

# Numerical Coincidences from a BCC Lattice Framework

*A concise summary for discussion — not a claim of proof*

Alan Garcia — February 2026 — Independent investigation

---

## 1. What This Is

I am an independent researcher exploring a lattice field theory framework in which the fine structure constant, the proton-electron mass ratio, and the muon-electron mass ratio emerge from the spectral geometry of the BCC lattice Dirac operator. The framework takes two inputs—the BCC coordination number  $n = 8$  and the transcendental  $\pi$ —and produces values for all three constants with zero free parameters. I am writing to ask whether you can see a trivial explanation for the coincidences below, or whether the lattice selection mechanism has structural content.

## 2. Generator Functions from SO(3) Representation Theory

Four functions of the coordination number  $n$  arise from the representation theory of SO(3):

$$\tau(n) = n(2n+1) + 1 \quad \sigma(n) = n(2n+1) \quad \rho(n) = n + 1 \quad \mu(n) = \frac{3}{2} \sigma \rho$$

At  $n = 8$ :  $\tau = 137$ ,  $\sigma = 136$ ,  $\rho = 9$ ,  $\mu = 1836$ . Here  $\tau(n) = \dim(\Lambda^2 D(n) \oplus D(0))$ , where  $\Lambda^2 D(n)$  is the antisymmetric square of the spin- $n$  representation, and  $\sigma(n) = \binom{2n+1}{2}$  counts the pairwise couplings among  $2n+1$  magnetic substates.

## 3. Result 1: The Fine Structure Constant

$\alpha^{-1}$  is obtained from a cubic equation interpreted as a Dyson equation  $G^{-1} = G_0^{-1} - \Sigma(G)$  for the dressed inverse coupling:

$$\boxed{\alpha^{-1} = B + \frac{1}{(n+2) B^2}}, \quad B = \tau + \frac{c_1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{2\tau^2} - \frac{1}{(n-1)\tau^3} + \frac{c_4}{\tau^4}$$

The base  $B$  is a perturbative self-energy expansion on the BCC lattice with coupling  $g^2 = 1/2$  and Dirac multiplicity  $N_D = 4$ . The coefficients have identified spectral origins:

- $c_1 = \pi^2/2$  Brillouin zone momentum integral  $\langle |\mathbf{q}|^2 \rangle_{BZ}$
- $c_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$  Spectral mean:  $-d/\langle D^2 \rangle$
- $c_3 = -1/(n-1) = -\frac{1}{7}$  Spectral variance
- $c_4 = \frac{2\rho}{2n+1} \pi^3 = \frac{18}{17} \pi^3$  Spectral skewness  $\times$  zone integral

---

Lattice framework prediction	137.035 999 177
CODATA 2022	137.035 999 177(21)
Agreement	< 0.001 ppb

---

## 4. Result 2: The Proton-Electron Mass Ratio

From the same generator functions, with  $\alpha$  computed self-consistently. The formula appears naturally in  $n$ -explicit factored form:

$$\frac{m_p}{m_e} = \mu(1 + 2(2n+1)\pi\alpha^3) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)\pi^2\alpha(1-\alpha^2) - \alpha^2$$

The denominators in the original notation ( $\pi\mu\sigma\alpha^3/4$  and  $(2n+1)\pi^2\alpha/16$ ) are functions of the coordination number:  $4 = n/2$  and  $16 = 2n$  at  $n = 8$ . The corrections decompose as:

- **Vertex:**  $\mu$  is dressed by a factor  $1 + 2(2n+1)\pi\alpha^3$ , where  $2(2n+1) = 2 \dim D(n)$ .
- **VP:** A universal piece  $\pi^2\alpha(1-\alpha^2)$  plus a lattice correction of relative size  $1/(2n)$ , vanishing as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (continuum limit).
- **Self-intersection:**  $-\alpha^2$ , universal.

Lattice framework prediction	1836.152 673 5
CODATA 2022	1836.152 673 426(32)
Agreement	< 0.03 ppb

## 5. Result 3: The Muon-Electron Mass Ratio

The muon is modeled as a binary defect excitation (two-node) on the same lattice, in contrast to the proton's ternary defect (three-node). Its formula follows the same correction taxonomy but with  $d$  (spatial dimension) replacing  $n$  (coordination number) as the structural parameter:

$$\frac{m_\mu}{m_e} = \frac{d}{2}(\tau + \pi\alpha(1-\alpha^2)) + \frac{c_1}{4} + \frac{d}{2}\pi\sigma\alpha^3 - \alpha^2$$

The proton's vertex prefactor is  $2/n$  (per-bond weight on a lattice with  $n$  neighbors); the muon's is  $d/2$  (dimensional weight in  $d$ -dimensional space). The muon vertex coefficient ( $d/2$ )  $\pi\sigma$  equals the proton vertex coefficient times  $2d/\mu = 6/1836 = 1/306$ .

Lattice framework prediction	206.768 282 5
CODATA 2022	206.768 282 7(46)
Agreement	1.1 ppb (0.05 $\sigma$ )

## 6. Lattice Selection: Why BCC?

Tested against SC ( $n = 6$ ) and FCC ( $n = 12$ ). The tree-level mass generator  $\mu(n)$  is the discriminator:

Lattice	$n$	$\mu(n)$	$\alpha^{-1}$ error	Mass error	Status
BCC	8	1836	< 0.001 ppb	< 0.03 ppb	Passes all
SC	6	819	9.9 ppb	-55.4%	Fails mass
FCC	12	5850	142.7 ppb (6.8 $\sigma$ )	+218.6%	Fails both

SC's  $\alpha^{-1}$  closeness is explained by  $\langle D^2 \rangle = 2d$  universality for cubic lattices (first two loop corrections identical). Discrimination enters at three loops via spectral variance and, decisively, through  $\mu(n)$ : no perturbative correction bridges SC's 55% mass deficit.

## 7. Questions for the Reader

- (a) Is there a trivial or known reason why polynomials of 8 produce integers close to 137 and 1836?
- (b) Does  $g^2 = 1/2$ ,  $N_D = 4$  on BCC correspond to a recognized lattice action?
- (c) The proton vertex denominator is  $n/2$  and the VP denominator is  $2n$ . Does this  $n$ -dependence have precedent in lattice perturbation theory?
- (d) The proton's structural parameter is  $n$  (coordination); the muon's is  $d$  (dimension). Does this topological/geometric distinction correspond to anything in defect field theory?
- (e) Is the SC/BCC near-degeneracy for  $\alpha^{-1}$  (via  $\langle D^2 \rangle = 2d$ ) interesting or expected?

I would be grateful for any feedback, including identification of a trivial explanation. Full paper ( $\text{L}\ddot{\text{A}}\text{T}\text{E}\text{X}$ ) available on request.

---

## Appendix: Verification Code (Python)

```
import numpy as np
def tau(n): return n*(2*n+1)+1
def sigma(n): return n*(2*n+1)
def mu(n): return 1.5*sigma(n)*(n+1)
N = 8; d = 3
TAU, SIGMA, RHO, MU = tau(N), sigma(N), N+1, mu(N)
c1 = np.pi**2 / 2
c4 = (2*RHO/(2*N+1)) * np.pi**3
B = TAU + c1/TAU - 1/(2*TAU**2) - 1/((N-1)*TAU**3) + c4/TAU**4
alpha_inv = B + 1/((N+2)*B**2); alpha = 1/alpha_inv
mass = MU*(1+2*(2*N+1)*np.pi*alpha**3) \
    + (1+1/(2*N))*np.pi**2*alpha*(1-alpha**2) - alpha**2
muon = (d/2)*(TAU+np.pi*alpha*(1-alpha**2)) \
    + c1/4 + (d/2)*np.pi*SIGMA*alpha**3 - alpha**2
print(f"alpha^-1 = {alpha_inv:.12f}") # 137.035999177
print(f"m_p/m_e = {mass:.9f}") # 1836.152673485
print(f"m_mu/m_e = {muon:.10f}") # 206.7682824754
```

---

Contact: [alan.javier.garcia@gmail.com](mailto:alan.javier.garcia@gmail.com) — Full paper and working notes available on request