

Formal Methods for System Verification

Suggestions for projects

Each group will have a dedicated analysis. Below you can find details for each project:

1. “Crisis of Trust: Analyzing the Verifier’s Dilemma in Ethereum’s Proof-of-Stake Blockchain”

Group 5 Modify the number of slots to 25 & modify rewards and delays in Table 1 to the following values:

1 slot - 0.844;

$1 < \text{delay} \leq 3$ slots - 0.625;

$3 < \text{delay} \leq 25$ slots - 0.188;

$\text{delay} > 25$ - 0.625

On the last slide discuss the possible mitigation approaches.

Group 11 Modify the number of slots to 27 & modify rewards and delays in Table 1 to the following values:

1, 2 slots - 0.844;

$2 < \text{delay} \leq 6$ slots - 0.625;

$6 < \text{delay} \leq 27$ slots - 0.188;

$\text{delay} > 27$ - 0.625

On the last slide discuss the possible mitigation approaches.

Group 14 Modify the number of slots to 28 & modify rewards and delays in Table 1 to the following values:

1, 2, 3 slots - 0.844;

$3 < \text{delay} \leq 9$ slots - 0.625;

$9 < \text{delay} \leq 28$ slots - 0.188;

$\text{delay} > 28$ - 0.625

On the last slide discuss the possible mitigation approaches.

2. “Verifier’s Dilemma in Ethereum Blockchain: A Quantitative Analysis”

Group 1 Table 4: Consider component E_F . How could we improve it and its verification step V_{EF} ? (When the environment produces a block, all of the miners in the environment go to V_{EF} . Can one miner still produce a block? How could we show it in the model?). Modify the value N to 400,000 and the other parameters that are affected by this change.

Group 4 Table 4: Synchronise the fair components on verification. Modify the value N to 300,000 and the other parameters that are affected by this change.

Group 8 Table 1: Consider component E_F . How could we improve it and its verification step V_{EF} ? (When the environment produces a block, all of the miners in the environment go to V_{EF} . Can one miner still produce a block? How could we show it in the model?). Modify the value N to 200,000 and the other parameters that are affected by this change. Synchronise the fair components on verification.

Group 10 Tables 1 and 4: Consider component E_F . How could we improve it and its verification step V_{EF} ? (When the environment produces a block, all of the miners in the environment go to V_{EF} . Can one miner still produce a block? How could we show it in the model?). Synchronise the fair components on verification.

3. “Selfish Mining in Public Blockchains”

Group 2 Extend the model by introducing another mining pool M_S . What kind of analysis can be done with the modified model?

Group 6 Modify the value K to 10,000 and study the network with various values of w such that 100/1,000/10,000

Group 13 Modify the value K to 20,000 and study the network with various values of w such that 150/1,500/15,000

4. “Under the space threat: Quantitative Analysis of Cosmos blockchain”

Assume that Propose and Prevote rates, i.e., γ and β , always correspond to their timeouts.

Group 7 Study the model by examining the Propose timeout such that it can take values of $[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]$ s. Which kind of dynamics does it reveal about the network? Reason about this.

Group 12 Study the model by examining the Precommit timeout. Introduce a way to compute its corresponding probability w_3 . How does it affect the network?

5. “Cosmos discovery: Quantitative assessment of Cosmos blockchain”

Assume that Propose and Prevote rates, i.e., γ and β , always correspond to their processing times.

Group 3 Examine Celestia, a blockchain within the Cosmos ecosystem. Apply adjusted parameters to evaluate the system’s throughput and determine the optimal processing times for both homogeneous and non-homogeneous scenarios. Assume that all parameters are doubled relative to those of the Cosmos blockchain.

Group 9 Examine Injective, a blockchain within the Cosmos ecosystem. Apply adjusted parameters to evaluate the system’s throughput and determine the optimal processing times for both homogeneous and non-homogeneous scenarios. Assume that all parameters are reduced to $\frac{1}{10}$ of timeouts used in the Cosmos blockchain.