
L5. B
L6. $A_2 \text{ IMPLIES } B$; direct proof: L4, L5
 $(A_1 \text{ OR } A_2) \text{ IMPLIES } B$; proof by cases: L3, L6

14. Implication with Disjunction: Proof by cases of $A \text{ IMPLIES } (B_1 \text{ OR } B_2)$.

- L1. Assume A .
- L2. $C \text{ OR } \text{NOT}(C)$ tautology
- L3. Case 1: Assume C .
- \vdots
- L4. B_1
- L5. $B_1 \text{ OR } B_2$; disjunction: L4
- L6. $C \text{ IMPLIES } (B_1 \text{ OR } B_2)$; direct proof: L3, L5
- L7. Case 2: Assume $\text{NOT}(C)$.
- \vdots
- L8. B_2
- L9. $B_1 \text{ OR } B_2$; disjunction: L8
- L10. $\text{NOT}(C) \text{ IMPLIES } (B_1 \text{ OR } B_2)$; direct proof: L7, L9
- L11. $B_1 \text{ OR } B_2$; proof by cases: L2, L6, L10
- $A \text{ IMPLIES } (B_1 \text{ OR } B_2)$; direct proof. L1, L11

15. Substitution of a Variable in a Tautology:

Suppose P is a propositional variable, Q is a formula, and R' is obtained from R by replacing *every* occurrence of P by (Q) .

- L1. R tautology
- R' ; substitution of all P by Q : L1

16. Substitution of a Formula by a Logically Equivalent Formula:

Suppose S is a subformula of R and R' is obtained from R by replacing *some* occurrence of S by S' .

- L1. R
- L2. $S \text{ IFF } S'$
- L3. R' ; substitution of an occurrence of S by S' : L1, L2

17. Specialization:

- L1. $c \in D$
- L2. $\forall x \in D. P(x)$
- $P(c)$; specialization: L1, L2

18. Generalization: Proof of $\forall x \in D. P(x)$.

- L1. Let x be an arbitrary element of D .
 - \vdots
 - L2. $P(x)$
- Since x is an arbitrary element of D ,
 $\forall x \in D. P(x)$; generalization: L1, L2

19. **Universal Quantification with Implication:** Proof of $\forall x \in D.(P(x) \text{ IMPLIES } Q(x))$.

- L1. Let x be an arbitrary element of D .
 - L2. Assume $P(x)$
 - \vdots
 - L3. $Q(x)$
 - L4. $P(x) \text{ IMPLIES } Q(x)$; direct proof: L2, L3
- Since x is an arbitrary element of D ,
 $\forall x \in D.(P(x) \text{ IMPLIES } Q(x))$; generalization: L1, L4

20. **Implication with Universal Quantification:** Proof of $(\forall x \in D.P(x)) \text{ IMPLIES } A$.

- L1. Assume $\forall x \in D.P(x)$.
 - \vdots
 - L2. $a \in D$
 - $P(a)$; specialization: L1, L2
 - \vdots
 - L3. A
- Therefore $(\forall x \in D.P(x)) \text{ IMPLIES } A$; direct proof: L1, L3

21. **Implication with Universal Quantification:** Proof of $A \text{ IMPLIES } (\forall x \in D.P(x))$.

- L1. Assume A .
 - L2. Let x be an arbitrary element of D .
 - \vdots
 - L3. $P(x)$
- Since x is an arbitrary element of D ,
L4. $\forall x \in D.P(x)$; generalization, L2, L3
 $A \text{ IMPLIES } (\forall x \in D.P(x))$; direct proof: L1, L4

22. **Instantiation:**

- L1. $\exists x \in D.P(x)$
- Let $c \in D$ be such that $P(c)$; instantiation: L1
- \vdots

23. **Construction:** Proof of $\exists x \in D.P(x)$.

- L1. Let $a = \dots$
 - \vdots
 - L2. $a \in D$
 - \vdots
 - L3. $P(a)$
- $\exists x \in D.P(x)$; construction: L1, L2, L3

24. **Existential Quantification with Implication:** Proof of $\exists x \in D.(P(x) \text{ IMPLIES } Q(x))$.

L1. Let $a = \dots$
 \vdots
L2. $a \in D$
 L3. Suppose $P(a)$.
 \vdots
 L4. $Q(a)$
L5. $P(a) \text{ IMPLIES } Q(a)$; direct proof: L3, L4
 $\exists x \in D.(P(x) \text{ IMPLIES } Q(x))$; construction: L1, L2, L5

25. **Implication with Existential Quantification:** Proof of $(\exists x \in D.P(x)) \text{ IMPLIES } A$.

L1. Assume $\exists x \in D.P(x)$.
 Let $a \in D$ be such that $P(a)$; instantiation: L1
 \vdots
 L2. A
 $(\exists x \in D.P(x)) \text{ IMPLIES } A$; direct proof: L1, L2

26. **Implication with Existential Quantification:** Proof of $A \text{ IMPLIES } (\exists x \in D.P(x))$.

L1. Assume A .
 L2. Let $a = \dots$
 \vdots
 L3. $a \in D$
 \vdots
 L4. $P(a)$
L5. $\exists x \in D.P(x)$; construction: L2, L3, L4
 $A \text{ IMPLIES } (\exists x \in D.P(x))$; direct proof: L1, L5

27. **Subset:** Proof of $A \subseteq B$.

L1. Let $x \in A$ be arbitrary.
 \vdots
L2. $x \in B$
The following line is optional:
L3. $x \in A \text{ IMPLIES } x \in B$; direct proof: L1, L2
 $A \subseteq B$; definition of subset: L3 (or L1, L2, if the optional line is missing)

28. **Weak Induction:** Proof of $\forall n \in N. P(n)$

Base Case:

\vdots

L1. $P(0)$

L2. Let $n \in N$ be arbitrary.

L3. Assume $P(n)$.

\vdots

L4. $P(n+1)$

The following two lines are optional:

L5. $P(n)$ IMPLIES $(P(n+1))$; direct proof of implication: L3, L4

L6. $\forall n \in N. (P(n) \text{ IMPLIES } P(n+1))$; generalization L2, L5

$\forall n \in N. P(n)$ induction; L1, L6 (or L1, L2, L3, L4, if the optional lines are missing)

29. **Strong Induction:** Proof of $\forall n \in N. P(n)$

L1. Let $n \in N$ be arbitrary.

L2. Assume $\forall j \in N. (j < n \text{ IMPLIES } P(j))$

\vdots

L3. $P(n)$

The following two lines are optional:

L4. $\forall j \in N. (j < n \text{ IMPLIES } P(j)) \text{ IMPLIES } P(n)$; direct proof of implication: L2, L3

L5. $\forall n \in N. [\forall j \in N. (j < n \text{ IMPLIES } P(j)) \text{ IMPLIES } P(n)]$; generalization: L1, L4

$\forall n \in N. P(n)$; strong induction: L5 (or L1, L2, L3, if the optional lines are missing)

30. **Structural Induction:** Proof of $\forall e \in S. P(e)$, where S is a recursively defined set

Base case(s):

L1. For each base case e in the definition of S

L2. $P(e)$.

Constructor case(s):

L3. For each constructor case e of the definition of S ,

L4. assume $P(e')$ for all components e' of e .

\vdots

L5. $P(e)$

$\forall e \in S. P(e)$; structural induction: L1, L2, L3, L4, L5

31. **Well Ordering Principle:** Proof of $\forall e \in S. P(e)$, where S is a well ordered set,
i.e. every nonempty subset of S has a smallest element.

L1. To obtain a contradiction, suppose that $\forall e \in S. P(e)$ is false.

L2. Let $C = \{e \in S \mid P(e) \text{ is false}\}$ be the set of counterexamples to P .

L3. $C \neq \emptyset$; definition: L1, L2

L4. Let e be the smallest element of C ; well ordering principle: L2, L3

Let $e' = \dots$

\vdots

L5. $e' \in C$

\vdots

L6. $e' < e$.

L7. This is a contradiction: L4, L5, L6

$\forall e \in S. P(e)$; proof by contradiction: L1, L7