



Running time per operation of List implementations on a list with n elements:

Operation	Linked List	Array List	
add	O(1)	O(1) amortized	
remove	O(n)	O(n)	
contains	O(n)	O(n)	
size, isEmpty, clear	O(1)	O(1)	
iteration	O(1) per element	O(1) per element	
remove of iterator	O(1)	O(n)	
Indexing: get, set, add	O(n)	O(1)	

Applications of lists

List of buffers (editor)

List of tabs (browser)

List of processes (OS)

List of projects to be graded (elearning)

Graph adjacency lists

Finish time order of DFS (topological order)

Applications of stacks

Parsing of arithmetic expressions

Evaluation of arithmetic expressions

Conversion of infix arithmetic expressions to postfix

Evaluation of postfix arithmetic expressions

Implementation of function calls

LL/LR parsing (parsing of programming languages)

XML parsing (balanced parentheses)

Maze generation

Depth-first search (DFS)

Applications of queues

Job scheduling

Communication / Messaging

Multimedia streaming

Data communication

Printing

Wait lists

Recursive listing of directories in a file system

Web crawlers

Virus scanners

Breadth-first search (BFS)

Profit/Loss accounting of stock trades

Advanced applications

Arbitrary precision arithmetic (bc, BigInteger)

Sparse polynomials

Symbolic mathematics (functions, polynomials,

equation solvers, calculus)

Some useful links:

Shunting yard algorithm for parsing	https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shunting-yard_algorithm
Maze generation	https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maze_generation_algorithm

Arbitrary precision integer arithmetic: Choose a base B for the arithmetic. $X = a_0 + a_1 B + ... + a_n B^n$. Then, X can be stored using the list $\{a_0, a_1, ..., a_n\}$. Implement arithmetic operations, where numbers are stored in this format. Example: B=100, X=8102409 is stored as $\{9, 24, 10, 8\}$. If B=32768, then X is stored as $\{8713, 247\}$.

X=8713+247*B^1

As B gets larger, the list has smaller length, leading faster running times for operations. But, if B is too large, arithmetic operations cause overflows. Good choice of B: a power of 2, such that B² still fits in one word.

Predictive parsing (LL(1) parser)

Use a stack to generate a leftmost derivation, using a lookahead of 1 token. Left recursion must be removed from the grammar LL(1) parsing table is constructed using first and follow sets of nonterminals. First(x) is the set of all characters that can appear as the first character in strings that can be derived from x, using the productions of the grammar. Follow(A) is the set of all terminal symbols that can appear to the immediate right of A in any derivation from S (the start symbol).

Example: $E \rightarrow E + T \mid T$ $T \rightarrow T * F \mid F$ $F \rightarrow (E) \mid num$

Here "+" is a placeholder for any arithmetic operator with the same precedence as the addition operator: $\{+, -\}$, and "*" represents $\{*, /, \%\}$ operators. The grammar has left recursion, which must be eliminated before predictive or top-down parsing is done. A new production $E' \to E_1$ is added, where E' is the start symbol.

Productions	First	Follow		
$E_1 \rightarrow T_1 E_2$	(num) \$		
$E_2 \rightarrow + T_1 E_2 \mid \epsilon$	+ ε) \$		
$T_1 \rightarrow F T_2$	(num	+) \$		
$T_2 \rightarrow * F T_2 \mid \epsilon$	* ε	+) \$		
$F \rightarrow (E_1) \mid \text{num}$	(num	* +) \$		

From the above information, the LL(1) parsing table can be computed:

	+	mulOp	num	()	\$
E ₁			$E_1 \rightarrow T_1 E_2$	$E_1 \rightarrow T_1 E_2$		
E ₂	$E_2 \rightarrow + T_1 E_2$				$E_2 \! o \! \epsilon$	$E2 \to \epsilon$
T ₁			$T_1 \rightarrow F T_2$	$T_1 \rightarrow F T_2$		
T ₂	$T_2 \rightarrow \epsilon$	$T_2 \rightarrow * F T_2$			$T_2 \rightarrow \epsilon$	$T_2 \rightarrow \epsilon$
F			$F \to num$	$F \rightarrow (E_1)$		

Example: Leftmost derivation of the expression "3+4*5": $E' \Rightarrow E_1 \Rightarrow T_1E_2 \Rightarrow FT_2E_2 \Rightarrow 3T_2E_2 \Rightarrow 3+T_1E_2 \Rightarrow 3+FT_2E_2 \Rightarrow 3+4*FT_2E_2 \Rightarrow 3+4*FT_$

#	Proc	Stack	Input	Production	#	Proc	Stack	Input	Production
1)		E ₁ \$	3+4*5\$	$E_1 \rightarrow T_1 E_2$,	3+	FT ₂ E ₂ \$	4*5\$	F → num (4)
2)	-	T_1E_2 \$	3+4*5\$	$T_1 \rightarrow FT_2$	8)	3+	$4T_{2}E_{2}$ \$	4*5\$	$T_2 \rightarrow *FT_2$
3)	-	FT_2E_2 \$	3+4*5\$	$F \rightarrow num (3)$	9)	3+4	$*FT_2E_2$ \$	*5\$	$F \rightarrow num (5)$
4)	-	$3T_{2}E_{2}$ \$	3+4*5\$	$T_2 \rightarrow \epsilon$	10)	3+4*	$5T_{2}E_{2}$ \$	5\$	$T_2 \rightarrow \epsilon$
5)	3	E ₂ \$	+4*5\$	$E_2 \rightarrow +T_1E_2$	11)	3+4*5	E_2 \$	\$	$E_2 \rightarrow \epsilon$
6)	3	+T ₁ E ₂ \$	+4*5\$	$T_1 \rightarrow FT_2$	12)	3+4*5	\$	\$	Accept

Recursive descent parsing

Like predictive parsing, the grammar is modified to remove left recursion. Example:

```
\begin{split} E' \to E \\ E \to T \text{ addOp T } & \text{$//$\{ ... \}$ means optional, and can be repeated, same as * in regexp} \\ T \to F \text{ mulOp F } \\ F \to (E) \mid \text{num} \\ \text{addOp} \to + \mid \text{-} \\ \text{mulOp} \to * \mid \text{/} \mid \% \end{split} Class Token { ... token (int or enum type) ...}
```

Algorithm to evaluate infix expression:

```
E'() {
  rv = E();
                                                          F() {
                                                             switch(q.peek().token) {
  if q.peek() == $ then
       return rv;
                                                                  case '(':
  else
                                                                     q.remove();
        Error
                                                                     rv = E();
}
                                                                     if(q.peek() == ')')
                                                                          q.remove();
E() {
                                                                     else
  rv = T();
                                                                          Error
  while(q.peek().token == addOp) {
                                                                     break;
       oper = q.remove();
                                                                  case num:
       rv2 = T();
                                                                     rv=q.remove().value();
       rv = exec(oper, rv, rv2);
                                                                     break;
  }
                                                                  default:
  return rv;
                                                                     Error
}
                                                             }
                                                             return rv;
T() {
  rv = F();
  while(q.peek().token == mulOp) {
       oper = q.remove();
       rv2 = F();
       rv = exec(oper, rv, rv2);
  return rv;
```

LR parsing

Bottom-up parsing. Generate rightmost derivation of input. Parsing table can be generated by software tools (called parser generators). Most programming languages are parsed using LALR(1) parsing (a simplified version of LR(1) parsing). LR Parsing table for the following context-free grammar:

Production	Rules 0-6:	<i>E'</i> → <i>E</i>	$E \rightarrow E + T$	$E{\rightarrow}T$	$T \rightarrow T*F$	$T {\rightarrow} F$	$F \rightarrow (E)$	$F \rightarrow id$	
State	+	*	()	id	\$	E	Т	F
0			S4		S5		1	2	3
1	S6					accept			
2	R2	S7		R2		R2			
3	R4	R4		R4		R4			
4			S4		S5		8	2	3
5	R6	R6		R6		R6			
6			S4		S5			9	3
7			S4		S5				10
8	S6			S11					
9	R1	S7		R1	R1	R1			
10	R3	R3		R3	R3	R3			
11	R5	R5		R5	R5				

Input expression: a + b*c. From lexical analyzer: id + id * id *. Rightmost derivation generated by the parsing algorithm: $E \Rightarrow E + T \Rightarrow E + T*id \Rightarrow E + F*id \Rightarrow E + id*id \Rightarrow T + id*id \Rightarrow id + id*id \Rightarrow id + id*id$

State	Stack	Rest of input	Action
0	\$	id + id * id \$	S5
5	\$ 0.id	+ id * id \$	R6; $goto(0,F) = 3$
3	\$ 0.F	+ id * id \$	R4; $goto(0,T) = 2$
2	\$ 0. <i>T</i>	+ id * id \$	R2; $goto(0,E) = 1$
1	\$ 0.E	+ id * id \$	S6
6	\$ 0.E 1.+	id * id \$	S5
5	\$ 0.E 1.+ 6.id	* id \$	R6; goto(6, F) = 3
3	\$ 0.E 1.+ 6.F	* id \$	R4; goto(6, T) = 9
9	\$ 0.E 1.+ 6.T	* id \$	S7
7	\$ 0.E 1.+ 6.T 9.*	id \$	S5
5	\$ 0.E 1.+ 6.T 9.* 7.id	\$	R6; goto(7, F) = 10
10	\$ 0.E 1.+ 6.T 9.* 7.F	\$	R3; $goto(7,T) = 9$
9	\$ 0.E 1.+ 6.T	\$	R1; goto(0,E) = 1
1	\$ 0.E	\$	accept