

Functors, Applicatives, and Monads

Liam O'Connor CSE, UNSW (and Data61) Term 2 2019

Motivation

We'll be looking at three very common abstractions:

- used in functional programming and,
- increasingly, in imperative programming as well.

Unlike many other languages, these abstractions are reified into bona fide type classes in Haskell, where they are often left as mere "design patterns" in other programming languages.

Higher Kinds

•00

Types and Values

First, some preliminaries. Haskell is actually comprised of two languages.

- The value-level language, consisting of expressions such as if, let, 3 etc.
- The *type-level* language, consisting of types Int, Bool, synonyms like String, and type *constructors* like Maybe, (->). [] etc.

This type level language itself has a type system!

Kinds

Just as terms in the value level language are given types, terms in the type level language are given kinds.

The most basic kind is written as *.

- Types such as Int and Bool have kind *.
- Seeing as Maybe is parameterised by one argument, Maybe has kind * -> *: given a type (e.g. Int), it will return a type (Maybe Int).

00

Lists

Suppose we have a function:

```
toString :: Int -> String
```

And we also have a function to give us some numbers:

```
getNumbers :: Seed -> [Int]
```

How can I compose to String with getNumbers to get a function f of type Seed -> [String]?

```
Answer: we use map:
```

```
f = map toString . getNumbers
```

Maybe

Suppose we have a function:

```
toString :: Int -> String
```

And we also have a function that may give us a number:

```
tryNumber :: Seed -> Maybe Int
```

How can I compose to String with try Number to get a function f of type Seed -> Maybe String?

We want a map function but for the Maybe type:

```
f = maybeMap toString . tryNumber
```

Let's implement it.

QuickCheck Generators

Recall the Arbitrary class has a function:

```
arbitrary :: Gen a
```

The type Gen is an abstract type for QuickCheck generators. Suppose we have a function:

```
toString :: Int -> String
```

And we want a generator for String (i.e. Gen String) that is the result of applying toString to arbitrary Ints.

It is reasonable to expect that perhaps there is a genMap function:

```
genMap :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow Gen a \rightarrow Gen b
```

Functor

All of these functions are in the interface of a single type class, called Functor.

class Functor f where fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b

Unlike previous type classes we've seen like Ord and Semigroup, Functor is over types of kind $* \rightarrow *$.

Instances for:

- Lists
- Maybe
- Gen
- Tuples (how?)
- Functions (how?)

Functor Laws

The functor type class must obey two laws:

Functor Laws

Higher Kinds

- fmap id == id
- 2 fmap f . fmap g == fmap (f . g)

In Haskell's type system it's impossible to make a total fmap function that satisfies the first law but violates the second.

This is due to *parametricity*, a property we will return to in Week 8 or 9

Binary Functions

Suppose we want to look up a student's zID and program code using these functions:

```
lookupID :: Name -> Maybe ZID
lookupProgram :: Name -> Maybe Program
```

And we had a function:

```
makeRecord :: ZID -> Program -> StudentRecord
```

How can we combine these functions to get a function of type Name -> Maybe StudentRecord?

Binary Map?

We could imagine a binary version of the maybeMap function:

```
mavbeMap2 :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c)
             -> Maybe a -> Maybe b -> Maybe c
```

But then, we might need a trinary version.

```
maybeMap3 :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d)
             -> Maybe a -> Maybe b -> Maybe c -> Maybe d
```

Or even a 4-ary version, 5-ary, 6-ary...

this would quickly become impractical!

Using Functor

Using fmap gets us part of the way there:

```
lookupRecord' :: Name -> Maybe (Program -> StudentRecord)
lookupRecord' n = let zid = lookupID n
                     program = lookupProgram n
                  in fmap makeRecord zid
                     -- what about program?
```

But, now we have a function inside a Maybe.

We need a function to take:

- A Maybe-wrapped fn Maybe (Program -> StudentRecord)
- A Maybe-wrapped argument Maybe Program

And apply the function to the argument, giving us a result of type Maybe StudentRecord?

Applicative

This is encapsulated by a subclass of Functor called Applicative:

```
class Functor f => Applicative f where
  pure :: a -> f a
  (<*>) :: f (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

Maybe is an instance, so we can use this for lookupRecord:

```
lookupRecord :: Name -> Maybe (Program -> StudentRecord)
lookupRecord n = let zid = lookupID n
                    program = lookupProgram n
                in fmap makeRecord zid <*> program
              -- or pure makeRecord <*> zid <*> program
```

Using Applicative

In general, we can take a regular function application:

fabcd

And apply that function to Maybe (or other Applicative) arguments using this pattern (where <*> is left-associative):

pure f <*> ma <*> mb <*> mc <*> md

Relationship to Functor

All law-abiding instances of Applicative are also instances of Functor, by defining:

$$fmap f x = pure f <*> x$$

Sometimes this is written as an infix operator, <\$>, which allows us to write:

as:

Higher Kinds

Proof exercise: From the applicative laws (next slide), prove that this implementation of fmap obeys the functor laws.

Applicative laws

```
Identity
pure id <*> v = v
-- Homomorphism
pure f <*> pure x = pure (f x)
-- Interchange
u <*> pure y = pure ($ y) <*> u
-- Composition
pure (.) <*> u <*> v <*> w = u <*> (v <*> w)
```

These laws are a bit complex, and we certainly don't expect you to memorise them, but pay attention to them when defining instances!

Applicative Lists

There are two ways to implement Applicative for lists:

- Apply each of the given functions to each of the given arguments, concatenating all the results.
- Apply each function in the list of functions to the corresponding value in the list of arguments.

Question: How do we implement pure?

The second one is put behind a newtype (ZipList) in the Haskell standard library.

Other instances

 QuickCheck generators: Gen Recall from Wednesday Week 4: data Concrete = C [Char] [Char] deriving (Show, Eq) instance Arbitrary Concrete where arbitrary = C <\$> arbitrary <*> arbitrary • Functions: ((->) x) • Tuples: ((,) x)

On to Monads

- Functors are types for containers where we can map pure functions on their contents.
- Applicative Functors are types where we can combine n containers with a *n*-ary function.

The last and most commonly-used higher-kinded abstraction in Haskell programming is the Monad.

Monads

Higher Kinds

Monads are types m where we can sequentially compose functions of the form a -> m b

Monads

Sometimes in old documentation the function return is included here, but it is just an alias for pure. It has nothing to do with return as in C/Java/Python etc.

Consider for:

- Maybe
- Lists
- \bullet (x \rightarrow)
- (y,)
- Gen

Monad Laws

We can define a composition operator with (>>=):

$$(<=<)$$
 :: $(b \rightarrow m c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow m b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow m c)$
 $(f <=< g) x = g x >>= f$

```
Monad Laws
 f \ll (g \ll x) = (f \ll g) \ll x -- associativity
 pure <=< f
                                    -- left identity
 f <=< pure
                                    -- right identity
```

These are similar to the monoid laws, generalised for multiple types inside the monad. This sort of structure is called a *category* in mathematics.

Relationship to Applicative

All Monad instances give rise to an Applicative instance, because we can define <*> in terms of >>=.

$$mf \ll mx = mf >>= \f -> mx >>= \x -> pure (f x)$$

This implementation is already provided for Monads as the apfunction in Control.Monad.

Examples

Example (Dice Rolls)

Higher Kinds

Roll two 6-sided dice, if the difference is < 2, reroll the second die. Final score is the difference of the two die. What score is most common?

Example (Partial Functions)

We have a list of student names in a database of type [(ZID, Name)]. Given a list of zID's, return a Maybe [Name], where Nothing indicates that a zID could not be found.

Example (Arbitrary Instances)

Define a Tree type and a generator for search trees:

searchTrees :: Int -> Int -> Generator Tree

Do notation

We've seen how working with monads can be a bit unpleasant. Haskell has some notation to increase niceness:

Let's translate our examples!

Homework

- Finish off Assignment 1 due tomorrow morning.
- Next programming exercise is due in 7 days, including peer review.
- This week's quiz is also up, due in Friday of Week 7.