Alexander Garcia 9 February 2017 661534755

Homework 2

```
(1) (a)
   P(x) := x drives a Lamborghini
   Q(x) := x has received a speeding ticket
     1. P(Jim)
                                    Premise
     2. \forall x (P(x) \to Q(x))
                                    Premise
    3. Q(Jim)
                                    Modus Tollens (3) & (2)
    4.Jim \in D_{Students}
                                    Premise
                                    Existential Generalization
    5. \exists x \in D_{Students}(Q(x))
   P(x) := x is a thought-provoking movie
   Q(x) := x was directed by Clint Eastwood
   R(x) := x is a movie about a boxer
     1. \forall x(Q(x) \to P(x))
                             Premise
     2. \exists x (Q(x) \land R(x))
                             Premise
    3. \exists x R(x)
                             Simplification
    4. \exists x Q(x)
                             Simplification
    5. \exists x P(x)
                             Modus Tollens (1) & (4) (if (1) is \forall x is true, \exists x is true)
     6. \exists x P(x) \land R(x)
                             Conjunction from (3) & (5)
   (c)
   P(x) := x is enrolled at RPI
   Q(x) := x has lived in in a dormitory
     1. \forall x (P(x) \to Q(x))
                                Premise
     2. \neg Q(Ryan)
                                Premise
    3. \forall x(\neg Q(x) \rightarrow \neg P(x))
                                Contraposition of (1)
    4. Ryan \in D_x
                                 Premise
    5. : \neg P(Ryan)
                                Modus Tollens of (2) & (3)
   (d)
   P(x) := x is a Kawasaki motorcycle
   Q(x) := x is exciting to drive
    1. P(x) \to Q(x)
                             Premise
     2. \neg P(Isabella's)
                             Premise
                            Is FALSE due to the fallacy of denying hypothesis
    3. : \neg Q(Isabella's)
```

(2)

- 2. It is not explicitly stated that $c \in D_x$ (this is probably fine, but just in case) 3. It is not explicitly stated that $\neg Q(c)$, so we cannot be sure that P(c) holds 5. It is not explicitly stated that $\neg P(c)$, so we cannot be sure that Q(c) holds

(3)P(x) := x is a rational number $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}(P(x) \land P(y)) \to P(x * y)$

> $bn\in\mathbb{Z}$ $\begin{array}{l} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Q} \\ \therefore xy \in \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$

 $x=rac{a}{b}; a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$ Definition of a rational number $y=rac{m}{n}; m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ Definition of a rational number $xy=rac{am}{bn}$ Rules of algebra $am\in\mathbb{Z}$ Under multiplication closure Under multiplication closure Definition of a rational number q.e.d.

 $(4) \\ P(x) := x \text{ is an even number} \\ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}(P(m*n) \to (P(m) \vee P(n))), \\ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}((\neg P(m) \land \neg P(n)) \to \neg P(m*n)) \\ m = 2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n = 2c + 1, c \in \mathbb{Z} \\ mn = 4kc + 2c + 2k + 1 \\ mn = 2(2kc + c + k) + 1 \\ A = 2kc + c + k \\ A \in \mathbb{Z} \\ mn = 2A + 1 \\ \therefore \neg P(mn) \\ \textbf{q.e.d.}$

Contrapositive of initial proposition
Definition of an odd number
Definition of an odd number
Distributive property
Rule of algebra
Redefinition
Under multiplication closure
Substitution
Defintion of an even number

(5) P(x) := x is odd

$$((P(x) \land \neg P(y)) \lor (\neg P(x) \land P(y))) \rightarrow P(5x + 5y), x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 Premise

$$\begin{array}{ll} (P(x) \wedge \neg P(y)) \to P(5x+5y) & \text{Assumption WLOG} \\ x = 2k+1, k \in \mathbb{Z} & \text{Definition of an odd number} \\ y = 2m, m \in \mathbb{Z} & \text{Definition of an even number} \\ 5(2k+1)+5(2m) & \text{Substitution} \\ 5(2k+1)+5(2m) & \text{Substitution} \\ 5(2k+1)+5(2m) & \text{Substitution} \\ 10k+5+10m = 2c+1 & \text{Distributive property} \\ 10k+5+10m = 2c+1 & \text{Distributive property} \\ 10k+10m+4=2c & \text{Rule of algebra} \\ 2(5k+5m+2) = 2c & \text{Factoring} \\ A=5k+5m+2, A \in \mathbb{Z} & \text{Redefinition} \\ 2A=2c; A, c \in \mathbb{Z} & \text{Substitution} \\ 2=2 & \text{Simplification} \\ \therefore P(x) \wedge \neg P(y) \to P(5x+5y) & \text{q.e.d.} \end{array}$$

The above proof is sufficient for the entire statement. If the roles of x and y are reversed, the proof is still the same. Thus, the first assumption is valid under the idea of "without loss of generality".

(6) Idea: Only the last 2 digits of n are important to the last 2 digits of n^2

n	n^2 (last 2 digits)
00	00
00	00
01	01
02	04
03	09
04	16
05	25
06	36
07	49
08	64
09	81
10	00
11	21
12	44
13	69
14	96
15	25
16	56
17	89
18	24
19	61
20	00
21	41
22	84
23	29
$\frac{2}{24}$	76
E	11:: 4- 50

From this point to 50 $(25^2 \to 49^2)$, these values repeat in reverse order. This is because $(50 - n)^2 = 2500 - 100n + n^2$. For $25 \le n < 50$, this means that n^2 and $(50 - n)^2$ will have the same final digits, since only the 100s place will be affected by 2500 - 100n. From $50 \le n < 100$, the entire pattern from $0^2 \to 49^2$ repeats itself. In this case, we take the relation $(50 + n)^2 = 2500 + 100n + n^2$. Again, the only part of the sum that affects the last 2 digits is n^2 , making $(50 + n)^2 = n^2$. Therefore, the only unique combinations of the final 2 digits are contained within the above table. Repeats were left in the table to maintain continuity.