

Programming with MPI

More on Point-to-Point

Nick Maclaren

nmm1@cam.ac.uk

May 2008

Increasing Complexity

You may well want to use these features
“**Festina lente**” (“make haste slowly”)

Start with a variety of useful minor features

Then onto **non-blocking** transfers

Easy to use, not always easy to understand

But it's correspondingly important and useful

Sending to Oneself (1)

A **process** can send a message to itself

- I generally don't **recommend** doing that
I do it in some of the specimen answers, though

Using **blocking** calls carelessly will **deadlock**

Send-receive is the only safe and easy case

For other **point-to-point**, you **MUST** use buffering

- Otherwise use **only** with **non-blocking** calls

But you need to be careful even doing that

Sending to Oneself (2)

Consider writing one's own **collectives**

- You can treat the local **process** separately
- Or use the whole **communicator** symmetrically

For the latter, **processes** send to themselves

The obvious **non-blocking** code will work in MPI

Only if **both** the send and receive are **non-blocking**

- Do whichever makes your code cleaner

Null Processes (1)

You can specify a null **source** or **destination**

sends return immediately (?), successfully

receives return immediately (?), successfully
without updating the transfer buffer

This may enable you to simplify code at boundaries

- Use the facility only if it clarifies your code
- **Be careful** with **non-blocking** transfers

MPI isn't clear what happens in that case

Null Processes (2)

Use `MPI_PROC_NULL` as a `process` number

The `status` value contains

`source = MPI_PROC_NULL`, `tag = MPI_ANY_TAG`

`MPI_Get_count` on it returns zero

⇒ Actually, MPI isn't entirely consistent

`source` may also be `MPI_ANY_SOURCE`

Reasonable programs won't look at it, anyway

Non-Blocking Transfers

Also called **asynchronous** transfers

Ex-mainframe programmers know these are best
Books often describe these as more efficient

Unfortunately, all modern systems are **synchronous**
This lecture will describe only how to use them

- A lot of it is describing what **not** to do
Experience with **asynchronism** is rare nowadays

How They Work (1)

- The main call starts an **asynchronous** transfer
It returns a **handle**, called a **request**
- Later, you wait on the **request** until finished
Only then has the transfer **completed**
- The wait frees the **request** and sets the **status**
You rarely need to free the **request** yourself
- You can also test whether a **request** is ready

How They Work (2)

- The actual **buffer update** is anywhere in between
Indeed, bytes may change in a random order

- It must not even be **inspected** during that
Pure **send** buffers may be read

- The **buffer** obviously must not move!

Take care in a **garbage collected** language

And with **C++/STL** and **copy/move constructors**

You may need to play fancy games to stop that

Use as normal once the transfer has **completed**

Race Conditions (1)

The **window** is between the **send** or **receive** and **waiting** for the request to **complete**

For a **non-blocking send**:

- You must not **update** the buffer in the **window**
Reading the buffer doesn't cause problems

For a **non-blocking receive**:

- You must not **access** the buffer in the **window**

Obviously, you must not **allocate** or **free** it

Race Conditions (2)

- **Chaos** awaits if you break those rules
Though it will often not show up in **simple tests**

Non-blocking transfers are the **only** cause
in the subset of MPI that this course covers ...

- **Fortran** users watch out for array copying
That counts as a form of **reallocation**

Some guidelines later, or see **Fortran** course
If they don't help, need to ask an expert

Using Non-Blocking (1)

Generally, **start** them as soon as possible
Wait for **completion** when you need the buffer

More advanced use is waiting on several **requests**
And dealing with them in the order they are ready

Most advanced use is checking for **first** to complete
And carrying on with something else if not

Using Non-Blocking (2)

- You can use them together with **blocking** forms
All reasonable combinations work as expected
E.g. **non-blocking send** and **blocking receive**

Use them only if you can start them ahead of time

- If you can't start them well in advance
then use the simpler **blocking** forms

Also advanced uses for avoiding **deadlock**

- Generally, leave that sort of thing to experts

Using Non-Blocking (3)

All send variants have non-blocking forms

Includes MPI_Issend and MPI_IbSEND

They have potential, but obscure, uses

Easy to use — knowing when and why is hard

This course will not mention them further

Will cover only MPI_Isend and MPI_Irecv

Few programmers will want any of the other forms

Wait vs Test (1)

Blocking waits have names like `MPI_Wait`

Non-blocking waits have names like `MPI_Test`

There is only one difference between the two forms which matters if the `transfer` is not ready

- `Waits` hang until the `transfer` has finished
- `Tests` return successfully and immediately

`Tests` have an extra `Boolean` flag variable indicating whether the `transfer` has finished

Completion (1)

An **active request** is one that has started but has not yet been **completed**

Requests are **completed** by two-step procedure:

- Become ready (i.e. finish transferring)
- A **wait** or **test** call returns their **status**

A **request** is **released** automatically as part of the **completion** process

You almost never have to take any special action

Completion (2)

Wait and test work on send requests

- The status is largely meaningless (unset)
The extra lectures describe what it does mean
- The status does not include the arguments
That decision was taken on efficiency grounds

Completion (3)

Wait and **test** update the **request**

Upon **release**, it is set to **MPI_REQUEST_NULL**

- You rarely need to know or check that

But it is useful for some advanced uses

You can check if a **request** has been **completed**

Initialise requests to **MPI_REQUEST_NULL**

Usage

Very similar to **blocking** send and receive
Almost all **arguments** are used identically

- It really is just splitting the calls in two
A **request** is another opaque **handle**

MPI_Isend and **MPI_Irecv** return a **request**
and the latter does **not** return a **status**

MPI_Wait takes a **request** and returns a **status**

Fortran Example (1)

```
REAL(KIND=KIND(0.0D0)) :: buffer ( 100 )
INTEGER :: error , request ,      &
        status ( MPI_STATUS_SIZE )
INTEGER , PARAMETER :: from = 2 , to = 3 ,      &
        tag = 123

CALL MPI_Isend ( buffer , 100 ,      &
        MPI_DOUBLE_PRECISION , to , tag ,      &
        MPI_COMM_WORLD , request , error )

CALL MPI_Wait ( request , status , error )
```

Fortran Example (2)

```
REAL(KIND=KIND(0.0D0)) :: buffer ( 100 )
INTEGER :: error , request ,      &
        status ( MPI_STATUS_SIZE )
INTEGER , PARAMETER :: from = 2 , to = 3 ,      &
        tag = 123

CALL MPI_Irecv ( buffer , 100 ,      &
        MPI_DOUBLE_PRECISION , from , tag ,      &
        MPI_COMM_WORLD , request , error )

CALL MPI_Wait ( request , status , error )
```

C Example (1)

```
double buffer [ 100 ] ;  
MPI_Request request ;  
MPI_Status status ;  
int from = 2 , to = 3 , tag = 123 , error ;  
  
error = MPI_Isend ( buffer , 100 , MPI_DOUBLE ,  
                  to , tag , MPI_COMM_WORLD , & request ) ;  
  
error = MPI_Wait ( & request , & status ) ;
```

C Example (2)

```
double buffer [ 100 ] ;  
MPI_Request request ;  
MPI_Status status ;  
int from = 2 , to = 3 , tag = 123 , error ;  
  
error = MPI_Irecv ( buffer , 100 , MPI_DOUBLE ,  
                  from , tag , MPI_COMM_WORLD , & request ) ;  
  
error = MPI_Wait ( & request , & status ) ;
```

Non-blocking Waits (1)

Remember `MPI_Iprobe` versus `MPI_Probe`?
That is also `MPI_Test` versus `MPI_Wait`

An extra `Boolean` argument saying if ready

- If ready, it behaves just like `MPI_Wait`
- If not, the `request` is not `completed`
And the `status` becomes `undefined`

Here are just the actual differences

Non-blocking Waits (2)

Fortran example:

```
LOGICAL :: flag  
CALL MPI_Test ( request , flag , status , error )
```

C example:

```
int flag ;  
error = MPI_Test ( & request , & flag , & status ) ;
```

Multiple Completion (1)

You can test or wait for an array of **requests**
Until **one**, **all** or **some** (not advised) complete

The functions are very difficult to teach
because there are so many special cases

- But they aren't hard to use, if you **KISS**

For now, we make the following assumptions

- The array has length **one** or more
- **MPI_ERRORS_FATAL** is set
- You use only what I have covered so far

Multiple Completion (2)

They are simply shorthand for coding a loop
Though with some important optimisations

Behave exactly like the **individual request** forms

- The complexity is in explaining the details

Note that a **Fortran status array** is:

```
INTEGER , DIMENSION ( MPI_STATUS_SIZE , * )
```

Fortran first dimensions vary fastest

Waiting/Testing For All

These are easy to use, given our assumptions
They take arrays of **requests** and **statuses**

MPI_Testall and **MPI_Waitall**

These check for or complete **all** the **requests**

MPI_Waitall and when **MPI_Testall**'s flag is **True**:

All of the **statuses** are set, appropriately

They are **undefined** when **MPI_Testall**'s flag is **False**

Fortran Wait/Test For All

```
INTEGER :: i , error , requests ( 100 ) ,      &  
        statuses ( MPI_STATUS_SIZE , 100 )  
LOGICAL :: flag  
  
DO i = 1 , 100  
    CALL MPI_Irecv ( . . . ,      &  
        MPI_COMM_WORLD , requests ( i ) , error )  
END DO  
  
CALL MPI_Waitall ( 100 , requests , statuses , error )  
CALL MPI_Testall ( 100 , requests , flag ,      &  
        statuses , error )
```

C Wait/Test For All

```
int i , error , flag ;  
MPI_Request requests [ 100 ] ;  
MPI_Status statuses [ 100 ] ;  
  
for ( i = 1 ; i < 100 ; ++ i )  
    error = MPI_Irecv ( . . . ,  
                        MPI_COMM_WORLD , & requests [ i ] ) ;  
  
error = MPI_Waitall ( 100 , requests , statuses ) ;  
error = MPI_Testall ( 100 , requests , & flag ,  
                      statuses ) ;
```

Waiting/Testing For Any

`MPI_Testany` and `MPI_Waitany`

These check for or complete only **one** request
and return its **index** and **status**

You often loop round until there is nothing to do

Stop when the **index** is `MPI_UNDEFINED`

The **flag** of `MPI_Testany` is **True** in that case

Fortran Wait for Any

```
INTEGER :: i , error , requests ( 100 ) ,      &  
        index , status ( MPI_STATUS_SIZE )  
  
DO  
    CALL MPI_Waitany ( 100 , requests , index ,      &  
        status , error )  
    IF ( index == MPI_UNDEFINED ) EXIT  
    ! Now handle transfer number index  
END DO
```


Fortran Test for Any

```
INTEGER :: i , error , requests ( 100 ) ,      &  
        index , status ( MPI_STATUS_SIZE )  
LOGICAL :: flag  
  
DO  
    CALL MPI_Testany ( 100 , requests , index , flag ,      &  
        status , error )  
    IF ( .NOT. flag) THEN  
        ! Do something while waiting  
    ELIF ( index == MPI_UNDEFINED )  
        EXIT  
    ELSE  
        ! Now handle transfer number index  
    END IF  
END DO
```

C Wait for Any

```
int i , error , index ;  
MPI_Request requests [ 100 ] ;  
MPI_Status status ;  
  
while ( 1 ) {  
    error = MPI_Waitany ( 100 , requests ,  
        & index , & status ) ;  
    if ( index == MPI_UNDEFINED ) break ;  
    /* Now handle transfer number index */  
}
```

C Test for Any

```
int i , error , index , flag ;
MPI_Request requests [ 100 ] ;
MPI_Status status ;

while ( 1 ) {
    error = MPI_Testany ( 100 , requests , & index ,
        & flag , & status ) ;
    if ( ! flag ) {
        /* Do something while waiting */
    } else if ( index == MPI_UNDEFINED )
        break ;
    } else {
        /* Now handle transfer number index */
    }
}
```

Assumptions Revisited

Remember the assumptions I described?

- The array has length **one** or more
- **MPI_ERRORS_ARE_FATAL** is set
- You use only what I have covered so far

Another lecture covers when those are **not** so

- My advice is not to open that can of worms

Warning

Calling **non-blocking** functions is very easy

- Don't be fooled into thinking that using them is

You now have a loaded, semi-automatic footgun . . .

The difficulties arise with **race conditions** etc.

Adding **diagnostics** often makes them vanish

Remember the aphorism: “**Festina lente**”

Don't rush into **asynchronous** programming

Start with using it **very** simply

And **package** the uses into **higher-level** primitives