

Al Automation Project

300 Possible Points

5/7/2023

Attempt 1	~	IN PROGRESS Next Up: Submit Assignment

Unlimited Attempts Allowed

4/1/2023 to 5/7/2023

∨ Details

(https://canvas.vt.edu/courses/168287/files/26483918?wrap=1)

Instructions:

(https://canvas.vt.edu/courses/168287/files/26483918?wrap=1)

- You will work on this assignment individually
- You will have the liberty to choose the company you focus on for the project. It can be a company where you work, a company we have discussed in class, or even a fictional company (e.g., a "startup idea" you want to road test).
 - We will use our office hours sessions over the next few weeks to help you brainstorm some ideas
- Build a comprehensive AI strategy for your company to address the strategic problems you have identified
- The page target for your final team paper should be around 6 pages. We are not going to penalize
 you if you go over/under the page targets, but please do your best to condense your paper to
 conform with total page limit for your project. Any figures, tables, pictures, graphics, etc. will not
 count towards the total page limit.
 - Double-spaced, Times New Roman, 12-point font
 - 1" margins
 - You can submit either a .docx or .pdf file to Canvas. Mac users, please do not submit a .pages file or any other file format as we may not be able to open your submission.
- Your final presentation should be around ~5 mins long. You can determine the number of slides you
 use, but please make sure your presentation is not more than 5 mins long. The oral presentation is
 intended for you to provide an overview of the project
- Please submit your final team paper and team ppt deck via Canvas before the scheduled deadline

Please download a copy of the detailed instructions for the paper/presentation here. (https://canvas.vt.edu/courses/168287/files/28091231?wrap=1)

Criteria	Ratings	Pts		
	50 to >45 pts Full Marks	45 to >40 pts Above Average	40 to >0 pts Average	
Presentation (Team In-Class Presentation) view longer description	Presentation/deck is free of grammatical/spelling errors, and/or the expository narrative is clear and concise, and/or the conclusions/strategic recommendations are based on sound logic and clear application of relevant frameworks and models from the class.	Presentation/deck contains a few grammatical/spelling errors, and/or the expository narrative is unclear, and the conclusions/strategic recommendations are based on questionable logic and unclear application of relevant frameworks and models from the class.	Presentation/deck contains numerous grammatical/spelling errors, and/or the expository narrative is flawed, and/or the conclusions/strategic recommendations are based on flawed logic and inaccurate application of relevant frameworks and models from the class.	/ 50 pt
Overview of Strategic Problem view longer	25 to >23 pts Excellent Excellent description	23 to >20 pts Above Average Solid description of	20 to >0 pts Average Average description	/ 25 pts
<u>description</u>	of the key strategic problems this company is facing. Addresses the major	the key strategic problems this company is facing. Addresses a few of	of the key strategic problems this company is facing. Addresses a few of	
	components of a good technology strategy, and isolates the specific problems along one or more of these dimensions: 1) integrated set of choices; 2) use of novel technology; 3)	the major components of a good technology strategy, but the discussion of the specific problems is ambiguous along one or more of these dimensions: 1) integrated set of	the major components of a good technology strategy, but the discussion of the specific problems is flawed and/or inaccurate along one or more of these dimensions: 1)	
	product long-term, superior financial	choices; 2) use of novel technology; 3)	integrated set of choices; 2) use of	

Criteria	Ratings	Ratings			
			superior financial returns.		
The Job to be Done (1) view longer description	20 to >18 pts Excellent Excellent description of the functional, emotional, and social objectives that your target customers have in evaluating the tools they use to complete their core "jobs." Clear description of both early adopters and early majority customers.	18 to >16 pts Above Average Solid, but ambiguous description of the functional, emotional, and social objectives that your target customers have in evaluating the tools they use to complete their core "jobs." Ambiguous description of both early adopters and early majority customers.	16 to >0 pts Average Inaccurate or incomplete description of the functional, emotional, and social objectives that your target customers have in evaluating the tools they use to complete their core "jobs." Inaccurate or incomplete description of both early adopters and early majority customers.	/ 20 pts	
The Job to be Done (2) <u>view longer</u> <u>description</u>	20 to >18 pts Excellent Excellent discussion of the critical challenges these have in facing in the current environment (before the introduction of Al- tools). Clear focus on both the early adopters and early majority customers.	18 to >16 pts Above Average Solid discussion of the critical challenges these have in facing in the current environment (before the introduction of Altools). Solid but ambiguous details for either the early adopters and early majority customers.	16 to >0 pts Average Inaccurate or incomplete discussion of the critical challenges these have in facing in the current environment (before the introduction of Al-tools). Inaccurate or incomplete discussion for either the early adopters and early majority	/ 20 pts	

Al Team Project Rubric

Criteria	Ratings	Pts		
Job to be Done (3) view longer description	20 to >18 pts Excellent Excellent discussion of the internal/external tools your customers use. Clear focus on both the early adopters and early majority customers.	18 to >16 pts Above Average Solid, but ambiguous discussion of the internal/external tools your customers use. Ambiguous focus on both the early adopters and early majority customers.	16 to >0 pts Average Inaccurate or incomplete discussion of the internal/external tools your customers use. Inaccurate or incomplete discussion of the early adopters and/or early majority customers.	/ 20 pts
Job to be Done (4) view longer description	20 to >18 pts Excellent Excellent discussion of the gaps between what customers want to accomplish versus what they actually are accomplishing with their current tools.	18 to >16 pts Above Average Solid, but ambiguous discussion of the gaps between what customers want to accomplish versus what they actually are accomplishing with their current tools.	16 to >0 pts Average Incomplete or inaccurate discussion of the gaps between what customers want to accomplish versus what they actually are accomplishing with their current tools.	/ 20 pts
Job to be Done (5) view longer description	20 to >18 pts Excellent Excellent discussion of the MVP and WPS to target early adopters and early majority customers, respectively	18 to >16 pts Above Average Solid, but ambiguous discussion of the MVP and WPS to target early adopters and early majority customers, respectively	16 to >0 pts Average Inaccurate or incomplete discussion of the MVP and WPS to target early adopters and early majority customers,	/ 20 pts

Al Team Project Rubric

Criteria	Ratings	Pts		
Data Strategy (1) view longer description	25 to >23 pts Excellent Excellent discussion your data pipeline and the kinds of data are you collecting	23 to >20 pts Above Average Solid, but ambiguous discussion your data pipeline and the kinds of data are you collecting	20 to >0 pts Average Inaccurate or incomplete discussion your data pipeline and the kinds of data are you collecting	/ 25 pts
Data Strategy (2) view longer description	25 to >23 pts Excellent Excellent discussion and justification of your key Alalgorithms.	23 to >20 pts Above Average Solid, but ambiguous discussion and justification of your key AI-algorithms.	20 to >0 pts Average Incomplete or inaccurate discussion and justification of your key Al-algorithms.	/ 25 pts
Value Network & Ecosystem Strategy (1) view longer description	25 to >23 pts Excellent Excellent discussion your value network, the key players, and what will they contribute to the value creation process	23 to >20 pts Above Average Solid, but ambiguous discussion your value network, the key players, and what will they contribute to the value creation process	20 to >0 pts Average Incomplete or inaccurate discussion your value network, the key players, and what will they contribute to the value creation process	/ 25 pts
Value Network & Ecosystem Strategy (2) view longer description	25 to >23 pts Excellent Excellent discussion of: i. overall value proposition ii. source of competitive advantage iii. critical	23 to >20 pts Above Average Solid, but ambiguous discussion of: i. overall value proposition ii. source of competitive	20 to >0 pts Average Inaccurate or incomplete discussion of: i. overall value proposition ii. source	/ 25 pts

Criteria	Ratings			/ 25 pts
	capabilities you will bring to strategy	organizational capabilities you will bring to strategy	Al-powered organizational capabilities you will bring to strategy	
Value Network & Ecosystem Strategy (3) view longer description	25 to >23 pts Excellent Excellent description of your key technology strategies: 1) Set of cohesive choices 2) Novel use of technology 3) Produces long-term, superior financial performance	23 to >20 pts Above Average Solid, but ambiguous description of technology strategy: 1) Set of cohesive choices 2) Novel use of technology 3) Produces long-term, superior financial performance	20 to >0 pts Average Inaccurate or incomplete description of technology strategy: 1) Set of cohesive choices 2) Novel use of technology 3) Produces long-term, superior financial performance	

Choose a submission type









I agree to the tool's <u>End-User License Agreement (https://api.turnitin.com/api/lti/1p0/user/static_eula)</u>
This assignment submission is my own, original work