# Quiz (Week 7)

# **Effects and Purity**

### Question 1

Which of the following C functions would be considered *pure*?

```
    1. ✓ sqrt()
    2. ✗ printf()
    3. ✗ rand()
    4. ✓ strcmp()
```

Computing a square root is pure, as the result depends solely on the input to the function. Indeed, the square root is a function in the mathematical sense and therefore is, by definition, pure.

The printf() function is not pure as it performs I/O, a type of effect.

The rand() function is not pure as each time it is evaluated it can return different results. That is, the results are not dependent *solely* on the input arguments.

The strcmp() function is pure as it returns a comparison result based solely on the two input strings, and it doesn't change those strings or any other data in any way. Thus it can be expressed as a mapping from inputs (two strings) to outputs (booleans).

### Question 2

Imagine we had a function adder that added on to a running total each time it was called:

```
*> adder 7
7
*> adder 10
17
*> adder 0
17
```

```
*> adder 7
```

Why is adder impure?

- 1. X It performs I/O
- 2. X It manipulates memory
- 3. ✓ It does not depend solely on its arguments
- 4. X It doesn't indicate effects in its type

The adder function does not perform I/O, it *does* manipulate memory (but so do pure functions), and its type is neither here nor there. The thing that makes it impure is that the expression  $adder \times does$  not always mean the same thing for a given  $\times$ . That is, it depends on some internal state *in addition to* its argument. Thus option 3 is the correct answer.

### Question 3

Which of the following effects is considered an internal effect?

- 1. X Modifying global variables
- 2. X Drawing on the screen
- 3. ✓ Modifying local variables
- 4. ✓ Allocating a data structure
- 5. X Throwing an exception

Modifying global variables can have a non-local influence on other parts of the program, therefore is not internal. Drawing on the screen similarly is not internal as its effect can clearly be observed from outside the function. Modifying local variables is internal as no other part of the program can observe the modification (neither can the user). Allocating data structures is also considered internal (under the common abstraction that we have infinite memory) as such an allocation also cannot be observed externally. Throwing an exception can be observed externally, however (by catching it), and thus is not an internal effect.

### Question 4

What does the type IO Int signify?

1. X An embedded program that may perform side effects before returning an Int

- 2. X A function from the abstract state of the RealWorld to a pair of the RealWorld state and an Int.
- 3. X An effectful computation that produces an Int.
- 4. ✓ All of the above views are valid interpretations

GHC internally models IO a as being the same as a type:

```
IO a =~ RealWorld -> (RealWorld, a)
```

This is a common view of 10 and option 2, but perhaps a more common view of 10 is that it denotes *embedded programs*. For example,

```
getChar :: IO Char
```

Could be viewed as a type representing an (effectful) *program* that will *produce* a char when executed. This helps us to view 10 as a type just like any other, and one that we can pass into and return from functions just like Maybe Int or [Int].

## **IO** and State

### Question 5

Imagine we had the following To based API for manipulating a robot:

```
data Direction = L | R
forward :: IO ()
obstructed :: IO Bool
turn :: Direction -> IO ()
```

We wish to write a program that will move forward unless obstructed, in which case the robot should turn towards the L direction.

Which of the following is a type-correct implementation of the above procedure?

```
    ✓
```

```
robot = do
  sensed <- obstructed
  if sensed</pre>
```

```
then turn L
else forward
robot
```

#### 2. X

```
robot = do
  if obstructed
   then turn L
   else forward
  robot
```

#### 3. X

```
robot = do
let sensed = obstructed
if sensed
  then turn L
  else forward
robot
```

#### 4. X

```
robot = do
  sensed <- obstructed
  if sensed
    then turn L
       robot
  else forward
      robot</pre>
```

Option 1 is correct. Option 2 uses an IO Bool (obstructed) where a Bool is required (in the if). Option 3 uses let to bind sensed to obstructed. That is, sensed now has type IO Bool, which once again is incorrectly used within the if. Option 4 places the robot looping call at the same indentation as turn L and forward, but without the do keyword they do not form a block and so Haskell would parse this as turn L robot which is not well-typed.

### Question 6

Check all of the following programs that are equivalent to the 10 action a:

```
a = do x <- getLine
    putStrLn (filter isDigit x)</pre>
```

а

```
1. ✓ a = getLine >>= putStrLn . filter isDigit >> a
2. ✓ a = getLine >>= \x -> putStrLn (filter isDigit x) >>= \_ -> a
3. X a = (getLine >>= \x -> putStrLn (filter isDigit x)) >>= a
4. X a = do getLine >>= \x -> putStrLn . filter isDigit; a
5. X a = do x <- getLine; putStrLn . filter isDigit; a
6. ✓ a = do x <- getLine; putStrLn . filter isDigit $ x; a
7. ✓ a = do getLine >>= \x -> putStrLn (filter isDigit x); a
```

Options 3,4, and 5 don't type-check. The others are all equivalent.

### Question 7

Below is an example of a small program using State String. As a refresher, here's the basic API for State:

```
get :: State String String
put :: String -> State String ()
runState :: State String a -> String -> (String, a)
```

Now, our program will repeatedly pad a string with spaces until it reaches a certain length:

What is the type of while in this example?

```
1. X Bool -> State String () -> State String ()
2. X State String Bool -> State String () -> State String ()
3. X (Bool -> State String ()) -> State String ()
4. X (String -> Bool) -> State String ()
5. ✓ (String -> Bool) -> State String () -> State String ()
```

The while loop takes a state-dependent conditional, i.e a function that returns a Bool for a given String, and a stateful monadic action for the loop body, State

String (), finally producing a stateful monadic action that runs the loop, State  $\overline{\text{String ()}}$ , hence option 5 is correct.

### Question 8

Here is a program to detect if a string has balanced parentheses, ignoring all other characters.

Which of the following is an accurate translation of the above program to use the State monad?

#### 1. X

#### 2. X

#### 3. X

```
else pure False
| otherwise = go xs
```

4. X

✓

Option 1 checks if the final count is zero, but does not check if the count sinks below zero at any point, matching the strings () () for example. Option 2 does check if the count drops below zero, but then doesn't do anything with that information. Option 3 only checks if the count drops below zero, and not that the count is zero at the end. Option 4 does check both the boolean and the count at the end, however does not set the boolean to false when the count drops below zero. Option 5 does all the required checks and is therefore correct.

Submission is already closed for this quiz. You can click here to check your submission (if any).