# CS270: Advanced Operating Systems Course Project on File System Implementation

Gareth George Thomas Schibler Nazmus Saquib

Graduate Students Department of Computer Science University of California Santa Barbara

December 2, 2018



### Outline

- Introduction
- 2 Architecture
- Beyond Basics
- 4 Challenges
- Derformance Benchmark
- 6 Conclusion
- Questions

- Iterative approach to development
- Encapsulation
- Testable
  - object-oriented design
  - unit test for each object
  - integration test

- Iterative approach to development
- Encapsulation
- Testable
  - object-oriented design
  - unit test for each object
  - integration test

- Iterative approach to development
- Encapsulation
- Testable
  - object-oriented design
  - unit test for each object
  - integration test

- Iterative approach to development
- Encapsulation
- Testable
  - object-oriented design
  - unit test for each object
  - integration test

- Iterative approach to development
- Encapsulation
- Testable
  - object-oriented design
  - unit test for each object
  - integration test

- Iterative approach to development
- Encapsulation
- Testable
  - object-oriented design
  - unit test for each object
  - integration test

#### General data structures

- superblock
- inode table
- segment manager (LFS)

#### Memory mapped files

- performance gain at the cost of reliability
- acceptable for high performance system
- can be seen in Mach

- General data structures
  - superblock
  - inode table
  - segment manager (LFS)
- Memory mapped files
  - performance gain at the cost of reliability
  - acceptable for high performance system
  - can be seen in Mach

- General data structures
  - superblock
  - inode table
  - segment manager (LFS)
- Memory mapped files
  - performance gain at the cost of reliability
  - acceptable for high performance system
  - can be seen in Mach

- General data structures
  - superblock
  - inode table
  - segment manager (LFS)
- Memory mapped files
  - performance gain at the cost of reliability
  - acceptable for high performance system
  - can be seen in Mach

- General data structures
  - superblock
  - inode table
  - segment manager (LFS)
- Memory mapped files
  - performance gain at the cost of reliability
  - acceptable for high performance system
  - can be seen in Mach

- General data structures
  - superblock
  - inode table
  - segment manager (LFS)
- Memory mapped files
  - performance gain at the cost of reliability
  - acceptable for high performance system
  - can be seen in Mach

- General data structures
  - superblock
  - inode table
  - segment manager (LFS)
- Memory mapped files
  - performance gain at the cost of reliability
  - acceptable for high performance system
  - can be seen in Mach

- General data structures
  - superblock
  - inode table
  - segment manager (LFS)
- Memory mapped files
  - performance gain at the cost of reliability
  - acceptable for high performance system
  - can be seen in Mach

### Introduction: Memory Mapped Files

- + Efficient paging as kernel handles it
- Lesser direct control
- Restricts fine-grained control over writes

# Introduction: Memory Mapped Files

- + Efficient paging as kernel handles it
- Lesser direct control
- Restricts fine-grained control over writes

# Introduction: Memory Mapped Files

- + Efficient paging as kernel handles it
- Lesser direct control
- Restricts fine-grained control over writes

### Outline

- Introduction
- 2 Architecture
- Beyond Basics
- 4 Challenges
- 5 Performance Benchmark
- 6 Conclusion
- Questions

### Superblock

- holds references to all other file system data structures
- initializes
  - the inode table
  - the segment controller

- closely resembles inodes from "A Fast File System for UNIX"
- each inode contains 8 direct, 1 indirect, 1 double indirect, and 1 triple indirect blocks
- additionally complicated by the need for an algorithm that allows moving both data blocks and indirect pages belonging to an inodeen

#### Superblock

- holds references to all other file system data structures
- initializes
  - the inode table
  - the segment controller

- closely resembles inodes from "A Fast File System for UNIX"
- each inode contains 8 direct, 1 indirect, 1 double indirect, and 1 triple indirect blocks
- additionally complicated by the need for an algorithm that allows moving both data blocks and indirect pages belonging to an inode

#### Superblock

- holds references to all other file system data structures
- initializes
  - the inode table
  - the segment controller

- closely resembles inodes from "A Fast File System for UNIX".
- each inode contains 8 direct, 1 indirect, 1 double indirect, and 1 triple indirect blocks
- additionally complicated by the need for an algorithm that allows moving both data blocks and indirect pages belonging to an inode

#### Superblock

- holds references to all other file system data structures
- initializes
  - the inode table
  - the segment controller

- closely resembles inodes from "A Fast File System for UNIX".
- each inode contains 8 direct, 1 indirect, 1 double indirect, and 1 triple indirect blocks
- additionally complicated by the need for an algorithm that allows moving both data blocks and indirect pages belonging to an inode

#### Superblock

- holds references to all other file system data structures
- initializes
  - the inode table
  - the segment controller

- closely resembles inodes from "A Fast File System for UNIX"
- each inode contains 8 direct, 1 indirect, 1 double indirect, and 1 triple indirect blocks
- additionally complicated by the need for an algorithm that allows moving both data blocks and indirect pages belonging to an inode

#### Superblock

- holds references to all other file system data structures
- initializes
  - the inode table
  - the segment controller

- closely resembles inodes from "A Fast File System for UNIX"
- each inode contains 8 direct, 1 indirect, 1 double indirect, and 1 triple indirect blocks
- additionally complicated by the need for an algorithm that allows moving both data blocks and indirect pages belonging to an inode

#### Superblock

- holds references to all other file system data structures
- initializes
  - the inode table
  - the segment controller

- closely resembles inodes from "A Fast File System for UNIX"
- each inode contains 8 direct, 1 indirect, 1 double indirect, and 1 triple indirect blocks
- additionally complicated by the need for an algorithm that allows moving both data blocks and indirect pages belonging to an inode

#### Superblock

- holds references to all other file system data structures
- initializes
  - the inode table
  - the segment controller

- closely resembles inodes from "A Fast File System for UNIX"
- each inode contains 8 direct, 1 indirect, 1 double indirect, and 1 triple indirect blocks
- additionally complicated by the need for an algorithm that allows moving both data blocks and indirect pages belonging to an inode

#### Superblock

- holds references to all other file system data structures
- initializes
  - the inode table
  - the segment controller

- closely resembles inodes from "A Fast File System for UNIX"
- each inode contains 8 direct, 1 indirect, 1 double indirect, and 1 triple indirect blocks
- additionally complicated by the need for an algorithm that allows moving both data blocks and indirect pages belonging to an inode

### Outline

- Introduction
- 2 Architecture
- Beyond Basics
- 4 Challenges
- Derformance Benchmark
- 6 Conclusion
- Questions

### Segment controller

- heavy lifting data structure
- manages a pool of segments
- tracks segment utilization for efficient GC

#### Segment

- each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
- keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
- finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly, however

- + LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
- $+\,\,$  Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
- Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
- Introduces costly GC operation

#### Segment controller

- heavy lifting data structure
- manages a pool of segments
- tracks segment utilization for efficient GC

#### Segment

- each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
- keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
- finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly however

- + LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
- + Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
- Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
- Introduces costly GC operation

- Segment controller
  - heavy lifting data structure
  - manages a pool of segments
  - tracks segment utilization for efficient GC

#### Segment

- each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
- keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
- finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly however

- LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
- + Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
- Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
- Introduces costly GC operation

- Segment controller
  - heavy lifting data structure
  - manages a pool of segments
  - tracks segment utilization for efficient GC
- Segment
  - each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
  - keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
  - finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly, however
- Experiences with LFS
  - + LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
  - + Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
  - Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy
  - Introduces costly GC operation

#### Segment controller

- heavy lifting data structure
- manages a pool of segments
- tracks segment utilization for efficient GC

#### Segment

- each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
- keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
- finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly, however

- + LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
- + Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
- Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
- Introduces costly GC operation

- Segment controller
  - heavy lifting data structure
  - manages a pool of segments
  - tracks segment utilization for efficient GC

#### Segment

- each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
- keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
- finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly however

- LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
- + Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
  - Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
- Introduces costly GC operation

- Segment controller
  - heavy lifting data structure
  - manages a pool of segments
  - tracks segment utilization for efficient GC
- Segment
  - each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
  - keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
  - finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly, however
- Experiences with LFS
  - $+\,$  LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
  - + Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
    - Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
    - Introduces costly GC operation

- Segment controller
  - heavy lifting data structure
  - manages a pool of segments
  - tracks segment utilization for efficient GC
- Segment
  - each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
  - keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
  - finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly, however
- Experiences with LFS
  - LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
     Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
     Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
  - Introduces costly GC operation

- Segment controller
  - heavy lifting data structure
  - manages a pool of segments
  - tracks segment utilization for efficient GC
- Segment
  - each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
  - keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
  - finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly, however
- Experiences with LFS
  - LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
  - $+\,\,$  Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
  - Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
  - Introduces costly GC operation

- Segment controller
  - heavy lifting data structure
  - manages a pool of segments
  - tracks segment utilization for efficient GC
- Segment
  - each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
  - keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
  - finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly, however
- Experiences with LFS
  - + LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
  - $+\,\,$  Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
  - Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
  - Introduces costly GC operation

- Segment controller
  - heavy lifting data structure
  - manages a pool of segments
  - tracks segment utilization for efficient GC
- Segment
  - each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
  - keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
  - finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly, however
- Experiences with LFS
  - + LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
  - + Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
  - Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
  - Introduces costly GC operation

- Segment controller
  - heavy lifting data structure
  - manages a pool of segments
  - tracks segment utilization for efficient GC
- Segment
  - each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
  - keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
  - finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly, however
- Experiences with LFS
  - + LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
  - + Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
  - Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
  - Introduces costly GC operation

- Segment controller
  - heavy lifting data structure
  - manages a pool of segments
  - tracks segment utilization for efficient GC
- Segment
  - each segment maps its data blocks to the inodes that own them
  - keeps a write head that allows for constant time chunk allocation
  - finding and garbage collecting low utilization chunks can be costly, however
- Experiences with LFS
  - + LFS dramatically decreased time to allocate chunks
  - + Faster sequential writes due to constant time chunk allocation
  - Slower updates due to poor utilization of page cache when doing copy on write for updates
  - Introduces costly GC operation

- Introduction
- 2 Architecture
- Beyond Basics
- 4 Challenges
- Derformance Benchmark
- 6 Conclusion
- Questions

- Memory mapped interface
- Dropped pointer
- Off by one

- + proper exception handling
- + proper memory management

- Memory mapped interface
- Dropped pointer
- Off by one

Language choice: 
$$C++11$$

- + proper exception handling
- + proper memory management

- Memory mapped interface
- Dropped pointer
- Off by one

- + proper exception handling
- + proper memory management

- Memory mapped interface
- Dropped pointer
- Off by one

- + proper exception handling
- + proper memory management

- Memory mapped interface
- Dropped pointer
- Off by one

- + proper exception handling
- + proper memory management

- Introduction
- 2 Architecture
- Beyond Basics
- 4 Challenges
- 5 Performance Benchmark
- 6 Conclusion
- Questions

#### Performance Benchmark

- Synchronous memory mapped
- Asynchronous memory mapped
- Non-memory mapped

- Introduction
- 2 Architecture
- Beyond Basics
- 4 Challenges
- Derformance Benchmark
- **6** Conclusion
- Questions

#### Conclusion

- Introduction
- 2 Architecture
- Beyond Basics
- 4 Challenges
- Derformance Benchmark
- 6 Conclusion
- Questions

# **Questions?**