Examination – November 2, 2022

CS 787 – Decision Guidance Systems – Professor Alex Brodsky

Consider the posted folder **cs787_ha3_and_exam_sn_template** folder. Make sure that Pyomo package is installed:

https://pyomo.readthedocs.io/en/stable/index.html

The final exam is an extension of HA3, including an extension of the analytic model **am** in the module **ams.py** (in the **solution** sub-folder) for general hierarchical service networks. Examples of input and output of are given under the **example input output** folder

Problem 1

Instructions

Under the subfolder **solution**, duplicate the Python module **ams_extended_template.py** into **ams_extended.py**

Implement the required analytic models (see below) by filling out ams_extended.py template. Example inputs and corresponding outputs are given in the folder example_input_output. To run the implemented functions in Problem 1 below, use solution/main_extended.py

- a. In terminal, make the downloaded folder your current folder
- b. Run **main_extended.py** in Python w/ stdin being the model input stdout being the model output.

Example:

python solution/main_extended.py < example_input_output/sn_extended_in.json >
answers/out.json

to get answers in **out.json** which you can compare with the correct answer, e.g., in **example_input_output/sn_extended_out.json**

Questions

Make sure that the following analytic models are implemented as Python functions in the module **ams_extended.py** under the sub-folder **solution**

You may use your solutions from HA3 and/or update them.

1. flowBoundConstraint(flowBounds, flow)

- **a.** example **flowBounds**: see in **sn_extended_in.json** under inFlow or outFlow of services
- **b.** example **flow**: see in **sn extended out.json** under inFlow or outFlow of services
- c. this function now handles optional upper bounds "ub" in addition to "lb" in inFlow or outFlow of input (like sn_extended_in.json)

- d. this function returns true if, for all flow ids f in flow
 - i. qty >= 0
 - ii. if there's a lower bound for f in flowBounds, qty >= lb
 - iii. if there's an upper bound for f in flowBounds, qty <= ub and returns false otherwise.
- e. Note: must use dgal.all for aggregating constraints (Boolean and)

2. supplierMetrics(input)

- a. example input: see in sn extended in.json under "sup1" or "sup2" service
- **b.** example output: see in **sn_extended_out.json** under "sup1" or "sup2" service
- c. cost in the output structure is the total cost of procurement from the supplier in the input based on ppu (price-per-unit) and purchased qty.
- **d. co2** in the output structure is the total co2 emissions associated with procurement from the supplier based on **co2pu** (co2-per-unit) and qty of sold items
- **e. constraints** in the output structure involve **boundConstraints** using the function flowBoundConstrains, and **activeServiceConstraint** given in the template which is based on **totalQtyOut** total qty in outFlow of the supplier

3. manufMetrics(input)

- a. example input: see in sn extended_in.json under "manuf1" or "manuf2" service
- **b.** example output: see in **sn_extended_out.json** under "manuf1" or "manuf2" service
- c. cost in the output structure is the total cost of manufacturer in the input computed based on ppu (price-per-unit) and ordered qty, for all ordered products, which are represented as keys in outFlow
- **d. co2** in the output structure is the total co2 of manufacturer in the **input** computed based on **co2pu** (co2-per-unit) and ordered **qty**, for all ordered products, which are represented as keys in **outFlow**
- e. qty of each key in inFlow is computed based on qty's of ordered items in input["outFlow"] and on input["qtyInPer1out"]. For example, if input["outFlow"]["table"] = 100, and input["qtyInPer1out"]["table"]["table_leg"] = 4, then output["inFlow"]["table_leg"] should be 100 * 4 = 400.
- f. constraints in the output structure involve boundConstraints for inFlow and outFlow in the output using the function flowBoundConstrains, and activeServiceConstraint given in the template which is based on totalQtyOut total qty in outFlow of the manufacturer

4. transportMetrics(input,shared)

- **a.** example input: see in **sn** extended in.json under "transp1" or "transp2" service
- **b.** example output: see in **sn_extended_out.json** under "transp1" or "transp2" service
- c. input["orders"] contains transportation orders. Each order is described using (in, out, sender, recipient, qty) where in and out indicate item/loc being sent and received; sender and recipient are business entities (described in shared), which have an associated location.

- d. Cost in the output is computed as follows. For every pair of (source, destination) locations, compute the total weight of all shipments (in orders). Then, the cost for a (source, destination) is total weight times price-per-lb (in input["pplbFromTo"]) Finally, the total cost is aggregation of cost for all (source, destination) pairs.
- e. Co2 in the output is computed similar to cost as follows. For every pair of (source, destination) locations, compute the total weight of all shipments (in orders). Then, the co2 for a (source, destination) is total weight times co2perlb (in input["co2perlbFromTo"]) Finally, the total co2 is aggregation of cost for all (source, destination) pairs.
- **f. inFlow** and **outFlow** quantities in the output, for every item/loc key, is computed from the corresponding orders
- g. constraints in the output structure involve boundConstraints for inFlow and outFlow in the output using the function flowBoundConstrains, and activeServiceConstraint given in the template which is based on totalOrderQty the total qty shipped in all orders
- **5. am(input)** by implementing the function **compute_metrics(shared,root,services)** for general service network, extended as follows.
 - a. example input: sn_extended_in.json
 - b. example output: sn sn extended out.json
 - **c. shared, root, services** have the structure of the corresponding parts in **input** to the function **am** (see input example)
 - **d. cost** in **compute_metrics** is computed by aggregating costs over all **subServices** of the **root** service
 - e. co2 in compute_metrics is computed by aggregating co2 over all subServices of the root service
 - f. inOutFlowKeysSet is the set union of inFlow and outFlow keys of the root service
 - **g. flowKeysSet** is the set of all flows from inFlow and outFlow of the root service, as well as from inFlow and outFlow of all subServices of the root service
 - h. **supply** in the computation of **subServicesFlowSupply**, for flow **f** in **flowKeysSet**, is the total quantity of flow **f** coming from all **subServices** in their **outFlow**.
 - i. **demand** in the computation of **subServicesFlowDemand**, for flow **f** in **flowKeysSet**, is the total quantity of flow **f** coming into all **subServices** in their **inFlow**
 - **j. qty** in the computation of **newInFlow**, for flow **f** in input **inFlow**, is the difference between the **demand** and **supply** of flow **f**
 - **k. qty** in the computation of **newOutFlow**, for flow **f** in input **outFlow**, is the difference between the **supply** and **demand** of flow **f**
 - the constraint computed (to replace placeholder True in the template) for flow f
 must reflect the balance between supply and demand of flow f
 - m. the overall constraints in the return structure are the conjunction of the constraints internalSupplySatisfiesDemand, inFlowConstraints, outFlowConstraints, subServiceConstraints and new constraints:

- activeServiceConstraint given in the template and based on the number of active sub-services (i.e., with on flag being 1) in var noActiveSubServices that needs to be computed
- ii. activeSubServicesBound that hat is True if and only if
 - 1. there's no "maxActiveSubServices" key under root service, or
 - the number of active sub-services is bounded by maxActiveSubServices (value corresponding to this key under the root service)

Problem 2

Questions

Run the following:

- 1. To optimize the service network, with input captured in example_input_output/sn_extended_in_var.json,
- 2. run: python solution/optSN_extended.py

You can compare the results of your optimization with the results given under the folder **answers_correct**

Optionally, play with constants in constraints and in the model input, such as prices, so that you can predict the optimal values that optimization should choose. See if this is indeed the case. If not, try to debug the model etc.

To submit

Upload the file ams_extended.py Nothing else!