24 February 2017

1. Question 1

(a)
$$-17 \mod 4 = 3$$

 $1 = a *_4 -17 \pmod 4$
 $1 = a *_4 3$
 $(3a) \pmod 4 = 1$
 $a = 3$

In this case, m must equal 4, since it is the modulo of the original value for "b". The equation can then be easily solved for a, which could be 3.

(b)
$$-17 \div 4 = -5 \ rem \ 3$$

This follows from the definition of the modulo operator, where when $a \mod b$, this means that a = bq + r, where r is always a positive integer. Since r must be positive, we obtain the value -20 from bq, giving us the smallest positive r. Therefore, a = 3.

(c)
$$a \equiv -17 \pmod{4}$$

 $4|(a+17)$
 $a = 3$

24 February 2017

2. Question 2

$$\begin{array}{ll} a\equiv b \pmod m \\ m\mid a-b \\ \exists c,mc=a-b \\ p=gcd(a,m);q=gcd(b,m) \\ \frac{a}{p}=\frac{mc}{p}+\frac{b}{p} \\ \frac{b}{p}=\frac{-a}{p}+\frac{mc}{p} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \text{Definition of congruency} \\ \text{Definition of the "divisible" operator} \\ \text{Assignment of gcd operations} \\ \text{Rewriting of "divisible" operator. Here, } \frac{a}{p} \text{ and } \frac{m}{p} \text{ are both integers.} \\ \text{Reorganization of expression} \end{array}$$

Since the two parts of the equation that make up $\frac{b}{p}$ are both integers, $\frac{b}{p}$ must be an integer. Therefore, $p \mid b$. In addition, $p \leq q$, since q is the largest number that can divide b.

$$\frac{a}{q} = \frac{mc}{q} + \frac{b}{q}$$
 Rewriting of "divisible" operator. Here, $\frac{mc}{q}$ and $\frac{b}{q}$ are both whole numbers.

Again, the two parts making up $\frac{a}{q}$ are integers, so $\frac{a}{q}$ must be an integer. This time, however, $q \leq p$, for the same reason that $p \leq q$. The only possible conclusion then, is that p = q.

$$\therefore gcd(a,m) = gcd(b,m)$$

24 February 2017

3. Question 3

$$\begin{array}{c} \gcd(124,323) = d, d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ d = sa + tb \\ \text{Now begin stepping through the given algorithm} \\ \text{Representation of gcd} \\ \hline 323 = 2*124 + 75 \\ (a) \begin{array}{c} 124 = 1*75 + 49 \\ 75 = 1*49 + 26 \\ 49 = 1*26 + 23 \\ 26 = 1*23 + 3 \\ 23 = 7*3 + 2 \\ 3 = 1*2 + 1 \\ 2 = 2*1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Representation of } gcd \\ \text{Reformatting of representation} \\ \hline 75 = 323 - (2*124) \\ 49 = 124 - (1*75) \\ 26 = 75 - *1*49) \\ 26 = 75 - *1*49) \\ 26 = 75 - *1*49) \\ 26 = 75 - *1*49) \\ 26 = 1*23 + 3 \\ 3 = 26 - (1*23) \\ 2 = 23 - (7*3) \\ 1 = 3 - (1*2) \\ gcd(124, 323) = 1 \end{array}$$

These two numbers are relatively prime since their gcd = 1. We must now go "backwards" through these steps and find the coefficients associated with the two numbers (s, t) to make 124s + 323t = 1 true.

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1=3-(1*2) & \text{Starting premise} \\ 2=23-(7*3) & \text{Starting premise} \\ 1=3-1*(23-7*3) & 8*3-1*23 \\ 8*(26-1*23)-1*23 & 8*26-9*23 \\ 8*26-9*(49-1*26) & 17*26-9*49 \\ 17*(75-1*49)-9*49 & 17*75-26*49 \\ 17*75-26*(124-1*75) & 43*75-26*124 \\ 43*(323-2*124)-26*124 & 43*323-112*124 \end{array}$$

The final item in the table contains both of the original numbers, and the expression is equal to 1 the whole way down. Therefore, the Bezout Coefficients of 124,323 are -112, 43 respectively.

24 February 2017

(b) This calculation is done through the same steps as part (a).

$\gcd(3457, 4669) = d, d \in Z$	Representation of gcd
4669 = 1 * 3457 + 1212	1212 = 4669 - 1 * 3457
3457 = 2 * 1212 + 1033	1033 = 3457 - 2 * 1212
1212 = 1 * 1033 + 179	179 = 1212 - 1 * 1033
1033 = 5 * 179 + 138	138 = 1033 - 5 * 179
179 = 1 * 138 + 41	41 = 17901 * 138
138 = 3 * 41 + 15	15 = 138 - 3 * 41
41 = 2 * 15 + 11	11 = 41 - 2 * 15
15 = 1 * 11 + 4	4 = 15 - 1 * 11
11 = 2 * 4 + 3	4 = 11 - 2 * 4
4 = 1 * 3 + 1	1 = 4 - 1 * 3
3 = 3 * 1	$\gcd(3457, 4669) = 1$

Now repeat the steps from before, going backwards to find the Bezout Coefficients.

All expressions in the table are equal to 1.

3*4 - 1*11
3*15 - 4*11
11 * 15 - 4 * 41
11 * 138 - 37 * 41
48 * 138 - 37 * 179
48*1033 - 277*179
325 * 1033 - 277 * 1212
325 * 3457 - 927 * 1212
1252 * 3457 - 927 * 4669 = 1

Bazout Coefficients: s = 1252, t = -927

24 February 2017

4. Question 4

We begin with a proof by contradiction

Assume there are a finite number of primes of form q = 3k + 2

$$\begin{array}{ll} Q=\{q_1,q_2,q_3,\ldots q_n\} & \text{Q is the set of ALL primes of the form } 2k+1 \\ N=3(q_1*q_2*\cdots*q_n)+2 & 3 \nmid N \wedge q_i \nmid N, q_i \in Q \end{array}$$

It is known that $\nexists q_i \in Q$ S.T. $q_i|N$, since $q_i|N-2$. If $q_i|N$, then $q_i|2$, which cannot be true, since q_i is an odd prime.

$$N = odd * even + even \rightarrow N = odd \quad 2 \nmid N$$

According to the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmtic, any integer (in this case N), can be represented uniquely as a product of primes. According to the initial assumption, N is not divisible by any $q_i \in Q$. Then if N is the product of primes of the form $p_i = 3k + 1$, N would have the form 3k + 1.

$$N = 3k + 1, k \in Z$$

However, the premise was that N is of the form 3k + 2

Therefore, $\exists p, p = 3k + 2 \land p \notin Q$

Because Q was defined to be the set of ALL prime numbers of the form 3k+2, we have a contradiction.

$$|Q| = \infty$$