BITMAP INDEXES

CS 564- Fall 2021

WHAT IS THIS LECTURE ABOUT?

- Bitmap Indexes
- Bitslice Indexes

MOTIVATION

Consider the following table:

```
CREATE TABLE Tweets (
   uniqueMsgID INTEGER, -- unique message id
   tstamp TIMESTAMP, -- when was the tweet posted
   uid INTEGER, -- unique id of the user
   msg VARCHAR (140), -- the actual message
   zip INTEGER, -- zipcode when posted
   retweet BOOLEAN -- retweeted?
  );
```

How can we speed up the following query?

```
SELECT * FROM Tweets
WHERE zip BETWEEN 53000 AND 54999 ;
```

B+ tree on attribute zip

MOTIVATION

Consider the following table:

```
CREATE TABLE Tweets (
   uniqueMsgID INTEGER, -- unique message id
   tstamp TIMESTAMP, -- when was the tweet posted
   uid INTEGER, -- unique id of the user
   msg VARCHAR (140), -- the actual message
   zip INTEGER, -- zipcode when posted
   retweet BOOLEAN -- retweeted?
  );
```

How many bytes does a B+ tree use for each record?

- at least key + rid, so: key-size + rid-size
 Can we do better than that (in terms of storage overhead)?
- yes! especially when the attribute domain is small

BITMAP INDEX

THE BITMAP INDEX

- Consider building an index to answer *equality* queries on the retweet attribute
- Issues with building a B+ tree:
 - three distinct values: yes, no, NULL
 - many duplicates for each distinct value
 - a weird B+ tree with three long rid lists
- bitmap index: build three bitmap arrays (stored on disk), one for each value
 - the ith bit in each bitmap corresponds to the ith tuple (we need to map the ith position to a rid!)

BITMAP: EXAMPLE

table (stored in heapfile)

uniqueMsgID	 zip	retweet
1	 11324	yes
2	 53705	yes
3	 53706	no
4	 53705	NULL
5	 90210	no
1,000,000,000	 53705	yes

bitmap index (on retweet)

yes	no
1	0
1	0
0	1
0	0
0	1
1	0

null
0
0
0
1
0
0

SELECT * FROM Tweets WHERE retweet = "no";

- scan the "no" bitmap file
- for each bit set to 1, compute the tuple rid
- fetch the tuple

A CRITICAL ISSUE

- We need an efficient way to compute a bit position:
 - layout the bitmap in page-id order
- We need an efficient way to map a bit position to a rid:
 - fix the # records per page in the heapfile
 - lay the pages out so that page-ids are sequential and increasing
 - then construct rid (page-id, slot#)
 - page-id = bit-position / #records-per-page
 - slot# = bit-position % #records-per-page

With variable length records, we have to set the limit based on the size of the largest record, which may result in under-filled pages!

BITMAP: OTHER QUERIES

table (stored in heapfile)

uniqueMsgID	 zip	retweet
1	 11324	yes
2	 53705	yes
3	 53706	no
4	 53705	NULL
5	 90210	no
1,000,000,000	 53705	yes

bitmap index (on retweet)

yes	no
1	0
1	0
0	1
0	0
0	1
1	0

null	
0	
0	
0	
1	
0	
0	

```
SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Tweets WHERE retweet = "no";
SELECT * FROM Tweets WHERE retweet IS NOT NULL;
```

STORING A BITMAP INDEX

- One bitmap for each value, and one for NULL
- to store each bitmap, use one file for each
- Bitmaps can be compressed!

index size = #tuples * (domain size + 1) bits

When is a bitmap more space efficient than a B+ tree? #distinct values < data entry size in the B+ tree

BITSLICE INDEX

MOTIVATION

Reconsider the following table:

Building a bitmap index on zip is not a good idea!

BITSLICE INDEX

table (stored in heapfile)

convert to binary

bitslice index

uniqueMsgID	 zip	retweet
1	 11324	yes
2	 53705	yes
3	 53706	no
4	 53705	NULL
5	 90210	no
	 	•••
1,000,000,000	 53705	yes

1 slice per bit slice 16 + (possibly) one more slice for NULL higher bit

slice 16 slice 0 higher bit lower bit

BITSLICE INDEX: QUERIES

•••	zip
	11324
	53705
	53706
	53705
	90210
	53705

0001011000011110	0
0110100011100100	1
0110100011100101	0
0110100011100100	1
1011000000110001	0
.	
0110100011100100	1
0001011101110000	0

```
SELECT * FROM Tweets
WHERE zip <= 12000;</pre>
```

= 12000 in binary

slice 16

slice 0

walk through each slice constructing a result bitmap

- If we look for 0 and have 1, put 0 in the result
- If we look for 1 and have 0, put 1 in the result
- Else we need to consider the next bitslice

OTHER QUERIES

- We can also do aggregates with bitslice indices:
 - e.g. SUM(attr): add bitslice by bitslice
 - count the number of 1s in slice 16 and multiply the count by 2^{16}
 - count the number of 1s in slice 15 and multiply the count by 2^{15}

•

 We can store each slice using methods like what we have for a bitmap (we can compress again!)

BITMAP VS BITSLICE INDEX

- Bitmaps are better for low cardinality domains
- Bitslices are better for high cardinality domains

It is generally easier to "do the math" with bitmap indices