

Polygons Have Ears

Author(s): G. H. Meisters

Source: The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 82, No. 6 (Jun. - Jul., 1975), pp. 648-651

Published by: Mathematical Association of America Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2319703

Accessed: 21-12-2015 14:27 UTC

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp">http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp</a>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

 $Mathematical\ Association\ of\ America$  is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to  $The\ American\ Mathematical\ Monthly$ .

http://www.jstor.org

"even" and "odd", respectively, in the game of Theorem 3 and let S and F be as above with k = 0. Then  $P(E_r) = P(E_r | S)P(S) + P(E_r | F)P(F)$ 

$$= P(0_{r-1})P(S) + P(0_r)P(F) = (1 - P(E_{r-1}))p + (1 - P(E_r))q.$$

Thus,  $P(E_r) = (1 - pP(E_{r-1}))/(1 + q)$  for integers  $r \ge 1$  with  $P(E_0) = 1$ , so that (2.1) follows easily by inducting on r.

The work of A. N. Philippou was supported by the University of Texas at El Paso Research Institute.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT EL PASO, EL PASO, TX 79968.

## POLYGONS HAVE EARS

### G. H. MEISTERS

We refer to a simple closed polygonal plane curve with a finite number of sides as a Jordan polygon. We assume the truth of the famous Jordan Curve Theorem only for Jordan polygons. (For elementary proofs see Appendix 2 of Chapter V of [4] or Appendix B1 of [5].) Three consecutive vertices  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  of a Jordan polygon  $P = V_1 V_2 V_3 V_4 \cdots V_n V_1$  ( $n \ge 4$ ) are said to form an ear (regarded as the region enclosed by the triangle  $V_1 V_2 V_3$ ) at the vertex  $V_2$  if the (open) chord joining  $V_1$  and  $V_3$  lies entirely inside the polygon P. We say that two ears are non-overlapping if their interior regions are disjoint; otherwise they are overlapping. If we remove or cut off an ear  $V_1 V_2 V_3$  (by drawing the chord  $V_1 V_3$ ) from the Jordan polygon P, then there remains the Jordan polygon  $P' = V_1 V_3 V_4 \cdots V_n V_1$  which has one less vertex than P.

The property of Jordan polygons expressed by the following theorem seems to provide a particularly simple and conceptual bridge from the Jordan Curve Theorem for Polygons to the Triangulation Theorem for Jordan Polygons; at least simpler perhaps than that given in Appendix B2 of [5].


Two Ears Theorem. Except for triangles, every Jordan polygon has at least two non-overlapping ears.

*Proof.* Our proof is by induction on the number n of vertices of the Jordan polygon P. Since the proof for quadrilaterals as well as the proof for the general case (n > 4) can be divided into the same two cases, for the sake of brevity, we deal with quadrilaterals at the beginning of each of these two cases.

Let P denote a Jordan polygon with at least four vertices, select a vertex V of P at which the interior angle is less than  $180^{\circ}$ , and let  $V_{-}$  and  $V_{+}$  denote the vertices of P which are adjacent to V. (Any V on any minimal triangle enclosing P will do.)

Case 1. The polygon P has an ear at V. If we remove this ear, then the remain-

ing polygon P' is either a triangle (and hence forms another ear for P which is non-overlapping with the ear at V) or else is a Jordan polygon with more than three vertices, but with one less vertex than P, so that the induction hypothesis yields two non-overlapping ears  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  for P'. Since they are non-overlapping, at least one of these two ears, say  $E_1$ , is not at either of the vertices  $V_-$  and  $V_+$ . Since all ears of P' (except for any which might occur at  $V_-$  or  $V_+$ ) are also ears of P, the two ears  $E_1$  and  $V_-VV_+$  are non-overlapping ears for P.



Case 2. Polygon  $P = VV_+ \dots Z \dots V_- V$  does not have an ear at V. Chord VZ divides P into two Jordan polygons  $P_- = VZ \dots V_- V$  and  $P_+ = VV_+ \dots ZV$ .

Case 2. The polygon P does not have an ear at V. (See Fig. 1.) Then the triangle  $V_-VV_+$  must contain in its interior or on the open chord  $V_-V_+$  at least one vertex of P. Let Z denote one such vertex with the additional property that the line L through it and parallel to  $V_-V_+$  is as close to V as possible. Clearly such a Z must exist. If A and B denote the points of intersection of this line L with the chords  $VV_-$  and  $VV_+$ , respectively, then the open triangular region VBA cannot contain any vertex (or edge points) of P. Hence the (open) chord VZ lies entirely inside the Jordan polygon P and so divides it into two Jordan polygons  $P_- = VZ \cdots V_-V$  and  $P_+ = VV_+ \cdots ZV$ , each with fewer vertices than P. (The polygon  $P_-$  does not contain  $V_+$ , and the polygon  $P_+$  does not contain  $V_-$ .) If P is a quadrilateral, then  $VV_-Z$  and  $VV_+Z$  are two non-overlapping ears for P. On the other hand, if P is not a quadrilateral, then at least one of the two polygons, say  $P_+$  is not a triangle.

Case 2a. The polygon  $P_{-}$  is a triangle. Then  $VV_{-}Z$  is an ear for P and the polygon  $P_{+}$  must possess (by the induction hypothesis) two non-overlapping ears  $E_{1}$  and  $E_{2}$ , at least one of which, say  $E_{1}$ , is not at either of the vertices V or Z. This ear  $E_{1}$  for  $P_{+}$  is then also an ear for P and obviously does not overlap with the ear  $VV_{-}Z$ .

Case 2b. The polygon  $P_{-}$  is not a triangle. The induction hypothesis now yields four mutually non-overlapping ears, two  $(E_{1}^{+}, E_{2}^{+})$  for  $P_{+}$  and two  $(E_{1}^{-}, E_{2}^{-})$  for  $P_{-}$ .

At least one of the pair  $(E_1^-, E_2^-)$ , say  $E_1^-$ , is not at either of the vertices V and Z; and, similarly, at least one of the pair  $(E_1^+, E_2^+)$ , say  $E_1^+$ , is not at either of these vertices either. (The vertices V and Z of P are the only vertices common to  $P_-$  and  $P_+$ .) Consequently,  $E_1^-$  and  $E_1^+$  will be (non-overlapping) ears for the original polygon P. This completes the proof of the theorem.

APPLICATION. A procedure for triangulating a Jordan polygon without introducing any new vertices is now immediately obvious: Namely, locate an ear  $V_1V_2V_3$  and cut it off, then locate an ear of the remaining polygon (of one less vertex!) and cut it off, and continue this process until the remaining polygon is itself a triangle.




Fig. 2 — A polygon with many ears.



Fig. 3 — A polygon with many vertices, but only two ears.




Fig. 4 — A star-shaped polygon with many vertices, but only two ears.

Note that while some Jordan polygons may have many ears (as in Fig. 2), others have only two ears no matter how many vertices they may have (as in Figs. 3 and 4). Figure 4 is also an example of a Jordan polygon with many vertices but only three interior angles less than  $\pi$ , and this is the minimum that is possible. Of course a Jordan polygon is convex if and only if it has an ear at every vertex.

Now consider the following two statements for Jordan polygons P with n > 3 vertices.

- (I) The polygon P has at least two non-overlapping ears.
- (II) The polygon P admits a triangulation  ${\mathscr F}$  with no new vertices.

We have seen above that on the one hand (I) can be proved without assuming (II) and on the other hand (II) is an immediate consequence of (I). We now show that, conversely, (II) implies (I). Let t denote the number of triangles in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $t = t_0 + t_1 + t_2$  where  $t_j$  denotes the number of triangles in  $\mathcal{F}$  which have exactly

j sides in common with P. Note that each triangle of  $\mathcal{F}$  which has exactly two sides in common with P is an ear for P and no two such ears overlap. Now it can be shown that the sum  $\Sigma$  of the interior angles of a Jordan polygon is equal to  $(n-2)\pi$ . But also  $\Sigma = t\pi$ . Consequently,  $t_0 + t_1 + t_2 = n-2$ . Furthermore, it is clear that  $t_1 + 2t_2 = n$ . Eliminating  $t_1$  we obtain  $t_2 = t_0 + 2 \ge 2$ .

The "Shelling Theorem" on page 32 of [1] is closely related to our Two Ears Theorem, but our proof is entirely different and was carried out before we discovered this reference.

We close with two applications of our Two Ears Theorem.

- (1) From Euler's formula V E + F = 1 and the fact (just proved) that t = n 2, it follows that every triangulation with no new vertices contains n 3 non-crossing diagonals.
- (2) From the existence of an ear for P and by Mathematical Induction it follows immediately that the sum  $\Sigma$  of the interior angles of P is equal to  $[(n-1)-2]\pi + \pi = (n-2)\pi$ .

For some interesting applications of triangulation of polygons see [2] and [3].

#### References

- 1. R. H. Bing, Elementary point set topology, this Monthly, 67 (1960) No. 7 Part II.
- 2. V. G. Boltyanskii, Equivalent and Equidecomposable Figures, Topics in Mathematics, Translated from the Russian, Heath, Boston, 1963.
- 3. J. H. Conway and H.S. M. Coxeter, Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns, Mathematical Gazette, 57 (1973) 88-94.
- 4. Richard Courant and Herbert Robbins, What is Mathematics?, Oxford University Press, N.Y., 1941.
  - 5. Einar Hille, Analytic Function Theory, Volume I, Blaisdell, New York, 1965.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN, NE 68508.

## MATHEMATICAL EDUCATION

EDITED BY SHIRLEY HILL AND PAUL T. MIELKE

Material for this Department should be sent to Shirley Hill, Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Kansas City, MO 64110, or to Paul T. Mielke, Department of Mathematics, Wabash College, Crawfordsville, IN 47933.

# INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS: A COURSE IN REALISM

C. A. HALL

Introduction. There is an anxiety experienced by most mathematics majors as they approach graduation and contemplate a career in industry. The basic cause for this anxiety is the desire to foresee this *environment* in which they hope to exist as an industrial mathematician. During academic year 1972–73 at the University of