TTK4215 System Identification and Adaptive Control Solution 2

Prediction-Error Methods – The Least-Squares Method

Problem 1 (Optimal Predictions)

a) The prediction error is

$$\varepsilon(t) = -ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + e(t) + ce(t-1) - \hat{y}(t). \tag{1}$$

The variance of the prediction error is

$$Var(\varepsilon) = E\varepsilon^{2}(t) - [E\varepsilon(t)]^{2}$$

$$= E(-ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + e(t) + ce(t-1) - \hat{y}(t))^{2} - [E\varepsilon(t)]^{2}$$

$$= E(-ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + ce(t-1) - \hat{y}(t))^{2}$$

$$+2E(-ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + ce(t-1) - \hat{y}(t)) e(t)$$

$$+Ee^{2}(t) - [E\varepsilon(t)]^{2}.$$
(2)

Since e(t) is white noise, it is independent of all the other signals, so that

$$E(-ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + ce(t-1) - \hat{y}(t)) e(t) = 0.$$
(3)

We get

$$Var(\varepsilon) = E(-ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + ce(t-1) - \hat{y}(t))^{2} + \lambda - [E\varepsilon(t)]^{2}$$

$$= E(-ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + ce(t-1) - \hat{y}(t))^{2} + \lambda$$

$$-[E\varepsilon(t) - Ee(t)]^{2}$$

$$= E(-ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + ce(t-1) - \hat{y}(t))^{2} + \lambda$$

$$-[E(-ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + ce(t-1) - \hat{y}(t))]^{2}$$

$$= Var(-ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + ce(t-1) - \hat{y}(t)) + \lambda.$$
(4)

Since all variances are positive, that is $Var\left(-ay\left(t-1\right)+bu\left(t-1\right)+ce\left(t-1\right)-\hat{y}\left(t\right)\right)\geq0$, it follows that

$$Var(\varepsilon) \ge \lambda.$$
 (5)

b) From (4) and (5) it is clear that

$$\hat{y}(t) = -ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + ce(t-1)$$
(6)

constitutes an optimal predictor, since it gives equality in (5). However, it is not implementable, due to the term involving e(t-1). However, if we use (34) from the problem set, we may write

$$e(t-1) = y(t-1) + ay(t-2) - bu(t-2) - ce(t-2),$$
 (7)

which substituted into (6) gives

$$\hat{y}(t) = -ay(t-1) + bu(t-1) + c(y(t-1) + ay(t-2) - bu(t-2) - ce(t-2))$$

$$= -c[-ay(t-2) + bu(t-2) + ce(t-2)] + (c-a)y(t-1) + bu(t-1).$$

Noticing that the expression within the brackets in the above equation is $\hat{y}(t-1)$, we obtain

$$\hat{y}(t) = -c\hat{y}(t-1) + (c-a)y(t-1) + bu(t-1),$$

as an alternative way of expressing the optimal predictor (6).

c) Applying the backward shift operator, we have that

$$\hat{y}(t) = -cq^{-1}\hat{y}(t) + bq^{-1}u(t) + (c-a)q^{-1}y(t).$$
(8)

Thus, we get

$$(1 + cq^{-1})\hat{y}(t) = bq^{-1}u(t) + (c - a)q^{-1}y(t).$$
(9)

SO

$$\hat{y}(t) = \frac{bq^{-1}}{1 + cq^{-1}}u(t) + \frac{(c - a)q^{-1}}{1 + cq^{-1}}y(t).$$
(10)

d)

$$\hat{y}(t) = y(t) - e(t) = G(q)u(t) + H(q)e(t) - e(t).$$
(11)

Since the noise model is invertible, we can write

$$e(t) = H^{-1}(q)(y(t) - G(q)u(t)).$$
 (12)

Substituting (12) into (11) gives

$$\hat{y}(t) = G(q)u(t) + (H(q) - I)H^{-1}(q)(y(t) - G(q)u(t))
= G(q)u(t) + (I - H^{-1}(q))(y(t) - G(q)u(t))
= G(q)u(t) + (I - H^{-1}(q))y(t) - G(q)u(t) + H^{-1}(q)G(q)u(t)
= H^{-1}(q)G(q)u(t) + (I - H^{-1}(q))y(t).$$
(13)

Problem 2 (Least-Squares Method)

a) The problem is quadratic in θ , so the global optimum is found easily found by differentiating with respect to θ to obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left(y\left(t\right) - \varphi^{T}\left(t\right)\theta \right)^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} -\varphi\left(t\right) \left(y\left(t\right) - \varphi^{T}\left(t\right)\theta \right) \\
= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left(\varphi\left(t\right) y\left(t\right) - \varphi\left(t\right)\varphi^{T}\left(t\right)\theta \right). \tag{14}$$

and setting the result equal to zero

$$-\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\left(\varphi\left(t\right)y\left(t\right)-\varphi\left(t\right)\varphi^{T}\left(t\right)\theta\right)=0.$$

This gives

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \varphi(t) \varphi^{T}(t) \theta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \varphi(t) y(t).$$
(15)

Provided

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \varphi(t) \varphi^{T}(t) \tag{16}$$

is invertible, we get

$$\hat{\theta}_{N}^{LS} = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \varphi(t) \varphi^{T}(t)\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \varphi(t) y(t). \tag{17}$$

The regression vector $\varphi(t)$ needs to be sufficiently varied as a function of time to make the matrix (16) invertible. Typically, this is obtained by applying a sufficiently rich input signal u(t).

b) We have

$$(1 + a_1q^{-1} + a_2q^{-2})\hat{y}(t) = (b_1q^{-1} + b_2q^{-2} + b_3q^{-3})u(t) + v(t),$$
(18)

SO

$$y(t) = -a_1 y(t-1) - a_2 y(t-2) + b_1 u(t-1) + b_2 u(t-2) + b_3 u(t-3) + v(t).$$
 (19)

So, defining

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -y(t-1) & -y(t-2) & u(t-1) & u(t-2) & u(t-3) \end{bmatrix}^T,$$
 (20)

$$\theta = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{bmatrix}^T, \tag{21}$$

provides the desired form $\hat{y}(t) = \varphi^{T}(t)\theta$. The following MATLAB code generates the data, and solves this assignment (you were not supposed to generate data, of course).

```
% Set true parameters
a=[0.5;0.2];
b=[0.3;-0.2;0.1];

% Generate noise, and input signal.
e=random('Normal',0,1,10000,1);
U=random('Normal',0,1,10000,1);

% Set previous data (u and y).
prevu=[0;0;0];
prevy=[0;0];
N=length(e);
Y=zeros(N,1);
```

```
% Generate output.
for t=1:N,
% Compute current y
   y=-a'*prevy+b'*prevu+e(t);
% Store it.
  Y(t)=y;
% Set previous data:
  prevu=[U(t);prevu(1:2)];
   prevy=[y;prevy(1)];
end
% Store data
save Data_Assignment2 U Y;
%Compute LS-estimate from U and Y.
% Initialize the sums of the LS equation
SUM1=zeros(5,5);
SUM2=zeros(5,1);
% Initilize storage
THETA=[];
% Time loop.
for t=1:N,
    % Regressor
    if (t==1)
       phi=[0;0;0;0;0];
    elseif(t==2)
       phi=[-Y(1);0;U(1);0;0];
    elseif(t==3)
       phi=[-Y(2);-Y(1);U(2);U(1);0];
    else
       phi=[-Y(t-1);-Y(t-2);U(t-1);U(t-2);U(t-3)];
    end
    % Compute sums
    SUM1=SUM1+phi*phi';
    SUM2=SUM2+phi*Y(t);
    % If j is larger or equal to 10, compute and store estimate.
    if (t>=10)
       theta=inv(SUM1/t)*SUM2/t;
       THETA=[THETA,theta];
    end
end
```

% Plot estimate as function of N.
plot(THETA');

% Display the final estimate
theta

c) In the multivariable case we have

$$V_{N}\left(\theta, \mathbb{Z}^{N}\right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left(y\left(t\right) - \varphi^{T}\left(t\right)\theta\right)^{T} \Lambda^{-1}\left(y\left(t\right) - \varphi^{T}\left(t\right)\theta\right) / 2. \tag{22}$$

As above, we differentiate with respect to θ , and set the result equal to 0. We get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} V_{N} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} -\frac{1}{2} \left(y(t) - \varphi^{T}(t) \theta \right)^{T} \Lambda^{-1} \varphi^{T}(t) - \left(\frac{1}{2} \varphi(t) \Lambda^{-1} \left(y(t) - \varphi^{T}(t) \theta \right) \right)^{T}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left(\varphi(t) \Lambda^{-1} \left(y(t) - \varphi^{T}(t) \theta \right) \right)^{T}, \tag{23}$$

SO

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left(\varphi\left(t\right) \Lambda^{-1} \left(y\left(t\right) - \varphi^{T}\left(t\right) \theta \right) \right) = 0$$
(24)

which gives

$$\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi\left(t\right)\Lambda^{-1}\varphi^{T}\left(t\right)\right)\theta = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi\left(t\right)\Lambda^{-1}y\left(t\right).$$
(25)

Provided the matrix in front θ above is invertible, we obtain

$$\hat{\theta}_{LS}^{N} = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \varphi(t) \Lambda^{-1} \varphi^{T}(t)\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \varphi(t) \Lambda^{-1} y(t). \tag{26}$$

d) In the case of measurement weighting, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} V_N = \sum_{t=1}^{N} 2\beta (N, t) \varphi (t) (y (t) - \varphi^T (t) \theta), \qquad (27)$$

so we get

$$\sum_{t=1}^{N} \beta(N, t) \varphi(t) \varphi^{T}(t) \theta = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \beta(N, t) \varphi(t) y(t).$$
(28)

Thus, provided the matrix in front of θ is invertible, we get

$$\hat{\theta}_{N}^{WLS} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^{N} \beta(N, t) \varphi(t) \varphi^{T}(t)\right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \beta(N, t) \varphi(t) y(t).$$
(29)

e) We have

$$\tilde{\theta}_{N} = \hat{\theta}_{N}^{LS} - \theta^{*}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi(t)\varphi^{T}(t)\right)^{-1}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi(t)y(t) - \theta^{*}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi(t)\varphi^{T}(t)\right)^{-1}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi(t)\left(\varphi^{T}(t)\theta^{*} + v_{0}(t)\right) - \theta^{*}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi(t)\varphi^{T}(t)\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi(t)\varphi^{T}(t)\right)\theta^{*} - \theta^{*}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi(t)\varphi^{T}(t)\right)^{-1}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi(t)v_{0}(t)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi(t)\varphi^{T}(t)\right)^{-1}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N}\varphi(t)v_{0}(t). \tag{30}$$

f) We have

$$R^* = \bar{E}\varphi(t)\varphi^T(t) = \bar{E}\begin{bmatrix} y^2(t-1) & -y(t-1)u(t-1) \\ -y(t-1)u(t-1) & u^2(t-1) \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (31)

Since y(t-1) is independent of u(t-1), the off-diagonal terms in (31) are zero. Also, $\bar{E}u^{2}(t-1) = \bar{E}u^{2}(t) = 1$. For the last term, we have

$$\bar{E}y^2(t-1) = \bar{E}y^2(t) \tag{32}$$

since y(t) is stationary since u and v are. We have

$$\bar{E}y^{2}(t) = \bar{E}(-a_{0}y(t-1) + b_{0}u(t-1) + e_{0}(t) + c_{0}e(t-1))^{2}$$
(33)

$$= a_0^2 \bar{E}y^2 (t-1) + b_0^2 \bar{E}u^2 (t-1) + \bar{E}e_0^2 (t) + c_0^2 \bar{E}e_0^2 (t-1)$$
(34)

$$-2a_{0}b_{0}\bar{E}y(t-1)u(t-1) - 2a_{0}\bar{E}y(t-1)e_{0}(t)$$
(35)

$$-2a_{0}c_{0}\bar{E}y(t-1)e_{0}(t-1)+2b_{0}\bar{E}u(t-1)e_{0}(t)$$
(36)

$$+2b_{0}c_{0}\bar{E}u(t-1)e_{0}(t-1)+2c_{0}\bar{E}e_{0}(t)e_{0}(t-1).$$
(37)

Due to the fact that u(t-1) and $e_0(t)$ are independent of the other signals, we obtain

$$\bar{E}y^2(t) = a_0^2 \bar{E}y^2(t-1) + b_0^2 + 1 + c_0^2$$
 (38)

$$-2a_0c_0\bar{E}y(t-1)e_0(t-1). (39)$$

Due to (32) and

$$\bar{E}y(t-1)e_0(t-1) = \bar{E}(-a_0y(t-2) + b_0u(t-2) + e_0(t-1) + c_0e_0(t-2))e_0(t-1) = 1,$$
(40)

we get

$$\bar{E}y^{2}(t) = a_{0}^{2}\bar{E}y^{2}(t) + b_{0}^{2} + 1 + c_{0}^{2} - 2a_{0}c_{0}.$$
(41)

Solving for $\bar{E}y^{2}(t)$, we get

$$\bar{E}y^{2}(t) = \frac{b_{0}^{2} + c_{0}^{2} - 2a_{0}c_{0} + 1}{1 - a_{0}^{2}}.$$
(42)

So, we get

$$(R^*)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1 - a_0^2}{b_0^2 + c_0^2 - 2a_0c_0 + 1} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (43)

For f^* , we get

$$f^{*} = \bar{E} \begin{bmatrix} -y(t-1)(e_{0}(t) + c_{0}e_{0}(t-1)) \\ u(t-1)(e_{0}(t) + c_{0}e_{0}(t-1)) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \bar{E} \begin{bmatrix} -c_{0}y(t-1)e_{0}(t-1) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -c_{0} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(44)

Finally, we obtain

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \tilde{\theta}_N = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1 - a_0^2}{b_0^2 + c_0^2 - 2a_0c_0 + 1} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -c_0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{(1 - a_0^2)c_0}{b_0^2 + c_0^2 - 2a_0c_0 + 1}\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{45}$$