

16 - Spatial Data Structures

Acknowledgement: Daniele Panozzo, Marco Tarini
CAP 5726 - Computer Graphics - Fall 18 – Xifeng Gao



Florida State University

Types of Queries

- Graphic applications often require spatial queries
 - Find the k points closer to a specific point p (k -Nearest Neighbours, knn)
 - Is object X intersection with object Y ? (Intersection)
 - What is the volume of the intersection between two objects?
- Brute force search is expensive. Instead, you can solve these queries with an initial preprocessing that creates a data structure which supports efficient queries
- The data structure to use is application-specific



Florida State University

Two Main Ideas

1. You can explicitly index the space itself (Spatial Index)
2. You can “sort” the primitives in the scene, which implicitly induces a partition of the space (Bounding Volume Hierarchies)



Florida State University

Spatial Indexing Structures



Spatial Indexing Structures

- Data structures to accelerate queries of the kind:
“I’m here. Which object is around me?”
- Tasks:
 - (1) construction / update
 - for **static** parts of the scene, a preprocessing.
 - for **moving** parts of the scene, an update.
 - (2) access / usage
 - as fast as possible
- The most common structures are:
 - Regular Grid
 - kD-Tree
 - Oct-Tree/Quad-Tree
 - BSP Tree



Florida State University

Regular Grid (aka lattice)





Image Copyright: Marco Tarini

CAP 5726 - Computer Graphics - Fall 18 – Xifeng Gao



Florida State University



Regular Grid (or: lattice)

- Array 3D of cells (same size)
 - each cell: a list of pointers to colliding objects
- Indexing function:
 - Point3D → cell index, (constant time!)
- Construction: (“scatter” approach)
 - for each object $B[i]$
 - find the cells $C[j]$ which it touches
 - add a pointer in $C[j]$ to $B[i]$
- Queries: (“gather” approach)
 - given a point to test p ,
find cell $C[j]$, test all objects linked to it
- Problem: cell size
 - too small: memory occupancy too large
quadratic with inverse of cell size!
 - too big: too many objects in one cell
 - sometimes, no cell size is good enough



Florida State University

kD-tree




kD-trees

- Hierarchical structure: a tree
 - each node: a subpart of the 3D space
 - root: all the world
 - child nodes: partitions of the father
 - objects linked to leaves
- kD-tree:
 - binary tree
 - each node: split over one dimension (in 3D: X,Y,Z)
 - variant:
 - each node optimizes (and stores) which dimension, or
 - always same order: e.g. X then Y then Z
 - variant:
 - each node optimizes the split point, or
 - always in the middle




Florida State University

Quad-Tree (2D)



Oc-Tree (3D)



Quad trees (in 2D) Oct trees (in 3D)

- Similar to kD-trees, but:
 - tree: branching factor: 4 (2D) or 8 (3D)
 - each node: splits into all dimensions at once,
(in the middle)
- Construction (just as kD-trees):
 - continue splitting until a end nodes has few enough objects
(or limit level reached)



Florida State University

BSP-tree

Binary Spatial Partitioning tree





Image Copyright: Marco Tarini

CAP 5726 - Computer Graphics - Fall 18 – Xifeng Gao



Florida State University

BSP-trees for the Concave Polyhedron proxy




Image Copyright: Marco Tarini

CAP 5726 - Computer Graphics - Fall 18 – Xifeng Gao



Florida State University

BSP-trees for Inside-Outside Test




Image Copyright: Marco Tarini

CAP 5726 - Computer Graphics - Fall 18 – Xifeng Gao



Florida State University

BSP-tree

Binary Spatial Partitioning tree

- Another variant
 - a binary tree (like the kD-tree)
 - root = all scene (like kD-tree)
 - but, each node is split by an **arbitrary** plane
 - (or a **line**, in 2D)
 - plane is stored at node, as (nx, ny, nz, k)
 - planes can be optimized for a given scene
 - e.g. to go for a 50%-50% object split at each node
- Another use: to test (Generic) Polyhedron proxy:
 - note: with planes defined in its **object space**
 - each leaf: inside or outside
 - (no need to store them: left-child = in, right-child = out)
 - tree precomputed for a given object



Florida State University

Primitive Sorting Structures



Bounding Volume Hierarchies (BVH)




Image Copyright: Marco Tarini

CAP 5726 - Computer Graphics - Fall 18 – Xifeng Gao



Florida State University

Bounding Volume Hierarchies (BVH)




Image Copyright: Marco Tarini

CAP 5726 - Computer Graphics - Fall 18 – Xifeng Gao



Florida State University

Bounding Volume Hierarchies (BVH)





Image Copyright: Marco Tarini

CAP 5726 - Computer Graphics - Fall 18 – Xifeng Gao



Florida State University

BVH

Bounding Volume Hierarchy

- Idea: use the scene hierarchy given by the scene graph
 - (instead of a spatial derived one)
- associate a Bounding Volume to each node
 - rule: a BV of a node bounds all objects in the subtree
- construction / update is fast
 - bottom-up: recursive
- using it:
 - top-down: visit
 - **note:** *not* a single root to leaf path
 - may need to follow *multiple* children of a node (in a BSP-tree: only one)



Florida State University

Spatial Indexing Structures

- Regular Grid
 - the most parallelizable (to update / construct / use)
 - constant time access (best!)
 - quadratic / cubic space (2D, 3D)
- kD-tree, Oct-tree, Quad-tree
 - compact
 - simple
 - non constant accessing time (still logarithmic on average)
- BSP-tree
 - optimized splits! best performance when accessed
 - optimized splits! more complex construction / update
 - ideal for static parts of the scene
 - (also, used for generic polyhedron inside/outside test)
- BVH
 - simplest construction
 - non necessarily very efficient to access
 - may need to traverse multiple children
 - if you do not have a scene-graph you need to create one
 - ideal for dynamic parts of the scene



Florida State University

Intersection Acceleration Data Structures



Collision Detection


- It is easy to do, the challenge is to do it efficiently
- An observation:
 - most pair of objects do not intersect each other in a scene,
collisions are rare
 - optimizing the intersections directly is important but not sufficient, we need to optimize the detection of non intersecting pairs (“**early rejects**”)



Florida State University

Geometric Proxies


- Idea: use a geometric proxy to approximate the objects in the scene



Florida State University


Geometric Proxy

- Extremely coarse approximation
- Used as a:
 - Bounding Volume
 - the entire object must be contained inside
 - exact result, you need to do more work if you detect a collision
 - Collision Object (or “hit-box”)
 - approximation of the object
 - no need to do anything else if an approximation is ok for your use case



Florida State University

Example: Fighting Games



Street Fighter Alpha, CAPCOM 1995


CAP 5726 - Computer Graphics - Fall 18 – Xifeng Gao



Florida State University

Extremely Common

- Physic engine
 - collision detection
 - collision response
- Rendering
 - view frustum culling
 - occlusion culling
- AI
 - visibility test
- GUI
 - picking



Florida State University

Properties of Geometric Proxies


1. How expensive are they to compute/update?
2. How much space do you need?
3. Are they invariant to the transformations applied on the object?
4. How good is the approximation?
5. How expensive are the collision queries with the other objects in the scene?



Florida State University

Geometry Proxies: Sphere


- Easy to compute and update
- Compact (center, radius)
- Very efficient collision tests
- Can only be transformed rigidly
- The quality of the approximation is low



Florida State University

Geometry Proxies: Capsule


- Def:
 - Sphere == set of all points with dist from a **point** < radius
 - Capsule == set of all points with dist from a **segment** < radius
 - i.e. a cylinder ended with two half-spheres (all same radius)
- Stored with:
 - a segment (two end-points)
 - a radius (a scalar)
- Popular option, compact to store, easy to construct, easy to detect intersections, good approximation



Florida State University

Geometry Proxies: Half Space


- Trivial, but useful
 - e.g. for a flat terrain, or a wall
- Storage:
 - (nx, ny, nz, k)
 - a normal, a distance from the origin
- Tests are trivial



Florida State University


Geometry Proxies: Axis-Aligned Bounding Box (AABB)

- Easy to update
- Compact (three intervals)
- Trivial to test
- It can only be translated or scaled, rotations are not supported



Geometry Proxies: Box


- Similar to AABB, but not axis-aligned
- More expensive to compute and store
 - You need intervals and a rotation
- Still not a great approximation, but it is invariant to rotations and it is fast to compute and use



Florida State University

Geometry Proxies (in 2D): Convex Polygon


- Intersection of half-planes
 - each delimited by a line
- Stored as:
 - a collection of (oriented) lines
- Test:
 - a point is inside iff it is in each half-plane
- Good approximation
- Moderate complexity



Florida State University

Geometry Proxies (in 3D): Convex Polyhedron

- Intersection of half-spaces
- Similar as previous,
but in 3D
 - Stored as a collection
of planes
 - Each plane is a normal + distance from origin
 - Test: inside proxy iff
inside each half-space



Florida State University

Geometry Proxies (in 3D): (General) Polyhedron

- Luxury Hit-Boxes :)
 - The most accurate approximations
 - The most expensive tests / storage
- Specific algorithms to test for collisions
 - requiring some preprocessing
 - and data structures (BSP-trees)
- Creation (as meshes):
 - sometimes, with automatic simplification
 - often, hand made (low poly modelling)



Florida State University


3D Meshes as Hit-Boxes

- These are often NOT the meshes that you use for rendering
 - much lower resolution ($\sim O(10^2)$)
 - no attributes (no uv-mapping, no col, etc)
 - closed, water-tight (inside != outside)
 - often convex only
 - can be polygonal (as long as the faces are flat)




Florida State University


3D Meshes as Hit-Boxes



mesh for rendering
(~600 tri faces)



(in wireframe)



Collision object:
10 (polygonal) faces




Florida State University


3D Meshes as Hit-Boxes



mesh for rendering
(~300 tri faces)



(in wireframe)



Collision object:
12 (polygonal) faces

Geometry Proxies: Composite Hit-Boxes

- Union of Hit-Boxes
 - inside *iff* inside of *any* sub Hit-Box
- Flexible
 - union of **convex** Hit-Boxes ==> **concave** Hit-Box
 - shape partially defined by a sphere,
partially by a box ==> better approximation
- Creation: typically by hand
 - (remember: hit-boxes are usually **assets**)



Florida State University

How To Choose The Proxy?

- Application dependent
- Note: # of intersection tests to be implemented quadratic wrt # of types supported

vs	Type A	Type B	Type C	Point	Ray
Type A	algorithm	algorithm	algorithm	algorithm	algorithm
Type B		algorithm	algorithm	algorithm	algorithm
Type C			algorithm	algorithm	algorithm

useful,
e.g.
for visibility




Florida State University

Collision Detection Strategies


- **Static** Collision detection

- (“a posteriori”, “discrete”)
- approximated
- simple + quick

Frame 1




Frame 2



- **Dynamic** Collision detection

- (“a priori”, “continuous”)
- accurate
- demanding



Florida State University

Existing Implementations

- Intel Embree - BVH Tree - <https://embree.github.io>
- Nori - BVH - <https://github.com/wjakob/nori>
- Approximate knn - <https://www.cs.umd.edu/~mount/ANN/>
- Intersections - <http://www.realtimerendering.com/intersections.html>



Florida State University

References

Foundations of Multidimensional and Metric Data Structures

Hanan Samet

<http://www.realtimerendering.com/books.html>

<http://www.realtimerendering.com/intersections.html>

Polygon Mesh Processing

Mario Botsch, Leif Kobbelt, Mark Pauly, Pierre Alliez, Bruno Levy

Fundamentals of Computer Graphics, Fourth Edition

4th Edition by [Steve Marschner, Peter Shirley](#)

Chapter 12



Florida State University