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# Introduction

“Problems worthy of attack prove their worth by hitting back” stated Danish scientist, inventor and mathematician Piet Hein, and one would find it difficult to disagree (Hein, 2). Amongst the tens of thousands of problems software engineers face during their careers, performance quality happens to be one of them. It also happens to be one of the most difficult to solve. The struggle to meet software performance targets is never smooth, and often involves remodeling the architecture of at least one major module.

However, there exists ample tools, strategies, methodologies and practices to ensure that software architecture is designed with scalability and responsiveness as core values. This report focuses on determining the optimal types of performance tests to run, when to run them and who to run them. The report also defines and analyses a particular software development cycle that emphasizes assurance of performance quality. This report will analyze the general problem of poor software performance, the effects this problem has on the software industry, various performance engineering practices and the optimal performance-focused development cycle. Perspectives in this report will alternate between reference to the general software industry and the specific work methodologies at Veeva Systems.

# Analysis

## The Problem

When developing software, there really only are two questions of interest. Does this software do what it needs to do? If so, does it do it efficiently? Making software that does exactly what is needed is quite difficult, which is why the majority of efforts and resources are dedicated to ensuring that the answer to the first question is yes. Thus, it is often the case that little or no attention is paid to software performance. However, “poor performance costs the software industry millions of dollars annually in lost revenue, decreased productivity, increased development and hardware costs, and damaged customer relations”, according to Dr. Williams and Dr. Smith (Williams and Smith, 2002).

The deeper trouble is that, even if resources are allotted towards performance operations, they rarely yield a notable difference.
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